

# Vitamin D Metabolites Are Associated With Musculoskeletal Injury in Young Adults: A Prospective Cohort Study

Alexander T Carswell,<sup>1,2</sup> Thomas J O'Leary,<sup>3,4</sup> Paul Swinton,<sup>5</sup> Sarah Jackson,<sup>3</sup> Jonathan CY Tang,<sup>1,6</sup> Samuel J Oliver,<sup>7</sup> Rachel M Izard,<sup>8</sup> Neil P Walsh,<sup>9</sup> William D Fraser,<sup>1,6</sup> and Julie P Greeves<sup>1,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Norwich Medical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

<sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

<sup>3</sup>Army Health and Performance Research, Army HQ, Andover, UK

<sup>4</sup>Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, UCL, London, UK

<sup>5</sup>School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

<sup>6</sup>Departments of Endocrinology and Clinical Biochemistry, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK

<sup>7</sup>College of Human Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

<sup>8</sup>Defence Science and Technology, Porton Down, Ministry of Defence, Salisbury, UK

<sup>9</sup>Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

## ABSTRACT

The relationship between vitamin D metabolites and lower body (pelvis and lower limb) overuse injury is unclear. In a prospective cohort study, we investigated the association between vitamin D metabolites and incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury in young adults undergoing initial military training during all seasons. In 1637 men and 530 women (aged  $22.6 \pm 7.5$  years; body mass index [BMI],  $24.0 \pm 2.6$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; 94.3% white ethnicity), we measured serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) and 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D) by high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D) by immunoassay during week 1 of training. We examined whether the relationship between 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio was associated with overuse injury. During 12 weeks of training, 21.0% sustained  $\geq 1$  overuse musculoskeletal injury, and 5.6% sustained  $\geq 1$  bone stress injury. After controlling for sex, BMI, 2.4 km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course (Officer, standard, or Infantry), lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury incidence was higher for participants within the second lowest versus highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (odds ratio [OR] = 1.62; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.13–2.32;  $p = 0.009$ ) and lowest versus highest cluster of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (OR = 6.30; 95% CI 1.89–21.2;  $p = 0.003$ ). Lower body bone stress injury incidence was higher for participants within the lowest versus highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (OR = 4.02; 95% CI 1.82–8.87;  $p < 0.001$ ) and lowest versus highest cluster of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (OR = 22.08; 95% CI 3.26–149.4;  $p = 0.001$ ), after controlling for the same covariates. Greater conversion of 25(OH)D to 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, relative to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (ie, low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D), and higher serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D were associated with a lower incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury. Serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may have a role in preventing overuse injury in young adults undertaking arduous physical training. © 2023 Crown copyright and The Authors. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR). This article is published with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the King's Printer for Scotland.

**KEY WORDS:** VITAMIN D; INJURY; NUTRITION; EXERCISE; MUSCULOSKELETAL

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](#) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Received in original form April 22, 2023; revised form July 6, 2023; accepted July 26, 2023.

Address correspondence to: Julie P Greeves, PhD, Army Health and Performance Research, Army HQ, Andover, Hampshire, SP11 8HT, UK. E-mail: [julie.greeves143@mod.gov.uk](mailto:julie.greeves143@mod.gov.uk)

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

*Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, Vol. 00, No. 00, Month 2023, pp 1–12.

DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.4890

© 2023 Crown copyright and The Authors. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR). This article is published with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the King's Printer for Scotland.

