

TRAVEL INFORMATION NEEDS OF INFORMAL CARERS OF PEOPLE LIVING WITH DEMENTIA

Abstract. Understandings of tourist' contemporary information needs that emphasize spontaneous travel decision-making do not account for the contexts of people with dementia and their carers, for whom travelling requires unique adaptations. Through netnography in four online fora, this paper investigates the hitherto under-researched travel information needs of informal carers of people living with dementia. The qualitative data highlights the breadth of pre-travel information needs and their strong emotional dimension both when carers plan to travel with and without the person for whom they care. The analysis also uncovers the complex nature of travel-related information queries which refer not only to narrow tourism themes but also to health, healthcare and social policy. This exploratory paper concludes with general recommendations for addressing the travel information needs of this globally growing category of people, wherein collaboration across destinations, tourism and hospitality industries, the healthcare sector and other stakeholders may be required.

Keywords: carer, caregiver, dementia, tourism, information needs, information search, netnography.

This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

1 INTRODUCTION

The way people seek and find travel information has changed profoundly within the past three decades, as technological advancements have transformed the field of tourism and tourist behaviours (Gretzel et al., 2019; Lyu & Hwang, 2015; Pop et al., 2022). The information and decision-making process has become faster, smarter, more dynamic, more integrated in the tourist experience and often perceived as a positive and enjoyable part of modern tourist activities (Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020). However, these changes are inaccessible to those groups of travellers with different needs from those of the majority. For people with dementia and their unpaid, informal carers – often family members - travelling comes with many unique challenges, as dementia gradually worsens (Dooley et al., 2021; Innes et al., 2016; Karner & Bobbitt-Zeher, 2005; Scott, 2021). Although tourism may benefit wellbeing, their specific needs, including those in travel information, have been generally overlooked both in tourism industry and tourism scholarship (Bauer, 2019; Connell & Page, 2019; Wen et al., 2022).

Dementia is a neurodegenerative disorder, caused by various diseases, progressively impacting people's cognitive abilities, perceptions, behaviour, and their ability to perform everyday tasks, meaning they gradually become more dependent on their carers, who in turn experience their own limitations in life (Jalbert et al., 2008; James & Bennett, 2019; Schulz & Martire, 2004; WHO, 2022). . Research indicates that whilst leisure activities, such as tourism, remain inaccessible to carers, it can also improve quality of life and wellbeing of carers, providing much needed respite (Connell & Page, 2019; Hansen, 2022; Wen et al., 2022). Some carers travel with the person with dementia, others without or even both. For many, tourism offers an escape; a response to the

deteriorating mental and physical health conditions as well as increasing social isolation and exclusion that comes with provision of care (Motta-Ochoa et al., 2021; Ory et al., 1999; Prevo et al., 2018).

Carers seek information which would address their changed needs and situations. Due to the individual and dynamic nature of dementia, information needs are complex – people may require information not only about available facilities, services and environments but also about the condition itself as it develops (Soong et al., 2020). Personal and cultural context also shape information needs, which are not only of cognitive but also affective nature (Harland & Bath, 2008; Motta-Ochoa et al., 2021). While general information needs and information-seeking behaviours of people with dementia and their carers have been studied before (Harland et al., 2017; Harland & Bath, 2008; Soong et al., 2020; Steiner et al., 2016), research on the specific information needs in the context of tourism remains limited.

By 2030 the amount of hours of informal care for people with dementia globally will correspond to approximately 65 million full-time workers (Wimo et al., 2018). As the number of people affected by dementia is expected to “explode”, a better understanding of their needs is urgently required (Bauer, 2019; Connell & Page, 2019; Wen et al., 2022). In this article, we address this gap by employing netnography in four different online fora, where informal carers for people with dementia communicate about their tourism-related information needs. We explore and discuss the travel information needs of carers both when travelling with and without the person for whom they care. Before providing more details on the methodology of our research as well as presenting and discussing our findings, we summarize and synthesize scholarly literature on the two focal theoretical aspects of our research – the context of caring for someone living with dementia and information search behaviours of tourists.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 What does it mean to be an informal carer for a person living with dementia

The clinical symptoms of dementia gradually make it difficult for people living with this condition to engage in various daily activities, meaning care and assistance is required, often provided by informal carers, such as family members (Schulz & Martire, 2004; Skinner et al., 2021).

Informal care comprises various activities, including domestic and personal care tasks such as assistance with dressing, feeding, housework, taking medications and other treatments, as well as social tasks such as facilitation of contacts between the person living with dementia and healthcare workers and other social groups, e.g. friends and other family members (Garcia-Ptacek et al., 2019; Skinner et al., 2021). Although these tasks in themselves are often unpleasant, stressful and physically demanding, observing and coping with the decline of a person with dementia have been recognized as the most emotionally demanding and exhausting part of care (Adams, 2006; Cheng, 2017; Karner & Bobbitt-Zeher, 2005; Skinner et al., 2021).

Providing care for a person with dementia can result in so-called caregiver burden or caregiver distress, associated with lower levels of perceived quality of life, poorer mental health, depression, mental as well as physiological and functional disorders (Bremer et al., 2015; Cheng, 2017; Fonareva & Oken, 2014; Joling et al., 2015; Karg et al., 2018; Piquart & Sörensen, 2003; Stall et al., 2019).

Restrictions in leisure commonly brought up in the context of coping with caring, as care is typically performed by one carer (REFERENCE NEEDED). It is estimated that a person with dementia on average receives 6 hours of care per day, implying a substantial deduction in the

87 available free time for other activities, such as leisure (Wimo et al., 2018).. Apart from the amount
88 of time, it is the satisfaction with leisure that has been shown to be crucial in shaping the outcomes
89 of caring, as not all leisure is considered beneficial (Lee et al., 2007; Romero-Moreno et al., 2016;
90 Schüz et al., 2015).

