



## LJMU Research Online

**Ahuir Torres, J, Kotadia, H, Opoz, T and Sharp, MC**

**A Study on the Corrosion Behaviour of Laser Textured Pure Aluminium in Saltwater**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/18969/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Ahuir Torres, J, Kotadia, H, Opoz, T and Sharp, MC (2023) A Study on the Corrosion Behaviour of Laser Textured Pure Aluminium in Saltwater. Processes, 11 (3). ISSN 2227-9717**

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

## Article

# A Study on the Corrosion Behaviour of Laser Textured Pure Aluminium in Saltwater

Juan Ignacio Ahuir-Torres <sup>1,\*</sup> , Hiren Ramniklal Kotadia <sup>2</sup>, Tahsin Tecelli Öpoz <sup>1</sup> and Martin Charles Sharp <sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> General Engineering Research Institute, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Engineering and Technology, School of Engineering, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

\* Correspondence: j.i.ahuirtorres@ljmu.ac.uk

**Abstract:** Commercially pure aluminium is employed in several industrial applications. On some applications, the surface of this material needs to be functionalised. Laser surface texturing is a powerful tool to functionalise aluminium and aluminium alloy surfaces. However, the corrosion resistance of the laser textured aluminium alloy can be modified, and this has rarely been investigated in the literature. Consequently, the corrosion resistance of the laser textured commercially pure aluminium in saltwater was evaluated using several electrochemical techniques: asymmetric electrochemical noise, potentiodynamic polarisation curve and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Although the non-laser surface textured samples possessed higher kinetic corrosion resistance in the first hours of immersion, the corrosion mechanism (process group of the corrosion) was found to be more unstable than the laser textured specimens. The oxidised layer of the textured samples was also nobler than the native passive film.

**Keywords:** corrosion; laser processing; surface texturing; aluminium; electrochemical noise; potentiodynamic polarisation curves; electrochemical impedance spectroscopy



**Citation:** Ahuir-Torres, J.I.; Kotadia, H.R.; Öpoz, T.T.; Sharp, M.C. A Study on the Corrosion Behaviour of Laser Textured Pure Aluminium in Saltwater. *Processes* **2023**, *11*, 721. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11030721>

Academic Editor: Prashant K. Sarswat

Received: 31 January 2023  
Revised: 16 February 2023  
Accepted: 23 February 2023  
Published: 28 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Commercially pure (CP) aluminium is used in various industries, such as chemical [1,2], printing [3], electronic, automotive and aerospace. This is because of its excellent properties, such as high corrosion resistance, low weight, high formability, excellent electrical conductivity, good thermal conductivity and being strain hardenable [1,2,4,5]. Some applications (e.g., printing plates, automotive and aerospace usage) need to have functionalised CP aluminium surfaces in which “functionalised” is defined as improving a feature of the material or providing a new property to the material. In some cases, functionalisation is used to improve the CP aluminium wear and friction resistance (automotive and aerospace [6]), while in other cases functionalisation is used to give new properties such as a specific topography, and wettability to water and oils, (e.g., printing plates [3]). Surface functionalisation can be carried out with various methods, such as mechanical machining [6], chemical [7], laser [8–10], electrochemical, [11] and ion beam [12] surface texturing. Laser surface texturing stands out as a powerful tool to conduct the functionalisation of the surface because of its good properties, such as being environmentally friendly, easily automated, high reproducibility, excellent accuracy and moderate cost [13].

Differing results have been reported on the effect of laser surface texturing on aluminium alloy corrosion resistance. Corrosion resistance is a vitally important issue for aluminium alloys, as good corrosion resistance is one of their main properties. Boinovich et al. [14] studied the influence of laser surface texturing on the corrosion resistance of an aluminium-magnesium alloy in saltwater. The laser parameters were a 50 ns pulse length, 1064 nm wavelength, 20 kHz pulse repetition rate, and 0.95 mJ pulse energy. The samples were textured using a parallel line-scanning mode at 50 mm/s of scan velocity

and 100  $\mu\text{m}$  distance between the scan lines. The researchers observed that the corrosion resistance of the samples was increased by the laser surface texturing due to the generation of a superhydrophobic surface, good passive film formation and the chemisorption of fluoroxy silane. It was noted that the good corrosion resistance of the laser textured samples was produced due to the combination of the laser process with a chemical product. The high hydrophobicity sample reduced the ion access to passive layer, resulting in the corrosion resistance increment. However, this hydrophobicity was lost after 10 days due to the generation of micropitting. Ahuir-Torres et al. [8] investigated the corrosion resistance of 2024-T3 aluminium alloy textured with 10 ps pulses at a wavelength of 1064 nm in 0.06 M NaCl. Several types of textures (dimple, grooves and concentric rings) and various texture densities (from 5% to 70%) were generated on the aluminium alloy surface using from 0.1 to 1 J/cm<sup>2</sup> of energy fluence, a scanning velocity of 24 mm/s and a 5–10 kHz pulse frequency rate. They determined that the laser surface texturing with a picosecond pulsed laser had a low influence on the corrosion resistance of the aluminium alloy. This was due to the low thickness of the oxidised layer of the picosecond pulsed laser textured surfaces. The research group commented that the oxidised layer thickness generated with the laser process was proportional to the molten material depth created during the process. The molten layer was also proportional to the pulse length (10 ps), being, in this case, lower than the native passive film thickness of the aluminium alloy. The corrosion mechanism of the samples was defined by the diffusion of the electrolytes. Misyura et al. [15] studied the laser surface texturing influence on the corrosion resistance of an AlMg6 alloy. A nanosecond pulsed fibre laser operating at 1064 nm wavelength was employed to texture the aluminium alloy surfaces. The textures were created using a pulse energy of 1 mJ, a focused laser beam diameter of 60  $\mu\text{m}$ , and a pulsed frequency rate of 20 kHz. The type of texture was a dimple pattern. The laser textured samples had higher corrosion resistance than the original surfaces because the laser oxidised layer was thicker than the native passive film. In this case, the pulse length of the laser was sufficiently long to produce an oxidised layer thicker than native passive film.

