1	Title
2	A new microsporidian parasite of the genus Amblyospora (Hazard and Oldacre, 1975) identified from the
3	halophilic mosquito Ochlerotatus detritus (Haliday, 1833) (Diptera: Culicidae) through rDNA ITS sequencing
4	
5	Authorship
6	Hannah Jane Shaw and Craig Stephen Wilding ORCID 0000-0001-5818-2706
7	
8	
9	<b>Author affiliations</b>
10	School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 3AF, UK
11	
12	CONTACT
13	Craig Wilding
14	Email: c.s.wilding@ljmu.ac.uk
15	
16	
17	ABSTRACT
18	Ochlerotatus detritus (Haliday, 1833) from Parkgate marshes, Wirral, U.K. are shown to be parasitised by a new
19	species of Amblyospora (Hazard and Oldacre, 1975) microsporidian. Phylogenetic analysis shows that Internal
20	Transcribed Spacer (ITS) sequences from this microsporidian are distinct from those of all known microsporidia
21	identified to date, but form a clade with Amblyospora weiseri Lukeš and Vávra, 1990 and A. stictici Andreadis,
22	1994, microsporidia identified from Ochlerotatus cantans Meigen, 1818 and O. sticticus Meigen, 1838,
23	respectively. Prevalence rates, from pooled samples ( $N = 5$ per pool) were low (2.37%; lower limit 0.78%,
24	upper limit 5.62%), which may be a consequence of these ephemeral brackish water pool habitats periodically
25	drying out. There is increasing interest in the use of microsporidian parasites as novel vector control strategies
26	and understanding the phenology of this microsporidian and its mosquito host may ultimately lead to new
27	methods of control for this nuisance biting species.
28	
29	KEYWORDS
30	Microsporidia; parasite; mosquito; Ochlerotatus; Amblyospora

Introduction

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Microsporidia are a diverse group of obligate intracellular parasitic eukaryotes (Dunn and Smith 2001) for which possession of 70S ribosomes, primitive golgi apparatus and a lack of mitochondria suggest a primitive status (Curgy, Vavra, and Vivares 1980). Whilst molecular phylogenetics confirms the microsporidia as eukaryotes (Vossbrinck, Maddox, Friedman, Debrunner-Vossbrinck, and Woese 1987) and members of the protozoa (Franzen and Muller 1999), genomic studies, similarities in the process of cell division and the presence of a chitinous spore wall suggest that they are most closely related to fungi (Weiss and Vossbrinck 1998). Initially observed as parasites of silkworms, there are currently an estimated 1400 species in over 200 genera recognised (Han and Weiss 2017) and microsporidia can be found in almost every environment. They are able to parasitise a wide variety of organisms including both vertebrates and invertebrates, and indeed some species of protist (Weiss and Becnel 2014). However, they are significantly pathogenic only in a small number of species including fish and insects in which they can have serious, destructive effects (Weiss and Becnel 2014). Infection is spread through spores which are most commonly found on the surface of stagnant water bodies (Izquierdo et al. 2011). These spores, when ingested by the future host, infect the surrounding cells of the gastrointestinal tract through a specialised infection apparatus known as the polar tube (Han and Weiss 2017) which extends, pierces the cytoplasm of the host cell, and allows for infection to begin (Keeling and Fast 2002). At this point, merogony (the proliferative stage) begins, and multiplication occurs by binary fission to give rise to sporoblasts which mature to become spores (sporogony). Mature spores are then released to infect further cells following rupture of infected cells (Han and Weiss 2017). Spore germination is facilitated by environmental triggers, a process which is poorly understood but thought to be associated with factors such as a change in pH or rehydration (Keeling and Fast 2002) and further infection is facilitated by the release of spores via rupturing vacuoles. There is increasing interest in the role of microsporidia in the control of insects and the inhibition of development of vector-borne diseases since infections causes prolongation of larval stages, prevention of eclosion (Andreadis 2007; Becnel, Garcia, and Johnson 2000; Becnel and Johnson 2000; Koella, Lorenz, and Bargielowski 2009; Bjørnson and Oi 2014; Lacey, Frutos, Kaya, and Vail 2001; Lorenz and Koella 2011) and reduction of infection by other parasites (Duncan, Agnew, Noel, and Michalakis 2015). Indeed, recently a novel microsporidian symbiont has been shown to impair Plasmodium falciparum (Welch, 1897) transmission in