91 Where carers have been used to travel as a meaningful leisure activity, restrictions in travel due to
92 provision of care may significantly harm their wellbeing. Gladwell and Bedini (2004) discovered
93 carers expressed “a particular sense of loss for leisure travel,” and saw their travel possibilities
94 impeded by physical, social and emotional barriers –when travelling with/without the person with
95 dementia. Travelling as a carer comes with additional considerations, many of which are new or
96 previously unknown to the carers themselves, resulting in additional stress and anxiety (Bauer,
97 2019; Peterson et al., 2022). Yet, Bauer (2019) highlighted the lack of research on carers for people
98 with dementia as a travel population. As such, the next section of the literature review summarises
99 the latest research on travel information search behaviours of the general population.

100 **2.2 Travel information search in the general population**

101 Information-related activities are considered a key element of decision-making, thus a crucial
102 component of travel behaviour (Jacobsen & Munar, 2012; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020; Zins, 2007).
103 Travel information search or information-seeking refers to people actively collecting, processing
104 and integrating information for making a choice or reducing uncertainty related to travel (Fodness
105 & Murray, 1997; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020; Zarezadeh et al., 2019). Apart from influencing the
106 decisions themselves, information search is also seen as affecting the creation of expectations of the
107 travellers, thereby shaping travel behaviour in multiple ways (Xiang et al., 2015). Research
108 indicates that tourists’ engagement with information is rather complex, and its common patterns
109 develop dynamically alongside changes in the tourism industry and the field of information and
110 communication technologies (Gretzel et al., 2019; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020).

111 Research on travel information-seeking takes a variation of a cost/benefit perspective. Costs are
112 typically associated with information seeking itself and can include monetary costs, the time spent
113 on looking for and processing information as well as other forms of effort; benefits mostly refer to
114 the travel experiences and can take form of monetary benefits (i.e. lower expenses) or experiential
115 benefits (Gursoy & McCleary, 2004; Vogt & Fesenmaier, 1998; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020; Zins,
116 2007). The reduction of trip-related uncertainty is another sought benefit (Fodness & Murray,
117 1997). As information search is becoming more integrated into the travel experience (Xiang &
118 Fesenmaier, 2020), the cost/benefit perspective is losing in its prominence. However, the continued
119 focus on making information search increasingly convenient reiterates the general assumption of
120 information-seeking being an effortful instrument for enabling pleasurable and frustration-free
121 travel.

122 Conceptualized as a process unfolding over time (Fodness & Murray, 1997; Xiang & Fesenmaier,
123 2020), travel information search is typically mapped out along all phases of tourist behaviour,
124 including increasingly in-situ information search (Bieger & Laesser, 2004; Gretzel et al., 2019;
125 Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020). These developments are enabled by the spread of smartphones, mobile
126 internet coverage, and the increasing availability of dynamic information, allowing for spontaneous
127 information needs. Differentiating between the phases of travel behaviour is practical for
128 identifying and grouping the most salient decisions, for which tourists are searching for
129 information. In tourism, these decisions are rather diverse and may include the choice of
130 destination, route and schedule, carrier, budget, accommodation, attractions, restaurants etc. (Xiang
131 & Fesenmaier, 2020).

132 There is also a variety of information sources that tourists use. Developments around social media
133 and online communities made information search particularly fluid, flexible and fragmented (Choe
134 et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2015; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020), as these tools cut through the
135 dichotomy of personal (e.g. friends, other fellow travellers) and external and commercial travel
136 information sources.

137 While certain elements, such as time, types of decisions and information sources are common
138 elements of travel information search, the process itself is very diverse across different tourists,
139 influenced by gender, income, age and culture etc. (Gretzel et al., 2019; Zarezadeh et al., 2019;
140 Zins, 2007). The context of being a carer for a person with dementia inevitably affects one's travel
141 information search and one's travel information needs specifically. We thus continue by explicating
142 the methodology we have employed in this paper to explore this issue.

143 **3 METHODOLOGY**

144 This interpretive piece of research explores the information needs of carers of people with dementia
145 in regards to tourism. We employed netnography – a method for studying online communities
146 through investigating “online traces” of their thoughts, feelings and actions (Kozinets, 2020).
147 Similar to ethnography, netnography enables the investigation of contemporary social issues and
148 behaviours, but in an online context (Lund et al., 2018). This method was chosen due to the tourism
149 literature's suggestion most of today's travel information search takes place online (Gretzel et al.,
150 2019; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020; Xiang & Gretzel, 2010).. Netnography provides an unobtrusive
151 way of reaching the relatively large but spatially scattered population of carers of people with
152 dementia with the possibility of retaining their anonymity. This was particularly important, given
153 that dementia and caring for someone with dementia are commonly associated with shortage of time
154 and social stigma (Cohen et al., 2014; Herrmann et al., 2018; Nguyen & Li, 2020). Second,
155 netnography enabled us to “meet” carers in places “where they already are” (Langer & Beckman,
156 2005), which had the advantage of observing the carers' travel information search in one of its
157 natural settings.

158 We conducted our research in online spaces where the carers are likely to communicate their travel
159 information needs. Based on an exploratory search of relevant online fora, we selected four of them
160 – the travel forum on *Tripadvisor* (<https://www.tripadvisor.com/ForumHome>) – the world's largest
161 online travel platform (Tripadvisor, 2022), *Reddit* (<https://www.reddit.com>) – the self-proclaimed
162 “front page of the Internet” and one of the world's most popular websites (Amaya et al., 2021),
163 *Dementia Talking Point* (<https://forum.alzheimers.org.uk>) – the online message board of the UK
164 Alzheimer Society and finally *ALZ Connected* (<https://www.alzconnected.org/default.aspx>) – the
165 online message board of the US Alzheimer's Association. The intention was to explore diversity
166 within different online communities. Users of Tripadvisor are primarily united by the theme of
167 travel, the *Dementia Talking Point* and *ALZ Connected* are both online communities of people with
168 dementia and carers for people living with dementia, while the millions of Reddit users
169 communicate in thousands of diversely themed “subreddits” – pages dedicated to a given topic. In
170 our research, we only considered posts on these platforms written in English, and we do
171 acknowledge the cultural biases this linguistic restriction causes.