## Introduction

Vitamin D, mainly obtained from sunlight (by exposure of dermal 7-dehydrocholesterol to ultraviolet B radiation) and to a lesser extent from dietary sources (eg, oily fish or vitamin D supplements), is hydroxylated by 25-hydroxylase to 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D). Serum 25(OH)D is the most abundant vitamin D metabolite<sup>(1)</sup> and is used to determine an individual's vitamin D status.<sup>(2)</sup> The most widely recognized function of vitamin D is to maintain calcium and phosphate homeostasis<sup>(1,2)</sup> and thereby promote bone mineralization essential for the development and maintenance of skeletal health.<sup>(3)</sup> Vitamin D increases the absorption of intestinal calcium, which is maximized at the threshold for vitamin D sufficiency (serum 25(OH)D  $\geq 50$  nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>(3)</sup> Vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency (25(OH)D  $< 30$  and 30–49.9 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) are associated with rickets, low bone mineral density, osteomalacia, and the development of osteoporosis.<sup>(3,4)</sup> A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials concluded vitamin D and calcium supplementation reduces fracture risk in older men and women (mean age 66 years).<sup>(5)</sup> Emerging evidence suggests a role for vitamin D in promoting skeletal muscle repair and remodeling<sup>(6,7)</sup> and tendon healing.<sup>(8)</sup> In young adults, and physically active individuals in particular, the relationship between vitamin D status and overuse injury to bone, muscle, or connective tissues is unclear.<sup>(9,10)</sup> Athletes and military personnel who sustained a musculoskeletal injury<sup>(11,12)</sup> or bone stress injury<sup>(13,14)</sup> had lower serum 25(OH)D concentrations than their uninjured peers. However, serum 25(OH)D was not associated with markers of bone health or musculoskeletal injury in other observational studies.<sup>(15–19)</sup> Serum 25(OH)D may not be the best biomarker of vitamin D status for skeletal health because other measures of vitamin D status were more strongly associated with bone density and fracture risk in other studies.<sup>(16,20,21)</sup>

The clinical relevance and optimal concentration of 25(OH)D is the subject of ongoing debate.<sup>(16,20–23)</sup> 25(OH)D is relatively metabolically inactive<sup>(2)</sup> and is hydroxylated by 1 $\alpha$ -hydroxylase to form biologically active 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D). 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D circulates in picomolar concentrations, with its actions mediated by binding with vitamin D receptors, which are found in most human tissues.<sup>(24)</sup> 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D) is generated from the hydroxylation of 25(OH)D by 24-hydroxylase and, like 25(OH)D, circulates in nanomolar concentrations. 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is generally regarded as a vitamin D catabolite; however, possible biological roles in bone development, fracture healing, and protection against cartilage damage have emerged.<sup>(25–30)</sup> No direct correlation exists between serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, but a dynamic relationship between 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D occurs when 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is expressed as a ratio with 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D.<sup>(31)</sup> Serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D has been shown to be associated with physical performance,<sup>(32)</sup> but existing evidence does not support a beneficial effect of vitamin D supplementation on physical performance or muscle function.<sup>(6,33)</sup> Whether this inverse exponential relationship between serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D relates to other physiological processes and meaningful outcomes, such as overuse injury, warrants further investigation.

The relationship between vitamin D metabolites (serum 25(OH)D, 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D) and overuse injury in young, healthy adults is unclear. We used a prospective cohort study to examine the association between vitamin D metabolites and the incidence of lower body (pelvis and lower limb) overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury in men and women undertaking military training across all seasons. We hypothesized that a dynamic relationship between vitamin D metabolites

incorporating serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio would be associated with lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury.

## Materials and Methods

British Army recruits volunteered to participate in this prospective cohort study between April 2013 and March 2017 and provided informed written consent. All participants were free from injury at the beginning of the study, having passed a physician-screened medical assessment during the first week of training. Initial military training follows a syllabus of basic military skills, including physical training, regimental drill, weapon handling, map reading, and fieldcraft. Recruits followed a progressive, structured physical training program, consisting of endurance and circuit training, agility-based gymnasium work, assault course practice, and marching while carrying load. The physical demands of initial military training<sup>(34,35)</sup> and incidence of lower body overuse injury<sup>(36)</sup> have been reported previously. The UK Ministry of Defence Research Ethics Committee approved the study (MODREC 165/Gen/10), and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2013). Participants also took part in a wider program of concurrent studies examining injury, illness, and physical performance in Army recruits undergoing initial military training<sup>(32,37–41)</sup> that determined the study size.

