

LJMU Research Online

Guetchueng, ST, Nahar, L, Ritchie, KJ, Ismail, FMD, Evans, AR, Tchinda, AT, Tarkang, AP, Nnanga, EN and Sarker, SD

Haem Polymerization Inhibitory Activity and Cytotoxicity of Six Medicinal Plants Used in Cameroon for the Management of Malaria

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/18199/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Guetchueng, ST, Nahar, L, Ritchie, KJ, Ismail, FMD, Evans, AR, Tchinda, AT, Tarkang, AP, Nnanga, EN and Sarker, SD (2022) Haem Polymerization Inhibitory Activity and Cytotoxicity of Six Medicinal Plants Used in Cameroon for the Management of Malaria. Acta Pharmaceutica Sciencia. 60

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

Haem Polymerization Inhibitory Activity and Cytotoxicity of Six Medicinal Plants Used in Cameroon for the Management of Malaria

Stephanie T. GUETCHUENG^{1,2*}, Lutfun NAHAR^{2,3}, Kenneth J. RITCHIE², Fyaz M.D. IS-MAIL², Andrew R. EVANS², Alembert T. TCHINDA¹, Arrey P. TARKANG¹, Emmanuel N. NNANGA¹ and Satyajit D. SARKER²

3 Laboratory of Growth Regulators, Institute of Experimental Botany, ASCR & Palacký University, Šlechtitelů 27, 78371 Olomouc, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

Malaria was successfully treated with both natural and synthetic products. However, recent progress in battling malaria has stalled due to drug resistance. Therefore, the search of novel antimalarials capable of reversing or evading resistance is much needed and this could be achieved through ethnomedicinal approaches. Six medicinal plants were screened for their antimalarial activity using the β -hematin inhibition (BHI) assay and their effect on the proliferation of three cancer cell lines (A549, MCF7 and PC3) was assessed by the MTT assay. Amongst the twenty-seven extracts screened, *Pseudospondias microcarpa* bark showed significant BHI activities with IC₅₀ values of 2.5 ± 0.1 and 4.0 ± 0.2 µg/mL for DCM and MeOH extracts, respectively, while having no cytotoxic effect on A549, MCF7 and PC3. The current results support the ethnopharmacological use of *P. microcarpa* in the treatment of malaria, and it could constitute a useful source of potent antimalarial compounds.

¹ Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Ministry of Scientific Research and Innovation, Cameroon, PO.Box: 13033 Yaoundé Cameroon

² Centre for Natural Products Discovery (CNPD), School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, James Parsons Building, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

^{*}Corresponding author:

E-mail: stevnyg@yahoo.fr

ORCIDs:

Stephanie T. GUETCHUENG: 0000-0002-6810-0433

Lutfun NAHAR: 0000-0002-1157-2405

Kenneth J. RITCHIE: 0000-0002-8504-6918

Fyaz M.D. ISMAIL: 0000-0002-3595-6665

Andrew R. EVANS: 0000-0001-9462-8736

Alembert T. TCHINDA: 0000-0002-5637-2108

Arrey P. TARKANG: 0000-0002-2537-8953

Emmanuel N. NNANGA: 0000-0002-8774-3645

Satyajit D. SARKER: 0000-0003-4038-0514

⁽Received Oct 25 2021, Accepted May 24 2022)

Keywords: Cameroonian flora, antimalarial, cytotoxicity, β -hematin, *Pseudospondias microcarpa*

INTRODUCTION

Malaria caused by drug resistant *Plasmodium* can prove to be a fatal infection despite both prevention measures (e.g. bed nets) and drug treatment measures in endemic areas¹. In 2019, there were an estimated 229 million cases claiming 409,000 lives compared to 228 million and 405,000 cases and deaths, respectively, in 2018². Malaria is established in 91 countries and is ubiquitous in sub-Saharan Africa, where around 90% of global deaths have been documented, especially for the under-fives³. Global efforts to control and eliminate malaria have been further threatened since the emergence of drug resistance. However, this is not a new phenomenon; resistance to quinine was found in 1910 and to chloroquine in the 1970s^{4,5}. One of the most effective recent introductions, artemisinin (and its semi-synthetic derivatives) have their efficacy also diminishing in the Greater Mankong Subregion and the zone of resistance is propagating through economic migration^{6,7}. Among existing antimalarial drugs, resistance to quinine has been shown to develop slowly compared to other antimalarials. Therefore, quinine is still in use today and remains the drug of last resort for the treatment of multidrug resistant malaria infection^{8,9}. Therefore, there is a continuing search for compounds that may be worthy of clinical development to fight the resistance phenomenon^{10,11}.