Although the corrosion resistances of laser surface textured aluminium alloys have been investigated in the literature, analysis of the corrosion behaviour for laser surface textured CP aluminium has not been reported. Thus, the present paper is a study about the laser surface texturing influence on the corrosion resistance of CP aluminium. The texturing of the surface was carried out with a nanosecond pulsed infrared laser. The corrosion behaviour was evaluated in 0.6 M NaCl solution using electrochemical noise, potentiodynamic polarisation curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.

## 2. Experimental Setup

### 2.1. Materials

CP aluminium was derived from 1050 aluminium alloy (chemical composition in Table 1 [16]) and samples were prepared from a 0.4 mm thick VELA IT3 lithographic printing plate. Laser texturing was conducted on the non-printing side of the sheet material.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition in %mass of 1050 aluminium alloy [16].


Element	Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Zn	Ti	Al
Mass (%)	0.080	0.310	0.003	0.036	0.004	0.009	0.008	99.54

### 2.2. Laser Parameters

The sample material chosen for this corrosion study was laser textured using an approach developed in another research project investigating the generation of hydrophobic surfaces [17]. The samples were textured using an 800 W nanosecond pulsed Diode Pumped Solid State (DPSS) laser (Powerlase Photonics Ltd. Crawley, UK. Model Rigel i800). This laser had a wavelength of 1064 nm, and a maximum average output power of 800 W. The

output power of the laser was controlled by an internal optical attenuator, so the output beam optical characteristics were not affected by the output power delivered by the laser.

A 1 m focal length lens was used to collimate the laser beam after it left the laser head (Figure 1b). Two mirrors directed the laser beam into a 40 mm focal length double convex lens, and then onto the sample material (Figure 1a). Due to the high average power of the laser, high traverse rates were required to laser texture the samples. To achieve the necessary speeds, the samples were mounted on a 170 mm diameter rotating cylinder (Figure 1c). The rotating cylinder was mounted on a linear axis, so the static focused beam was tracked as a spiral across the surface of the sample simulating a parallel hatch texture pattern. A perpendicular linear axis allowed precise setting of the focal point relative to the surface. The linear axes/rotary axis combination was of bespoke construction with a digital controller.



**Figure 1.** Laser Texturing Set up: (a) Schematic of high-speed laser texturing setup; (b) output (image) from Rigel i800 laser; (c) high speed rotary axis.

The parameters employed for the laser texturing of the sample used for the corrosion analysis are given in Table 2. No atmospheric control was used during texturing or subsequent storage of the samples.

After 25 days the sample was heated for 85 min at 60 °C. Following this, its water contact angle was found to be 152°. A high coverage rate of 0.43 m<sup>2</sup>/min was achieved as a result of the available average laser power at relatively high pulse energy applied over a large spot size, and represents a coverage rate that is adequate for a number of industrial applications.

### 2.3. Electrochemical Analyses

The samples were cleaned before the electrochemical analyses by cleaning with commercial detergent and fresh water soaking, rinsing with distilled water, cleaning with isopropanol and drying with a drier. Areas of the samples (0.3 cm<sup>2</sup>) were exposed to an aggressive environment. These areas were limited with holed tape that was shielded using epoxy resin. The aggressive environment was a solution of sodium chloride in water with 0.6 M (Mol/L) of concentration at 298 K. Merck was the provider of the chemical compounds.

**Table 2.** Laser texturing parameters use for the CP aluminium texturing experiments.

Nr.	Parameter	Value	Units
1	Average Power	800	W
2	Pulse Repetition Rate	10,000	Hz
3	Pulse Energy (calculated from 1 and 2)	80	mJ
4	Pulse Length	60	ns
5	Beam Diameter at Lens	21	mm
6	Focal length	40	mm
7	Defocus	4	mm
8	Estimated spot size at sample (from 5, 6, 7)	2.1	mm
9	Rotary Speed of 170 mm cylinder	963	rpm
10	Tangential speed (“scan speed”)	8.6	m/s
11	Linear translation speed	0.81	m/min
12	Hatch spacing (calculated from 9, 11)	0.84	mm
13	Coverage rate (calculated from 10, 11, 12)	0.43	m <sup>2</sup> /min

The electrochemical trials were carried out with a potenti/galvanostat (Interface1010E) provided by Gamry Instruments Inc (Warminster, PA, USA). The potenti/galvanostat was controlled with Gamry Framework Version 7.8.4 software designed by Gamry Instruments Inc (Warminster, PA, USA), and the data were evaluated with Gamry Echem Analyst software. A three-electrode cell was used to conduct the electrochemical analyses. The cell was comprised of a reference, counter and working electrode. The reference electrode was 3 M KCl silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl 3 M KCl) with a double junction that was supplied by EDT Direct Ion Ltd (Dover, UK). The counter electrode was a platinum wire of 0.7 mm diameter that was provided by Cooksongold (Heimerle + Meule Group) (Birmingham, UK). The working electrodes were the samples.

The electrochemical analyses were passive (open circuit potential and zero resistance ammeter), perturbative of direct current (potentiodynamic polarisation curve) and of alternating current (electrochemical impedance spectroscopy). Open Circuit Potential (OCP) and Zero Resistance Ammeter (ZRA) were conducted with an asymmetric system in which a counter electrode was used. The total time of testing was 2 h, and the acquisition time was 0.05 s. Combined assessment of both techniques is usually called electrochemical noise, and the employment of the asymmetric system means that these analyses are defined as Asymmetric Electrochemical Noise (AEN) [18]. Potentiodynamic Polarisation Curves (PPC) were carried out with an initial potential as the potential at open circuit of  $-0.3$  V, a voltage scan of  $0.167$  mV/s, a current density limit of  $0.01$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> and a final potential of  $2$  V vs. a reference electrode. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted at  $5$  mV root mean square of potential amplitude, frequency ranges from  $0.01$  Hz to  $100,000$  Hz and  $10$  points per decades (ten measurements for each order of frequency magnitude). The equivalent circuit method was utilised to assess the EIS data. Gamry Echem Analyst software was used to develop the equivalent circuit method. All individual tests were repeated a minimum of three times, to confirm validation of the data.


### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Electrochemical Assessments

##### 3.1.1. Open Circuit Potential and Zero Resistance Ammeter (Electrochemical Noise), Passive Corrosion Testing

Figure 2 shows the potential (Figure 2a) and current density (Figure 2b) evolution over the time of the samples. Both potential and current density fluctuated with time in both samples. This indicates the generation of metastable pitting for two hours of immersions

in 0.6 M NaCl solution. Chloride ions can react with the oxidised aluminium layer or a passive film, easing its dissolution in water. The amplitude of the fluctuation was wider for the non-textured samples than the laser textured aluminium. This shows that the pitting of the non-textured samples was deeper and wider than the textured aluminium [19,20]. The oxidised layers of the textured samples were more homogeneous than the native oxidised layer. Iron-rich intermetallics ( $\text{Al}_3\text{Fe}$  and  $\text{Al}_6\text{Fe}$ ) are the cause of the huge pitting on pure aluminium alloys because these intermetallics have cathodic/anodic effect on aluminium matrix [20–22], which are absent in the textured samples. The evolution of potential and current density (outputs) over time were different for the non-textured aluminium compared to the laser textured samples. Both AEN outputs reduced with increasing time for the non-textured samples at  $\leq 900$  s. Passive film generation on commercial pure aluminium decreases the potential and current density [23]. The potential increased over time while the current density remained constant with time between 900 s and 1600 s. The growth of the passive film is characterised by potential increase with constant current density [24]. After this point, both outputs of the non-textured samples remained constant with time. This indicates that the passive film was stable after this point [22]. For the textured aluminium, both potential and current density declined with increasing time for all testing times. Reduction of the outputs over time causes the continuous oxidation of the samples [25]. The different corrosion behaviour of the samples showed that the textured aluminium was continuously oxidized, while the non-textured samples generated a stable passive film. The potential was higher for the non-textured aluminium than the textured samples. This indicates that the textured oxidised layer is less noble than the native oxidised layer.



**Figure 2.** (a) AEN results of the non-textured and textured aluminium in 0.6 M NaCl immersion for 2 h, OCP (potential evolution over the time); (b) ZRA (current density evolution with the time).

The results of the OCP and ZRA were employed to obtain AEN factors that allowed the analysis of the corrosion process for first two hours of immersion. Asymmetric system

electrochemical noise corrosion rate ( $C.R_{AEN}$ ) was calculated using Equations (1) [23] and (2) [26].

$$I_{R.M.S} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{\frac{I_i^2}{N}} \quad (1)$$

$$C.R_{AEN} = \frac{I_{R.M.S.} \times M}{F \times n \times \rho} \quad (2)$$

where,  $I_i$  is current density per measurement  $i$ ,  $N$  is measurement number,  $I_{R.M.S.}$  is the current density root mean square,  $M$  is the aluminium molarity (27 g/mol),  $F$  is Faraday's constant (96,500 C/mol $\times$ electron),  $n$  is electron number (3) and  $\rho$  is aluminium density (2700 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). The non-textured sample  $C.R_{AEN}$  was lower than that for textured aluminium (see Table 2). The reduction reactions were faster for textured samples than non-textured samples. Note that the corrosion rates were obtained with an asymmetric system corresponding to the cathodic processes (reduction reaction) [18]. The cathodic area of the textured surface was larger than the non-textured pure aluminium surface. The oxidised textured surface and iron-rich intermetallic particles [20–22] are cathodic areas where the elements (e.g., oxygen and water) are reduced. In the case of the iron intermetallics, their particle sizes are of the order of a few micrometres in size [20–22] while for the laser oxidised textures, surface areas are millimetres in size. Larger cathodic areas encourage the reduction reactions [27].

The localised index ( $L.I.$ ) was estimated using Equation (3) [23].

$$L.I = \frac{\sigma_I}{I_{R.M.S}} \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_I$  is the standard deviation of the current density.  $L.I$  showed that corrosion in both samples was controlled by mixed processes (diffusion and activation). The control of corrosion is defined by  $L.I$  values, i.e., 0.001–0.01 general corrosion, 0.01–0.1 mixed and 0.1–1.0 localised [23,28].

Equation (4) [29] was used to calculate the asymmetric system electrochemical noise resistance ( $R_{AEN}$ ).

$$R_{AEN} = \frac{\sigma_E}{\sigma_I} \quad (4)$$

where  $\sigma_E$  is the standard deviation of the potential. The  $R_{AEN}$  of the non-textured samples was lower than for that of the textured samples. This confirms that the reduction reactions of the aluminium were promoted by laser surface texturing. These values can be found in Table 3.

**Table 3.** AEN factors of the non-textured and textured samples in 0.6 M NaCl for 2 h.

Sample	$\sigma_E$ (mV)	$\sigma_I$ ( $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$I_{R.M.S}$ ( $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$C.R_{AEN}$ ( $\mu$ m/Year)	$L.I.$	$R_{AEN}$ ( $k\Omega \times \text{cm}^2$ )
Non-textured	11.340	0.331	3.194	34.314	0.09	34.221
Textured	7.676	0.466	12.281	131.954	0.04	16.481

### 3.1.2. Potentiodynamic Polarisation Curve (PPC), Active Corrosion Testing of Direct Current

The PPC were different according to the textured or non-textured samples, as can be seen in Figure 3. Both samples possessed a horizontal curve in the cathodic branch at low potential ( $\approx -1.1$  V). Part of the supplied potential is used to evolve the water to hydroxide and hydrogen [30,31].