172 We resorted to passive netnography, meaning we did not engage in interactions with the users,
173 observing the communications covertly. All posts and responses to them on the four chosen
174 platforms were posted publicly and under pseudonyms (i.e. usernames). Practically, the
175 netnography was performed by the first two authors of this article, where one of the authors
176 conducted it on Tripadvisor and Reddit, while the other collected and ordered data from *Dementia*

177 *Talking Point and ALZ Connected*. Data was analysed through thematic analysis collaboratively by
178 all authors. To narrow down content in the otherwise extensive amounts of material on each of the
179 platforms, certain keywords were searched: in Tripadvisor Forum – “dementia” and “Alzheimers”,
180 in Reddit – “dementia” and “Alzheimers” in the “r/travel” subreddit and “travel”, “trip”, “vacation”,
181 “holiday” in the “r/dementia” and “r/Alzheimers” subreddits, whilst “travelling with dementia”,
182 “holiday” and “vacation” were used in *Dementia Talking Point and ALZ Connected*. Considering
183 the amounts of found materials, only Tripadvisor, *Dementia Talking Point and ALZ Connected*
184 threads posted from 2019 onwards were visited, while all found threads on Reddit were observed,
185 some of which were more than 10 years old. Where mentions of dementia were out of context, these
186 threads were not included in the analysis.

187 The investigative part of the netnography took place in two forms. The authors kept an extensive
188 journal capturing their general thoughts and impressions from observations. At the same time, we
189 used the *Hypothes.is* tool for the initial round of open, inductive coding, informed by the literature
190 review. *Hypothes.is* is a free annotation tool, which we used to highlight and annotate elements of
191 webpages and sharing them with each other, to capture the internet address (URL) of the thread, the
192 text passages of interest, add notes and tags (as codes). Overall, 21 threads were coded in
193 Tripadvisor, 49 in Reddit, 20 in *Dementia Talking Point* and 11 in *ALZ Connected*. Consequently,
194 the captured data were systematized in a spreadsheet, upon which a second round of individual
195 coding was performed. Following this, we discussed our codes and initial ideas of themes
196 collaboratively to arrive at the final list of themes, which we dwell into in the next section of the
197 paper.

198 **4 FINDINGS**

199 We present our findings by discussing the carers’ thoughts and considerations separately in two
200 situations. In the first one, carers consider travelling together with the person for whom they care. In
201 the second situation, plan to travel without the person for whom they care joining them..

202 **4.1. Carers travelling with the person for whom they care**

203 **4.1.1 To travel or not to travel.** For many carers the notion of traveling with someone with
204 dementia goes beyond the usual idea of a vacation, with some questioning whether travelling is a
205 good idea at all. Concerns exist over whether the potential detrimental effects outweigh the
206 potential benefits, thus presenting a dilemma. Seemingly, the uncertainty leads to fear over possible
207 outcomes regarding the negative impact of travelling on the person with dementia and the
208 difficulties of travelling together. Thus, carers seek the experiences of others, illustrating a lack of
209 knowledge. Yet, the data suggests an expectation that unpleasant things are unavoidable, especially
210 if consequences of dementia are prominent in everyday life (e.g. “*I’m terrified [...] that he is going*
211 *to get confused and aggressive*”, a user on Reddit). Fear and nervousness over the uncertainties can
212 turn to helplessness and restlessness, as one ALZ Connected user puts it, “*One day dementia is*
213 *likely to spoil your trips just as it spoils everything else.*” Seemingly, carers fear not if dementia will
214 spoil travelling, but *when* it will.

215 Despite the challenges, carers also consider the advantages of travel, including respite, “checking
216 off bucket list items” and fear-of-missing-out . Yet, as dementia progresses very quickly the
217 situation can change between deciding to travel and actual travel. This places additional pressures
218 on carers to make quick decisions, and potentially even moving travel plans. The data indicates
219 carers prioritise the condition of the person with dementia, as opposed to the facilities and services
220 available for travel at the point of purchase.

221 **4.1.2 Destination and activities.** One of the most common queries refers to destinations and
222 activities, presenting rather complex decisions. A key consideration is the personal preferences.
223 Travelling with someone with dementia is often seen as a last vacation, meaning pressure exists on
224 making the trip special, with one user expressing a desire to “*bring some light back to his [father-
225 in-law’s] eyes*”. Destination choice often revolve around reliving past moments and “taking a trip
226 down memory lane” to induce positive memories.

227 Importantly, the itinerary should be interesting for everyone travelling. Accordingly, some levels of
228 flexibility and diversity are required in the plan. Cities provide more options for activities catering
229 to diverse interests and audiences, yet carers seek to avoid these destinations, searching instead for
230 “calm”, “stress-free”, “relaxing” and “regenerative” activities. Paradoxically, the activities should
231 be “active”, “stimulating” and “engaging,”, resulting in a conundrum. Additionally, people with
232 dementia tend to be of older age, and often co-morbid.

233 Practical considerations include proximity to home and short duration of travel, with a desire for
234 destinations near by.. Avoiding air transport seemed preferred, considered too stressful and limiting
235 for persons with dementia. However, due to ageing, driving a car may not be an option either. As
236 such, some carers are willing to use the services of specialized tour organizers, although these
237 appear limited..