Quinoline antimalarials such as amodiaquine, chloroquine and quinine were found to act at the erythrocytic stage of the malaria parasite by inhibiting the polymerisation of haem to haemozoin¹². The formation of haemozoin crystals, a critical process in malarial parasite detoxification has been identified as a suitable target for antimalarial drugs development¹³. Specifically, the parasite catabolises haemoglobin both for anabolic parasite replication to make room and for growth. However, in doing so it has the potential to release haem which can accumulates in its vacuoles up to toxic levels thereby killing the parasite^{14,15}.

In the present study, we evaluated the haem polymerization inhibitory activities of the crude extracts of six medicinal plants from the Cameroonian flora, in a cell free medium, as an indicator of their antimalarial potential. These include *Croton oligandrus* Pierre ex. Hutch, *Entandrophragma congoënse* (DeWild) A. chev., *Pseudospondias microcarpa* (A. Rich.) Engl., *Ruspolia hypocrateriformis* (Vatair feilding ephl) Milne-Redh, *Zanthoxylum lepreurii* Guill. & Perr. and *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Lam.) Zepern. & Timler. They are used in Cameroonian traditional medicine for the treatment of different ailments including malaria and malaria symptoms including anaemia and fever (Table 1)¹⁶⁻²². The cytotoxic effects of the plants were also evaluated against three cancer cell lines.



Figure 1. Selected medicinal plants studied: Croton oligandrus Pierre ex Hutch leaves a) and stem bark b); *Entandrophragma congoënse* A. Chev. stem bark c); *Ruspolia hypocrateriformis* Vahl Milne-Redh leaves d); *Pseudospondias microcarpa* (A. Rich.) Engl. stem bark e), leaves f) and fruits g); *Zanthoxylum lepreurii* Guill. and Perr. fruits h); *Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides* (Lam.) Zepern. and Timler fruits i).

METHODOLOGY

Plant materials

Materials for extraction were collected from their natural habitat in three sites namely: S1) Mount Eloundem (3⁰49'1.794"N 11⁰25'59.412"E); S2) Melen (3⁰51'51.559"N 11⁰30'8.748"E) in Yaoundé, Centre-Cameroon and S3) Tsenfem (5⁰27'12.052"N 10⁰3'9.021"E) in Dschang, West-Cameroon. All collected plant parts (Figure 1) were identified and authenticated at the Cameroon National Herbarium Yaoundé by Mr Nana where their voucher specimens have also been deposited (Table 1).

Preparation of crudes extracts

Air-dried and ground plant material (200 g for each plant part collected) were extracted, successively, with *n*-hexane (HEX), dichloromethane (DCM) and methanol (MeOH), 800 mL using a Soxhlet extractor. Ten cycles were completed for each successive solvent extraction. The extracts were filtered and evaporated to dryness using a rotary evaporator at a temperature not exceeding 40 °C and subsequently kept at 4°C until required²².

Evaluation of the antimalarial activity using β -hematin assay

The cell-free method described by Afshar et al²³ with some modifications, was used. Different concentrations of the extracts (10 μ L, 0-250 μ g/mL) prepared in DMSO were incubated with 100 µL of 3 mM of hematin, 10 µL of 10 mM oleic acid and 10 µL of 1 M HCl. Sodium acetate buffer 500 mM, pH 5 was used to adjust the volume to 1000 µL. All the solutions were pre-warmed at 40°C before initial mixing. The samples were incubated overnight at 37 °C with regular shaking. After incubation, samples were centrifuged (14,000 x g, 10 min, at 21 °C) to precipitate haemozoin pellets. This was then washed four times with sonication (30 min, at 21 °C; FS100 bath sonicator; Decon Ultrasonics Ltd.) using a solution of 2.5% (w/v) SDS in phosphate-buffered saline followed by a final wash in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate, pH 9.0, until the supernatant was clear. After the final wash, the supernatant was removed, and the pellets were re-suspended in 0.5 mL of 0.1 M NaOH and transferred to a 96 well plate before determining the haemozoin content by measuring the absorbance at the Soret band (405 nm). The results were recorded as % inhibition (I%) of haem polymerization/crystallization using the following formula: I% = [(AB-AA)/ AB] x 100, where AB: absorbance of blank (medium without sample containing 10 µL DMSO instead); AA: absorbance of test samples. Chloroquine diphosphate (prepared in distilled water) and medium without any extract were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

