



LJMU Research Online

Shi, Z, Shao, W, Xing, X, Ren, X, Zhou, Y and Yang, Q

First-principles investigation of V-doping effects on Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coating

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/15411/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Shi, Z, Shao, W, Xing, X, Ren, X, Zhou, Y and Yang, Q (2021) First-principles investigation of V-doping effects on Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coating. *Acta Crystallographica Section B Structural Science. Crvstal Engineering and Materials*. 77 (4). ISSN 2052-5192

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

First-principles investigation of V-doping effects on Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing Coating

Zhijun Shi^a, Wei Shao^a, Xiaolei Xing^{a,b}, Xuejun Ren^c, Yefei Zhou^{a,b,*} and Qingxiang Yang^{a,*}

^aState Key Laboratory of Metastable Materials Science and Technology, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, People's Republic of China,

^bCollege of Mechanical Engineering, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, People's Republic of China,

^cSchool of Engineering, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 3AF, United Kingdom.

*Correspondence e-mail: yfzhou@ysu.edu.cn, qxyang@ysu.edu.cn

Abstract:

The mechanical property improvement of M₇C₃ carbides in hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coating is required for its widespread application and longer service life. Vanadium is a frequently used alloying element, while the effects of V doping on the stability and tensile properties of M₇C₃ carbide have been rarely reported. In this article, the formation enthalpy, structural stability, anisotropic tensile properties and electronic structure of V-doped M₇C₃ (Fe₃Cr₄C₃) carbide were calculated by the first-principles method. The mechanism by which the tensile property of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide can be improved by V-atom doping is discussed. The results show that the formation enthalpy (-0.24 eV/atom) of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide is lower than that (0.48 eV/atom) of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, which indicates that the formation of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide is more facile. The absence of an imaginary frequency in the phonon dispersion spectra reveals that the Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide model is stable. Compared with Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is increased from 44.42 to 48.46 GPa and that in the (1111) crystal face is also increased, from 28.99 to 34.19 GPa. The reasons that the tensile property of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ can be improved by V doping are the electron redistribution and the formation of stronger bonds in Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide.

1. Introduction

Hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coatings, which not only have excellent toughness, high thermal conductivity and good thermal stability like metals, but also have the characteristics of high temperature, corrosion and wear resistance like ceramics, have been widely applied in the additive manufacturing and wear resistance industrial fields (Li et al., 2009, 2019; Yılmaz & Turhan, 2001). In hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coatings, M₇C₃ (M = Fe, Cr) carbide is the main strengthening phase due to its high hardness and excellent wear resistance (Buchanan et al., 2007). With the rapid development of additive manufacturing, there is an increasing requirement for improving the strength of a M₇C₃ carbide so as to further enhance the mechanical properties of hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coatings (Rahman et al., 2019). Therefore, it is significant to control and optimize the properties of M₇C₃ carbide to improve the performance and wear resistance of hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coatings (Wiengmoon et al., 2005; Khvan et al., 2014; Zhi et al., 2008).

The performance of materials can be effectively adjusted and controlled by adding alloying elements, in which respect the transition-metal element V has attracted widespread attention (Yin et al., 2020). Bedolla-Jacuinde (2001) demonstrated that V (1.98%) can dissolve in M₇C₃ carbide to increase its volume fraction and hardness. Lu et al. (2008) studied the effects of V doping on the microstructure and soft magnetic properties of Finemet-type alloys, which reveals that the grain size is further refined with the increase of V addition and its magnetic properties

are also improved. Fan et al. (2020) studied the structure and piezoelectric properties of V-doped ZnO thin films and the results show that as the annealing temperature or time increases, the degree of the c-axis preferred orientation and grain size are increased, improving the piezoelectric performance. Vanadium is a frequently used alloying element. However, the effects of V doping on the stability and tensile properties of M7C3 carbide have been rarely reported. Meanwhile, it is difficult and incomplete to explain its mechanism by experiment.