### Participants

Medical records were available for 2167 of the 2277 British Army recruits who volunteered; therefore, 2167 recruits were eligible and participated in the study (1637 men and 530 women; Table 1). Participants were recruited during the first week of their initial military training from three Army training sites: 403 men and 106 women at Royal Military Academy, Sandhurst, UK (latitude, 51° N; Army Officer training); 424 women at Army Training Centre, Pirbright, UK (latitude, 51° N; Army standard training); and 1234 men at Infantry Training Centre, Catterick, UK (latitude, 54° N; Army Infantry training). By recruiting participants from these three sites, a representative sample of all individuals commencing Army training in the UK were studied. Participants commenced initial military training during all seasons (20.8% in spring, 26.4% in summer, 31.5% in fall, and 21.3% in winter).

### Experimental procedures

During the first week of initial military training, a venous blood sample was obtained for the measurement of vitamin D metabolites (serum 25(OH)D, 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D), and physical fitness, body mass, and height were measured. Physical fitness was assessed by a maximal effort 2.4-km run. Body mass and height (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) were measured in light clothing and without shoes. Participants self-reported their ethnicity, smoking habits, and bone injury history (bone fracture and bone stress injury), using questionnaires. After 12 weeks of military training, participants' medical records were accessed to obtain a record of clinician-diagnosed lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injuries.

### Blood collection and handling

Whole blood samples were obtained by venipuncture from a prominent vein in the antecubital fossa into serum vacutainers

**Table 1.** Demographic, Anthropometric, Physical Fitness, Lifestyle Behavior, and Bone Injury History Characteristics

	All participants	Army Officer training		Army standard training	Army Infantry training	p Value
Sex	75.5% men	Men	Women	Women	Men	
n	2167	403	106	424	1234	
Ethnicity (%)						
White	94.3	91.1 <sup>c,d</sup>	91.2	95.0 <sup>a</sup>	95.3 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.001</b>
Other	5.7	8.9	8.8	5.0	4.7	
Age (years)	22.6 ± 7.5	23.1 ± 1.7 <sup>c,d</sup>	23.5 ± 1.7 <sup>c,d</sup>	22.2 ± 3.3 <sup>a,b,d</sup>	22.4 ± 9.5 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Body mass (kg)	73.5 ± 10.6	79.5 ± 8.6 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	65.1 ± 6.7 <sup>a,d</sup>	64.8 ± 8.2 <sup>a,d</sup>	75.3 ± 9.9 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Height (m)	1.75 ± 0.08	1.80 ± 0.07 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	1.67 ± 0.06 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	1.65 ± 0.06 <sup>a,b,d</sup>	1.77 ± 0.06 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.0 ± 2.6	24.7 ± 2.3 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	23.5 ± 2.0 <sup>a</sup>	23.7 ± 2.4 <sup>a</sup>	24.0 ± 2.7 <sup>a</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
2.4-km run time (s)	617 ± 79	546 ± 38 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	659 ± 54 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	717 ± 70 <sup>a,b,d</sup>	610 ± 60 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Smoker (%)	30.6	19.8 <sup>b,d</sup>	3.9 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	23.6 <sup>b,d</sup>	38.7 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Previous bone fracture (%)	42.8	48.1 <sup>c</sup>	35.9	28.8 <sup>a,d</sup>	46.7 <sup>c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Previous bone stress injury (%)	4.1	4.8	6.9	3.6	3.8	0.106

Note: Data are n, %, or mean ± SD. Missing data: ethnicity, n = 60; age, n = 44; body mass, n = 60; height, n = 58; body mass index (BMI), n = 62; 2.4-km run time, n = 361; smoking, n = 54; bone fracture history, n = 68; bone stress injury history, n = 75. Bold indicates significance values.

<sup>a</sup>p < 0.05 versus Officer men.

<sup>b</sup>p < 0.05 versus Officer women.

<sup>c</sup>p < 0.05 versus standard women.

<sup>d</sup>p < 0.05 versus Infantry men.

(Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK). Whole blood was left to clot for 1 hour at room temperature before being centrifuged at 1500g for 10 minutes at 4°C, with serum aliquots immediately frozen at −80°C for later analysis.