In vitro cytotoxic assay

The cytotoxicity of the crude extracts against A549 (adenocarcinoma human alveolar basal epithelial cell line), MCF7 (human breast adenocarcinoma cell line) and PC3 (human prostate cancer cell line) was evaluated using the MTT assay.²⁴ The cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with L-glutamine (2 mM), penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 μ g/mL) and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity. For conducting the assay, the cells were seeded into 96 well plates (1.2×10⁴/well) and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then treated with crude extract (0-250 μ g/mL) for 24 h and the cell viability measured. Stock solutions (500 mg/mL) of crude extracts were prepared in DMSO and dilutions were made in cell culture media, with the final concentration of DMSO being below 0.1%. The resultant formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO and optical density was read at 570 nm using a ClarioStar microplate reader (BMG Labtech, UK). The cytotoxicity was determined using the percentage absorbance compared to control cells [(absorbance of treated cells/absorbance of untreated cells) × 100].

Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Data were expressed as means \pm SEM (standard error of mean). IC₅₀ values were calculated using the software Graphad Prism 7.02 (GraphPad Prism Software Inc., USA). Differences in means were estimated by means of repetitive measures followed by Mann Whitney test. Differences between means were regarded significant at *p*<0.05.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Plants are the almost exclusive source of healthcare for 80% of the world's population and particularly in the developing countries²⁵. The ethnopharmacological properties of plants have been used as a primary foundation for drug discovery^{26,27}. Natural products continue to provide a useful source of new drugs as evident from a four-decade review²⁸. Fabricant & Farnsworth²⁹ demonstrated that 80% of the 94 medicinal plants (from which 122 compounds have been isolated and used as drugs) possess an ethnomedicine use indistinguishable (or related) to the current use of the active plant principle. The importance of ethnopharmacological studies involving medicinal plants remains important in drug discovery and the pharma sector has shown renewed interest especially for uncovering new prototypes to tackle drug resistance^{30,31}.

In the current investigation, the six plants from five families screened were selected on the basis of their use in traditional medicine in Cameroon for the treatment of malaria and associated symptoms, especially anaemia and fever.

A total of twenty-seven extracts were obtained from the different parts of the six medicinal plants collected. The extracting solvents used successively extracted the constituents of the plant gradually according to their affinity and increasing dielectric constant with each solvent³². The highest extraction yield was recorded for Z. zanthoxyloides fruits (13.7 %), while P. microcarpa stem bark provided the lowest extraction percentage yield of 3.3% (Table 1). Screening of the extracts for their haem polymerization inhibitory activity revealed three extracts that exhibited promising antimalarial activity with IC $_{\rm 50} \leq$ 30 $\mu g/$ mL. The results are shown in Table 2. The DCM and the MeOH stem bark extracts of *P. microcarpa* showed significant activity with IC_{50} values of 2.5 ± 1.5 and 4.0 \pm 1.7 µg/mL, respectively. The fruit extracts of the same plant were inactive, whereas only the MeOH extract of the leaves showed better activity with IC₅₀ of 13.0 \pm 9.0 μ g/mL. Interestingly, similar results were previously reported for the ethanol extracts of P. microcarpa stem bark and leaves IC₂₀ 1.13± 0.16 and 26 \pm 10 µg/mL, respectively, when tested *in vitro* against the multidrug resistant Plasmodium falciparum K1 and chloroquine-resistant FCM29

Cameroonian strains, respectively^{33,34}. This suggests the haemozoin assay is a suitable assay to probe the antimalarial activity.

The twenty-seven extracts were also evaluated for *in vitro* cytotoxicity against A549, MCF7 and PC3. Doxorubicin was used as the reference drug. Most of the screened extracts exhibited low or no toxicity at the highest concentration tested against the assayed cell lines with IC₅₀ values \geq 50 µg/mL (Table 3). *E. congoënse* stem bark extracts were found to be the most cytotoxic against all the cell lines tested. A moderate cytotoxicity was observed for its *n*-hexane extracts against A549 and MCF7, and DCM extract against PC3 with IC₅₀ = 28.1 ± 6.3, 40.3 ± 5.2 and 32.2± 6.1 µg/mL, respectively, while significant toxicity against MCF7 (IC₅₀ = 21.6 ± 0.9 µg/mL) was exhibited by the DCM extract. Extracts of *P. microcarpa*, which demonstrated significant haemozoin inhibitory activity, were found to have no cytotoxic effect on the tested cell lines (IC₅₀ values >250 µg/mL). This suggests that extracts that inhibits haemozoin crystallisation may share similar targets and/ or mechanisms of action as acridines