Figure 3. Sample PPC at 2 h immersion in 0.6 M NaCl.

For non-textured samples, the cathodic branch was controlled by the activation reaction as indicated by the inclined curve [14]. With respect to the anodic branch, the curve was vertical up to  $\approx -0.698$  V, showing the presence of the passive film [32–34]. The curve then levelled to near horizontal at  $-0.698$  V, indicating the breaking down of the passive film [14]. Both branches had current density fluctuations with the increase of the potential. These fluctuations were observed throughout the cathodic branch, while for the anodic branch these were only present close to the potential of the breaking passive film for the non-textured specimens. The dissolution of the iron-rich particles with anodic effect on the aluminium matrix produces these fluctuations for the cathodic branch [20–22]. This process was also observed in AEN testing, confirming these results. The anodic fluctuations are generated by the metastable pitting that announces the breaking of the passive film (anodic branch) [32,34]. The inflection point potential of the return curve was higher than the corrosion potential, showing the capacity to spontaneously recover the passive film [32].

Considering now the results of the textured samples, the cathodic branch indicated diffusion control from  $-1.14$  V to  $-0.86$  V, due to the near verticality of the curve [31]. From  $-0.86$  V to  $-0.690$  V, the curve was more inclined, which indicates that cathodic reaction control is active at these potentials. This shows that the cathodic reaction possesses mixed control (diffusion and activation). The anodic branch was near horizontal, indicating activation control of the oxidation reactions [31]. The passive film was absent for the textured sample PPC due to the breaking passive film potential being similar to the corrosion potential [8]. The return curve was absent for textured samples because of this similarity of breaking passive film potential and corrosion potential and, therefore, the re-passivation of the sample was impossible.

PPC dissimilar behaviour of the samples is caused by the oxidised layer and intermetallic particle refining ( $\text{Al}_3\text{Fe}$  and  $\text{Al}_6\text{Fe}$ ) produced by the laser surface texturing. The laser oxidised layer reduces the diffusion processes as a result of the rough topography. Surfaces with relief (peaks and valleys) hinder the oxygen and water access to cathodic areas [14]. This produces diffusion control of the cathodic branch for the laser surface



textured samples. In the case of the non-textured CP aluminium, the flat surface reduces the diffusion impedances due to topography, producing mixed control of the cathodic branch. The imperfections of the laser oxidised layer increase the chemical activity of the surface, causing the reduction of its protective capacities and the activation control of the anodic branch. In respect of the non-textured CP aluminium, passive control occurs due to the absence of imperfection and the high chemical inactivity of the aluminium passive film. Intermetallic surface refining (reducing intermetallic size) reduces the cathodic/anodic effect on the aluminium matrix [20–22], eliminating metastable pitting close to breaking passive film potential for the laser surface textured samples. This is due to the rapid melting of the material followed by rapid cooling, re-dissolving these intermetallics in the aluminium matrix. This reduces the size of these intermetallics.

Relevant corrosion factors of the samples obtained using PPC are summarised in Table 4. The corrosion potential ( $E_{corr}$ ) of the textured samples was higher than that for non-textured commercial pure aluminium. It was also slightly higher than the breaking passive film potential ( $E_{pb}$ ) of the non-textured samples, meaning that the laser textured oxide layer is slightly nobler than native passive film.

**Table 4.** PPC factors of the non-textured and textured samples in 0.6 M NaCl.

Factor	Non-Textured Aluminium	Textured Aluminium
$E_{corr}$ (V)	−0.988	−0.695
$I_{corr}$ (nA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	47.085	338.101
C.R. <sub>corr</sub> (µm/year)	0.506	3.633
$\beta_c$ (mV/decade)	−0.035	−0.012
$\beta_a$ (mV/decade)	0.150	0.001
$R_p$ (kΩ·cm <sup>2</sup> )	421	0.941
$I_{pass}$ (µA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.110	-
C.R. <sub>pass</sub> (µm/year)	1.182	-
$I_{diff}$ (A/cm <sup>2</sup> )	-	3.673
C.R. <sub>diff</sub> (µm/year)	-	39.463
$E_{pb}$ (V)	−0.698	-
$E_{rp}$ (V)	−0.896	-

The corrosion current density ( $I_{corr}$ ) of the non-textured samples was lower than the textured aluminium  $I_{corr}$ . These current densities were estimated by the intersection of the Tafel lines [33,35]. The different corrosion rates of the samples were determined by the different corrosion processes. The near vertical curve in the cathodic branch of the textured samples indicates a diffusion process (diffusion current density) defining the corrosion rate of this sample. In the case of the non-textured specimens, the passive process (passive current density) defines the corrosion rate as indicated by the near vertical curve of the anodic branch. The diffusion current density ( $I_{diff}$ ) was greater than the passive film current density ( $I_{pass}$ ). This indicates the kinetic corrosion resistance of the textured samples was lower than non-textured samples. This is due to the imperfections (cracks) of the laser oxidised layer [14]. It is noted that  $I_{diff}$  was absent for the non-textured CP aluminium because of the mixed control of the cathodic branch. The absence of  $I_{pass}$  for the laser textured samples is due to activation control of the anodic branch. The corrosion rates of these density currents were calculated using Equation (2), but the  $I_{R.M.S}$  were replaced by  $I_{corr}$ ,  $I_{diff}$  and  $I_{pass}$ , respectively.