#### Biochemical analysis

Total serum 25(OH)D (25(OH)D<sub>2</sub> and 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>) and total 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub> and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>) were measured with high-performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrophotometry using a Micromass Quattro Ultima Pt electrospray ionization mass spectrometer, as described previously.<sup>(42)</sup> Serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D was measured by chemiluminescent immunoassay using a DiaSorin LIAISON XL analyzer (Stillwater, MN, USA). The measurement ranges of the assays were 0.1–200 nmol·L<sup>−1</sup> for 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub> and 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, 0.8–25 nmol·L<sup>−1</sup> for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, 0.1–25 nmol·L<sup>−1</sup> for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, and 12–480 pmol·L<sup>−1</sup> for 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. Results above the assay upper limit were repeated on dilution to produce a value within the working range of the assay. Values below the lower limit of quantification were not included in the calculation of total 25(OH)D or total 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. The mean coefficient of variation (CV) for intra-assay imprecision across the measuring range of the assays was 4.9% for 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub>, 8.3% for 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, 7.7% for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, 9.0% for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, and 7.4% for 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. The cumulative interassay CVs were ≤7.4% for 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub>, ≤9.6% for 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, ≤10.6% for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, ≤8.9% for 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>, and ≤9.3% for 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. Our 25(OH)D and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D assays showed <6% accuracy bias against Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's reference method on the Vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme (DEQAS) and <9% bias against the method-specific mean for 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. We met the certification performance standards set by DEQAS throughout the time the analyses were performed. All biochemical analyses were undertaken by the Good Clinical Laboratory Practice and DEQAS-certified Bioanalytical Facility at the University of East Anglia.

#### Lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury

Injuries reported to the medical center by participants during military training were diagnosed by clinicians and recorded in their medical records. Defense general practitioners and physiotherapists diagnosed injuries by taking detailed histories, conducting physical examinations, and referring recruits for imaging and further investigations, where necessary (eg, magnetic resonance imaging, X-ray). For all lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injuries sustained during the first 12 weeks of military training, the diagnosis and number of lost training days (lost in full or on reduced duties) and date of diagnosis (standard and Infantry training) were retrieved from medical records by a physician independent of their clinical care. The number of lost training days was counted until a participant either returned to full training in their original platoon or were transferred to another platoon to complete missed training, for physical rehabilitation, or for discharge from the Army. The outcome of training for each participant was categorized as either a pass, transfer to another platoon for physical rehabilitation, medical discharge from the Army, or discharge from the Army for non-medical reasons. Lower body bone stress injuries were recorded separately from lower body overuse musculoskeletal injuries. Overuse musculoskeletal injuries were defined as pain, inflammation, or a functional disorder that involved joints, muscles, tendons, ligaments, and associated connective tissues, with the mechanism of injury a result of use over time, rather than a traumatic event<sup>(36)</sup> (eg, plantar fasciitis, Achilles tendinopathy, patellar tendinitis, iliotibial band syndrome). Bone stress injuries encompassed the spectrum of overuse injuries caused by micro-damage accumulation in bone, frequently referred to as stress reactions and stress fractures<sup>(43)</sup> (eg, medial tibial stress syndrome, femoral, tibial, calcaneal, and metatarsal stress fractures).

#### Statistical analysis

Potential relationships between vitamin D metabolites and overuse injury were explored by first quantifying associations with injuries sustained at any point during initial military training using logistic regression, and second by quantifying injury rates

using Cox proportional hazards regression. Concentrations of vitamin D metabolites were categorized using the following approaches: (i) vitamin D status for 25(OH)D, using commonly accepted thresholds (deficient <30; insufficient 30–49.9; sufficient  $\geq 50$  nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>(3)</sup> and in the absence of equivalent definitions for other metabolites, (ii) quartiles for 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and (iii) clustering participants into groups based on two dimensions, simultaneously, of serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio. For all analyses, the reference category was the category containing the highest concentration of metabolites, in keeping with the rationale that higher concentrations would be beneficial for musculoskeletal health. Clustering was performed using a standard k-means technique and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to select the number of clusters.<sup>(44)</sup> Briefly, clusters were considered representative of a Gaussian mixture model, with the BIC calculated using penalized maximum likelihood to identify the number of clusters that best predicted the observed data while penalizing for adding more clusters. Once the number of clusters was selected, the Hartigan and Wong algorithm was used to assign individual data points by minimizing the within-group sum of squares based on Euclidean distances.<sup>(44)</sup> This clustering technique has been used previously to analyze associations between vitamin D metabolites and physical performance.<sup>(32)</sup> Adjusted regression models controlled for covariates previously shown to be associated with injury risk during military training (sex, body mass index [BMI], 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course [Officer, standard, or Infantry]).<sup>(45–47)</sup> Tests of differences between Army training courses (Officer, standard, or Infantry, stratified by sex), seasons, and lower body injury incidence status (overuse musculoskeletal injury, bone stress injury, or no overuse injury) were conducted with Kruskal–Wallis tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Where significant differences were observed, post hoc analysis for pairwise comparisons were undertaken. All statistical tests were conducted using the R environment, version 4.2.1 (R Core Team), with Cox proportional models conducted with the “survival” package.<sup>(48)</sup> Statistical significance was accepted at  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