In recent years, first-principles methods based on density functional theory (DFT) have attracted increasing attention and been widely used in research on material properties and micro-mechanism (Lu et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2020). Rogl et al. (2017) investigated the effects of V and Nb doping on the thermoelectric and mechanical properties of half-Heusler alloys based on {Ti, Zr, Hf}NiSn by experimental and DFT calculation methods. The results showed that all the mechanical properties, including hardness, elastic modulus, cracking resistance and fracture toughness, of the samples are improved by V and Nb dopants. Liao et al. (2018, 2020) studied the effects of transition-metal elements on the phase stability, elastic properties and thermodynamic properties of Nb–Ti–V–Zr high-entropy alloys by virtual crystal approximation (VCA) and the first-principles method, which indicated that the Nb and V additives increase the stability of the bodycentred cubic (bcc) structure, while Ti and Zr additives decrease its stability. Moreover, Nb and Ti additives have remarkable effects on density, while their effect on lattice constants are slight as opposed to V and Zr additives. In addition, they also revealed that Nb and V additives have a positive influence on Young's modulus, shear modulus and isotropy properties, while Ti and Zr additives present the opposite effect. Miao et al. (2020) studied the electronic structure and magnetism performance of the V-doped monolayer MoS2 and found that as the tensile strain is increased, the double exchange between V and Mo atoms is reduced, resulting in a lowered spin polarization in V-doped MoS2. Among the hypereutectic Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coatings, M7C3 carbide is a type of mixed carbide with strong anisotropy (Chong et al., 2019) and its mechanical properties are influenced by the Cr/Fe ratio (Guo et al., 2021). Zhang et al. (2013) studied the stability and mechanical properties of hexagonal Fe_{7-x}Cr_xC₃ with a different Fe/Cr ratio by the first principles method and found that Fe₃Cr₄C₃ was more stable than other structures. Therefore, Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is usually used to approximate the atomic structure of M7C3 carbide in theoretical calculations.

In the present work, the formation enthalpy, phonon dispersion spectrum and heat capacity of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides were calculated via a first-principles method to evaluate the thermodynamic and dynamic stability of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. The tensile properties of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides in different crystal faces were then calculated and comparatively analyzed. Finally, the electronic structures and bond characteristics of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides were calculated to explain the mechanism by which the tensile properties of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ can be improved by V doping. This work can provide a theoretical basis for the design and development of novel V-doped Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide to reinforce wear-resistant coatings.

2. Calculation details

The first-principles calculation processes were performed with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP), first-principles computing software based on the DFT framework (Kresse & Furthmuller, 1996). The general gradient approximation (GGA) parameterized by Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) was used to deal with the exchange correlation function of pseudopotential (Perdew et al., 1996). The interactions between nuclei and valence electrons was handled with the PAW method (Blochl, 1994). Based on the convergence tests, the cutoff

energy was set at 500 eV with selected electron orbits: C s2p2, Cr d5s1, Fe d7s1 and V p6d4s1. The K-point grids (Kang et al., 2011) sampled in the Brillouin region were set at 8 x 8 x 8 and the Monkhorst–Pack scheme was used to extend the construction. When energy changes between two successive steps were lower than 10^{-6} eV and the absolute values of the ionic force changes were lower than 10^{-4} eVÅ⁻¹, it can be recognized that the electronic structures were convergent. From the calculations of different cell sizes (Figs. S2 and Table S1), considered with respect to the accuracy of the interatomic force calculations and the consumption of computing resources, the 2x 2x2 supercell was large enough for phonon dispersion spectrum calculations. The tensile properties were calculated by the ideal strength method (Zhang et al., 2014; Roundy et al., 1999; Li et al., 2015). The VASP code was modified to perform the x-axis fixed optimization. In the primary model, lattice ‘a’ is along the x axis and lattice ‘c’ is along the z axis, which is applied in the [2110] direction tensile calculation. For tensile calculation in the [0001] direction, the rotation angle of the crystal cell around the y axis is 90°. For the tensile calculation in the [1120] direction, the rotation angle of the crystal cell around the z axis is 60°. For the tensile calculation in the [1121] direction, the rotation angle of the crystal cell along the z axis is 60°, and those along the y axis in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides are 31.41 and 31.36°, respectively. The strain step was set at 0.01. For the magnetic interactions in the calculations, the structural energies of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ with and without spin polarization were calculated, and the values are -182.18 and -182.02 eV, respectively. The difference between them is 0.16 eV (0.09%). Therefore, the magnetic influence was not taken into account in this work.

3. Results and analysis

3.1. Crystal structures and stability of V-doped Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide

Similar to Fe₇C₃ carbide, the crystal structure of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide also belongs to the hexagonal system, with the space group P63mc (Xie et al., 2005). There are two kinds of Wyckoff sites (6c and 2b) in the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide model, in which six C atoms, six Fe atoms and six Cr atoms are at Wyckoff 6c sites, and the other two Cr atoms are at Wyckoff 2b sites (Table S2). In particular, the fractional coordinates of two Wyckoff 2b sites occupied by V atoms in the following calculations are (0.3333, 0.6667, 0.818) and (0.6667, 0.3333, 0.318). It is known that formation enthalpy is usually used to judge the stability of doping systems (Gao et al., 2014). The schematic structures and formation enthalpy calculations of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and multicomponent Fe_xCr_{6.5-x}V_{0.5}C₃ carbides are shown in Fig. 1. In the unit-cell structures of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and multicomponent Fe_xCr_{6.5-x}V_{0.5}C₃ carbides, the blue spheres are Cr atoms, the yellow spheres are Fe atoms, the red spheres are V atoms and the small brown spheres are C atoms. The formation enthalpy H_f was calculated at 0 K and 105 Pa. The equation (Gao et al., 2014) is shown as follows:

$$H_f = \frac{1}{\sum N_i} \left[E_{\text{total}} - \sum (N_i E_i) \right] \quad (1)$$

where E_{total} is the enthalpy of the carbide unit cells, E_i is the enthalpy of different atoms in the carbide models and N_i is the number of different atoms. In Fig. 1, the structural formation enthalpy of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide with V atoms doped in the Wyckoff 2b sites is the lowest, which indicates that it is the easiest way to form stable V-doped Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. Research shows that Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbides with doping atoms in the Wyckoff 2b sites are preferably formed (Chong et al., 2019). The calculated H_f of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is 0.48 eV/atom and that of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide is decreased to -0.24 eV/atom, which indicates that Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide is more stable and easier to be formed at 0 K and 105 Pa than Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. From

structures with V atoms in the Wyckoff 2b sites, the total enthalpies of Fe₃Cr_{3.5}V_{0.5}C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ are -182.028 and -182.019 eV, respectively. The difference is very small, i.e. 0.009 eV (about 0.005%). The formation enthalpy of Fe₃Cr_{3.5}V_{0.5}C₃ is about -0.2475 eV/atom, which is lower than that of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ and so is much easier to form from a purely energetic point of view. But Fe₃Cr_{3.5}V_{0.5}C₃ seems to violate the symmetry operations, with only one Wyckoff 2b atom replaced by a V atom. In Fig. S3, the difference of the electron distributions between different atoms in Wyckoff 2b sites can be seen clearly, which can contribute to the consequential increase of the anisotropy in the bonding characteristics and mechanical properties. Therefore, Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ is more mechanically stable and crystallographically reasonable than Fe₃Cr_{3.5}V_{0.5}C₃.

The unit cell and polyhedral structure of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide are shown in Fig. 2. After geometric optimization, the lattice constants of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide are $a = 6.98$ and $c = 4.26$ Å, and its volume (V) is 180.42 Å³, which is close to the experimental (Chong et al., 2019) and computational (Zhang et al., 2013) results, viz. $a = 6.99$, $c = 4.27$ Å and $V = 181.08$ Å³. After V atoms are doped in the Wyckoff 2b sites, lattice distortion appears in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide and the lattice constants are increased to $a = 7.04$ and $c = 4.28$ Å, and the volume is increased to 184.12 Å³. Although lattice distortion exists in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide, its thermodynamic stability is greater than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The phonon dispersion spectrum can be used to investigate the dynamic stability of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides. The phonon dispersion spectra and phonon density of states (PHDOS) of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides are shown in Fig. 3. There are no imaginary frequencies in the phonon dispersion spectra, which indicates that the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ crystal models are theoretically stable. It is obvious that the high-frequency parts of the PHDOS are mainly contributions of C-atom vibrations and the low-frequency parts are contributed by metal atoms. The major PHDOS peaks of the V atoms are in the range from 150 to 350 cm⁻¹, in which the PHDOS peaks of the Cr and Fe atoms are weakened by V doping. However, the effects of V doping on the high-frequency parts (optical branches in the phonon spectrum) are negligible. Therefore, the V doping atoms have some effect on the thermodynamic properties and do not obviously affect the optical properties. The thermodynamic properties determined on the basis of phonon spectrum calculations are shown in Fig. 4, which can reflect thermodynamic stability. Fig. 4(a) shows the enthalpy, free energy and entropy curves with temperature of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides. The solid and dashed lines distinguish the curves of the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides. With increasing temperature, the free energy decreases, while enthalpy and entropy increase. In addition, the slightly lower free energy of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide reveals that it is more stable than Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. Fig. 4(b) shows the Debye temperature curves of the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides. The Debye temperature increases with increasing temperature (starting from 0 K). When the temperature is below 720 K, the Debye temperature of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is greater than that of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. When the temperature is above 720 K, the Debye temperature of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is lower than that of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. In particular, the Debye temperature changes rapidly when the temperature is below 400 K, which corresponds with the sharp range of change of heat capacity shown in Fig. 4(c). The heat capacity of Fe₃Cr₃-V₁C₃ carbide is slightly larger than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the low-temperature range from 50 to 350 K and its heat capacity will follow quantum rules when the temperature is much lower than the Debye temperature. When the temperature is above 720 K, the heat capacity approaches a constant value, which will follow the Dulong–Petit rule (Petit & Dulong, 1819).