Anopheles arabiensis Patton, 1905 (Herren et al. 2020). Hence, knowledge of the range of microsporidian
parasites in mosquitoes, and the extent of parasitisation is important.
The mosquito Ochlerotatus (= Aedes) detritus (Haliday, 1833) is a pernicious nuisance biter in some parts of the
UK with the Dee estuary salt-marsh of south-west Wirral, and River Stour estuary at Sandwich in Kent being
hotspots for complaints from residents about nuisance biting (Medlock, Hansford, Anderson, Mayho, and Snow
2012; Ramsdale and Snow 1995). As a Site of Special Scientific Interest, there are considerable restrictions on
available controls for this species on the Parkgate Marshes of the Dee estuary and hence use of such biological
controls may be particularly pertinent. In a recent transcriptomic (RNASeq) study of this mosquito from this site
in which differential gene expression was measured following challenge by entomopathogenic nematodes
(Steinernema carpocapsae (Weiser, 1955)), the most upregulated transcript had a microsporidian sequence as
the closest match (Edmunds 2018), suggesting the presence of microsporidial DNA in O. detritus from this site.
However, microsporidial infection of O. detritus has not been reported previously, although other members of
the genus, including brackish water breeders can be infected by microsporidians of the genus Amblyospora
(Hazard and Oldacre, 1975) (Baker, Vossbrinck, Becnel, and Andreadis 1998; Weiss and Vossbrink 1999;
Vossbrinck, Andreadis, Vavra, and Becnel 2004). At present, identification of microsporidia is chiefly
undertaken on the basis of ultrastructural characteristics including the appearance of the polar tube, spore
morphology and the identity of their hosts (Andreadis, Simakova, Vossbrinck, Shepard, and Yurchenko 2012;
Han and Weiss 2017), however, molecular phylogenetic studies have also been undertaken (Weiss and
Vossbrinck 1999; Vossbrink et al. 2004) to examine phylogenetic relationships of microsporidia (Andreadis et
al. 2012; Baker et al. 1998; Franzen and Müller 1999; Vossbrinck and Debrunner-Vossbrinck 2005; Weiss and
Vossbrinck 1999) and co-evolution with host species (Andreadis et al. 2012).
Here, we report a new microsporidian species and investigate the prevalence of this new microsporidian in
Ochlerotatus detritus mosquitoes collected from the Parkgate marshes, Wirral, UK using sequencing of parasite
rDNA Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) sequences for identification. Herein, we do not describe this new
species of Amblyospora because full classification of the new species will ultimately require full ultrastructural
description – but this will be hampered by the low prevalence in the population and the need to culture.

### Material and methods

# Sample collection

90 Mosquito larvae were collected by dipping or using a net from five separate brackish water pools (labelled A, B, 91 D, E, F) at Little Neston, Parkgate Marshes, Wirral in August 2019 (Figure 1). Larvae were maintained in the 92 laboratory in the water in which they were collected and fed crushed cat biscuits. 93 94 DNA extraction 95 Estimation of infection rates can be conducted through screening of pooled samples (Walter et al. 1980). To 96 facilitate PCR screening of a representative number of larvae, pools of five larvae were prepared and DNA 97 extracted from 10–15 pooled samples from each location (50–75 total individuals per water body). DNA was 98 extracted using the Thermo Scientific GeneJet Genomic DNA extraction kit following the manufacturer's 99 recommended protocol. 100 101 **PCR** 102 Two separate PCRs were conducted on pooled DNA. To analyse the presence/absence of microsporidia within 103 mosquito pools, samples were screened using primers 18f and 1492r of Ghosh and Weiss (2009) which amplify 104 a region of the Internal Transcribed Spacer of the rDNA. PCRs were carried out using 1x GoTaq colourless Hot 105 Start mastermix (Promega), 2µM each primer and 1µl DNA with a PCR profile of 95°C for 3 min then 35 106 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min with a final 5 min extension at 72°C. 107 Confirmation of species identity of mosquito samples was established through mitochondrial DNA barcoding 108 using the primers L1490 and H2198 of Folmer, Black, Hoeh, Lutz, and Vrijenhoek (1994) with a PCR mix of 109 1x GoTaq colourless Hot Start mastermix (Promega), 2µM each primer and 1µl DNA and a PCR profile of 110 95°C for 3 min then 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 40°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1.5 min with a final 5 min 111 extension at 72°C. PCR products were checked by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels then purified using a 112 GeneJet PCR purification kit following the manufacturer's recommendations. Sequencing was performed by 113 Eurofins Genomics (Konstanz, Germany). Samples from Pool D required dilution (1/10) prior to PCR due to co-114 extraction of a PCR inhibiting compound. 115 116 Analysis 117 Sequences were manually inspected and edited using FinchTV. ITS sequences of known Amblyospora from

Vossbrink et al. (2004) were downloaded and aligned to ITS sequences from this study using ClustalX (Larkin

et al. 2007). Phylogenetic trees were constructed in Mega X (Kumar, Stecher, Li, Knyaz, and Tamura 2018)

118

120	following evaluation of the most appropriate evolutionary model (using Model Test) and constructed using
121	Maximum Likelihood with 500 bootstrap replicates. mtDNA sequences were identified through BLAST
122	(Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, and Lipman 1990) analysis.
123	Prevalence was calculated from the number of positive PCRs across the 10-15 pooled samples using
124	PooledInfRate v4.0 https://www.cdc.gov/westnile/resourcepages/mosqSurvSoft.html.