Polarisation resistance ( $R_p$ ) was calculated using Equation (5) [30].


$$R_p = \frac{\beta_a \times \beta_c}{2.303 \times I_{corr} \times (\beta_a + \beta_c)} \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta_a$  and  $\beta_c$  are the anodic and cathodic slope, respectively. These slopes were estimated using the Tafel lines [33,35]. Non-textured samples had higher  $R_p$  values than textured aluminium. This verifies that the non-textured samples had a higher kinetic corrosion

resistance. In both cases,  $R_p$  was different than  $R_{AEN}$ , being the resistance from AEN results.  $R_{AEN}$  was lower than  $R_p$  for the non-textured samples and higher than  $R_p$  in the case of the textured samples. This is because  $R_{AEN}$  is related to the cathodic reaction of the corrosion while  $R_p$  relates to the equilibrium point of the reactions. Thus, the cathodic reactions are faster than chemical reactions in equilibrium for the non-textured samples. In the case of the textured specimens, the cathodic reaction was slower than the other reactions because of the diffusion impedances.


### 3.1.3. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS), Active Corrosion Testing of Alternating Current

EIS results indicated that the corrosion mechanisms of the non-textured and textured samples were different, as shown in Figure 4.



**Figure 4.** (a,b) Bode and (c,d) Nyquist plots of the (a,c) non-textured and (b,d), textured samples at all immersion times in 0.6 M NaCl.

The corrosion mechanism of the non-textured pure aluminium (Figure 4a,c) changed at 48 h of immersion in 0.6 M NaCl. This indicated the instability of the corrosion system over the time. The equivalent circuit for the corrosion mechanisms (Figure 5a) at  $\leq 24$  h was formed of three time constants. A resistance ( $R_1$ ) and constant phase element ( $CPE_1$ ) were the equivalent circuit elements that represented the first time constant. This time constant was associated with the semicircle at low real impedance in the Nyquist plots, and the peak (phase angle plots) and slope (impedance modulus plots) of the Bode plots at high frequency range (from  $10^3$  to  $10^5$  Hz). Both equivalent circuit elements were in series between them. The second time constant was the flat peak (phase angle plots) and inclined curve (impedance modulus plots) at low frequency range ( $10^{-2}$ – $10^2$  Hz) for the Bode plots and the semicircle at high real impedance for the Nyquist plots. This showed that the equivalent circuit elements of this time constant were a resistance ( $R_2$ ) and a constant element phase ( $CPE_2$ ) in parallel with each other. The elements of this time constant were in parallel with each other and in series with the previous time constant elements. The last time constant was represented with the same equivalent circuit elements as the previous time constant ( $CPE_3$  and  $R_3$ ). The same elements of the Bode and Nyquist plots of the previous time constant formed this time constant, with overlapping between both time constants.  $CPE_3$  was also in parallel with  $R_3$  and was in series with  $R_2$ .



**Figure 5.** Equivalent circuits of the corrosion mechanisms of the (a,b) non-textured commercial pure aluminium at (a) 2 h and 24 h immersion and, (b) 48 h, 72 h and 96 h immersion and, (c) textured commercial pure aluminium at all immersion times in 0.6 M NaCl.

The corrosion mechanism at prolonged immersion time (at  $\geq 48$  h) was comprised of four time constants, (Figure 5b). The first three time constants were similar to the previous corrosion mechanism, and the signals in the Bode and Nyquist plots, and equivalent circuit elements, were also similar. The fourth time constant was related to the inclined curves with negative slope at low frequency range ( $10^{-2}$ – $10^{-1}$  Hz) for Bode plots and the negative loop of the Nyquist plots. This time constant was formed of a resistance ( $R_L$ ) and an inductance

(L), which is characteristic of a dramatic reduction in the imaginary impedance [36]. Both elements were in series with each other and in parallel with the equivalent circuit elements of the third and fourth time constants.

The corrosion mechanism of the textured sample (Figure 4b,d) was constant over time, indicating the high stability of the corrosion process. This mechanism was formed of three time constants (Figure 5c). A resistance was the first time constant ( $R_1$ ) that was found at high frequencies ( $10^4$ – $10^5$  Hz) in the impedance modulus Bode plots as a horizontal curve. The second time constant was from  $10^1$  to  $10^3$  Hz in the Bode and Nyquist plot. This element was the beginning of the flat peak for the phase angle plot, while this was first inclined curve of the modulus impedance plot. The semicircle at low real impedance was the constant time in the Nyquist plots. Thus, the equivalent circuit elements of this time constant were a resistance ( $R_2$ ) and constant element phase ( $CPE_2$ ) in parallel with each other and in series with  $R_1$ . The third time constant was similar to the second time constant, but these were observed at low frequencies ( $10^{-2}$ – $10^1$  Hz). The flat peak of the phase angle plots indicated that the second and third time constants overlapped.  $R_1$ , therefore, was in series with third time constant element phase ( $CPE_3$ ), while the resistance of third time constant ( $R_3$ ) was in parallel with  $CPE_3$ .

Proposed equivalent circuits according to the analysis of the experimental EIS data can be seen in Figure 5. The experimental data and simulated data from the equivalent circuits are shown in Figure 6. The good  $\chi^2$  value ( $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-2}$ ) confirms that the data calculated using the equivalent circuits were valid.

The data obtained for the equivalent circuit method is listed in Table 5. According to the simulated values, the equivalent circuit elements were identified for each corrosion process of the corrosion mechanisms. Varying elements of the equivalent circuits represented similar corrosion processes for both samples.  $R_2$ ,  $CPE_2$  and  $n_2$  corresponded at the same processes for all samples, these being the passive film processes, and therefore these elements were named  $R_f$ ,  $CPE_f$  and  $n_f$  (as in Table 5).  $R_3$ ,  $CPE_3$  and  $n_3$  were other common elements for all samples and these represented the charge transference resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) and double layer capacitance ( $CPE_{dl}$  and  $n_{dl}$ ) [37].  $R_1$  and  $CPE_1$  of the non-textured pure aluminium samples had the same corrosion process, being associated with the activation-passivation processes of the metallic material ( $R_{a-p}$  and  $CPE_{a-p}$ ). This is because of the partial passivation of the surface [38]. In the case of textured pure aluminium sample  $R_1$ , this represented the environment resistance ( $R_s$ ).  $R_L$  and  $L$ , which were found only for the non-textured pure aluminium at  $\geq 48$  h of immersion, represented adsorption-desorption of the water and protons on the aluminium surface [30,31]. These elements were thus named as  $R_{ad-de}$  and  $L_{ad-de}$ .