3.2. Atomic tensile properties of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides

The diagrams of selected tensile crystal faces in Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide are shown in Fig. 5 to help understand the crystal tensile directions. Fig. 5(a) shows the locations and relationship of the selected crystal faces for tensile calculation, in which the red plane represents the (0001) crystal face, the yellow plane represents the (2110) crystal face, the green plane represents the (1120) crystal face and the blue plane represents the (1121) crystal face. Fig. 5(b) shows the schematic locations of the (0001) and (2110) crystal faces in the Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide 2 x 2 x 2 supercell. Fig. 5(c) shows the locations of the (1120) crystal face in the Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide 2x2 x2 supercell. Fig. 5(d) shows the location of the (1121) crystal face in the Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide 2x2x2 supercell.

The tensile properties of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in different crystal faces are shown in Fig. 6 (data in Table S3). Fig. 6(a) shows the tensile stress–strain curves of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the (0001), (2110), (1120) and (1121) crystal faces, in which T₀ is the point corresponding to the initial structure, T₁ is the highest point of the stress–strain curve, which represents the ideal tensile strength of the carbide structure, and T₂ is the point corresponding to the tensile fracture structure. In the uniaxial tensile calculation process, fracture was defined at the position of the breaking of the chemical bonds, which is shown as a sudden change in the stress–strain curve. In ab initio calculations, the structural energy changes when chemical bonds are fractured. Therefore, the fracture can be recognized as a sudden change in the stress–strain curve calculated by the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{(1 + \varepsilon) \partial E}{V(\varepsilon) \partial \varepsilon} \quad (2)$$

where σ is the uniaxial tensile stress, E is the structural energy of the system corresponding to the tensile strain ε at each step and V(ε) is the structural volume under the corresponding strain.

The critical strain of a single unit cell after tensile fracture was defined as the fracture elongation δ , which can be calculated by the following equation:

$$\delta = \frac{L - L_0}{L_0} \quad (3)$$

where L is the tensile directional length of the unit cell after fracture and L₀ is the tensile directional length of the initial unit cell.

The tensile strengths and fracture elongations of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in different crystal faces are summarized in Fig. 6(b). The tensile strengths of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the (2110) crystal face are 25.74 and 20.61 GPa, respectively, which indicate that the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide in the (2110) crystal face is 5.13 GPa lower than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The tensile strengths of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the (1120) crystal face are 26.47 and 20.24 GPa, respectively, which indicate that the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide in the (1120) crystal face is 6.23 GPa lower than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. However, the tensile strengths of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the (0001) crystal face are 44.42 and 48.46 GPa, respectively, which indicate that the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide is 4.04 GPa greater than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The tensile strengths of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the (1121) crystal face are 28.99 and 34.19 GPa, respectively, which indicate that the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide is 5.2 GPa greater than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. There is no significant changes for the δ value of

Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ and Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbides in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces, and the δ values are all 7%. The δ value of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is 36% and that of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is 31%, which indicates that the δ value of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is 5% smaller than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The δ value of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (1121) crystal face is 30% and that of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in the (1121) crystal face is 29%, which indicates that the δ value of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in the (1121) crystal face is 1% smaller than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide.

It is known that the excellent wear resistance of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is mainly contributed by its (0001) face, and the 1/3 [2110] edge dislocation glides along the (0001) plane are the most likely contribution to its strain and deformation ability (Wang et al., 2016). Therefore, the increase in ideal strength of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in the (0001) and (1121) crystal faces can be beneficial to the wear resistance improvement of the Fe–Cr–C hardfacing coating and the slight decreases of δ values are acceptable. The decreases of ideal strength in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces have no significant influence on the δ value or the deformation ability.