238 **4.1.3 Mobility and transport.** Transport to the destination is commonly seen as the most stressful
239 and problematic element of travel, with the conditions relating to transport often defining
240 destination choice or indeed the decision to travel or not. Where air transport may be unavoidable,
241 carers seek information to make the journey simpler, more comfortable and alleviating stresses.
242 This would imply having fewer connections and using available support services at airports and
243 airlines. The type and level of available services appears unclear to carers, as most airports and
244 airlines address all disabilities under one umbrella, with dementia disregarded. Indeed, the data
245 revealed that users were often concerned over the sheer size, unfamiliar environments and
246 structured nature of airports and cruise ships, whilst the restrictive nature and smaller size of
247 airplane cabins were noted as stress inducing to the person with dementia due to the inability to
248 leave and thus difficult to manage by the carer. As such, carers are uncertain as to what they can
249 expect (or demand) from transport providers. The issue of communicating dementia to staff and
250 other passengers is thus critical.

251 .

252

253 **4.1.4 Communication.** As dementia is considered a hidden disability and therefore does not have
254 the same widely recognized visible markers like other disabilities (e.g. wheelchair, white cane), a
255 challenge arises over communicating the disability – both to relevant staff members and the
256 surrounding public. On the one hand, carers would like to communicate this fact to explain or
257 excuse the behaviour of the person with dementia that people may find inappropriate in certain
258 situations. On the other hand, communicating this information is necessary for receiving the
259 necessary assistance. One important consideration however is to maintain the dignity of the person
260 with dementia and thus to keep such communication discreet and possibly unnoticeable for the
261 person with dementia. The idea of “sunflower lanyards” – a UK-based global initiative - discreetly
262 signifying one has a hidden disability was discussed and praised by the forum users, uncertainty
263 remained on how broadly these lanyards are accepted and recognized.

264 **4.1.5 The (not so) mundane.** Carers are concerned about situations occurring in unfamiliar
265 contexts, where their skills or experiences of caring might be insufficient. Carers thus inquire about
266 tips on keeping a person with dementia safe during a vacation, fearing that the person gets lost in an
267 unknown environment. Accordingly users enquired about how to keep track of the person with
268 dementia, with various tracking devices recommended in response. For example, a *Dementia*
269 *Talking Point* user recommended “*getting an AirTag for keys or on a lanyard. It helps to locate*
270 *things/ him*”.

271 Another critical consideration, and potential barrier, is appropriate travel insurance. As many
272 insurance providers do not cover for dementia as a pre-existing condition, carers seek viable
273 alternatives, with costs proving a considerable barrier, according to users on *Dementia Talking*
274 *Point*. Some carers also search for temporary care or support services for persons with dementia at
275 the destination enabling the carers to have a rest from caregiving during the vacation without
276 jeopardizing the safety of the person with dementia.

277 **4.1.6 Connecting with everyday life.** While travelling usually provides a break from everyday life,
278 the symptoms of dementia make it difficult to adjust to new environments, new conditions, new
279 timings, etc. Therefore, many carers are concerned about how they can soothe such adjustment
280 during travel and upon return home. These concerns are not without merit, as illustrated by an ALZ
281 Connected user: “*The safe and familiar routines we have at home faded away and the new*
282 *environment provided new challenges for both of us*”. Another *Dementia Talking Point* user
283 commented: “*The trouble with holidays is that the person with dementia is often much worse in an*
284 *unfamiliar environment that they would be at home or on more familiar territory*”. The carers’
285 questions relate to ways of maintaining the usual routines, picking flight options aligning with usual
286 daily rhythms, and tips for coping with returning home.

287 **4.2. Carers travelling without the person for whom they care**

288 **4.2.1 To travel or not to travel.** The dilemma of whether to travel or not is also present in situations
289 where the carer considers travelling without the person with dementia. Vacations are seen as
290 opportunities of respite, to rest and relax, thereby improving quality of life of the carer. Yet, the
291 decision is often wrought with feelings of guilt, resentment and worry. The netnographies pointed to
292 two aspects of this issue, around practicalities and emotions.

293 “*I’ve been wrecked with guilt [...] while I took my family on vacation, guilt over not spending more*
294 *time with him*” wrote one Reddit user, illustrating the guilt and unease that many carers feel when
295 going off on a holiday. Simultaneously, users were often in need of confirmation from others that
296 travelling without the person with dementia was indeed acceptable : “*I guess I hope someone will*
297 *just tell me it's ok to go on vacation*” (an ALZ Connected user).

298 **4.2.2 How to make space and time to travel.** Even when convinced to travel, the practicalities of
299 doing so were unclear. Due to the increasing prevalence of cases of dementia, more diverse
300 population groups are affected by caregiving, with a common theme around some carers in the early
301 stages of adulthood feeling “trapped” and thus resentful as a result. One user commented: “*When do*
302 *I get to live my life? By the time my parents were my age, both had travelled around the world and*
303 *were well into their careers?*”. People may have a strong desire to travel, but may not have the
304 means – time-wise or financially – to cover for both caregiving and leisure. Therefore, there is an
305 increasing need for information on social insurance schemes or other frames that allow carers to
306 travel. Another, less obvious side of this issue is the availability of information on last minute travel
307 possibilities, when an unexpected break from caregiving occurs, such as it happened for a

308 Tripadvisor user, whose granddaughter volunteered to take care of her great-grandmother for a short
309 period of time.

310 **4.2.3 Temporary care.** Possibilities for temporary care whilst away on vacation is the most sought-
311 after piece of information, according to our data. Depending on the condition of the person with
312 dementia, there might be a need for only occasional visits by someone to check-up on the person
313 with dementia or a placement in a specialized facility might be required. Either way, difficulties are
314 expected And some carers thus seek advice on which information should be provided to those
315 providing temporary care,.