**Table 5.** Summary of the equivalent circuit element values according to the samples and immersion time.

Time (h)	Non-Textured Samples											
	$R_{a-p}$ ( $\mu\Omega$ )	$CPE_{a-p}$ ( $\mu S \times s^n/cm^2$ )	$n_1$	$R_f$ ( $k\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$CPE_f$ ( $\mu S \times s^n/cm^2$ )	$n_f$	$R_{ct}$ ( $k\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$CPE_{dl}$ ( $\mu S \times s^n/cm^2$ )	$n_{dl}$	$R_{ad-de}$ ( $k\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$L_{ad-de}$ ( $kH \times cm^2$ )	$\chi^2$ ( $10^{-3}$ )
2	0.065	0.95	0.85	146.0	0.747	0.85	15.80	0.48	0.87	-	-	1.62
24	136.0	1.38	0.83	4.1	361.0	1.00	8.46	1.33	0.81	-	-	1.52
48	1752.0	1.53	0.84	2.0	0.832	0.95	0.78	1.96	0.82	123.0	0.23	2.16
72	2924.0	88.5	0.68	83.2	2.682	0.79	0.41	1.67	0.81	225.0	225.0	5.07
96	1056.0	116.0	1.00	1076.0	2.370	0.81	0.57	2.93	0.76	428.0	2034.0	3.40
Time (h)	Textured Samples										$\chi^2$ ( $10^{-3}$ )	
	$R_s$ ( $\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$R_f$ ( $\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$CPE_f$ ( $\mu S \times s^n/cm^2$ )	$n_f$	$R_{ct}$ ( $k\Omega \times cm^2$ )	$CPE_{dl}$ ( $\mu S \times s^n/cm^2$ )	$n_{dl}$					
2	2.566	11.2	1.53	0.95	1750	0.90	0.92				1.58	
24	2.590	22.1	2.32	0.94	5830	1.40	0.91				1.37	
48	2.605	96.0	2.52	0.94	611	0.60	1.00				3.07	
72	2.331	75.5	2.19	0.95	560	1.05	0.96				5.77	
96	2.117	64.0	2.24	0.95	603	1.10	0.95				7.21	




Figure 6. Experimental and simulated Bode and Nyquist plots of the non-textured and textured samples.

The values of some of these equivalent circuit elements evolved over time, and in different ways, according to the sample type.

With respect to the non-textured samples,  $R_{a-p}$  and  $CPE_{a-p}$  increased with time, indicating the surface passivation was increased.  $R_f$  decreased with time at  $\leq 48$  h, while this increased over the time at  $\geq 72$  h. The decrease of  $R_f$  was due to the pitting generation, whilst re-passivation caused the increase in  $R_f$ . This confirms that the passive film can spontaneously recover by itself, as mentioned in the potentiodynamic polarisation curves analyses section.  $CPE_f$  presented the opposite evolution over time compared to  $R_f$ , validating the passive film behaviour. The passive film thickness,  $d$ , is proportional to  $CPE_f$ , as can be observed in the next Equation (6) [30].

$$d = \frac{\varepsilon_{AlO} \times \varepsilon_0}{CPE_f \times (2 \times \pi \times f_f)^{n_f - 1}} \quad (6)$$

where,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space ( $8.854 \times 10^{-14}$  F/cm [39]),  $f_f$  is the frequency of the phase angle for passivation elements and  $\varepsilon_{AlO}$  is the dielectric constant of alumina (8.5 [39]).

$n_f$  decreased with time at values around 0.8, indicating that the passive film has pores [37] because of the high affinity of the aluminium passive film for chloride ions [40,41].  $R_{ct}$ ,  $CPE_{dl}$  and  $n_{dl}$  were approximately constant with time, showing the bare, pure aluminium was free of the aggressive environment effect. Note that the  $n_{dl}$  was around 0.8, showing the bare material surface had roughness [37]. The presence of  $R_{ad-de}$  and  $L_{ad-de}$  in the equivalent circuit at  $\geq 48$  h indicated that adsorption-desorption processes of chloride ions, water and oxygen occur at 48 h of immersion [30,31]. The localised dissolution of the passive film caused by the chloride ions generated cracks and pores on this film. These imperfections are zones where the adsorption-desorption process of these elements occurs at a slow velocity.  $R_{ad-de}$  and  $L_{ad-de}$  increased with time, showing that adsorption-desorption are slower. The accumulation of the harsh elements (e.g., water, chloride ions and oxygen) on the surfaces of cracks and pores hinder the process of adsorption-desorption [30,31].

Regarding the laser textured sample corrosion mechanism, the element values of the equivalent circuits were constant over time. This indicates that the corrosion mechanism of the textured pure aluminium is stable over time.  $R_{ct}$  was the only element that changed over time. The reduction of this value with time shows a diminishing of the sample corrosion resistance.

#### 4. Discussion

The corrosion behaviour of the pure aluminium changed following the laser surface texturing process due to chemical and topographic modifications. The oxidised layer generated with the laser processing was more stable than the native passive film. This was observed in the low fluctuation amplitude of the metastable pitting (AEN analyses), higher  $E_{corr}$  (PPC assessments) and the stable corrosion mechanism over time (EIS evaluations) of the textured samples. The laser process refines the iron-rich intermetallics, producing a reduction of the metastable pitting activity and ennoblement of the samples. These intermetallics have a cathodic/anodic effect on the aluminium matrix that destabilises the native passive film of the commercial pure aluminium in an aggressive environment. This cathodic effect is proportional to the size of the intermetallics. The laser surface texturing refines and oxidises the  $Al_3Fe$  and  $Al_6Fe$ , causing the reduction or removal of the detaching process of the intermetallics [20–22]. This eliminates the evolution of the system over the time.