The atomic scale tensile simulation could be seen as the tensile process of chemical bonds (Zhang et al., 2015). The bond lengths in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides are collected and shown in Fig. 7, in which the blue hollow square represents different bond lengths in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and the red hollow triangle represents different bond lengths in Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide. In Fig. 7(a), the C–Cr, C–Fe, Cr–Fe, Fe–Fe and Cr–Cr bond lengths range from 1.99 to 2.76 Å. The C–V, V–Fe and Cr–V bonds are added in Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide relative to Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide and the bond lengths also range from 1.99 to 2.76 Å. In Fig. 7(a2), the bond lengths are increased with a 10% tensile strain, in which the bond-length increase of the Cr–Fe bond in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide is the largest and is close to 2.98 Å. As the tensile deformation is further increased to 20%, the Cr–Fe bond length changes of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in Fig. 7(a3) are relatively larger than those of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. In Fig. 7(a4), the bond-length differences in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides are increased rapidly and their bond-length distributions become disordered. By comparing Figs. 7(b) and 7(c), as well as Figs. 7(b2) and 7(c2), Figs. 7(b3) and 7(c3), and Figs. 7(b4) and 7(c4), it can be seen that the bond-length changes of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces are distributed in a similar manner. This can explain the similar tensile behaviors of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces. The bond-length distribution of the 0% strain (2110) and (1120) crystal faces ranges from 1.91 to 2.72 Å. With the increase of tensile strain, the bond-length change increases and that of the Cr–Cr bond in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide becomes dominant, with a 3.01 Å bond length. In the fracture structures with 7% strain, the Cr–Cr bond length of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces is the largest, with a value of 3.05 Å. In Fig. 7(d), the bond-length distribution of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbides in the (1121) crystal face is consistent with those in the (0001), (2110) and (1120) crystal faces, as shown in Figs. 7(a), 7(b) and 7(c). When the strain is increased to 10%, the degree of bond-length distribution disorder in Fig. 7(d2) is also increased, in which the Cr–Fe bond-length maximum is 2.97 Å. Nevertheless, when the strain is further increased to 20%, the Cr–Cr bond lengths of Fe₃Cr₃V₂C₃ carbide in Fig. 7(d3) are obviously changed and the maximum is 3.07 Å. In the fracture structures shown in Fig. 7(d4), the Cr–Fe bond lengths of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide are further increased and the maximum approaches 3.12 Å. It is worth noting that the degree of bond-length disorder in the (0001) crystal faces changes most rapidly with the strain increase from 0 to 30/36%. For a deeper investigation of the mechanism, the electronic structures may have a decisive influence on the tensile behaviors.

4. Discussion

4.1. Electronic structures of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides

The Electron Localization Function (ELF) (Becke & Edgecombe, 1990) can be used to qualitatively characterize the localized distribution feature of electrons and assist in verifying bond types. The ELF equation is as follows:

$$\text{ELF} = 1 / \left[1 + \left(\frac{D(r)}{D_{h(r)}} \right)^2 \right], \quad (4)$$

where $D(r)$ is the real electron density and $D_{h(r)}$ is the uniform electron gas density. ELF values vary from 0 to 1. When $\text{ELF} = 1$ the electrons are completely localized, when $\text{ELF} = 0.5$ the electrons are in a uniform electron gas state and when $\text{ELF} = 0$ the electrons are completely delocalized (or there are no electrons).

The electronic structures of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides in the different crystal faces are shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 8(a) shows ELF planar projections of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (0001), (2110), (1120) and (1121) planes. There are large electron delocalization regions with $\text{ELF} = 0$ around the Cr atoms and relatively smaller ones around the Fe atoms. The atomic gain and loss of electrons agrees with the law of Pauling electronegativity ($\text{C } 2.55 > \text{Fe } 1.83 > \text{Cr } 1.66$). There are electron localization regions with the ELF ranging from 0.7 to 0.8 around C atoms. These indicate that C—Cr and C—Fe are polar covalent bonds. In the (0001) plane, the trigeminal region with the ELF ranging from 0.3 to 0.5 between three Fe atoms and three Cr atoms indicates that the bond type of Fe—Cr is a metallic bond. There are regions with $\text{ELF} = 0.5$ between metal atoms in the (2110), (1120) and (1121) planes, which also indicates the formation of metallic bonds. Fig. 8(b) shows ELF planar projections of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide in the (0001), (2110), (1120) and (1121) planes. Similar to Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, there are large electron delocalization regions with $\text{ELF} = 0$ around the metal atoms in Fe₃Cr₃VC₃. The action of the V atom is similar to that of the Cr atom due to their similar Pauling electronegativity (Cr 1.66 and V 1.63). In the (0001) plane of Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide, the area of the trigeminal region with $\text{ELF} = 0.5$ is larger than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, which reveals that stronger metallic bonds can be formed. The areas of the regions with $\text{ELF} = 0.5$ in the (0001), (2110), (1120) and (1121) planes are increased, as is the bond strength. The electron localization ELF values around the C atoms in the C—V bonds are larger than those of the C—Cr bonds, which reveals that the strength of the C—V bond is larger than that of the C—Cr bond. Therefore, the electron redistribution caused by V doping can lead to the formation of stronger chemical bonds, and the mechanical properties are then improved. The decrease of tensile strength in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces are attributed to the relatively larger lattice distortions in their tensile orientations, which could lead to greater bond lengths and lower bond strengths.