316 Crucially, ease of mind, enabled by a trust in temporary care, is important for the wellbeing of
317 carers on vacation. A Reddit user, for example, emphasized how, *“it is so relaxing [...] knowing*
318 *that everyone around is trained enough [...] and can act appropriately”*. Nevertheless, carers fear
319 the quality of care delivered whilst away can result in detrimental impacts on the carer during and
320 after the vacation and may aggravate the condition of the person with dementia. One user uncovered
321 the horrific state of care delivered and reflected: *“All the respite and the relaxation of the few days*
322 *away feels for naught”*

323 **5 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

324 Netnography allowed us to observe the specific travel information needs of carers for people with
325 dementia thereby developing a wider understanding of their information search, an essential part of
326 travel behaviour (Jacobsen & Munar, 2012). Throughout, the travel-related queries overlapped with
327 medical and health-related matters, legal matters, practical everyday matters, moral and social
328 matters, thus indicating the breadth of needs that the tourism industry needs to be aware of when
329 catering to this group of travellers. The mere amount of posts and questions and the carers’ reliance
330 on peer-to-peer communication suggest that this information is currently inaccessible; echoing the
331 common recognition of information access as a barrier for people with disabilities (Fennell &
332 Garrod, 2022). Healthcare professionals and health-related resources are often seen as possible
333 sources of such information, but our research confirms that their capacities in providing relevant,
334 nuanced information are insufficient; carers’ needs are generally overlooked beyond the clinical
335 needs of persons with dementia (Bauer, 2019; Soong et al., 2020).

336 Our research also suggests carers are uncomfortable inquiring about travel-related information from
337 people with little knowledge of caring. This became obvious from comparing the conversations
338 between the four different platforms, as users fitted their messages and queries within the thematic
339 positionings of each of the online communities. Notably, responses on TripAdvisor were less
340 supportive and sensitive to the complexities of dementia, whereas users of Reddit, ALZ Connected
341 and Dementia Talking Point fora, users posted supportive, detailed and personal stories.

342 Importantly, users on all platforms were seeking personal experiences of other users rather than
343 simple directions to available resources as these were considered more authentic and trustworthy.
344 The fora on the UK’s and US’s Alzheimer Society websites stood out with numerous rich and thick
345 descriptions of users’ past travel experiences, which makes these platforms useful sources of
346 information for other carers. Unfortunately, this latent information is likely hidden to those who do
347 not engage with the fora as it is not prominent in search engines and likely hidden by the abundant
348 Internet-usage of terms “dementia” and “Alzheimer” that do not refer to these conditions directly.

349 Key to our research is the emotional valence that comes with information search, most notably the
350 worry and guilt carers experience when travelling with or without the person with dementia. The
351 data suggests that information needs are in fact rather complex. One plausible explanation is that the

everyday contexts of carers clash with the way tourism is presented and organized. Travelling is typically considered a joyful experience. Yet, our data reveals travelling also includes various obstacles and uncertainties beginning long before the vacation starts, including worry, guilt, resentment etc..

As such, carers are forced to engage in extensive and elaborate planning, which seemingly leaves little room for spontaneous and *in-situ* decisions, which is in contrast to developments in mainstream travel information search behaviour, where tourists are seen as thriving on spontaneity (Gretzel et al., 2019; Xiang & Fesenmaier, 2020). Carers address their anxieties by making sure all details and options for both the journey to/from and within the destination are established before departure. Travelling without the person with dementia might offer a break from caring duties and be a way of dealing with caregiver burden (Bauer, 2019). However, extensive planning is still required and the journey is still wrought with feelings of guilt and worry. Thus, whether travelling with or without a person with dementia, the traveller still has special needs..

Despite serious challenges, carers remain motivated to engage in tourism activities. Travel is important, offering “last chance tourism” with their loved ones, trips down memory lane, checking off bucket lists, and creating shared memories, and bringing respite from caring and improving quality of life (Connell & Page, 2019; Hansen, 2022). In Table 1, we provide a schematic overview of the key issues, we identified in our research and suggestions for managerial policies and actions that can turn the informational barriers into opportunities in tourism.

Table 1. Key issues identified in the research and suggestions for managerial policies and actions

Key issues	Managerial implications in tourism
Carers lacking information on travel possibilities when performing full-time care.	It is in the interest of travel-related entities to provide information on social tourism possibilities, social support programmes.
Carers being uncertain regarding the benefits and dangers of their travel for the person with dementia for whom they care	Service providers and dementia organizations (e.g. Alzheimer Societies) should collaborate with healthcare professionals on developing general guidelines on travelling for carers, adapted to the national and cultural context of the carers.
Carers being uncertain regarding available facilities and services for themselves as well as the person with dementia for whom they care.	Destinations and service providers should explicitly communicate the existence of dementia-friendly services, facilities and resources. Where dementia-friendly services or facilities are not available, such absence should be explicitly communicated.
Carers lacking knowledge on travel itineraries and activities for travel parties that include people with dementia	Destinations and service providers should develop itineraries containing diverse activities , where distances between destinations are short and do not require public transport, .
Carers desiring to hear stories and experiences of other carers.	Destinations, service providers and dementia organizations should communicate testimonials from past travellers who are carers or persons with dementia, making them accessible for wider audiences.

Carers desiring to receive custom recommendations for their own context.	Service providers and dementia organizations may direct carers to resources where carers can feel comfortable to share details of their personal context and ask for peer recommendations (such as online fora)
Carers feeling a wide range emotions throughout information search.	Destinations, service providers and dementia organizations can use rhetorical tools in the communications to acknowledge the emotional challenges of carers planning for travel and help them gain confidence through the information-search process.

372

373 6 CONCLUSIONS

374 In this research, we conducted netnography in four online fora to explore the travel information
375 needs of carers for people living with dementia. To our best knowledge, this is the first published
376 attempt to address the special information needs of carers in a travel context in a systematic way.
377 This paper not only deepens understandings of the interrelations between dementia and tourism, but
378 also expand the perceptions of what it means to be a tourist by enumerating the often unpleasant
379 aspects of being a carer-tourist. Most notably, a carer-tourist requires much more planning prior to a
380 trip, has little room for spontaneous and *in situ* decisions or information search *en route*, and their
381 travel information search is accompanied by strong emotional journey that in itself can negatively
382 affect the wellbeing of the carer. Based on our analysis, we provide a list of general
383 recommendations for improving information provision relevant for carers, who would like to
384 engage in tourism.