Plant name (Family)	Voucher Nº	Place	Ethnomedical uses	Chemical contents	Plant Part				Yield (%w/w)
Solvent						HEX	DCM	MeOH	
C. <i>oligandrus</i> (Euphorbiaceae)	6687/SFR	S1	Anaemia, cancer, pneumonia, splenomegaly	Diterpenes	Leaves	4.91	5.7	7.07	8.8
					Stem Bark	2.24	1.27	4.48	4.0
E. <i>congoense</i> (Meliaceae)	43234/SFR	S1	Gastric ulcer and malaria	Limonoids, steroids, tirucallane triterpenes	Stem Bark	4.82	2.38	11.95	9.6
P. <i>microcarpa</i> (Anacardiaceae)	41437/SFR	S1	Anaemia, malaria, helminthiasis	Flavonoids	Fruits	3.14	0.73	5.43	4.6
					Leaves	6.13	1.24	5.72	6.5
					Stem Bark	1.6	0.8	4.27	3.3
R. <i>hypocrateriformis</i> (Acanthaceae)	37822/SFR	S2	Anaemia, diarrhoea,	Flavonoids	Leaves	6.05	4.54	18.58	14.6
Z. <i>lepreurii</i> (Rutaceae)	106669/ SFR	S3	Anaemia, malaria	Benzophenantrine, acridone and aporphine alkaloids	Fruits	11.97	7.72	19.87	19.8
Z. <i>zanthoxyloides</i> (Rutaceae)	21793/SFR	S3	Anaemia, cancer, fungi infection and malaria	Acridone alkaloids, coumarins, amides, lignans	Fruits	34.05	3.38	10.43	23.9

Table 1. Medicinal plants studied, place of collection, Voucher number, ethnomedical uses and yield of extraction

S1: Mount Eloundem; S2: Melen; S3: Tsenfem; HEX: *n*-hexane; DCM: dichloromethane; MeOH: methanol. Yield of extraction is calculated as (the sum extracts weight for a given plant) x 100/ weight of powder (e.g. mepacrine) and 4-aminoquinlines (e.g. chloroquine, amodiaquine) which continue to play a role in the search for drugs that can evade parasite resistance.⁴ The inhibition of haemozoin formation in parasites continues to be an attractive target for the development of new antimalarial drugs from medicinal plants³⁵. This is due in part, to the idea that the formation of haemozoin in the parasite vacuole is essential for its survival³⁶. Consequently, screening plant extracts that can inhibit haemozoin formation can allow rational mechanism-based discovery, for screening ethnomedical plant prototypes.

Our study provides some evidence on the haemozoin inhibitory-antimalarial mode of action as well as safety *in vitro* of *P. microcarpa*, thereby supporting its traditional use for the treatment of malaria. Notably, given that Adongo et al³⁷ showed that an ethanolic extract of *P. microcarpa* dosed to rats (*per oral*) proved safe. In the light of the afore mentioned results, we therefore, plan to isolate the active component and measure the antimalarial activity of the plant *in vivo* using animal models infected with strains of *Plasmodium* to determine its suitability for further development.

Plants	IC ₅₀ (μg/mL)					
Solvents	HEX	DCM	MeOH			
C. oligandrus L (COL)	> 250	> 250	> 250			
C. oligandrus SB (COBSB)	180.0 ± 6.0	164.8 ± 53.0	> 250			
E. congoënse SB (ECSB)	> 250	> 250	> 250			
P. microcarpa F (PMF)	> 250	> 250	> 250			
P. microcarpa L (PML)	> 250	> 250	13.0 ± 9.0 ^β			
P. microcarpa SB (PMSB)	73.9 ± 25.8*	2.5 ± 1.5 ^β	4.0 ± 1.7 ^β			
<i>R. hypocrateriformis</i> L (RHL)	206.7 ± 52.º	> 250	170.3 ± 77.9*			
Z. leprieurii F (ZLF)	> 250	45.8 ± 25.0 *	> 250			
Z. zanthoxyloides F (ZZF)	> 250	> 250	> 250			
Chloroquine	0.43 ± 0.08 ^β					