A semi-quantitative analysis of electron transfer can be made by Bader charge calculations, as shown in Fig. 9. The Bader charges of atoms in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides are shown in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b). Those of atoms in the C, Cr, Fe and V crystal models are shown in Figs. 9(c), 9(d), 9(e) and 9(f); the values are 4, 6, 8 and 5, respectively. In the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide model, the Bader charge values of the C, Cr and Fe atoms are about 5.15, 5.30 and 7.78, respectively. After V doping, the Bader charge values of the C, Cr and Fe atoms are increased to about 5.18, 5.31 and 7.83, respectively, which reveals that the Fe and Cr atoms lose fewer electrons and the C atoms gain more electrons. In the Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide model, the Bader charge value of the V atom is about 4.08 and its electronic loss quantity is approximately 1 electron per atom. Electron depletion of the V atom is stronger than other metallic atoms and this can lead to electron redistribution. Band structures and density of states (DOS) of the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbide models are shown in Fig. 10, in which the position of the

Fermi level is at 0 eV. Fig. 10(a) shows the band structures of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The bands are mainly accumulated around the Fermi level (from -7.5 to 5 eV) and this shows very strong bonding reactions. There are several bands across the Fermi level, which indicates that there are some metallic characteristics in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. Fig. 10(b) shows the band structures of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. There are little differences between the band structures of the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide models. The major part of the band structures of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ also range from -7.5 to 5 eV. There are some changes of the band structures around 15 eV in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. Due to the similar Pauling electronegativities between V and Cr atoms and the small addition of V dopant, the effects on the band structures and DOS are relatively small.

This can also be proved by the total density of states (TDOS) and partial density of states (PDOS), as shown in Fig. 10(c). The curves with different colours are the TDOS and PDOS of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, while those of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide are represented by shadowed regions. The Fermi level is indicated by the dotted line. Compared with Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, the right shift and slight reduction of the TDOS peaks of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide can be seen clearly. The PDOS peaks of C-p orbitals are distributed on both sides of the Fermi level and its right shift can contribute to a larger pseudogap, which indicates that the covalent bonds between C atoms and other metal atoms in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide are enhanced. The PDOS of Fe-d orbitals, as well as those of Cr-d and V-d orbitals, have significant peaks at the Fermi level, which reveals that metallic bonds are formed between metal atoms. After two Cr atoms are replaced by V atoms at Wyckoff 2b sites, there are reductions of the PDOS peaks of the Cr-d orbitals in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. The electron depletion of the Cr atoms can be replenished by the electrons of the V atoms. In addition, PDOS reductions of the Fe-d orbitals in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide prove that its electron depletion is increased. Therefore, V doping can lead to the formation of stronger V—C covalent bonds and the polarities of the Cr—C and Fe—C covalent bonds are also reduced. Therefore, the mechanical properties of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbides are enhanced by the improvement of the bond strength by V doping.

4.2. Bond fracture after tensile calculation

Schematic diagrams of the fractured chemical bonds in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides are shown in Fig. 11, in which the tensile directions are shown by the red arrows with solid lines. Fig. 11(a) shows the tensile fracture of the chemical bonds in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. The broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (0001) and (2110) crystal faces are Fe—C and Cr—C bonds along the tensile direction. The broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (1120) crystal face are mainly Cr—C bonds. In the fractured structure of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide in the (1121) crystal face, the Cr—C and Fe—C bonds along the tensile direction are broken. After tensile and fracture calculations, the structural distortions of the tensile calculations in the [0001] and [1121] crystal orientations are larger than those of the other crystal orientations. Fig. 11(b) shows the tensile fracture of the chemical bonds in Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide. The broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face are V—C bonds. The positions of the broken bonds in the (2110) crystal face are similar to those of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. In Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide, the broken bonds are V—C and Fe—C bonds. Compared with Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, the number of broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (1121) crystal face is reduced and the broken bonds are mainly V—C ones. The number of broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (0001) and (1121) crystal faces is reduced and this can contribute to its higher tensile strength. The number of broken bonds of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (2110) and (1120) crystal faces is increased, which reveals the reason for the reduction of the tensile strength.