## 125 Results 126 Mosquito species present 127 Preliminary morphological examination of larvae suggested that one pool (E) contained only Culex larvae whilst 128 the other pools contained Ochlerotatus spp. Across the five pools, screening of pooled DNA with mtDNA 129 barcoding primers indicated that three different species were identified across the five pools (O. detritus, O. 130 caspius (Pallas, 1771) and C. pipiens L., 1758) (Table 1). Over the 657bp of CoI sequenced, O. detritus and O. 131 caspius differ by over fifty base pairs (e.g., O. detritus accession number MG242486.1 differs from O. caspius 132 accession MK047313.1 at 55 of 657bp) thus determining the proportion of the two species in pooled samples is 133 possible through assessing relative peak height at these variant bases in sequence chromatograms. We note that 134 this cannot be done precisely due to unequal allele amplification and dye bias (Visscher and Le Hellard 2003) 135 but does serve to give an estimate of species proportion in pooled samples. Here, pools A, B and F exhibited 136 mixed species assemblages with pool A predominantly O. caspius and pools B and F predominantly O. detritus 137 (Table 1). Pooled sequences from pool D (O. detritus) and E (C. pipiens) exhibited no mtDNA sequence 138 variability indicative of the presence of single species. 139 140 Parasite prevalence 141 No pooled samples of pool E (Culex pipiens) or mosquitoes from pool A (predominantly O. caspius) tested 142 positive with the microsporidian ITS primers, but across the 35 pooled samples of solely or predominantly O. 143 detritus, positive PCRs were found for four pools. From these data, the infection rate with Amblyospora across 144 all of the O. detritus pools was calculated as 2.37% with a lower limit of 0.78% and an upper limit of 5.62% 145 (Table 1). 146 147 Phylogenetic analysis 148 From the four positive pools, just two different microsporidian ITS sequences were obtained. These were 149 98.35% identical and have been submitted to Genbank with accession numbers MT118721 and MT118722. All 150 differences between the two sequences were biased towards the 3' end of the sequence (Figure 2).

These two ITS sequences (B5 and B9) were aligned to ITS sequences from a range of microsporidia (Vossbrink

detritus were different in sequence from all known Amblyospora sequenced to date but were positioned within

et al. 2004) and used to construct a phylogenetic tree (Figure 3). The two ITS sequences obtained from O.

151

152

the *Ochlerotatus/Aedes* parasite group and formed a well-supported clade (78% bootstrap support) with *Amblyospora weiseri* Lukeš and Vávra, 1990 and *A. stictici* Andreadis, 1994.

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

154

155

#### Discussion

PCR screening of pooled samples of mosquito showed that Ochlerotatus from Parkgate marshes are infected by a new species of Amblyospora microsporidian. Just two distinct ITS sequences were obtained from the four microsporidia-positive PCRs and phylogenetic analysis showed that these sequences cluster within all known Amblyospora species but most closely to those of Amblyospora stictici (parasite of Ochlerotatus sticticus Meigen, 1838) and A. weiseri (O. cantans Meigen, 1818). Whilst there were two distinct ITS sequences observed, in our opinion these likely represent intraspecific variation as the two sequences cluster extremely closely in the phylogenetic tree and have 98.35% sequence identity across the 1335bp of aligned ITS sequence, a level of sequence identity seen previously in other intraspecific microsporidian sequencing (Rinder, Katzwinkel-Wladarsch, and Löscher 1997). The rate of infection for this Amblyospora sp. (2.37%) was low but is in line with that seen for other species e.g., natural prevalence of Amblyospora khaliulini Hazard and Oldacre, 1975 infections in Aedes communis (De Geer, 1776) was 1.6%-3.6% (Andreadis, Thomas, and Shepard 2018). However, there is substantial variation in the reported infection rate of microsporidia, with rates of up to 60% reported (Andreadis 2007). Amblyospora and Edhazardia aedis (Kudo, 1930) can be both vertically and horizontally transmitted (Agnew, Becnel, Ebert, and Michalakis 2003; Andreadis et al. 2018; Zilio, Thiévent, and Koella 2018) and thus whilst we might expect the infection rate to be higher, the ephemeral nature of the brackish water pools at Parkgate Marshes may impact upon infection and spore survival. Due to the seasonal nature of the pools at Parkgate, infection rate may vary throughout the year and therefore additional time-course screening of *O. detritus* is recommended to examine how infection varies seasonally. Ochlerotatus detritus is locally abundant at Parkgate and data from adult traps and larval collections indicate that it is the predominant mosquito at this site (Blagrove et al. 2016; Chapman, Archer, Torr, Solomon, and Baylis 2017; Currie-Jordan 2019). In recent work examining insecticide resistance in this mosquito, it was the only species found (Brown, Logan, and Wilding 2019), however, small numbers of O. caspius were detected as contaminating samples in a recent study of the effect of entomopathogenic nematode exposure on Ochlerotatus (Edmunds 2018). At the time of collection, three species of mosquito were present in the pools from which collections were made; Ochlerotatus detritus, Ochlerotatus caspius and Culex pipiens which are all species common in the area (Clarkson and Setzkorn 2011; Medlock et al. 2012). The habitat at Parkgate consists of a