385 The challenges faced by carers of people with dementia are complex. Travel-related information
386 needs are not bounded by offerings of destinations, the tourism and hospitality industries, but also
387 touch upon issues of health, healthcare and social policy. This means that for improving information
388 provision, tourism businesses and destinations have to collaborate with other stakeholders, such as
389 healthcare professionals, public health bodies, social workers, government agencies as well as
390 organizations that represent the interests of carers and persons living with dementia. Such
391 collaboration is even more crucial, considering that some of carers' information search is directed to
392 finding facilities and services that may not even exist. Crucially, the growing number of people with
393 dementia and other demographic changes in our societies leads to an increasing number of younger
394 informal carers lacking the financial means or social capital required for traveling, effectively
395 making tourism inaccessible to this demographic, with implications on their mental and physical
396 health. This is a societal problem and our research highlights the need for social tourism options for
397 informal carers to be developed.

398 This paper's empirical findings should be considered through the prism of limitations of our
399 research approach, pointing toward future opportunities. While netnography provided us with a
400 comprehensive and unobtrusive way of exploring the information needs of carers of people with
401 dementia, it restricted our ability in assessing the information needs of those carers that do not use
402 the online resources we explored or do not actively post in them. Evidently, the key points
403 identified in our research may not only need further evaluation but possible expansion through a
404 more active involvement of carers in future research. Another limitation is that our exploration of
405 information needs took place from the standpoint of identifying gaps in information provision. As a
406 result, we do not capture the carers' existing behaviour beyond the four online communities. It is

notworthy that despite the challenges and difficulties in finding some information, many carers are resourceful and effective in searching, finding and co-creating information with others.

7 REFERENCES

- Adams, K. B. (2006). The Transition to Caregiving: The Experience of Family Members Embarking on the Dementia Caregiving Career. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work*, 47(3–4), 3–29. https://doi.org/10.1300/J083v47n03_02
- Amaya, A., Bach, R., Keusch, F., & Kreuter, F. (2021). New Data Sources in Social Science Research: Things to Know Before Working With Reddit Data. *Social Science Computer Review*, 39(5), 943–960. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439319893305>
- Bauer, I. L. (2019). Caregivers of travellers with dementia—A neglected travel population. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 26(7), taz061.
- Beldona, S. (2005). Cohort Analysis of Online Travel Information Search Behavior: 1995–2000. *Journal of Travel Research*, 44(2), 135–142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287505278995>
- Bieger, T., & Laesser, C. (2004). Information Sources for Travel Decisions: Toward a Source Process Model. *Journal of Travel Research*, 42(4), 357–371. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287504263030>
- Bremer, P., Cabrera, E., Leino-Kilpi, H., Lethin, C., Saks, K., Sutcliffe, C., Soto, M., Zwakhalen, S. M. G., & Wübker, A. (2015). Informal dementia care: Consequences for caregivers' health and health care use in 8 European countries. *Health Policy*, 119(11), 1459–1471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2015.09.014>
- Cheng, S.-T. (2017). Dementia Caregiver Burden: A Research Update and Critical Analysis. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 19(9), 64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-017-0818-2>
- Cherry, M. G., Salmon, P., Dickson, J. M., Powell, D., Sikdar, S., & Ablett, J. (2013). Factors influencing the resilience of carers of individuals with dementia. *Reviews in Clinical Gerontology*, 23(4), 251–266. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959259813000130>
- Choe, Y., Kim, J. (Jamie), & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2017). Use of social media across the trip experience: An application of latent transition analysis. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 34(4), 431–443. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2016.1182459>
- Cohen, L. W., Zimmerman, S., Reed, D., Sloane, P. D., Beeber, A. S., Washington, T., Cagle, J. G., & Gwyther, L. P. (2014). Dementia in Relation to Family Caregiver Involvement and Burden in Long-Term Care. *Journal of Applied Gerontology*, 33(5), 522–540. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464813505701>
- Connell, J., & Page, S. J. (2019b). Case study: Destination readiness for dementia-friendly visitor experiences: A scoping study. *Tourism Management*, 70, 29–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.05.013>
- Dooley, J., Webb, J., James, R., Davis, H., & Read, S. (2021). Everyday experiences of post-diagnosis life with dementia: A co-produced photography study. *Dementia*, 20(6), 1891–1909. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301220973632>
- Fodness, D., & Murray, B. (1997). Tourist information search. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 24(3), 503–523. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383\(97\)00009-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383(97)00009-1)
- Fonareva, I., & Oken, B. S. (2014). Physiological and functional consequences of caregiving for relatives with dementia. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 26(5), 725–747. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610214000039>