4.3. Scanning tunneling microscopy simulation of the (0001) surface

To provide a comparison between calculation and future experimental results, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images of the (0001) surfaces in Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides were simulated. Fig. 12 shows schematic diagrams of the (0001) surface models and STM simulation results for Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides. In Fig. 12(a), the distance between the surface layer and the subsurface II layer of the Fe₃Cr₄C₃ (0001) surface model is 0.83 Å. In Fig. 12(b), the distance between the surface layer and the subsurface II layer of the Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ (0001) surface model is decreased to 0.74 Å. In Fig. 12(c), the atomic distance between the Fe atoms in a layer of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ is 2.70 Å, that between the Cr atoms in a II layer is 4.28 Å, and that between the nearest neighbour Fe atom and Cr atom is 2.52 Å. In Fig. 12(d), the atomic distance between Fe atoms in a layer of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ decreases to 2.64 Å, that between the Cr atoms in a II layer increases to 4.31 Å and that between the nearest neighbour Fe atom and V atom increases to 2.57 Å. The doping V atom leads to slight lattice distortions, which lead to atomic spacing changes. In Figs. 12(e) and 12(f), STM simulation images for Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbides fit well with the (0001) surface structures. It can be seen clearly that no reconstructions have occurred on either surface. Nevertheless, the corresponding positions of the V atoms are less bright than those of the Cr atoms, which may be caused by the large electron loss of the V ions.

5. Conclusions

The formation enthalpy of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide is -0.24 eV/atom, which is lower than the formation enthalpy (0.48 eV/atom) of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. No imaginary frequency appears in the phonon spectra. The heat capacity of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide is greater than that of Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. To sum up, Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide is relatively easier to form and is theoretically more stable than Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide. Compared with Fe₃Cr₄C₃ carbide, the tensile strength of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is increased from 44.42 to 48.46 GPa and that in the (1121) crystal face is increased from 28.99 to 34.19 GPa. The δ reductions are relatively small, in which the δ value of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the (0001) crystal face is decreased from 36 to 31% and that in the (1121) crystal face is decreased from 30 to 29%. The tensile behaviours of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide in the equivalent (2110) and (1120) crystal faces are similar and have the same bond-length changes. The bond-length change in the (0001) crystal face is the most obvious and disordered after tensile fracture. The bond-length distribution in the (1121) crystal face does not change much during the tensile process. The changes in the C—V, V—Fe and Cr—V bond lengths are relatively small compared with other chemical bonds, which can contribute to the improvement in strength. V atoms can provide more electrons than Cr atoms and can thus reduce the electron loss of the neighbouring Fe and Cr atoms, which can contribute to an improvement in the strength of metallic and covalent bonds. The electron redistribution and the formation of stronger chemical bonds caused by V doping is the reason for the tensile property improvement of Fe₃Cr₃V₁C₃ carbide.

Funding information

The following funding is acknowledged: National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 51771167 to QY); EU H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie project ‘i-Weld’ (grant No. 823786 to XR).

References

- Becke, A. D. & Edgecombe, K. E. (1990). *J. Chem. Phys.* 92, 5397–5403.
- Bedolla-Jacuinde, A. (2001). *Int. J. Cast Met. Res.* 13, 343–361.
- Bloch, P. E. (1994). *Phys. Rev. B*, 50, 17953–17979.
- Buchanan, V. E., Shipway, P. H. & McCartney, D. G. (2007). *Wear*, 263, 99–110.

Chong, X. Y., Hu, M. Y., Wu, P., Shan, Q., Jiang, Y. H., Li, Z. L. & Feng, J. (2019). *Acta Mater.* 169, 193–208.

Fan, Q., Li, D., Li, J. & Wang, C. (2020). *J. Alloys Compd.* 829, 154483.

Gao, X., Jiang, Y., Zhou, R. & Feng, J. (2014). *J. Alloys Compd.* 587, 819–826.

Guo, L., Tang, Y., Cui, J., Li, J., Yang, J. R. & Li, D. Y. (2021). *Scr. Mater.* 190, 168–173.

Kang, J., Li, J., Wu, F., Li, S. S. & Xia, J. B. (2011). *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 115, 20466–20470.

Khvan, A. V., Hallstedt, B. & Broeckmann, C. (2014). *Calphad*, 46, 24–33.

Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. (1996). *Phys. Rev. B*, 54, 11169–11186.

Li, D., Liu, L., Zhang, Y., Ye, C., Ren, X., Yang, Y. & Yang, Q. (2009). *Mater. Des.* 30, 340–345.

Li, N., Huang, S., Zhang, G., Qin, R., Liu, W., Xiong, H., Shi, G. & Blackburn, J. (2019). *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 35, 242–269.

Li, Y., Hao, J., Liu, H., Lu, S. & Tse, J. S. (2015). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115, 105502.

Liao, M., Liu, Y., Cui, P., Qu, N., Zhou, F., Yang, D., Han, T., Lai, Z. & Zhu, J. (2020). *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 172, 109289.

Liao, M., Liu, Y., Min, L., Lai, Z., Han, T., Yang, D. & Zhu, J. (2018). *Intermetallics*, 101, 152–164.