number of semi-permanent pools, which dry up completely only at the height of summer, and a range of smaller, more temporary pools, which fill up after high spring tides or intense periods of rain. Ochlerotatus caspius was found in a temporary pool which had been filled with rainwater from a recent period of heavy rainfall and in smaller numbers in other pools. It was not surprising to see O. caspius larvae inhabiting these temporary pools as these mosquitoes lay their eggs in mud along the perimeter of receding pools which will then hatch under favourable temperatures and flooding (Milankov, Petric, Vujic, and Vapa 2009). It is therefore likely that these larvae hatched following the rainfall which created the temporary pool. Microsporidia were detected only in O. detritus with no positive samples from C. pipiens or O. caspius though more extensive sampling will be required to determine if these other mosquito species are definitively free of Amblyospora at this collection site. Thus, molecular analysis indicates the presence of a species-specific Amblyospora parasite in larval samples of O. detritus. Microsporidia have been suggested as a species-specific method of control for mosquitoes (Andreadis 2007; Becnel et al. 2000; Becnel and Johnson 2000; Bjørnson and Oi 2014; Lacey et al. 2001; Lorenz and Koella 2011) and the possibility of developing this newly identified species as a biological control agent requires further investigation. Ochlerotatus detritus is a pernicious biting nuisance at this site (Davies 1995; Clarkson and Setzkorn 2011). Since the locality is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), chemical control of mosquitoes is not permitted and the only recent attempts at insecticidal control involved the use of Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner, 1915, subsp. israelensis (Davies 1995; Clarkson and Setzkorn 2011). Thus, knowledge of the microsporidial parasites of O. detritus, which may impact host development, is therefore highly pertinent and deserving of further study. Further field-based research is particularly needed to understand the parasite-host dynamics at this site.

204205

206

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

## **Funding**

207 HJS received support from a Wellcome Trust Biomedical Vacation Scholarship.

208

209

### References

- Agnew, P., Becnel, J.J., Ebert, D., and Michalakis, Y. (2003), 'Symbiosis of microsporidia and insects', in
- 211 Insect Symbiosis, eds K. Bourtzis and T.A. Miller, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990), 'Basic local alignment search tool',
- 213 Journal of Molecular Biology, 215, 403–410.

- Andreadis, T.G. (1989), 'Host specificity of *Amblyospora connecticus* (Microsporida: Amblyosporidae), a
- 215 polymorphic microsporidian parasite of Aedes cantator (Diptera: Culicidae)', Journal of Medical
- 216 Entomology, 26, 140–145.
- Andreadis, T.G. (1994), 'Ultrastructural characterization of meiospores of six new species of Amblyospora
- 218 (Microsporida: Amblyosporidae) from northern Aedes (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes', Eukaryotic
- 219 *Microbiology*, 41, 147–154.
- Andreadis, T.G. (2007), 'Microsporidian parasites of mosquitoes', Journal of the American Mosquito Control
- 221 *Association*, 23, 3–30.
- Andreadis, T., Simakova, A., Vossbrinck, C., Shepard, J., and Yurchenko, Y. (2012), 'Ultrastructural
- characterization and comparative phylogenetic analysis of new microsporidia from Siberian mosquitoes:
- evidence for coevolution and host switching', *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 109, 59–75.
- Andreadis, T.G., Thomas, M.C., and Shepard J.J. (2018), 'Amblyospora khaliulini (Microsporidia:
- Amblyosporidae): investigations on its life cycle and ecology in *Aedes communis* (Diptera: Culicidae) and
- 227 Acanthocyclops vernalis (Copepoda: Cyclopidae) with redescription of the species', Journal of Invertebrate
- 228 *Pathology*, 151, 113–125.
- Baker, M., Vossbrinck, C., Becnel, J., and Andreadis, T. (1998), 'Phylogeny of Amblyospora (Microsporida:
- Amblyosporidae) and related genera based on small subunit ribosomal DNA data: a possible example of host
- parasite cospeciation', *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 71, 199–206.
- Becnel, J.J., and Johnson, M.A. (2000), 'Impact of Edhazardia aedis (Microsporidia: Culicosporidae) on a
- seminatural population of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae)', *Biological Control*, 18, 39–48.
- Becnel, J.J., Garcia, J.J., and Johnson, M.A. (2000), 'Edhazardia aedis (Microspora: Culicosporidae) effects on
- the reproductive capacity of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae)', *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 32, 549–553.
- Bjørnson, S., and Oi, D. (2014), 'Microsporidia biological control agents and pathogens of beneficial insects', in
- 237 Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity, eds L.M. Weiss and J.J. Becnel, Oxford: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Blagrove, M., Sherlock, K., Chapman, G., Impoinvil, D., McCall, P., Medlock, J., Lycett, G., Solomon, T., and
- Baylis, M. (2016), 'Evaluation of the vector competence of a native UK mosquito Ochlerotatus detritus (Aedes
- detritus) for dengue, chikungunya and West Nile viruses', Parasites and Vectors, 9, 452.