Table 2. Inhibition of β -hematin formation assay (IC₅₀) of the different extracts

F: fruits; L: leaves; SB: stem bark. HEX: *n*-hexane; DCM: dichloromethane; MeOH: methanol.

$$\label{eq:IC50} \begin{split} IC_{50}$: concentration of extract needed to produce 50% of the β-hematin formation inhibition, Values are presented as mean \pm SEM (n=3). *p<0.05, $\p<0.001$ vs control using Mann-Whitney test. \end{split}$$

Plants	IC _{so} (µg/mL)									
Cell lines	A549			MCF7			PC3			
Solvents	HEX	DCM	MeOH	HEX	DCM	MeOH	HEX	DCM	MeOH	
COL	> 250	206.1 ± 14.4*	217.2 ± 39.5*	51.4 ± 11.0 ^β	84.4 ± 18.4 ^β	> 250	45.5 ± 62.4 ^β	123.6± 1.8*	50.0± 2.6 ^β	
COBSB	> 250	> 250	> 250	31.6 ± 9.0*	> 250	> 250	71.7 ± 1.5 ^β	59.8 ± 3.0 ^β	> 250	
ECSB	28.1±6.3*	111.0 ± 20.5*	> 250	40.3 ± 5.2 ^β	21.6 ± 0.9*	> 250	204.7 ± 17.7*	32.2 ± 6.1 ^β	> 250	
PMF	32.5 ± 8.5 ^β	53.0 ± 8.8 ^β	> 250	185.8 ± 5.5*	61.1 ± 2.9 ^β	> 250	139.6± 21.2*	66.2 ± 2.0 ^β	> 250	
PML	> 250	> 250	> 250	> 250	146.2 ± 9.8*	> 250	> 250	147.5 ± 3.7*	> 250	
PMSB	> 250	177.1±612.5*	> 250	89.0 ± 2.9 ^γ	> 250	> 250	63.0 ± 2.5 ^β	217.3± 8.6*	> 250	
RHL	127.8 ± 38.6*	113.8 ± 3.5*	> 250	> 250	40.3 ± 6.6 ^β	> 250	> 250	$64.4 \pm 4.3^{\beta}$	> 250	
ZLF	55.3 ± 6.8 ^β	67.3 ± 9.2 ^β	> 250	84.7 ± 5.8 ^γ	174.0 ± 18.2*	> 250	49.5 ± 9.0 ^β	58.1 ± 5.1 ^β	> 250	
ZZF	$105.0 \pm 6.8^{\gamma}$	206.6 ± 11.5*	> 250	43.6± 6.5 ^β	54.4 ± 8.5 ^γ	> 250	10.6 ± 1.0*	93.2 ± 4.4 ^γ	> 250	
Doxo	1.3 ± 0.3*			0.7 ± 0.1*			16.4 ± 2.9*			

Table 3. Cell growth inhibitory activities (IC_{50}) of the different extracts against A549, MCF7 and PC3 cancer cells

F: fruits; L: leaves; SB: stem bark. HEX: *n*-hexane; DCM: dichloromethane; MeOH: methanol. Doxo = Doxorubicin. IC_{50} : concentration of extract causing 50% of cells death. Values are presented as mean ± SEM (n=3). *p<0.05, °p<0.01, ^βp<0.001, ^γp<0.0001 vs control using Mann-Whitney test.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the UK Department for International Development through the award of a Commonwealth PhD Scholarship [CMCS-2015-107], the European Regional Development Fund-Project ENOCH grant [No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000868] and The World Academy of Sciences (TWAS) research grant (20-204 RG/CHE/AF/AC_I – FR3240314150).

STATEMENT OF ETHICS

None needed

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Stephanie T. Guetchueng investigation, writing-original draft, writing-reviewing and editing, data curation; Lutfun Nahar supervision, writing-original draft, data curation, writing-reviewing and editing; Kenneth James Ritchie supervision, writing-reviewing and editing, data curation; Fyaz M.D. Ismail supervision, writing-reviewing and editing, data curation; Andrew R. Evans writing-reviewing and editing, data curation; Alembert T. Tchinda writing-original draft; writing-reviewing and editing; Arrey P. Tarkang writing-original draft; writing-reviewing and editing, data curation; Emmanuel N. Nnanga writing-reviewing and editing; Satyajit D. Sarker supervision, writing-original draft, writing-reviewing and editing, data curation

REFERENCES

1. Talapko J, Skrlec I, Alebic T, Jukic M, Vcev A. Malaria: the past and the present. Microorganisms. 2019; 7(6): 179. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7060179.

