

LJMU Research Online

Shelley, J, Boddy, L, Knowles, Z, Stewart, C, Frost, F, Nazareth, D, Walshaw, M and Dawson, E

Physical activity assessment and vascular function in adults with cystic fibrosis and their non-CF peers

https://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/18640/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Shelley, J ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4351-0361, Boddy, L ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7477-4389, Knowles, Z ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1129-2275, Stewart, C ORCID logoORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8104-4819. Frost. F.

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Physical activity assessment and vascular function in adults with Cystic
2	fibrosis and their non-CF peers.
3	
4	James Shelley ^{1,2} ^T , Lynne M Boddy ¹ , Zoe R Knowles ¹ , Claire E Stewart ¹ , Freddy
5	Frost ^{2,3} , Dilip Nazareth ^{2,3} , Martin J Walshaw ^{2,3} & Ellen A Dawson ^{1*} .
6	1. Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores
7	University, Liverpool, L3 3AF, United Kingdom.
8	2. Adult Cystic Fibrosis Centre, Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Department
9	of Respiratory Medicine, Liverpool, L14 3PE, United Kingdom.
10	3. Institute of Infection and Global health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L7
11	3EA, United Kingdom.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	*Corresponding Author
24	Dr James Shelley
25	Email: <u>J.snelley1@lancaster.ac.uk</u>
26	+Current offiliation is Langester Medical Cabael Langester University Langester
27	
Zð	United Kingdom.
29	
30	

Physical activity assessment and vascular function in adults with Cystic fibrosis and their non-CF peers.

33

34 ABSTRACT

An understanding of physical activity (PA) and related health benefits remains limited in adults with Cystic Fibrosis (CF). Raw acceleration data metrics may improve the quality of assessment and further this understanding. The study aimed to compare PA between people with CF (pwCF) and non-CF peers and examine associations between PA, vascular function and health outcome measures.

40 PA was assessed in 62 participants (31 pwCF) using ActiGraph accelerometers.

41 Vascular function (a marker of cardiovascular disease risk) was assessed using flow-

42 mediated dilatation (FMD) in sub-groups of pwCF (n=12) and matched controls.

Average Euclidean norm minus one (ENMO) (total PA) was significantly lower (p = 0.005) in pwCF (35.09 ± 10.60mg), than their non-CF peers (44.62 ± 13.78mg). PwCF had PA profiles (intensity gradient) indicative of more time in lower intensity activity (-

46 2.62 \pm 0.20, -2.37 \pm 0.23).

Vigorous activity was positively associated with lung function ($r_s = 0.359$) and Quality of Life (r = 0.412). There were no significant differences (p = 0.313) in FMD% between

49 pwCF (5.29 \pm 2.76%) and non-CF peers (4.34 \pm 1.58%) and no associations with PA.

PwCF engaged in less moderate-to-vigorous PA and demonstrated a steeper PA
profile than their non-CF peers.

Highlights: Adults with Cystic Fibrosis engage in less moderate to vigorous physical
activity (PA) than their non-CF peers. Average ENMO and intensity gradient metrics
provide a comprehensive PA profile that may allow tailored PA advice for adults with
CF.

56

Keywords: cardiovascular; exercise; respiratory disease; endothelial function; flow mediated dilatation; FMD

59 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Physical activity (PA) is of clear benefit for the general population [1], and a small 60 increase in PA is positively associated with clinically relevant changes in health 61 outcomes in a number of clinical and/or inactive populations [1]. Sedentary behaviour 62 (SB) includes activities in a sitting or reclined posture with low energy expenditure (1.0-63 1.5 metabolic equivalents) and is not merely the absence of PA, it is therefore possible 64 for individuals to engage in sufficient levels of PA whilst also engaging in a high volume 65 of sedentary behaviours [2]. The association between SB and increased risk for 66 cardiometabolic disease and mortality, independently from PA, is well documented [2]. 67 68 There is less evidence available regarding the health associations of PA in individuals with Cystic Fibrosis (CF) [3], though PA has been associated with beneficial effects on 69 lung function [4], hospitalisation frequency [5] and guality of life (QoL) [3]. Despite 70 these potential benefits of PA, beyond those in the general population, there are 71 currently no recommended guidelines for PA devised specifically for individuals with 72 CF [6], or evidence to demonstrate a requirement for such guidelines [3]. Additionally, 73 74 there is no consensus regarding the monitoring or reporting of PA or SB in this population [7]. 75

Understanding of PA-health associations in adults with CF remains limited due to the 76 variety of PA assessment methods and outcome measures reported in the literature 77 [8]. Accelerometry is the most widely used method for the assessment of PA in adults 78 with CF [8]. Traditionally, using accelerometry to quantify PA relied on device specific 79 proprietary algorithms to collect, process, filter, and scale raw signal data to produce 80 device-specific counts [9]. Recent advancements in accelerometer technology have 81 resulted in accelerometers capable of collecting and exporting raw acceleration data, 82 which allows researchers greater control of data processing. It has therefore been 83 proposed that standardised raw data analysis techniques should be utilised with 84 meaningful, interpretable and comparable outputs reported [10]. Proposed outcomes 85 include a measure of the volume of PA (average acceleration, corrected for gravity) 86 and the intensity gradient, which provide an overall PA profile for individuals, rather 87 than focussing on minutes of activity spent in discrete intensity categories alone [11]. 88 These novel metrics have not yet been applied in a CF population and may offer the 89 potential to improve the quality of PA assessment and increase understanding of PA 90 in CF. 91

Whilst cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality in Europe 92 (accounting for 45% of all deaths) [12], it is uncommon in individuals with CF and 93 typically secondary to severe pulmonary disease [13]. However, with increased life 94 expectancy, individuals with CF have greater exposure to traditional CVD risk factors 95 including ageing, diabetes and metabolic disturbances [14]. Furthermore, CF is also 96 associated with chronic inflammation, altered fatty acid metabolism and abnormal lipid 97 profiles which may pose even further risk of CVD [15] [16]. Endothelial (dys)function, 98 assessed using flow-mediated dilatation (FMD), is a strong predictor of future 99 100 cardiovascular events [17] and is evident in young people with CF despite preserved lung function and exercise capacity [18]. The relationship between PA and vascular 101 function is yet to be explored in individuals with CF, however PA may be associated 102 with reduced CV risk, not only through the modification of traditional risk factors but 103 also via direct effects on vasculature [19]. 104

105

106 **2. AIMS**

The primary aim of the current research was to compare device-based PA assessment in adults with CF to their non-CF peers. The secondary aim was to determine the association between PA and vascular function in a sub-sample of participants. In addition to this, the relationships between device-based PA assessment and lung function, quality of life and self-reported PA were explored.