Liao, N., Zhang, M., Zheng, B. & Xue, W. (2020). *Mater. Today*, 18, 100434.

Lu, W., Yan, B., Li, Y. & Tang, R. (2008). *J. Alloys Compd.* 454, L10–L13.

Lu, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Gao, M., Chen, Y. & Chen, Z. (2021). *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 70, 83–90.

Miao, Y., Bao, H., Fan, W. & Ma, F. (2020). *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 142, 109459.

Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. (1996). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865–3868.

Petit, A. T. & Dulong, P. L. (1819). *Ann. Chim. Phys.* 10, 395–413.

Rahman, N., Capuano, L., Cabeza, S., Feinaeugle, M., Garcia-Junceda, A., de Rooij, M. B., Matthews, D. T. A., Walmag, G., Gibson, I. & Roemer, G. R. B. E. (2019). *Addit. Manuf.* 30, 100838.

Rogl, G., Sauerschnig, P., Rykavets, Z., Romaka, V., Heinrich, P., Hinterleitner, B., Grytsiv, A., Bauer, E. & Rogl, P. (2017). *Acta Mater.* 131, 336–348.

Roundy, D., Krenn, C. R., Cohen, M. L. & Morris, J. W. (1999). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82, 2713–2716.

Wang, J., Guo, W., Sun, H., Li, H., Gou, H. & Zhang, J. (2016). *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*, 662, 88–94.

Wei, W., Xu, K., Liao, N. & Xue, W. (2020). *Mater. Today*, 20, 100773.

Wiengmoon, A., Chairuangri, T., Brown, A., Brydson, R., Edmonds, D. V. & Pearce, J. T. H. (2005). *Acta Mater.* 53, 4143–4154.

Xie, J. Y., Chen, N. X., Shen, J., Teng, L. & Seetharaman, S. (2005). *Acta Mater.* 53, 2727–2732.

Yılmaz, O. & Turhan, H. (2001). *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 61, 2349–2359.

Yin, B., Maresca, F. & Curtin, W. A. (2020). *Acta Mater.* 188, 486–491.

Zhang, M., Liu, H., Li, Q., Gao, B., Wang, Y., Li, H., Chen, C. & Ma, Y. (2015). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114, 015502.

Zhang, M., Lu, M., Du, Y., Gao, L., Lu, C. & Liu, H. (2014). *J. Chem. Phys.* 140, 174505.

Zhang, P., Zhou, Y., Yang, J., Li, D., Ren, X., Yang, Y. & Yang, Q. (2013). *J. Alloys Compd.* 560, 49–53.

Zhi, X., Xing, J., Gao, Y., Fu, H., Peng, J. & Xiao, B. (2008). *Mater. Sci. Eng. A*, 487, 171–179.




Figure 1 Schematic structures and formation enthalpy of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_x\text{Cr}_{6.5-x}\text{V}_{0.5}\text{C}_3$ carbides.




Figure 2 Views of (a) the unit cell, (b) the (2110) face, (c) the (0001) face, (d) the (1120) face and (e) the polyhedral structure of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbide




Figure 3 (a) Phonon dispersion spectra of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ carbide and (b) its phonon density of states (PHDOS). (c) Phonon dispersion spectra of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbide and (d) its PHDOS.




Figure 4

Figure 4 Energy changes, (b) Debye temperature and (c) heat capacity curves of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides.




Figure 5 The locations of (a) the four crystal faces, (b) the (0001) and (2110) planes, (c) the (1120) plane and (d) the (1121) plane.




Figure 6 Tensile stress-strain curves of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides, and (b) histogram of tensile strength and fracture elongation.




Figure 7 Bond-length distribution in the (a) (0001), (b) (2110), (c) (1120) and (d) (1121) crystal faces of $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides. Parts (ai), (bi), (ci) and (di) represent different crystal faces with various strains, where $i = 2, 3$ and 4 .




Figure 8 ELF planar projections of (a) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides in the (0001), (2110), (1120) and (1121) crystal faces.




Figure 9 Bader charges of atoms in (a) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$, (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$, (c) diamond, (d) bcc C, (e) bcc Fe and (f) bcc V crystal models.




Figure 10 Band structures of (a) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$, (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides and (c) their density of states.




Figure 11 Schematic diagrams of bond fracture in (a) Fe₃Cr₄C₃ and (b) Fe₃Cr₃VC₃ carbides.




Figure 12 (1010) views of (a) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and (b) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ (0001) surface models, and (0001) views of (c) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and (d) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ (0001) surface models. STM simulation images of (0001) surfaces in (e) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_4\text{C}_3$ and (f) $\text{Fe}_3\text{Cr}_3\text{VC}_3$ carbides.