- Brown, F.V., Logan, R.A.E., and Wilding, C.S. (2019), 'Carbamate resistance in a UK population of the
- 242 halophilic mosquito Ochlerotatus detritus implicates selection by agricultural usage of insecticide',
- 243 International Journal of Pest Management, 65, 284–292.
- 244 Chapman G.E., Archer, D., Torr, S., Solomon, T., and Baylis, M. (2017), 'Potential vectors of equine
- arboviruses in the UK', *Veterinary Record*, 180, 19.
- Clarkson, M.J., and Setzkorn, C., (2011), 'The domestic mosquitoes of the Neston area of Cheshire,
- 247 UK', European Mosquito Bulletin, 29, 122–128.
- 248 Curgy, J.J., Vavra, J., and Vivares, C., (1980), 'Presence of ribosomal RNAs with prokaryotic properties in
- microsporidia, eukaryotic organisms', *Biologie Cellulaire*, 38, 49–51.
- Currie-Jordan, A. (2019), 'Quantitative analysis of the ecology and feeding behaviour of *Aedes detritus*', PhD
- thesis. The University of Liverpool.
- Davies J.P.C. (1995), 'Control of Aedes detritus on the Dee Estuary, Cheshire' in Mosquito Control in Britain
- eds. C.D. Ramsdale and K.R. Snow, London: University of East London.
- De Geer, C. (1776), Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire des insectes, Stockholm: Pierre Hesselberg
- Duncan A.B., Agnew P., Noel V., and Michalakis Y. (2015), 'The consequences of co-infections for parasite
- transmission in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti'*, *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 84, 498–508.
- Dunn, A., and Smith, J. (2001), 'Microsporidian life cycles and diversity: the relationship between virulence and
- transmission', Microbes and Infection, 3, 381–388.
- Edmunds S.V. (2018), 'Genetic analysis of tritrophic interactions between entompathogenic nematodes,
- symbiotic bacteria and blood-sucking flies', PhD thesis, Liverpool John Moores University.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W. Lutz, R., and Vrijenhoek, R. (1994), 'DNA primers for amplification of
- 262 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates', Molecular Marine Biology
- 263 *and Biotechnology*, 3, 294–299.
- Franzen, C., and Müller, A. (1999), 'Molecular techniques for detection, species differentiation, and
- 265 phylogenetic analysis of microsporidia', *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 12, 243–285.
- Ghosh K., and Weiss L.M. (2009), 'Molecular diagnostic tests for microsporidia', *Interdisciplinary Perspectives*
- on Infectious Diseases, 926521.
- Haliday, A.H. (1833), 'Catalogue of Diptera occurring about Holywood in Downshire', Entomological
- 269 *Magazine*, 1, 147–180.


- Han, B., and Weiss, L. (2017), 'Microsporidia: obligate intracellular pathogens within the fungal
- Kingdom', *Microbiology Spectrum*, 5, FUNK-0018-2016.
- Hazard, E.I., and Oldacre, S.W. (1975), Revision of Microsporida (Protozoa) close to Thelohania: with
- descriptions of one new family, eight new genera, and thirteen new species, Washington: U.S. Department of
- 274 Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service.
- Herren, J.K., Mbaisi, L., Mararo, E., Makhulu, E.E., Mobegi, V.A., Butungi, H., Mancini, M.V., Oundo, J.W.,
- Teal, E.T., Pinaud, S., Lawniczak, M.K.N., Jabara, J., Nattoh, G., and Sinkins, S.P. (2020), 'A microsporidian
- 277 impairs *Plasmodium falciparum* transmission in *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes', *Nature Communications*,
- 278 11, 2187.
- 279 Izquierdo, F., Castro Hermida, J., Fenoy, S., Mezo, M., González-Warleta, M., and Aguila, C. (2011),
- 280 'Detection of microsporidia in drinking water, wastewater and recreational rivers', Water Research, 45, 4837—
- 281 4843.
- Keeling, P.J., and Fast, N.M. (2002), 'Microsporidia: biology and evolution of highly reduced intracellular
- parasites', Annual Reviews in Microbiology, 56, 93–116.
- Koella, J.C., Lorenz, L., and Bargielowski I. (2009), 'Microsporidians as evolution-proof agents of malaria
- 285 control?', Advances in Parasitology, 68, 315–27.
- 286 Kudo, R. (1930), 'Studies on microsporidia parasitic in mosquitoes. VIII. On a microsporidian, Nosema aedis
- 287 nov. spec., parasitic in a larva of Aedes aegypti of Puerto Rico', Archiv für Protistenkunde, 69, 23–28.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018), 'MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
- Analysis across computing platforms', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, 1547–1549.
- Lacey, L.A., Frutos, R., Kaya, H.K., and Vail, P. (2001), 'Insect pathogens as biological control agents: do they
- have a future?', Biological Control, 21, 230–248.
- Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F.,
- Wallace, I.M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., and Higgins, D.G. (2007), 'ClustalW and
- Clustal X version 2.0', Bioinformatics 23, 2947–2948.
- Linneaus, C. (1758), Systema Naturae per Regna Tria Naturae, Secundum, Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species,
- 296 cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 297 Lorenz, L.M., and Koella, J.C. (2011), 'The microsporidian parasite Vavraia culicis as a potential late life-
- acting control agent of malaria', Evolutionary Applications, 4, 783–790.