112

113 **3. METHODS**

114 3.1. Participants

Ethical approval was granted by a local National Health Service (NHS) Health 115 Research Authority [17/NW/0360] and Liverpool John Moores University 116 [18/SPS/034]. Adults with CF were recruited from outpatient CF clinics at the regional 117 adult CF Centre (n=340). Participants for the non-CF control group were recruited via 118 advertisements within the University. All participants were screened for eligibility 119 (Figure 1) and invited to attend testing at their clinic (CF) or the university (non-CF), 120 during which informed written consent was obtained and all procedures were carried 121 out as outlined below. Vascular function was assessed in a sub-group of individuals 122

with CF who were then matched on sex, age and ethnicity with a non-CF controlparticipant.

125 3.2. Data collection

126 3.2.1. Health outcome measures

Pulmonary function was assessed according to American Thoracic Society (ATS) 127 /European Respiratory Society (ERS) standard operating procedures [20] using a 128 standard laboratory based spirometer (Spirostik, Geratherm, Germany) or a portable 129 handheld spirometer (Micro Medical Ltd, Rochester, UK) for the CF and non-CF 130 groups respectively. Height and body weight were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm 131 and 0.1 kg respectively using a digital scale and stadiometer (Seca, Birmingham, UK), 132 with body-mass index (BMI) subsequently calculated (weight/height²). Blood pressure 133 was measured using an Omron M2 (Omron Healthcare, Hoofddorp, Netherlands) or 134 Dinamap Pro 300V2 (Dinamap, GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) automated 135 sphygmomanometer, placed around the left upper arm, for the CF and non-CF groups 136 respectively. Medical notes were reviewed to obtain microbiology status, current 137 medications and genotype for participants with CF. 138

139

140 3.2.2. Quality of life

Health related quality of life was assessed using the Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R). The CFQ-R is a validated disease-specific patient-reported outcome tool providing assessment of QoL and health status, covering a range of physical, emotional and social factors [21]. To control for a confounding influence of QoL on PA, QoL was also assessed in the non-CF group using the EQ-5D-5L health questionnaire, which provides a simple descriptive profile and a single index value for health status [22].

148

149 3.2.3. Physical activity

All participants were asked to wear an ActiGraph Link GT9x tri-axial accelerometer (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL) on their non-dominant wrist, during waking hours for seven consecutive days. The device was initialised to record data from midnight on the datefollowing their visit, at 30Hz. The device displayed a 24hr clock only.

The Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPA-Q) was already used as part of routine clinical care and was therefore used alongside the monitors to compare selfreported PA and SB with the device-based measure. The GPA-Q was also used in the non-CF group to allow for comparison of self-reported PA between groups. The GPA-Q comprises of 16 questions collecting information on PA participation in three domains (at work, travel and recreational activities) as well as SB [23].

160

161 3.2.4. Vascular function

162 Vascular function was assessed in sub-groups of both CF and non-CF groups using Flow Mediated Dilatation (FMD). All participants were invited be take part in both the 163 PA assessment and vascular assessment, however the vascular assessment required 164 participants to arrive fasted and extended the length of their routine clinic appointment. 165 A proportion of participants therefore opted out of the sub-group, participating in the 166 main study group only. The reason given (if any) for opting out of the sub-group was 167 primarily a lack of time owing to the additional burden of the test and in some cases 168 participants did not want to be fasted for their clinic visit. FMD is a non-invasive 169 assessment of nitric-oxide dependent endothelial function [24] and has recently been 170 shown to be reliable and repeatable in individuals with CF [25]. Participants were 171 asked to arrive for testing having fasted for 8 hours and avoided vigorous activity for 172 24 hours, all participants were non-smokers. In accordance with guidelines, after 10 173 minutes rest in the supine position ultrasound images of the brachial artery were 174 captured to measure artery diameter and blood flow velocity [24]. A Hokanson cuff 175 (Hokanson, Bellevue, WA) placed around the participants forearm was inflated to 176 suprasystolic pressure (>220 mmHg) to induce ischemia. Following the 5-minute 177 period of downstream-occlusion, the cuff was released, resulting in increased blood 178 flow velocity through the brachial artery. Changes in artery diameter and blood flow 179 were then recorded for a further 3 minutes. 180

181 **3.3. Data analysis**

182 3.3.1. Physical activity data

ActiGraph data were downloaded using ActiLife (version 6.13.3), saved in raw format 183 as .gt3x files and converted to .csv files for data processing. The raw ActiGraph data 184 files were processed in R (http://cran.r-project.org) using the GGIR package (version 185 1.9-0) which autocalibrated the raw triaxial accelerometer signals [26]. Signals were 186 then converted into gravity-corrected vector magnitude units, termed the Euclidean 187 188 norm minus one (ENMO) [27], which were expressed as the average ENMO values per 1 second epoch. Accelerometer wear time inclusion criteria were a minimum of 10 189 190 h day⁻¹, with non-wear estimated on the basis of the standard deviation and value range of each accelerometer axis, calculated for moving windows of 60 min with 15 191 min increments [27]. For each 15 min period detected as non-wear time over the valid 192 days, missing data were replaced by the mean value calculated from measurement 193 on other days at the same time of day [28]. Sleep logs were used to determine the 194 average waking period, which was used to standardise the analysis window at 08:52 195 196 - 23:45 to correct for sleep in all participants. Hildebrand et al.'s adult non-dominant wrist cut-points were used for classifying activity into sedentary time, light intensity PA 197 (LPA), moderate intensity PA (MPA), moderate-vigorous intensity PA (MVPA) and 198 199 vigorous intensity PA (VPA) [29]. The PA intensity gradient (IG) is a novel metric to describe the distribution of PA intensity, calculated from raw acceleration data [30]. To 200 calculate the IG, intensity (mg), classified using 25mg categories and time (mins) 201 accumulated at each intensity were log transformed and used to calculate a linear 202 regression for each participant (Figure 2). The R² value, gradient and constant were 203 used to describe individuals' PA profiles (IG) [30]. A lower gradient (steeper slope) 204 represents a PA profile reflecting more time spent in lower intensity activity, whereas 205 a higher gradient (shallower slope) represent a better profile with more time across the 206 range of intensity. 207

208

209 3.3.2. Questionnaires

GPA-Q data was manually cleaned and analysed to provide estimates for moderate,
vigorous and sedentary time, including travel, recreation and work domains as well as

calculating a total weekly metabolic equivalence (MET) value [23]. EQ-5D-5L was
analysed using the questionnaire specific scoring and analysis guidance to provide an
overall index for QoL [22].