2. WHO, 2020. World Malaria report 2020. Twenty years of global progress and challenges. Geneva, Worrld Health Organisation. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

3. Menard D, Dondorp A. Antimalarial dug resistance: a treat to malaria elimination. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. 2017; 7(7): a025619. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a025619

4. Dascombe MJ, Drew MGB, Evans PG, Ismail FMD. Rational design strategies for the development of synthetic quinoline and acridine based antimalarials, in Frontiers in Drug Design and Discovery: Structure-Based Drug Design in the 21st Century. Bentham Science Publishers; 2007. p. 559-609.

5. Peters WJ. The evolution of tafenoquine-antimalarial for a new millennium? J R Soc Med. 1999; 92(7): 345-352. https://doi.org/10.1177/014107689909200705

6. Ashley EA, Pyae-Phyo A, Woodrow CJ. Malaria. Lancet. 2018; 391(10130): 1608-1621. htt-ps://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30324-6.

7. Reyburn H. New WHO guidelines for the treatment of malaria. BMJ. 2010;340:c2637-c2637. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2637

8. Tyagi RK, Gleeson PJ, Arnold L, Tahar R, Prieur E, Decosterd L, et al. High-level artemisininresistance with quinine co-resistance emerges in *P. falciparum* malaria under in vivo artesunate pressure. BMC Med. 2018; 16(1): 181. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-018-1156-x.

9. WHO. Compendium of WHO malaria guidance-prevention, diagnosis, treatment, surveillance and elimination. Geneva: World Health Organisation. Licence: CC BY-NY-SA 3.0 IGO, 2019.

10. Ismail FMD. Nature's armamentarium against malaria: antimalarials and their semisynthetic derivatives, in Ullah, M. F.; Ahmad, A. (Eds.), From "Nutraceuticals and Natural Product Derivatives", 2019. P. 333-373.

11. Ismail FMD, Nahar L, Zhang KY, Sarker SD. Antiparasitic natural products, in Sarker, S.D., Nahar, L. (Eds.), Annual Report of Medicinal Chemistry. Academic Press, Volume 55, 2020. p. 115-51.

12. Gorka AP, De Dios A, Roepe PD. Quinoline drug-heme interactions and implications for antimalarial cytostatic versus cytocidal activities. J Med Chem. 2013; 56(13): 5231-5246. https:// doi.org/10.1021/jm400282d.

13. Kapishnikov S, Hempelmann E, Elbaum M, Als-Nielsen J, Leiserowitz L. Malaria Pigment Crystals: The Achilles' Heel of the Malaria Parasite. ChemMedChem 2021; 10: 1002/ cmdc.202000895. https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.202000895

14. Hanssen E, Knoechel C, Klonis N, Abu-Bakar N, Deed S, LeGros M, et al. Cryo transmission X-ray imaging of the malaria parasite, *P. falciparum*. J Struct Biol. 2011; 173(1): 161-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2010.08.013.

15. Lee MSJ, Igari Y, Tsukui T, Ishii KJ, Cobana C. Current status of synthetic hemozoin adjuvant: A preliminary safety evaluation. Vaccine. 2016; 34(18): 2055-2061. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.064.

16. Adjanohoun J, Aboubakar N, Dramane K, Ebot M, Ekpere J, Enow-Orock E, et al. Traditional medicine and pharmacopoeia: contribution to ethnobotanical and floristic studies in Cameroon. OUA/STRC: Lagos, 301, 1996. 17. Betti J. An ethnobotanical and floristical study of medicinal plants among the Baka Pygmies in the periphery of the Ipassa- Biosphere Reserve, Gabon. European J Med Plants. 2013; 3: 174-205 https://doi.org/10.9734/EJMP/2013/2550.

18. Happi GM, Kouam SF, Talontsi FM, Lamshöft M, Zühlke S, Bauer J, et al. Antiplasmodial and cytotoxic triterpenoids from the bark of the Cameroonian medicinal plant *Entandrophragma congoënse*. J Nat Prod. 2015; 78(4): 604-614. https://doi.org/10.1021/np5004164.