- 299 Lukeš, J., and Vávra, J. (1990), 'Life cycle of Amblyospora weiseri n.sp.: (Microsporidia) in Aedes cantans
- 300 (Diptera, Culicidae)', European Journal of Protistology, 25, 200–208.
- Medlock, J.M., Hansford, K.M., Anderson, M., Mayho, R., and Snow, K.R. (2012), 'Mosquito nuisance and
- 302 control in the UK A questionnaire-based survey of local authorities', European Mosquito Bulletin, 30, 15–29.
- 303 Meigen, J.W. (1818), Systematische Beschreibung der bekannten Europäischen zweiflügeligen Insekten, volume
- 304 1, Aachen: F.W. Forstmann.
- 305 Meigen, J.W. (1838), Systematische Beschreibung der bekannten Europäischen zweiflügeligen Insekten, volume
- 306 7, Hamburg: Schulz-Wundermann.
- Michalakis, Y., Bédhomme, S., Biron, D., Rivero, A., Sidobre, C., and Agnew, P. (2008), 'Virulence and
- resistance in a mosquito-microsporidium interaction', *Evolutionary Applications*, 1, 49–56.
- Milankov, V., Petric, D., Vujic, A., and Vapa, L. (2009), 'Taxonomy, biology, genetic variability and medical
- importance of Ochlerotatus caspius (Pallas, 1771) and O. dorsalis (Meigen, 1830)(Diptera: Culicidae)', Acta
- 311 Entomologia Serbica, 14, 195–207.
- Pallas, P.S. (1771), Reise durch verschiedene Provinzen des Russischen Reichs, Volume 1, Graz, Austria.
- Patton, W.S. (1905), 'The culicid fauna of the Aden Hinterland, their haunts and habits', *Journal of the Bombay*
- 314 *Natural History Society*, 16, 623–637.
- Ramsdale, C.D., and Snow, K.R. (1995), *Mosquito control in Britain*. Dagenham: University of East London.
- Visscher, P.M., and Le Hellard, S. (2003), Simple method to analyze SNP-based association studies
- 317 using DNA pools. Genetic Epidemiology, 24, 291–296.
- Riedmüller, L. (1928), 'Über die morphologie, übertragungsversuche und klinische bedeutung der beim
- 319 sporadischen abortus des rindes vorkommenden Trichomonaden', Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie
- 320 *und Hygiene*, 108, 103–118.
- Rinder, H., Katzwinkel-Wladarsch, S., and Löscher, T. (1997), 'Evidence for the existence of genetically
- distinct strains of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi'*, *Parasitology Research*, 83, 670–672.
- Vossbrinck, C.R., Maddox, J.V., Friedman, S., Debrunner-Vossbrinck, B.A., and Woese, C.R. (1987),
- 324 'Ribosomal RNA sequence suggests microsporidia are extremely ancient eukaryotes', *Nature*, 326, 411.
- Vossbrinck, C., Andreadis, T., Vavra, J., and Becnel, J. (2004), 'Molecular phylogeny and evolution of
- 326 mosquito parasitic Microsporidia (Microsporidia: Amblyosporidae)', The Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology,
- 327 51, 88–95.