215

216 3.3.3. Flow-Mediated Dilatation (FMD)

FMD was assessed in accordance with recent guidelines [24]. Assessment of brachial 217 artery diameter was done using custom edge-detection and wall-tracking software 218 [24]. Peak velocity was calculated from analysis of the Doppler signal. Duplex 219 ultrasound-derived velocity and diameter were used to calculate shear rate area under 220 the curve up to peak diameter. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using an allometric 221 approach was performed to analyse change in brachial artery diameter and estimate 222 mean difference in endothelial function between groups, adjusted for baseline 223 diameter to produce covariate-adjusted FMD% [31]. 224

225

226 3.3.4. Statistical analysis

227 Descriptive statistics are displayed as mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. 228 Independent t-tests were used to compare baseline characteristic between groups 229 (Table 1). Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and multivariate analysis of covariance 230 (MANCOVA) were used to compare variables between groups and to control for 231 covariates (age and sex). Pearson's correlation analyses were performed to explore 232 the relationship between variables and Spearman's correlation were performed where 233 the assumptions of normal distribution were violated.

234 **4. RESULTS**

235 **4.1. Baseline characteristics**

The groups were well matched for age, height and BMI but lung function was significantly lower (p <0.001) in individuals with CF when compared to their non-CF peers (Table 1).

240	Table 1.	Participant	characteristic	for whole	group.
					5

	CF (n=31)	Non-CF (n=31)	P value
Clinical characteristic		1	
Male: Female	22:9	18:13	
Age, y	29 ± 6	28 ± 9	0.464
Body weight, kg	68.5 ± 15.7	74.5 ± 19.4	0.193
Height, cm	171.6 ± 10.5	172.2 ± 9.4	0.810
BMI, kg/m²	23.1 ± 4.3	24.7 ± 4.7	0.153
CFRD (with:without)	18:13		
Lung Function			
FEV1, L	2.56 ± 1.06	4.31 ± 1.08	<0.001
FEV ₁ , % predicted	66 % ± 23	113% ± 18	<0.001
FVC, L	3.74 ± 1.18	5.38 ± 1.39	<0.001
FVC, % predicted	80% ± 20	121% ±17	<0.001
Genotype			
Homozygous ∆F508 (n, %)	15, 48%		
Heterozygous ∆F508 (n, %)	29, 94%		
Other Alleles (n, %)	2, 6%	1	
Microbiology			
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa (n, %)	17 (55%)		
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa (LES+)* (n, %)	3 (10%)	1	
Staphylococcus aureus (n, %)	5 (16%)	1	
Other (n, %)	6 (19%)		
Employment			
Working full or part time (n, %)	19 (61%)	21 (68%)	0.596
Attending school outside of the home	2 (6%)	10 (32%)	0.010
Not attending school or working due to health	7 (23%)	0 (0%)	0.005
Not working for other reasons	3 (10%)	0 (0%)	0.066

Values are displayed as mean±SD or n(%). P-value refers Pearson Chi-square for categorical data and
independent t-tests for all other variables. BMI indicates body mass index; CRFD, Cystic Fibrosis
related diabetes; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, Forced vital capacity; *LES+,
Liverpool Epidemic strain of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa.

245

246 **4.2. Physical activity & sedentary time**

Device-based PA assessment was significantly different between groups when controlling for age and sex (p < 0.001). Separate univariate analysis of variance indicated no significant difference between groups for wear time (p = 0.881), total PA (p = 0.741), sedentary time (p = 0.551), or light PA (p = 0.097), but all other variables (average ENMO, MVPA, MPA, VPA) were significantly lower in individuals with CF when compared to their non-CF peers (p <0.05) (Table 2).

PA intensity gradient was significantly different between groups when controlling for age and sex (p = <0.001). Differences between groups were significant (p < 0.05) for each of the three variables used to describe the PA profile (Table 2). Adults with CF had a steeper gradient and lower constant representing a PA profile, reflecting more time spent in lower intensity activity and less time across the range of intensities when compared to their non-CF peers (Figure 2).

When assessed using the GPA-Q questionnaire there was no significant difference in self-reported PA between groups when controlling for age and sex (p = 0.089). Univariate analysis of variance highlighted significantly less PA reported in the travel domain in individuals with CF when compared to their non-CF peers (p = 0.004) but no other significant differences were observed between groups using the GPA-Q (Table 3).

Higher levels of device-based VPA were positively correlated with lung function (Table
4). Higher device-based MVPA and mean ENMO values were also positively
correlated with FEV₁%, but no other measures of lung function (Table 4). Device-based
sedentary time assessment was not significantly correlated with any measures of lung
function.

Pearson's and Spearman's correlation analyses were used to assess the relationship 270 between device-based and self-reported PA. Self-reported sedentary time and MPA 271 were significantly correlated with device-based sedentary time and MPA, r = 0.372 (p 272 = 0.003), r = 0.272 (p = 0.034), respectively. There was no significant correlation 273 between the remaining item assessed using the GPA-Q (VPA) and device-based VPA, 274 $r_{\rm s}$ 0.178 (p = 0.171). There were no significant correlations observed when analysing 275 the CF group separately (all p > 0.05). Device-based and self-reported sedentary time 276 were correlated for the non-CF group when analysed separately (r = 0.498, p = 0.004), 277 but device-based and self-reported MPA and VPA were not significantly correlated (p 278 >0.05). 279

	CF (n=31)	Non-CF (n=31)	P value	95% CI for difference
Wear time (hrs.day)	13.71 ± 0.82	13.67 ± 0.73	0.881	-0.38 - 0.44
ENMO	35.09 ± 10.60	44.62 ± 13.78	0.005	-16.103.04
Intensity gradient	-2.62 ± 0.20	-2.37 ± 0.23	<0.001	-0.380.12
Constant (y intercept)	14.93 ± 0.63	13.99 ± 1.13	0.001	-0.40 – 1.51
R ²	0.92 ± 0.02	0.87 ± 0.04	<0.001	0.03 – 0.06
MVPA (mins·day)	86.02 ± 36.21	114.12 ± 39.34	0.009	-46.486.89
Total PA (mins⋅day)	323.40 ± 76.45	330.59 ± 76.98	0.741	-46.59 – 33.32
Sedentary time (mins.day)	557.92 ± 80.74	543.28 ± 89.57	0.551	-31.40 – 58.23
Light PA (mins.day)	237.38 ± 48.88	216.48 ± 48.98	0.097	-3.73 – 43.83
Moderate PA (mins.day)	82.53 ± 34.22	106.16 ± 36.93	0.021	-40.583.44
Vigorous PA (mins.day)	3.50 ± 3.57	7.96 ± 6.01	0.001	-7.292.07

Table 2. Physical activity variables assessed using accelerometry.

Values are displayed as mean±SD. P-value refers univariate analysis of variance for all variables.
 ENMO indicates Euclidean norm minus one; MVPA, moderate-vigorous physical activity; PA, physical

284 activity.