19. Noumi E, Nforbi ANN. Phytomedicines of Sickle Cell Crisis in Mezam Division, Cameroon: Preventive and curative cares. Br J Pharm. Res. 2014;4:787-805. https://doi.org/10.9734/ BJPR/2014/4959.

20. Tabuti J. *Zanthoxylum leprieurii* Guill. and Perr., in: Schmelzer, G.H., Gurib-Fakim, A. (Eds.), Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 11(2). Fondation PROTA, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2016. p. 715-716.

21. Yondo J, Fomekong GID, Kontangui MC, Wabo JP, Tankoua OF, Kulate, et al. *In vitro* antioxidant potential and phytochemical constituents of three Cameroonian medicinal plants used to manage parasitic diseases. Ann Nutr Metab. 2009; 55: 648-657.

22. Guetchueng ST, Nahar L, Ritchie KJ, Ismail FM, Dempster NM, Sarker, S.D. Justicialosides A and B two glycosylated flavones from *Ruspolia Hypocrateriformis* (Acanthaceae). Phytochem Lett. 2019; 31: 101-103

23. Afshar FH, Delazar A, Janneh O, Nazemiyeh H, Pasdaran A, Nahar L, et al. Evaluation of antimalarial, free-radical-scavenging and insecticidal activities of *Artemisia scoparia* and *A. spicigera*, Asteraceae. Rev Bras Farmacogn. 2011; 21(6): 986-90. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-695X2011005000144.

24. Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983; 65: 55-63. https://doi. org/10.1016/0022-1759(83)90303-4.

25. Ekor M. The growing use of herbal medicines: issues relating to adverse reactions and challenges in monitoring safety. Front. Pharmacol. 2014; 4: 177. doi: https://10.3389/fphar.2013.00177.26. Beutler JA. Natural Products as a Foundation for Drug Discovery. Curr Protoc Pharmacol. 2009; 46(1): 9-11. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpph.67.

27. McRae J, Yang Q, Crawford R, Palombo E. Review of the methods used for isolating pharmaceutical lead compounds from traditional medicinal plants. Environmentalist. 2007; 27(1): 165-74. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10669-007-9024-9.

28. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural Products as Sources of New Drugs over the Nearly Four Decades from 01/1981 to 09/2019. J Nat Prod. 2020; 83(3): 770-803. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.9b01285.

29. Fabricant DS, Farnsworth NR. The value of plants used in traditional medicine for drug discovery. Environ. Health Perspect. 2001;109(suppl 1):69-75. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s169.

30. Atanasov AG, Zotchev SB, Dirsch VM, Supuran CT. International Natural Product Sciences Taskforce, Natural products in drug discovery: advances and opportunities. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2021; 20(3): 200-216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-020-00114-z.

31. Suntar I. Importance of ethnopharmacological studies in drug discovery: role of medicinal plants. Phytochem Rev. 2020; 19: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-019-09629-9.

32. Seidel V. Initial and bulk extraction, in: Sarker, S.D., Latif, Z., Gray, A.I. (Eds.), Natural Products Isolation. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, New Jersey, 2005. p. 27-46.

33. Malebo HM, Tanja W, Cal M, Swaleh SAM, Omolo MO, Hassanali A, et al. Antiplasmodial, anti-trypanosomal, anti-leishmanial and cytotoxicity activity of selected Tanzanian medicinal plants. Tanzan. J Health Res. 2010; 11(4). https://doi.org/10.4314/thrb.v11i4.50194.

34. Mbatchi S, Mbatchi B, Banzouzi J, Bansimba T, Ntandou GN, Ouamba JM. *In vitro* antiplasmodial activity of 18 plants used in Congo Brazzaville traditional medicine. J Ethnopharmacol. 2006; 104(1-2): 168-174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2005.08.068.

35. Tajuddeen N, Van Heerden FR. Antiplasmodial natural products: an update. Malar J. 2019; 18(1): 1-62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-3026-1.

36. Coronado LM, Nadovich CT, Spadafora C. Malarial hemozoin: from target to tool. BBA-General Subjects. 2014;1840(6):2032-41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2014.02.009.

37. Adongo DW, Mante PK, Kukuia K, Benneh CK, Biney RP, Boakye-Gyasi E. Toxicological Assessment of *Pseudospondias microcarpa* (A. Rich.) Engl. Hydroethanolic Leaf Extract in Rats: Haematological, Biochemical, and Histopathological Studies. Sci. World J. 2018; 4256782.