328 Vossbrinck, C.R., and Debrunner-Vossbrinck, B.A. (2005), 'Molecular phylogeny of the Microsporidia: 329 ecological, ultrastructural and taxonomic considerations', Folia Parasitologica, 52, 131–142. 330 Walter, S.D., Hildreth, S.W., and Beaty, B.J. (1980), 'Estimation of infection rates in populations of organisms 331 using pools of variable size', American Journal of Epidemiology, 112, 1214–128. 332 Weiser, J. (1955), 'Neoaplectana carpocapsae n. sp. (Anguillata, Steinernematidae) novy cizopasnik housenek 333 obalece jablecneho, Carpocapsae pomonella L.', Věstnik Československé Společnosti Zoologické, 19, 44–52. 334 Weiss, L.M., and Becnel, J.J. (2014), Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity. Oxford: John Wiley and Sons 335 Weiss, L.M., and Vossbrinck, C.R., (1998), 'Microsporidiosis: molecular and diagnostic aspects', Advances in 336 Parasitology, 40, 351-395. 337 Weiss, L.M., and Vossbrinck, C.R., (1999), 'Molecular biology, molecular phylogeny, and molecular diagnostic 338 approaches to the microsporidia', in The Microsporidia and Microsporidiosis, ed M. Wittner, Washington, D.C: 339 American Society of Microbiology Press. 340 Welch, W.H. (1897). 'Malaria: definition, synonyms, history, and parasitology', in System of Practical 341 Medicine, eds A.L. Loomis and W.G. Thompson, New York and Philadelphia: Lea Brothers and Co. 342 Zilio, G., Thiévent, K., and Koella, J.C. (2018), 'Host genotype and environment affect the trade-off between 343 horizontal and vertical transmission of the parasite Edhazardia aedis', BMC Evolutionary Biology, 18, 59. 344 345 Figure captions Figure 1. Location of pools sampled (labelled A, B, D, E, F) for mosquito larvae at Little Neston, Wirral, UK. 346 347 Map produced in https://www.openstreetmap.org. 348 349 Figure 2. Alignment of the two Amblyospora ITS sequences from Ochlerotatus mosquitoes collected from 350 Parkgate Marshes, Wirral UK. Samples B5 and B9 have been submitted to Genbank with accession numbers 351 MT118721 and MT118722 respectively. 352 353 Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of *Ochlerotatus detritus* parasite sequences B5 and B9 (boxed) alongside other 354 microsporidian sequences (from Vossbrinck et al. 2004). The evolutionary history was inferred by using the 355 Maximum Likelihood method and General Time Reversible model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-356 23880.01) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the

branches. All positions with less than 95% site coverage were eliminated, i.e., fewer than 5% alignment gaps, missing data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position (partial deletion option). There was a total of 935 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018). The tree is rooted with the sequence from *Tritrichomonas foetus* (Riedmuller, 1928).