285	Table 3. Physical activity	v variables assessed using self-report (GPA-Q) methods.
	, , ,	

	CF (n=31)	Non-CF (n=31)	P value	95% CI for difference
Vigorous activity at work	1.55 ± 3.68	0.16 ± 11.20	0.071	-0.12 – 2.67
(hr⋅week)				
Moderate activity at work	6.58 ± 11.20	4.78 ± 10.85	0.364	-3.05 – 8.19
(hr⋅week)				
Activity travelling	1.86 ± 3.43	4.66 ± 3.76	0.004	-4.68 0.93
(hr⋅week)				
Vigorous recreational activity	3.15 ± 3.97	3.99 ± 6.10	0.436	-3.71 – 1.62
(hr⋅week)				
Moderate recreational activity	2.76 ± 4.18	3.48 ± 3.19	0.330	-2.84 – 0.97
(hr·week)				
Sedentary time	38.27 ± 21.78	46.85 ± 20.22	0.079	-19.63 – 1.09
(hr⋅week)				
Total vigorous activity	4.70 ± 6.18	4.15 ± 6.22	0.885	-2.97 – 3.43
(hr·week)				
Total moderate activity	11.20 ± 12.99	12.92 ± 11.79	0.714	-7.54 – 5.20
(hr·week)				
Total weekly METs	82.41 ± 87.71	84.92 ± 73.89	0.894	-45.30 – 39.65
(hr·week)				

Values are displayed as mean±SD. P refers to univariate analysis of variance for all variables. MET
 indicates, Metabolic equivalence.

288

289

Table 4 – Correlations between device-based physical activity assessment and lung function.

		FEV ₁ (L)		FEV	1 (% predicte	ed)		FVC (L)		FVC (% predicted)			
	r	Sig	95% CI	r	Sig	95% CI	r	Sig	95% CI	r	Sig	95% CI	
MEAN	<i>r</i> = 0.204	<i>p</i> = 0.119	[-0.038,	<i>r</i> = 0.308	<i>p</i> = 0 .017 *	[0.078,	<i>r</i> = 0.145	<i>p</i> = 0.269	[-0.087,	<i>r</i> = 0.278	<i>p</i> = 0.031	[0.051,	
ENMO			0.436]			0.527]			0.369]			0.489]	
MVPA	<i>r</i> _s 0.170	<i>p</i> = 0.195	[-0.087,	<i>r</i> ₅ 0.267	p = 0.039 *	[0.050,	<i>r</i> ₅ 0.107	<i>p</i> = 0.415	[-0.150,	<i>r</i> ₅ 0.214	<i>p</i> = 0.100	[-0.019,	
			-0.415]			0.474]			0.358]			0.426]	
SED	<i>r</i> = -0.008	<i>p</i> = 0.952	[-0.296,	<i>r</i> = -0.130	<i>p</i> = 0.320	[-0.394,	r = 0.026	<i>p</i> = 0.843	[-0.259,	<i>r</i> = -0.111	<i>p</i> = 0.399	[-0.375,	
			0.275]			0.158]			0.310]			0.179]	
LIGHT	<i>r</i> = -0.242	<i>p</i> = 0.063	[-0.482,	<i>r</i> = -0.111	<i>p</i> = 0.397	[-0.378,	r = -0.255	<i>p</i> = 0. 049 *	[-0.467,	<i>r</i> = -0.104	<i>p</i> = 0.429	[-0.378,	
			0.010]			0.151]			-0.027]			0.160]	
MOD	<i>r</i> = 0.185	<i>p</i> = 0.156	[-0.035,	<i>r</i> = 0.270	<i>p</i> = 0. 037 *	[0.059,	<i>r</i> = 0.143	<i>p</i> = 0.277	[-0.081,	<i>r</i> = 0.261	<i>p</i> = 0. 044 *	[0.040,	
			0.397]			0.462]			0.369]			0.442]	
VIG	r _s 0.359	<i>p</i> = 0. 005 *	[0.101,	<i>r</i> _s 0.494	p < 0.001*	[0.258,	<i>r</i> _s 0.296	<i>p</i> = 0. 022 *	[0.045,	<i>r</i> ₅ 0.475	<i>p</i> < 0. 001 *	[0.236,	
			0.598]			0.684]			0.549]			0.677]	

*Indicates statistical significance (<0.05). Pearson's and Spearman's correlation analysis are displayed with
 [Bias corrected and accelerated Confidence Intervals].

295 **4.3. Vascular function**

Vascular function was assessed in a sub-group of adults with CF who were then 296 matched for sex, age and ethnicity with a non-CF control participant, of the fifteen 297 participants tested twelve were successfully matched a with non-CF control. There was 298 no significant difference in FMD% between groups, (p = 0.313). Separate univariate 299 analysis of variance revealed that baseline diameter (p = 0.008) and peak diameter (p300 = 0.012) were significantly lower in individuals with CF when compared to their non-301 CF peers. Diastolic blood pressure was also significantly higher in individuals with CF 302 when compared to their non-CF peers, although there was no significant difference in 303 304 FMD% change (p = 0.313), (Table 5).

FMD% was positively associated with age for the groups combined (r_s 0.460, p =0.027) and the CF group alone (r_s 0.618, p = 0.043) but not in the non-CF group when analysed separately. FMD% was significantly positively correlated with BMI in the CF group when analysed separately (r_s -0.645, p = 0.032) but not for the whole group or the non-CF group. FMD% was not significantly correlated with any other variable assessed in either group (all p > 0.05).

Higher baseline artery diameter was positively associated with lung function FEV₁ L (r= 0.445, p = 0.033), FVC L (r = 0.423, p = 0.044) and MVPA (r_s 0.502, p = 0.015) for the groups combined but not when analysed separately. Peak artery diameter was also positively associated with MVPA (r_s 0.548, p = 0.007) but not lung function (p > 0.05), (Table 6).