The commercial pure aluminium specimens possessed higher kinetic corrosion resistance than textured samples. Lower  $R_{AEN}$  and higher  $I_{R.M.S.}$  (AEN assessments), faster  $C.R._{corr}$  (PPC evaluations) and lower  $R_f$  (EIS analyses) of the textured samples indicated this lower kinetic corrosion resistance. Laser processing produces a thermal stress on the pure aluminium samples, generating cracks. These imperfections reduce the kinetic

corrosion resistance of the laser processed passive film. These defects hinder the possibility of recovering the passive film by itself. The equal value of  $E_{\text{corr}}$  with  $E_{\text{pass}}$  indicates that repassivation is impossible [8].

The textured samples showed a cathodic branch with diffusion control, while the non-textured specimens had a cathodic branch controlled by activation (PPC analyses). The high roughness of the textured surfaces hinders the access to oxygen and water to the cathodic areas, slowing the mass transference process (diffusion) due to this impedance [31].

## 5. Conclusions

The conclusions of the present study are that the laser processing modified the corrosion behaviour of the pure aluminium. Metastable pitting was lower after the laser processing owing to the refinement and oxidation of the iron-rich intermetallics. The corrosion rate of the native passive film was lower than the laser oxidised layer because of these cracks. Textured samples were nobler than non-textured specimens because of the elimination of the intermetallics. The native passive film could recover owing to the absence of the cracks. The corrosion mechanism of the textured samples was more stable than the non-textured samples as a result of the refinement of the intermetallics during processing that avoids or reduces the intermetallic detaching process. The corrosion resistance of the non-textured pure aluminium, however, was higher than in the textured samples because of the good qualities of the native passive film.

Although the non-textured pure aluminium presented better corrosion resistance than the textured samples, this corrosion resistance evolved over the time. This indicates that the corrosion resistance of the pure aluminium without laser processing can be damaged and become lower than textured sample corrosion resistance, which is stable over time. This can be advantage for applications that require a stable corrosion mechanism.

**Author Contributions:** All authors (J.I.A.-T., H.R.K., T.T.Ö. and M.C.S.) contributed to the study conception and design. The generation of samples were carried out by M.C.S. and the corrosion assessment were conducted by J.I.A.-T. The analysis and discussion of the results were developed by J.I.A.-T. The first draft of the manuscript was written by J.I.A.-T., and all authors (J.I.A.-T., H.R.K., T.T.Ö. and M.C.S.) commented on, and developed, subsequent versions of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Davis, J.R. *Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys: ASM International*; ASM International: Novelt, OH, USA, 1993.
2. Aamir, M.; Giasin, K.; Tolouei-Rad, M.; Vafadar, A. A review: Drilling performance and hole quality of aluminium alloys for aerospace applications. *J. Mater. Res. Technol.* **2020**, *9*, 12484–12500. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Mariglis, M. The Influence of Inter-anneal and Cold Rolling Processes on Key Lithographic Sheet Properties. Ph.D Thesis, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, 2012.
4. Sverdlin, A. Properties of pure aluminum. In *Handbook of Aluminum*; Totten, G.E., MacKenzie, D.S., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2003; Volume 1, pp. 33–59.
5. Alam, T.; Ansari, A.H. Review on Aluminium and Its Alloys for automotive applications. *Int. J. Adv. Technol. Eng. Sci.* **2017**, *5*, 278–294.
6. Nurul, M.; Syahrullail, S. Surface texturing and alternative lubricant: Tribological study of tapered die sliding contact surface in cold extrusion process. *Tribol. Trans.* **2017**, *60*, 176–186. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Khaskhoussi, A.; Calabrese, L.; Patané, S.; Proverbio, E. Effect of Chemical Surface Texturing on the Superhydrophobic Behavior of Micro–Nano-Roughened AA6082 Surfaces. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 7161. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