363 364	В5	1	CATGCAAGTCTGTGAATATGTTTATAGAAACAGTGTACGGCTCAGTATAACATGTCTATC	60
365 366	В9	1	CATGCAAGTCTGTGAATATGTTTATAGAAACAGTGTACGGCTCAGTATAACATGTCTATC	60
367 368	В5	61	TACCCATTTATATATAATAACCGTGGTAAACTATGGCTAATATAATGGATGAGGATGTGA	120
369 370	В9	61	TACCCATTTATATATAATAACCGTGGTAAACTATGGCTAATATAATGGATGAGGATGTGA	120
371	В5	121	CCTATCAGCTTGTCGGTACGGTAAGTGCGTACCGAGGCTATAACGGGTAACGGGGAATAT	180
372 373 374	В9	121	CCTATCAGCTTGTCGGTACGGTAAGTGCGTACCGAGGCTATAACGGGTAACGGGGAATAT	180
375 376 377	В5	181	GGGTTTATTCCGGAGAGGGAGCCTGAGAGATGGCTGCCACGTCCAAGGACGGCAGCAGG	240
378	В9	181	GGGTTTTATTCCGGAGAGGGGGCCTGAGAGATGGCTGCCACGTCCAAGGACGGCAGG	240
379 380	В5	241	CGCGAAACTTACCCAATGAACATTGAGGTAGTTACGAGGCGTATAGGGTTGTTTTGTATT	300
381	В9	241	CGCGAAACTTACCCAATGAACATTGAGGTAGTTACGAGGCGTATAGGGTTGTTTTGTATT	300
382 383 384	В5	301	CGGGATGTGTAAGTAGCATCCCCAAAGACTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG	360
385 386	В9	301	CGGGATGTGTAAGTAGCATCCCCAAAGACTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCCAGCAGCCGCGG	360
387 388	В5	361	TAATACCAGCTCCAGTAGCGTCTGTGTTTATTGCTGCGGTTAAAATGTGCGTAGTCTGGT	420
389 390	В9	361	TAATACCAGCTCCAGTAGCGTCTGTGTTTATTGCTGCGGTTAAAATGTGCGTAGTCTGGT	420
391 392 393	B5	421	AATATGGCTTGAGTTTAATATACATTTTCATAGTGTAAAGACTCTCAGGAACTTATACCT	480
394	В9	421	AATATGGCTTGAGTTTAATATACATTTTCATAGTGTAAAGACTCTCAGGAACTTATACCT	480
395 396	В5	481	TGAGACAGGGAAGAGGTGATGTTATTTGGTAGCGAGAGGTGAAAATCGATGACCTACTGA	540
397 398	В9	481	TGAGACAGGGAAGAGGTGATGTTATTTGGTAGCGAGAGGTGAAAATCGATGACCTACTGA	540
399 400	В5	541	GGAGCGACAGAGGCGAAAGCGATCACCAAGAACTGTTCTGACGATCAAGCGCGTGAGCAG	600
401 402	В9	541	GGAGCGACAGAGCGAAAGCGATCACCAAGAACTGTTCTGACGATCAAGCGCGTGAGCAG	600
403 404	В5	601	GAGTATCGAAGAGGATTAGAGACCCACGTAGTTCCTAGCAGTCAACAATGCCAACACTGT	660
405 406	В9	601	GAGTATCGAAGAGGATTAGAGACCCACGTAGTTCCTAGCAGTCAACAATGCCAACACTGT	660
407 408 409	B5	661	GGTGCTACTTTGCATTGCGAAGCGAAAGCTAGTGTTATGGGCTCCGGGGATAGTACGGAC	720
410 411 411	B9	661	GGTGCTACTTTGCATTGCGAAGCGAAAGCTAGTGTATGGGCTCCGGGGATAGTACGGAC	720
412 413	B5	721	GCAAGTTTGAAACTTGAAGAAATTGACGGAAGGACCCCACAAGGAGTGGAGTGTGCGGGT	780
414 415	B9	721	GCAAGTTTGAAACTTGAAGAAATTGACGGAAGGACACCACAAGGAGTGGAGTGTGCGGGT	780
416 416	B5	781	TAATTTGACTCAACGCGGGAAAACTTACCCGGGCAGGCAG	838
418 419	B9 B5	781 839	AAGTGTAACTGATGATACTGCGCGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAACACGTGGAGTGATCTG	840
420 421	В9	841	AAGTGTAACTGATGATACTGCGCGTGGTGCATGGCCGTTCTTAACACGTGGAGTGATCTG	900
4 <u>22</u> 423	B5	899	TCTGGTCAAATCTGATAACGCGTGAGAGGTGAGTGTTTATGCATTAGCATGAGCAGACGA	958
4 <u>2</u> 4 425	В9	901	TCTGGTCAAATCTGATAACGCGTGAGAGGTGAGTGTTTATGCATTAGCATGAGCAGACGA	960
4 <u>2</u> 6 427	В5	959	TGTATGTAAGTACAAGGAAGTAGCACCCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCGTAGATGTCCG	1018
4 <u>2</u> 8 429	В9	961	TGTATGTAAGTACAAGGAAGTAGCACCCGATAACAGGTCTGTGATGCCCGTAGATGTCCG	1020
430 431	B5	1019	GGGCTCCACGCGCACTACAATGGATGGTAGTATTAGTAGTGTGTAACCAATTCGTAGT	1076
416 417 418 421 421 4223 4424 424 424 424 424 433 433 433 4	В9	1021	GGGCTCCACGCGCACTACAATGGATGGTAGTATTATAGTAGTGTGTAACCAATTCGTAGT	1080
434 435	В5	1077	TGGGATTGACATATGTAATTATGTCATGAACTTGGAATTCCTAGTAGTTGGTTG	1136
436 437	В9	1081		1140
438 439	В5	1137	ACGACTGACGAATGCGTCCCTGTTCTTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCGTTATCTAAGATGGAA	1196

440 441 442	В9	1141	ACGACTGACGAATGCGTCCCTGTTCTTTGTACACACCGCCCGTCGTTATCTAAGATGGAA	1200
443 444	В5	1197	GTGCGGGTGAAGATGTGAGTATAAACCATTAGGGTAATGATGAATATTTGTATATGCGTG	1256
445	В9	1201	GTGCGGGTGAAGATGTGAGTATAAACCATTAGGGTAATGATGCATATTGGTGTATCTGTG	1260
446 447 448 449	B5	1257	TGAGTGTTGG-AC-TTGTG-TTGTATATATTAGTATGAATCTGACTGATGTTA	1306
450	В9	1261	TGAGTGTAATGTTATGCTTGTAGGGAATATATTAGTATGAATCTGACTGA	1320
451 452	B5	1307	GGTATAAGCATAAGA 1321	
453	В9	1321	GGTATAAGCATAAGA 1335	




Table 1. Mosquito species identified, and *Amblyospora* (Hazard and Oldacre, 1975) infection rate across five brackish water pools sampled at Parkgate Marshes, Wirral, UK. \* Either solely, or predominantly *O. detritus* (Haliday, 1833) (pools B/D/F).

Pool	Species ID	Infection (%)	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
A	80:20 O. caspius/O. detritus	0	0	0
В	80:20 O. detritus/O. caspius	6.57	1.79	17.28
D	O. detritus	1.33	0.08	6.36
E	C. pipiens	0	0	0
F	90:10 O. detritus/O. caspius	0		00
All O. detritus combined*	O. detritus	2.37	0.78	5.62