316	Table 5. Subject	ct characteristics	of sub-group with	vascular function	assessment.
			J		

	CE(n-12)	Non $CE(n-12)$	Mean	95% CI for	Byoluo	
	CF (n=12)	NON-CF (n=12)	difference	difference	P value	
Participant characteristic		ł				
Male: Female	10:2	10:2				
Age, y	28.5 ± 4.6	28.3 ± 4.1	0.25	-3.46 - 3.96	0.890	
Body weight, kg	68.4 ± 17.4	79.6 ± 21.4	-11.17	-27.73	0.176	
Height, cm	174.4 ± 9.1	175.8 ± 8.7	-1.37	-9.10	0.716	
BMI, kg/m ²	22.0 ± 3.9	25.4 ± 5.7	-3.4	-7.6 – 0.9	0.111	
FEV ₁ (L)	2.91 ± 1.3	4.84 ± 0.99	-1.92	-2.900.94	<0.001	
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	70 ± 27	117 ± 22	-47	-6826	<0.001	
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa (n, %)	7 (58%)					
Staphylococcus aureus (n, %)	3 (25 %)					
Other (n, %)	2 (17%)					
CFRD (with:without)	7:5					
Objectively assessed Physical a	activity	1				
Wear time (hrs.day)	13.80 ± 0.86	19.95 ± 0.61	-0.15	-0.78 - 0.48	0.626	
ENMO	34.21 ± 13.09	48.21 ± 17.85	-14.00	-27.50.75	0.039	
MVPA (mins.day)	83.19 ± 41.91	115.77 ± 43.76	-32.58	-68.86 - 3.70	0.076	
Total PA (mins⋅day)	302.90 ± 97.19	340.45 ± 77.88	-37.54	-112.3137.22	0.308	
Sedentary time (mins.day)	576.31 ± 108.25	534.60 ± 95.38	41.71	-44.74 - 128.17	0.327	
Light PA (mins⋅day)	219.71 ± 59.46	224.67 ± 51.65	-4.97	-52.17 – 42.24	0.829	
Moderate PA (mins.day)	79.44 ± 39.60	105.28 ± 37.58	-25.84	-58.53 – 6.85	0.115	
Vigorous PA (mins⋅day)	3.75 ± 3.08	10.49 ± 7.75	-6.74	-11.901.59	0.010	
Vascular function				1		
SBP (mm Hg)	125 ± 12	118 ± 12	8	-3 – 18	0.137	
DBP (mm Hg)	77 ± 8	66 ± 9	11	4 - 19	0.003	
Baseline diameter (mm)	3.54 ± 0.41	4.13 ± 0.56	-0.59	-1.010.17	0.008	
Peak diameter (mm)	3.73 ± 0.43	4.31 ± 0.60	-0.58	-1.030.14	0.012	
Diameter difference (mm)	0.19 ± 0.10	0.18 ± 0.07	0.01	-0.07 -0.08	0.873	
FMD%	5.29 ± 2.76	4.34 ± 1.58	0.95	-0.98 - 2.88	0.313	
Time to peak (sec)	44.12 ± 12.75	52.57 ± 10.14	-8.45	-18.23 – 1.33	0.087	
SRAUC	14902.89 ±	15660.86 ±	-757.971	-6520.430	0.782	
	8694.52	3356.24		5004.487		
Corrected FMD%	5.23	4.39	1.01	0.99-1.03	0.457	

317 Values are displayed as mean±SD. P-value refers to univariate analysis of variance. 'corrected FMD' refers to an

318 ANCOVA with baseline diameter as a covariate. BMI indicates body mass index; CRFD, Cystic Fibrosis related diabetes;

319 FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ENMO, Euclidean norm minus one; MVPA, moderate-vigorous physical

320 activity; PA, physical activity. FMD, flow-mediated dilatation (uncorrected); SRAUC, shear rate area under the curve.

	Age		В	MI	FE\	/1 L	FV	CL	EN	MO	M۷	ΈΑ	V	ig	М	od	Lię	ght	S	ed
FMD%	<i>r</i> _s = 0.460	*p = 0.027	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.281	<i>p</i> = 0.194	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.203	р = 0.354	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.180	<i>p</i> = 0.412	<i>r</i> _s = 0.089	р = 0.687	<i>r</i> _s = 0.165	<i>p</i> = 0.452	<i>r</i> _s = 0.039	<i>p</i> = 0.861	<i>r</i> _s = 0.135	<i>p</i> = 0.538	<i>r</i> _s = 0.172	<i>p</i> = 0.433	$r_{\rm s} = -$ 0.030	<i>p</i> = 0.893
Baseline diameter	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.324	<i>p</i> = 0.132	<i>r</i> _s = 0.631	* <i>p</i> = 0.001	r = 0.445	* <i>p</i> = 0.033	<i>r</i> = 0.423	* <i>p</i> = 0.044	r = 0.329	р= 0.125	<i>r</i> s 0.502	* <i>p</i> = 0.015	r = 0.296	<i>p</i> = 0.170	<i>r</i> _s = 0.481	* <i>p</i> = 0.020	r = - 0.097	<i>p</i> = 0.659	<i>r</i> = - 0.118	р = 0.593
Peak diameter	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.268	р= 0.217	r _s = 0.554	* <i>p</i> = 0.006	<i>r</i> = 0.410	р = 0.052	r = 0.387	<i>p</i> = 0.068	r = 0.358	<i>p</i> = 0.093	<i>r</i> s 0.548	*p = 0.007	r = 0.302	<i>p</i> = 0.161	<i>r</i> _s = 0.519	* <i>p</i> = 0.011	r = - 0.070	р = 0.752	<i>r</i> = - 0.134	<i>р</i> = 0.541
SBP	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.135	р= 0.538	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.080	<i>p</i> = 0.716	r = - 0.135	р = 0.538	r = - 0.067	р = 0.760	r = 0.004	р = 0.986	<i>r</i> s 0.193	<i>p</i> = 0.379	r = - 0.105	<i>p</i> = 0.633	<i>r</i> _s = 0.173	<i>p</i> = 0.430	r = - 0.093	р = 0.674	r = - 0.093	р= 0.675
DBP	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.101	р= 0.646	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.118	<i>p</i> = 0.593	r _s - 0.450	* <i>p</i> = 0.031	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.371	<i>p</i> = 0.082	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.323	<i>p</i> = 0.133	<i>r</i> _s - 0.165	<i>p</i> = 0.452	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.226	<i>p</i> = 0.299	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.167	<i>p</i> = 0.445	<i>r</i> _s = - 0.190	<i>р</i> = 0.386	<i>r</i> _s = 0.194	<i>р</i> = 0.376

Table 6 – Correlations between vascular function, physical activity and lung function.

323 Pearson's and Spearman's correlation analysis displayed, *Indicates statistical significance (<0.05).

324 4.4. Quality of life

The quality of life index, assessed using the EQ-5D-5L was 0.95 (±0.09) for the non-

326 CF group where a score of 1 represents no problems at all across 5 domains (mobility,

self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression) and a score of 0

328 indicating extreme problems.

Quality of life scores for the CF group are displayed in table 6. Device-based VPA was positively associated with scores for the 'physical' and 'role' domains (r = 0.412, p =

(r = 0.395, p = 0.038) respectively. Additionally, sedentary time was negatively

associated with the 'role' domain (r = -0.382, p = 0.045). There were no other

significant associations between PA and QoL (Table 7).

334

Table 7. Quality of life data for individuals with CF.

	Physical	Vitality	Emotion	Eating	Treatment Health		Social	Body	Role	Weight	Respiratory	Digest
					Burden	Perception		image				
Mear	60.0	52.7	74.2	80.8	53.9	51.1	62.2	64.8	67.1	63.3	57.0	83.1
SD	24.8	16.9	21.3	21.1	24.6	22.7	20.3	31.4	28.3	37.5	22.7	17.8

Values are displayed as mean±SD. Scoring across each domain ranges from 0-100, with higher scores
 indicating better health.