8. Ahuir-Torres, J.I.; Arenas, M.; Perrie, W.; Dearden, G.; De Damborenea, J. Surface texturing of aluminium alloy AA2024-T3 by picosecond laser: Effect on wettability and corrosion properties. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2017**, *321*, 279–291. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Ansari, I.A.; Watkins, K.G.; Sharp, M.C.; Hutchinson, R.; Potts, R. Modification of Aluminium Surface Using Picosecond Laser for Printing Applications. *MRS Online Proc. Libr.* **2009**, *1230*, 714. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Ansari, I.; Watkins, K.; Sharp, M.; Hutchinson, R.; Potts, R.; Clowes, J. Modification of anodised aluminium surfaces using a picosecond fibre laser for printing applications. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *12*, 4946–4950. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
11. Kumar, A.; Alok, A.; Das, M. Surface texturing by electrochemical micromachining: A review. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2020.
12. Renk, T.J.; Provencio, P.P.; Shlapakovski, A.; Petrov, A.; Yatsui, K.; Jiang, W.; Suematsu, H. Materials modification using intense ion beams. *Proc. IEEE* **2004**, *92*, 1057–1081. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Vishnoi, M.; Kumar, P.; Murtaza, Q. Surface texturing techniques to enhance tribological performance: A review. *Surf. Interfaces* **2021**, *27*, 101463. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Boinovich, L.B.; Emelyanenko, A.M.; Modestov, A.D.; Domantovsky, A.G.; Emelyanenko, K.A. Synergistic effect of superhydrophobicity and oxidized layers on corrosion resistance of aluminum alloy surface textured by nanosecond laser treatment. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 19500–19508. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Misyura, S.; Feoktistov, D.; Morozov, V.; Orlova, E.; Kuznetsov, G.; Islamova, A. Effect of heat treatment on corrosion of laser-textured aluminum alloy surfaces. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2021**, *56*, 12845–12863. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Alvi, M.H.; Cheong, S.W.; Weiland, H.; Rollett, A.D. Recrystallization and texture development in hot rolled 1050 aluminum. In *Materials Science Forum*; Trans Tech Publications: Zurich, Switzerland, 2004.
17. Sharp, M.C.; Rosowski, A.P.; French, P.W. Nanosecond laser texturing of aluminium for control of wettability. In *Industrial Laser Applications Symposium (ILAS 2015)*; SPIE: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2015; Volume 9657, pp. 129–135.
18. Xia, D.-H.; Song, S.; Behnamian, Y.; Hu, W.; Cheng, Y.F.; Luo, J.-L.; Huet, F. Electrochemical noise applied in corrosion science: Theoretical and mathematical models towards quantitative analysis. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2020**, *167*, 081507. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Meng, G.; Wei, L.; Zhang, T.; Shao, Y.; Wang, F.; Dong, C.; Li, X. Effect of microcrystallization on pitting corrosion of pure aluminium. *Corros. Sci.* **2009**, *51*, 2151–2157. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Smialowska, Z.S. Pitting corrosion of aluminum. *Corros. Sci.* **1999**, *41*, 1743–1767. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Speckert, L.; Burstein, G. Combined anodic/cathodic transient currents within nucleating pits on Al-Fe alloy surfaces. *Corros. Sci.* **2011**, *53*, 534–539. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Kakinuma, H.; Muto, I.; Oya, Y.; Momii, T.; Sugawara, Y.; Hara, N. Morphological Change and Open-circuit Potential of Single Metastable Pit on AA1050 Aluminum in NaCl Solution. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2021**, *168*, 021504. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. García-Ochoa, E.; González-Sánchez, J.; Corvo, F.; Usagawa, Z.; Dzib-Pérez, L.; Castañeda, A. Application of electrochemical noise to evaluate outdoor atmospheric corrosion of copper after relatively short exposure periods. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2008**, *38*, 1363–1368. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Delijić, K.; Markoli, B.; Naglič, I. The influence of the chemical composition on the corrosion performances of some Al-Fe-Si, Al-Mg-Si and Al-Mg-Mn type of alloys. *Metall. Mater. Eng.* **2014**, *20*, 217–234. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Šeruga, M.; Hasenay, D. Electrochemical and surface properties of aluminium in citric acid solutions. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **2001**, *31*, 961–967. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Mansfeld, F.; Sun, Z. Localization index obtained from electrochemical noise analysis. *Corrosion* **1999**, *55*, 915–918. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Song, G.; Johannesson, B.; Hapugoda, S.; StJohn, D. Galvanic corrosion of magnesium alloy AZ91D in contact with an aluminium alloy, steel and zinc. *Corros. Sci.* **2004**, *46*, 955–977. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Urzua, R.; Siqueiros, J.; Morales, L.; Rosales, I.; Uruchurtu, J. On-line corrosion monitoring of 70 Cu 30 Ni alloy in a LiBr solution under absorption heat pump flow conditions. *Port. Electrochim. Acta* **2009**, *27*, 127–142. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Cottis, R.A. Electrochemical noise for corrosion monitoring. *Tech. Corros. Monit.* **2021**, *2*, 99–122. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Kelly, R.G.; Scully, J.R.; Shoesmith, D.; Buchheit, R.G. *Electrochemical Techniques in Corrosion Science and Engineering*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2002.
31. Seong-Jong, K.; Seok-Ki, J.; Min-Su, H.; Jae-Cheul, P.; Jeong, J.-Y.; Chong, S.-O. Mechanical and electrochemical characteristics in sea water of 5052-O aluminum alloy for ship. *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* **2013**, *23*, 636–641.
32. Anantharaj, S.; Noda, S.; Driess, M.; Menezes, P.W. The pitfalls of using potentiodynamic polarization curves for tafel analysis in electrocatalytic water splitting. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2021**, *6*, 1607–1611. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Zhang, X.; Jiang, Z.H.; Yao, Z.P.; Song, Y.; Wu, Z.D. Effects of scan rate on the potentiodynamic polarization curve obtained to determine the Tafel slopes and corrosion current density. *Corros. Sci.* **2009**, *51*, 581–587. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Kruger, J.; Revie, R.W. Passivity. In *Uhlig's Corrosion Handbook, 3rd ed*; ECS-The Electrochemical Society: Ontario, CA, USA, 2011; pp. 151–155.
35. Kakaei, K.; Esrafil, M.D.; Ehsani, A. Graphene and anticorrosive properties. In *Interface Science and Technology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 303–337.
36. Cesiulis, H.; Tsyntsaru, N.; Ramanavicius, A.; Ragoisha, G. The study of thin films by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. In *Nanostructures and Thin Films for Multifunctional Applications*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2016; pp. 3–42.
37. Shi, Y.; Pan, Q.; Li, M.; Huang, X.; Li, B. Effect of Sc and Zr additions on corrosion behaviour of Al-Zn-Mg-Cu alloys. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **2014**, *612*, 42–50. [\[CrossRef\]](#)



38. Fernandes, J.; Ferreira, M.; Rangel, C. Electrochemical impedance studies on pure aluminium in carbonate solution. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **1990**, *20*, 874–876. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Oh, H.-J.; Jang, K.-W.; Chi, C.-S. Impedance characteristics of oxide layers on aluminium. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *20*, 1340–1344.
40. Tiwari, S.; Balasubramaniam, R.; Gupta, M. Corrosion behavior of SiC reinforced magnesium composites. *Corros. Sci.* **2007**, *49*, 711–725. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Tighiouaret, S.; Hanna, A.; Azzeddine, H.; Rabahi, L.; Dakhouché, A.; Brisset, F.; Helbert, A.L.; Baudin, T.; Bradai, D. On the evolution of microstructure, texture and corrosion behavior of a hot-rolled and annealed AZ31 alloy. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *267*, 124598. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.