- Garcia-Ptacek, S., Dahlrup, B., Edlund, A.-K., Wijk, H., & Eriksdotter, M. (2019). The caregiving phenomenon and caregiver participation in dementia. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences*, 33(2), 255–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12627>
- Gladwell, N. J., & Bedini, L. A. (2004). In search of lost leisure: The impact of caregiving on leisure travel. *Tourism Management*, 25(6), 685–693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2003.09.003>
- Gretzel, U., Zarezadeh, Z., Li, Y., & Xiang, Z. (2019). The evolution of travel information search research: A perspective article. *Tourism Review*, 75(1), 319–323. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TR-06-2019-0279>
- Gursoy, D., & Chen, J. S. (2000). Competitive analysis of cross cultural information search behavior. *Tourism Management*, 21(6), 583–590. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(00\)00005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(00)00005-4)
- Gursoy, D., & McCleary, K. W. (2004). An Integrative Model of Tourists' Information Search Behavior. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31(2), 353–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2003.12.004>
- Hansen, M. (2022). Case Study: Dementia-friendly Heritage Visitor Attractions: A Case Study of the National Trust. In A. Fyall, B. Garrod, A. Leask, & S. Wanhill (Eds.), *Managing Visitor Attractions* (3rd ed., pp. 399–405). Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.
- Harland, J., & Bath, P. A. (2008). Understanding the information behaviours of carers of people with dementia: A critical review of models from information science. *Aging & Mental Health*, 12(4), 467–477. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860802224300>
- Harland, J., Bath, P. A., Wainwright, A., & Seymour, J. (2017). Making sense of dementia: A phenomenographic study of the information behaviours of people diagnosed with dementia. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 69(3), 261–277. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-08-2016-0141>
- Harmell, A. L., Chattillion, E. A., Roepke, S. K., & Mausbach, B. T. (2011). A Review of the Psychobiology of Dementia Caregiving: A Focus on Resilience Factors. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 13(3), 219–224. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-011-0187-1>
- Herrmann, L. K., Welter, E., Leverenz, J., Lerner, A. J., Udelson, N., Kanetsky, C., & Sajatovic, M. (2018). A Systematic Review of Dementia-related Stigma Research: Can We Move the Stigma Dial? *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26(3), 316–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2017.09.006>
- Innes, A., Page, S. J., & Cutler, C. (2016). Barriers to leisure participation for people with dementia and their carers: An exploratory analysis of carer and people with dementia's experiences. *Dementia*, 15(6), 1643–1665. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301215570346>
- Jacobsen, J. Kr. S., & Munar, A. M. (2012). Tourist information search and destination choice in a digital age. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 1, 39–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2011.12.005>
- Jalbert, J. J., Daiello, L. A., & Lapane, K. L. (2008). Dementia of the Alzheimer Type. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 30(1), 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxn008>
- James, B. D., & Bennett, D. A. (2019). Causes and Patterns of Dementia: An Update in the Era of Redefining Alzheimer's Disease. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 40(1), 65–84. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043758>
- Jani, D., Jang, J.-H., & Hwang, Y.-H. (2014). Big Five Factors of Personality and Tourists' Internet Search Behavior. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 19(5), 600–615. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2013.773922>
- Joling, K. J., van Marwijk, H. W. J., Veldhuijzen, A. E., van der Horst, H. E., Scheltens, P., Smit, F., & van Hout, H. P. J. (2015). The two-year incidence of depression and anxiety disorders

in spousal caregivers of persons with dementia: Who is at the greatest risk? *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry: Official Journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*, 23(3), 293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2014.05.005>

Joling, K. J., Windle, G., Dröes, R.-M., Huisman, M., Hertogh, C. M. P. M., & Woods, R. T. (2017). What are the essential features of resilience for informal caregivers of people living with dementia? A Delphi consensus examination. *Aging & Mental Health*, 21(5), 509–517. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2015.1124836>

Jones, S. M., Mioshi, E., & Killett, A. (2019). Coping but not allowing the coping to be everything: Resilience in informal dementia care. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 27(4), e289–e297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12732>

Jordan, E. J., Norman, W. C., & Vogt, C. A. (2013). A cross-cultural comparison of online travel information search behaviors. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 6, 15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2012.11.002>

Karg, N., Graessel, E., Randzio, O., & Pendergrass, A. (2018). Dementia as a predictor of care-related quality of life in informal caregivers: A cross-sectional study to investigate differences in health-related outcomes between dementia and non-dementia caregivers. *BMC Geriatrics*, 18(1), 189. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-0885-1>

Karner, T. X., & Bobbitt-Zeher, D. (2005). Losing Selves: Dementia Care as Disruption and Transformation. *Symbolic Interaction*, 28(4), 549–570. <https://doi.org/10.1525/si.2005.28.4.549>

Kim, D.-Y., Lehto, X. Y., & Morrison, A. M. (2007). Gender differences in online travel information search: Implications for marketing communications on the internet. *Tourism Management*, 28(2), 423–433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2006.04.001>

Kozinets, R. V. (2020). E-Tourism Research, Cultural Understanding, and Netnography. In Z. Xiang, M. Fuchs, U. Gretzel, & W. Höpken (Eds.), *Handbook of e-Tourism* (pp. 1–16). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05324-6_43-1

Langer, R., & Beckman, S. C. (2005). Sensitive research topics: Netnography revisited. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 8(2), 189–203. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750510592454>

Lee, J., Soutar, G., & Daly, T. (2007). Tourists' Search for Different Types of Information: A Cross-National Study. *Information Technology & Tourism*, 9(3–4), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.3727/109830507782167006>

Li, X., Li, X. (Robert), & Hudson, S. (2013). The application of generational theory to tourism consumer behavior: An American perspective. *Tourism Management*, 37, 147–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2013.01.015>

Lund, N. F., Cohen, S. A., & Scarles, C. (2018). The power of social media storytelling in destination branding. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 8, 271–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2017.05.003>

Luo, M., Feng, R., & Cai, L. A. (2004). Information Search Behavior and Tourist Characteristics: The Internet vis-à-vis Other Information Sources. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 17(2–3), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1300/J073v17n02_02

Lyu, S. O., & Hwang, J. (2015). Are the days of tourist information centers gone? Effects of the ubiquitous information environment. *Tourism Management*, 48, 54–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.11.001>

Motta-Ochoa, R., Bresba, P., Da Silva Castanheira, J., Lai Kwan, C., Shaffer, S., Julien, O., William, M., & Blain-Moraes, S. (2021). “When I hear my language, I travel back in time and I feel at home”: Intersections of culture with social inclusion and exclusion of persons

with dementia and their caregivers. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 13634615211001708.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/13634615211001707>

Nguyen, T., & Li, X. (2020). Understanding public-stigma and self-stigma in the context of dementia: A systematic review of the global literature. *Dementia*, 19(2), 148–181.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1471301218800122>