338 5. DISCUSSION

The aim of the current research was to compare levels of device-based PA 339 assessment in adults with CF to their non-CF peers and to determine the association 340 between PA and vascular function. Overall, adults with CF engaged in significantly 341 less MVPA than their non-CF peers. VPA in particular was positively associated with 342 lung function and QoL. Lower levels of sedentary time were associated with higher 343 QoL. Average ENMO (a measure of total PA) was significantly lower in adults with CF, 344 who also had a PA profile (intensity gradient) reflecting more time spent in lower 345 intensity activity and less time across the range of intensities when compared to non-346 CF peers. There were no significant differences in FMD between adults with CF and 347 their non-CF peers and no association between FMD and PA. 348

349 **5.1. Physical activity**

The average ENMO metric and the IG provide a comprehensive PA profile that may 350 allow tailored PA advice for individuals with CF without requiring CF specific PA cut-351 points to classify intensity, which are not yet available for adults with CF. The IG metric 352 is relatively independent of overall activity in comparison to traditional intensity 353 categories and is independently associated with health outcomes, highlighting the 354 potential relevance of the distribution of PA for individualised PA interventions [30]. 355 Normative values are not yet available and the metric is not compatible with current 356 PA guidelines. However, it can be calculated retrospectively using variables commonly 357 358 reported, which could allow for age- and sex-specific population-referenced percentiles to be generated [30]. This would enable comparison to normative values 359 and longitudinal tracking of PA [30] which could be advantageous in CF populations. 360 Data from a large scale population level assessment of PA, employing similar 361 methods, suggests that the levels of PA reported in the current study are broadly 362 comparable to the wider UK adult population. Average acceleration for 45-54 year olds 363 364 was 35.09 mg compared with 34.21 mg for individuals with CF in the current study, although the average age was lower (29 years old) and an average decline of 7.5% or 365 2.35 mg can be expected per decade [32]. Furthermore, a study which assessed PA 366 367 using raw acceleration data cut points in 43 adults with CF reported mean MVPA of 113.3 \pm 83.6 mins per day, which is higher than both CF (86.02 \pm 36.21) and non-CF 368 (114.12 ± 39.34) groups in the current study [33]. 369

Use of these methods may improve the quality of PA assessment in this population 370 and supports earlier research suggesting that individuals with CF engage in less 371 MVPA than their non-CF peers [34], despite engaging in similar amounts of LPA. 372 These differences were only evident when using device-based assessment methods 373 and were not present when using the self-report tool (GPA-Q). The GPA-Q provided 374 useful information relating to PA domains, highlighting that individuals with CF report 375 spending less time engaging in active transport than their non-CF peers. Interventions 376 promoting active travel have the potential to generate substantial health benefits [35] 377 and may therefore be of interest for future research. 378

The correlations between accelerometer assessed PA components and the GPA-Q were weak, particularly for VPA which is positively associated with lung function and

QoL. The GPA-Q correlated better with accelerometry for estimating sedentary time, 381 as such utilisation of this tool may be limited to assessment of sedentary time and 382 facilitating discussion around PA behaviour rather than accurately quantifying PA 383 levels. There are no studies that validate the use of the GPA-Q in individuals with CF, 384 consequently the GPA-Q should only be considered as a supplementary assessment 385 tool to use alongside accelerometry to provide context. The habitual estimation scale 386 is currently recommend for self-reported assessment of PA in individuals with CF [7], 387 though this tool was validated for use in adolescents [36] and it has subsequently been 388 389 suggested that the tool is not accurate enough to be used for individualised activity counselling in adolescents or adults [37]. 390

391 **5.2. Flow-mediated dilatation**

Given that previous research has demonstrated impaired FMD response in young 392 people with CF [18] it was somewhat surprising that no difference was observed 393 between groups in the current study. Paradoxically, the older participants with CF had 394 higher FMD% response than younger participants, which possibly results from a 395 selection bias where only relatively 'well' individuals with CF survive to later life. It is 396 also important to note that the confounding effect of pharmaceutical treatments was 397 not controlled for in the current research, the effects of which on FMD are not known. 398 Whilst there was no difference in FMD% change, baseline and peak artery diameter 399 400 were significantly lower in individuals with CF when compared to their matched non-401 CF peers. In addition, diastolic blood pressure was also higher in individuals with CF, although BP is within normal range for both groups. These findings may be indicative 402 403 of inward vascular remodelling [38]. FMD was not correlated with PA but was positively correlated with BMI. Low BMI is a marker of poorer outcome in CF, so it follows that 404 individuals with higher BMI may have less severe disease along with higher FMD. The 405 sub-group was also not sufficiently powered to explore difference between genotype 406 407 or Cystic Fibrosis Related Diabetes (CFRD) status.

408

409 **5.3.** Associations between PA and other variables

Increased total acceleration (average ENMO), VPA and MVPA were positivelyassociated with lung function, suggesting that higher levels of PA at moderate intensity

or greater may be associated with higher lung function, providing support for 412 interventions to promote PA in individuals with CF. Additionally, only VPA was 413 associated with improved QoL. This is in contrast to previous research which was 414 unable to find an association between MVPA and QoL, although change in PA was 415 positively associated with QoL [39]. The authors acknowledged that the accelerometer 416 data analysis and cut-offs for MVPA may have obscured the relationship between PA 417 and QoL [39]. In the current study, high levels of sedentary time were negatively 418 associated with QoL and interventions which aim to reduce sedentary time, regardless 419 420 of PA may also be of benefit for individuals with CF.

421 **5.4. Limitations**

The novel PA assessment methods used in the current research may have limited 422 clinical application owing to the cost of accelerometers and the level of expertise and 423 time required for data analysis [40], as such these methods may be more appropriate 424 as research tool at present. Sedentary behaviour is categorised by posture (sitting or 425 reclining) and low energy expenditure [41]. The assessment methods employed in the 426 current study measured acceleration (movement), therefore sedentary time was 427 determined by low or no movement and not by determining posture. A recent method, 428 termed the Sedentary Sphere makes it possible to identify, analyse and visualise 429 posture from wrist-worn accelerometry data [42], which may improve the assessment 430 431 of sedentary behaviour in future research. Additionally, sleep duration was determined using a self-report diary. Given the good wear time and compliance evident in the 432 current study it may be feasible to employ 24-hour wear protocols in future studies, 433 434 which would allow for sleep analysis and the determination of a full 24-hour movement profile. 435

Exercise capacity was not assessed as part of this study. Exercise capacity is known to be an independent predictor of mortality [43] and is also associated with lung function [4] in individuals with CF and could therefore be of significance in relation to both PA and FMD. Exploring the relationship between PA and exercise capacity may be beneficial in view of understanding the nature of exercise intolerance seen in CF, which is likely a consequence of inactivity, pulmonary limitation and impaired skeletal muscle function [44].