Ory, M. G., Hoffman, R. R., III, Yee, J. L., Tennstedt, S., & Schulz, R. (1999). Prevalence and Impact of Caregiving: A Detailed Comparison Between Dementia and Nondementia Caregivers. *The Gerontologist*, 39(2), 177–186. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/39.2.177>

Peterson, C. M., Birkeland, R. W., Barsel, S., Statz, T. L., Gaugler, J. E., & Finlay, J. M. (2022). ‘Sick with stress’: Perspectives on airport travel from persons living with dementia and their travel companions. *Disability & Society*. Advance online publication.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2022.2070060>

Pinquart, M., & Sörensen, S. (2003). Differences between caregivers and noncaregivers in psychological health and physical health: A meta-analysis. *Psychology and Aging*, 18(2), 250–267. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.18.2.250>

Pop, R.-A., Săplăcan, Z., Dabija, D.-C., & Alt, M.-A. (2022). The impact of social media influencers on travel decisions: The role of trust in consumer decision journey. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 25(5), 823–843. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2021.1895729>

Prevo, L., Hajema, K., Linssen, E., Kremers, S., Crutzen, R., & Schneider, F. (2018). Population Characteristics and Needs of Informal Caregivers Associated With the Risk of Perceiving a High Burden: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Inquiry: A Journal of Medical Care Organization, Provision and Financing*, 55, 0046958018775570.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0046958018775570>

Romero-Moreno, R., Losada, A., Márquez-González, M., & Mausbach, B. T. (2016). Stressors and anxiety in dementia caregiving: Multiple mediation analysis of rumination, experiential avoidance, and leisure. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 28(11), 1835–1844.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610216001009>

Schulz, R., & Martire, L. M. (2004). Family Caregiving of Persons With Dementia: Prevalence, Health Effects, and Support Strategies. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 12(3), 240–249. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00019442-200405000-00002>

Schüz, B., Czerniawski, A., Davie, N., Miller, L., Quinn, M. G., King, C., Carr, A., Elliott, K.-E. J., Robinson, A., & Scott, J. L. (2015). Leisure Time Activities and Mental Health in Informal Dementia Caregivers. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being*, 7(2), 230–248.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/aphw.12046>

Scott, H. (2021). The changing self: The impact of dementia on the personal and social identity of women (findings from the Improving the Experience of Dementia and Enhancing Active Life programme). *Dementia*, 21(2), 14713012211047352.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14713012211047351>

Skinner, M. S., Lorentzen, H., Tingvold, L., Sortland, O., Andfossen, N. B., & Jegermalm, M. (2021). Volunteers and Informal Caregivers’ Contributions and Collaboration with Formal Caregivers in Norwegian Long-term Care. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 33(6), 647–672. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2020.1745988>

Soong, A., Au, S. T., Kyaw, B. M., Theng, Y. L., & Tudor Car, L. (2020). Information needs and information seeking behaviour of people with dementia and their non-professional caregivers: A scoping review. *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-1454-y>

Stall, N. M., Kim, S. J., Hardacre, K. A., Shah, P. S., Straus, S. E., Bronskill, S. E., Lix, L. M., Bell, C. M., & Rochon, P. A. (2019). Association of Informal Caregiver Distress with Health

Outcomes of Community-Dwelling Dementia Care Recipients: A Systematic Review.
Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 67(3), 609–617.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15690>

Steiner, V., Pierce, L. L., & Salvador, D. (2016). Information Needs of Family Caregivers of People
 With Dementia. *Rehabilitation Nursing*, 41(3), 162–169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rnj.214>

Tan, W.-K., & Tang, C.-Y. (2013). Does personality predict tourism information search and
 feedback behaviour? *Current Issues in Tourism*, 16(4), 388–406.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2013.766155>

Tripadvisor. (2022). *Investor Relations | Tripadvisor*. Retrieved on January 5, 2022, from
<http://ir.tripadvisor.com/>

Vogt, C. A., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (1998). Expanding the functional information search model.
Annals of Tourism Research, 25(3), 551–578. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383\(98\)00010-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383(98)00010-3)

Wen, J., Zheng, D., Hou, H., Phau, I., & Wang, W. (2022). Tourism as a dementia treatment based
 on positive psychology. *Tourism Management*, 92, 104556.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2022.104556>

WHO. (2022). *Dementia*. World Health Organization. Retrieved on March 5, 2022, from
<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dementia>

Wimo, A., Prince, M., & Gauthier, S. (2018). *Global estimates of informal care*. Alzheimer's
 Disease International. London: Alzheimer's Disease International.
<https://www.alzint.org/resource/global-estimates-of-informal-care/>

Xiang, Z., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2020). Travel Information Search. In Z. Xiang, M. Fuchs, U.
 Gretzel, & W. Höpken (Eds.), *Handbook of e-Tourism* (pp. 1–20). Cham: Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-05324-6_55-1

Xiang, Z., & Gretzel, U. (2010). Role of social media in online travel information search. *Tourism
 Management*, 31(2), 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2009.02.016>

Xiang, Z., Magnini, V. P., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2015). Information technology and consumer
 behavior in travel and tourism: Insights from travel planning using the internet. *Journal of
 Retailing and Consumer Services*, 22, 244–249.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2014.08.005>

Ydstebø, A. E., Benth, J. Š., Bergh, S., Selbæk, G., & Vossius, C. (2020). Informal and formal care
 among persons with dementia immediately before nursing home admission. *BMC
 Geriatrics*, 20(1), 296. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-020-01703-8>

Zarezadeh, Z. (Zara), Benckendorff, P., & Gretzel, U. (2019). Lack of progress in tourist
 information search research: A critique of citation behaviour and knowledge development.
Current Issues in Tourism, 22(19), 2415–2429.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2018.1459511>

Zins, A. H. (2007). Exploring Travel Information Search Behavior Beyond Common Frontiers.
Information Technology & Tourism, 9(3–4), 149–164.
<https://doi.org/10.3727/109830507782167015>