Participants were tested at different locations and whilst the same ultrasound machine 443 was used different blood pressure monitors and spirometers were used which may 444 have resulted in some variation between groups. Vascular function was only assessed 445 using FMD, future research would benefit from including additional risk factors for CVD 446 including analysis of cholesterol (high and low -density lipoproteins), triglycerides, 447 glucose, and high- sensitivity C-reactive protein to provide a more comprehensive 448 profile of cardiovascular health. Given the indications of adapted vascular structure it 449 may also be of interest to assess intima-media thickness (IMT) in addition to FMD to 450 451 quantify and track the atherosclerotic process. Furthermore, both the overall group and sub-group consisted of predominately male participants and were not sufficiently 452 powered to explore any potential sex differences. Finally, the researcher performing 453 all FMDs also conducted the analysis and was therefore not blinded for the analysis. 454

455

456 6. CONCLUSION

Adults with CF engaged in less moderate to vigorous PA and demonstrated a PA 457 profile reflecting more time spent in lower intensity activity and less time across the 458 459 range of intensities than their non-CF peers. Analysis of raw acceleration data, reporting the average ENMO and IG metrics can provide meaningful, interpretable and 460 comparable analysis of PA in adults with CF. Higher levels of PA, particularly VPA 461 were associated with positive health outcomes in CF, including lung function and QoL. 462 Further research is required to explore vascular function in individuals with CF and 463 provide a more comprehensive understanding of cardiometabolic risk in this 464 population. 465

466

467 7. FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Raw acceleration data can be used for the analysis of PA in adults with CF, with average ENMO and the IG reported, although additional research utilising these methods is warranted in this population. Clinicians should continue to support adults with CF to engage in PA above moderate intensity and to reduce their sedentary time, in order to benefit lung function and QoL.

473 8. REFERENCES

- R. E. Rhodes, I. Janssen, S. S. D. Bredin, D. E. R. Warburton, and A. Bauman, "Physical activity:
 Health impact, prevalence, correlates and interventions," *Psychology and Health*, vol. 32, no.
 8, pp. 942–975, 2017, doi: 10.1080/08870446.2017.1325486.
- 477 [2] N. Owen, G. N. Healy, C. E. Matthews, and D. W. Dunstan, "Too much sitting: the population
 478 health science of sedentary behavior.," *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 105–13, Jul.
 479 2010, doi: 10.1097/JES.0b013e3181e373a2.
- T. Radtke, S. J. Nolan, H. Hebestreit, and S. Kriemler, "Physical exercise training for cystic
 fibrosis," *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2015, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002768.pub3.
- 482 [4] J. E. Schneiderman *et al.*, "Longitudinal relationship between physical activity and lung health
 483 in patients with cystic fibrosis," *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 43, no. 3, 2014, doi:
 484 10.1183/09031936.00055513.
- N. S. Cox, J. A. Alison, B. M. Button, J. W. Wilson, J. M. Morton, and A. E. Holland, "Physical
 participation by adults with Cystic Fibrosis: An observational study .," *Respirology*, vol. 21, no.
 3, 511–518, 2016. doi: 10.1111/resp.12719
- 488 [6] A. K. Swisher., H. Hebestreit., H. Mejia-Downs., A., Lowman., J.D. Gruber., W. Nippins., M.
 489 Alison., J. Schneiderman., "Exercise and habitual physical activity for people with Cystic
 490 Fibrosis Expert-consensus, evidence-based guide for advising patients.," *Cardiopulmonary*491 *Physical Therapy Journal*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–14, 2015, doi: 10.1097/CPT.00000000000016.
- J. Bradley *et al.*, "Physical activity assessment in cystic fibrosis: A position statement," *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2015.05.011.
- J. Shelley, L. M. Boddy, Z. R. Knowles, C. E. Stewart, and E. A. Dawson, "Physical activity and associations with clinical outcome measures in adults with cystic fi brosis ; a systematic review," *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2019.03.003.
- K. Y. Chen and D. R. Bassett, "The technology of accelerometry-based activity monitors:
 Current and future," *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, vol. 37, no. 11 SUPPL,
 2005, doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000185571.49104.82.
- 500 [10] A. V. Rowlands, "Moving Forward With Accelerometer-Assessed Physical Activity: Two
 501 Strategies to Ensure Meaningful, Interpretable, and Comparable Measures," *Pediatric*502 *Exercise Science*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 450–456, 2018, doi: 10.1123/pes.2018-0201.
- 503 [11] A. V. Rowlands, "Moving Forward With Accelerometer-Assessed Physical Activity: Two
 504 Strategies to Ensure Meaningful, Interpretable, and Comparable Measures," *Pediatric*505 *Exercise Science*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 450–456, 2018, doi: 10.1123/pes.2018-0201.
- 506 [12] E. Wilkins., *et al.*, "European Cardiovascular Disease Statistics 2017 edition," *European Heart* 507 *Network, Brussels*, p. 192, 2017, doi: 978-2-9537898-1-2.
- [13] R. J. Bright-Thomas and a K. Webb, "The heart in cystic fibrosis.," J R Soc Med, vol. 95 Suppl 4,
 pp. 2–10, 2002.

510 L. G. Wood, D. A. Fitzgerald, P. G. Gibson, D. M. Cooper, C. E. Collins, and M. L. Garg, [14] 511 "Oxidative stress in cystic fibrosis: Dietary and metabolic factors," J Am Coll Nutr, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 157–165, Apr. 2001, doi: 10.1080/07315724.2001.10719028. 512 513 [15] J. W. Woestenenk, D. A. Schulkes, H. S. Schipper, C. K. van der Ent, and R. H. J. Houwen, "Dietary intake and lipid profile in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis," Journal of 514 *Cystic Fibrosis*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 410–417, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2017.02.010. 515 J. F. Keaney et al., "Obesity and systemic oxidative stress: Clinical correlates of oxidative 516 [16] 517 stress in the Framingham study," Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, vol. 23, 518 no. 3, pp. 434–439, 2003, doi: 10.1161/01.ATV.0000058402.34138.11. Y. Inaba, J. A. Chen, and S. R. Bergmann, "Prediction of future cardiovascular outcomes by 519 [17] 520 flow-mediated vasodilatation of brachial artery: A meta-analysis," International Journal of 521 Cardiovascular Imaging, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 631–640, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s10554-010-9616-1. 522 [18] S. Poore, B. Berry, D. Eidson, K. T. McKie, and R. A. Harris, "Evidence of vascular endothelial 523 dysfunction in young patients with cystic fibrosis," Chest, vol. 143, no. 4, pp. 939–945, 2013, 524 doi: 10.1378/chest.12-1934. 525 [19] D. H. J. Thijssen, A. J. Maiorana, G. O'Driscoll, N. T. Cable, M. T. E. Hopman, and D. J. Green, 526 Impact of inactivity and exercise on the vasculature in humans, vol. 108, no. 5. 2010, pp. 845-527 875. doi: 10.1007/s00421-009-1260-x. M. R. Miller et al., "Standardisation of spirometry," European Respiratory Journal, vol. 26, no. 528 [20] 529 2, pp. 319–338, 2005, doi: 10.1183/09031936.05.00034805. 530 [21] A. L. Quittner, A. Buu, M. A. Messer, A. C. Modi, and M. Watrous, "Development and 531 validation of the cystic fibrosis questionnaire in the United States: A health-related quality-of-532 life measure for cystic fibrosis," Chest, vol. 128, no. 4, pp. 2347–2354, 2005, doi: 533 10.1378/chest.128.4.2347. 534 [22] M. Herdman et al., "Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-535 5D (EQ-5D-5L)," Quality of Life Research, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 1727–1736, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-9903-x. 536 537 [23] World Health Organization, "WHO Guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour," 538 2020. D. H. J. Thijssen et al., "Expert consensus and evidence-based recommendations for the 539 [24] assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans," European Heart Journal, pp. 1-14, 2019, 540 doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz350. 541 [25] C. C. Derella et al., "Assessment of endothelial function is reproducible in patients with cystic 542 543 fi brosis," Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, no. 18, 772-777, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jcf.2019.03.011. 544 [26] V. T. Van Hees et al., "Autocalibration of accelerometer data for free-living physical activity 545 assessment using local gravity and temperature: an evaluation on four continents," Journal of 546 Applied Physiology, vol. 117, no. 7, pp. 738–744, 2014, doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00421.2014. 547 [27] V. T. Van Hees et al., "Separating Movement and Gravity Components in an Acceleration 548 Signal and Implications for the Assessment of Human Daily Physical Activity," PLoS ONE, vol. 8, no. 4, p. e61691, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061691. 549

- 550 [28] D. J. Catellier *et al.*, "Imputation of missing data when measuring physical activity by
 551 accelerometry," *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, vol. 37, no. 11 SUPPL, pp. S555–
 552 S562, Nov. 2005, doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000185651.59486.4e.
- M. Hildebrand, V. T. Van Hees, B. H. Hansen, and U. Ekelund, "Age group comparability of raw
 accelerometer output from wrist-and hip-worn monitors," *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1816–1824, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000289.
- [30] A. V. Rowlands, C. L. Edwardson, M. J. Davies, K. Khunti, D. M. Harrington, and T. Yates,
 "Beyond Cut Points: Accelerometer Metrics that Capture the Physical Activity Profile," *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 1323–1332, 2018, doi:
 10.1249/MSS.0000000001561.
- 560 [31] G. Atkinson and A. M. Batterham, "Allometric scaling of diameter change in the original flow561 mediated dilation protocol," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 226, no. 2, pp. 425–427, 2013, doi:
 562 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.11.027.
- 563 [32] A. Doherty *et al.*, "Large scale population assessment of physical activity using wrist worn
 564 accelerometers: The UK biobank study," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1–14, 2017, doi:
 565 10.1371/journal.pone.0169649.
- 566 [33] M. S. Bianchim., M.A. McNarry., A. Holland., N.S. Cox., J. Dreger., A.R. Barker., C.A. Williams.,
 567 S. Denford., K.A. Mackintosh et al. (2022). A compositional analysis of physical activity,
 568 sedentary time, and sleep and associated health outcomes in children and adults with Cystic
 569 Fibrosis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(9 5155).
 570 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095155.
- 571 [34] T. Troosters *et al.*, "Skeletal muscle weakness, exercise tolerance and physical activity in
 572 adults with cystic fibrosis," *Eur Respir J*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 99–106, 2009, doi:
 573 10.1183/09031936.00091607.
- 574 [35] A. De Nazelle *et al.*, "Improving health through policies that promote active travel : A review
 575 of evidence to support integrated health impact assessment," *Environment International*, vol.
 576 37, no. 4, pp. 766–777, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2011.02.003.
- 577 [36] G. D. Wells *et al.*, "Reliability and validity of the Habitual Activity Estimation Scale (HAES) in
 578 patients with cystic fibrosis," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 345–353, Apr. 2008,
 579 doi: 10.1002/ppul.20737.
- 580 [37] K. C. Ruf *et al.*, "Validation of activity questionnaires in patients with cystic fibrosis by
 581 accelerometry and cycle ergometry," *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, vol. 12, no. 1, p.
 582 43, Dec. 2012, doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-12-43.
- [38] J. A. Castorena-Gonzalez, M. C. Staiculescu, C. Foote, and L. A. Martinez-Lemus, "Mechanisms
 of the inward remodeling process in resistance vessels: Is the actin cytoskeleton involved?," *Microcirculation*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 219–229, 2014, doi: 10.1111/micc.12105.
- 586[39]H. Hebestreit *et al.*, "Quality of life is associated with physical activity and fitness in cystic587fibrosis.," *BMC Pulm Med*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 26, 2014, doi: 10.1186/1471-2466-14-26.
- [40] N. D. Ridgers and S. Fairclough, "Assessing free-living physical activity using accelerometry:
 Practical issues for researchers and practitioners," *European Journal of Sport Science*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 205–213, 2011, doi: 10.1080/17461391.2010.501116.

- 591 [41] M. S. Tremblay et al., "Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) - Terminology Consensus Project process and outcome," International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and 592 593 *Physical Activity*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s12966-017-0525-8. 594 A. V. ROWLANDS et al., "Assessing Sedentary Behavior with the GENEActiv," Medicine & [42] 595 *Science in Sports & Exercise*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1235–1247, 2014, doi: 10.1249/mss.00000000000224. 596 597 [43] H. Hebestreit et al., "Cardiopulmonary exercise testing provides additional prognostic information in cystic fibrosis," American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, pp. 598 987-995, 2019, doi: 10.1164/rccm.201806-1110oc. 599 600 [44] A. Almajed and L. C. Lands, "The evolution of exercise capacity and its limiting factors in Cystic 601 Fibrosis," Paediatric Respiratory Reviews, vol. 13, no. 4, 2012, doi: 602 10.1016/j.prrv.2012.01.001.
- 603

604 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – CONSORT diagram displaying the recruitment, inclusion/exclusion and
 completion of participants.

- 608 Figure 2 Displaying the mean intensity gradient for individuals with CF (y=-2.62x +
- 14.93, $R^2 = 0.92$) (circle markers and dashed line) compared to their non-CF peers
- $(y=-2.37x + 13.99, R^2 = 0.87)$ (triangle markers and solid line). A steeper (less shallow)
- gradient represents a PA profile, reflecting more time spent in lower intensity activity
- and less time across the range of intensities.