Demographic, anthropometric, physical fitness, lifestyle behavior, and bone fracture history characteristics were different

among participants commencing different Army training courses ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 1). A total of 74.1% of participants passed initial military training, 8.4% were transferred from their original platoon to another for physical rehabilitation, 5.3% were medically discharged from the Army, and 12.2% were discharged from the Army for non-medical reasons.

### Vitamin D metabolites and season

There was some seasonal variation in vitamin D metabolites ( $p < 0.001$ ; Table 2). Across all seasons, 12.0% of participants were vitamin D deficient, 23.7% were insufficient, and 64.3% were sufficient. During winter, 31.0% of participants were vitamin D deficient, 41.0% were insufficient, and 28.0% were sufficient.

### Lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury incidence

#### Overuse musculoskeletal injury

During 12 weeks training, 21.0% of participants sustained  $\geq 1$  lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury. The incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury was higher in men undertaking Officer training compared with men undertaking Infantry training; higher in women undertaking Officer training compared with men undertaking Infantry training; and higher in women undertaking standard training compared with men undertaking Infantry training ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 3).

#### Bone stress injury

During 12 weeks of training, 5.6% of participants sustained  $\geq 1$  lower body bone stress injury. The incidence of lower body bone stress injury was higher in women undertaking standard training compared with men undertaking Officer training, and higher in men undertaking Infantry training compared with men undertaking Officer training ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 3).

### Lost training days

#### Overuse musculoskeletal injury

Each lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury resulted in a median (interquartile range [IQR]) 6 (3–12) lost training days.

**Table 2.** Seasonal Variation in Vitamin D Status and Serum Vitamin D Metabolites

	All seasons	Spring	Summer	Fall	Winter	<i>p</i> Value
Vitamin D status (%)						
Deficient	12.0	9.6 <sup>b,d</sup>	2.1 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	9.0 <sup>b,d</sup>	31.0 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Insufficient	23.7	25.0 <sup>b,d</sup>	10.3 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	22.3 <sup>b,d</sup>	41.0 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Sufficient	64.3	65.4 <sup>b,d</sup>	87.6 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	68.7 <sup>b,d</sup>	28.0 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
25(OH)D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	63.3 ± 28.8	62.3 ± 27.3 <sup>b,d</sup>	78.8 ± 26.0 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	65.0 ± 27.1 <sup>b,d</sup>	42.9 ± 23.0 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	137.6 ± 37.4	143.6 ± 36.9 <sup>c,d</sup>	142.7 ± 35.9 <sup>c,d</sup>	134.2 ± 38.5 <sup>a,b</sup>	130.0 ± 36.5 <sup>a,b</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.6 ± 3.4	5.1 ± 3.1 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	6.7 ± 2.9 <sup>a,c,d</sup>	6.3 ± 3.7 <sup>a,b,d</sup>	3.7 ± 3.0 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	35.7 ± 30.1	40.1 ± 31.2 <sup>b,c,d</sup>	27.2 ± 20.3 <sup>a,d</sup>	28.9 ± 22.3 <sup>a,d</sup>	52.3 ± 40.2 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
25(OH)D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	12.8 ± 4.3	13.7 ± 4.3 <sup>b,c</sup>	12.7 ± 3.6 <sup>a,c</sup>	11.5 ± 3.6 <sup>a,b,d</sup>	13.6 ± 5.4 <sup>c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

Note: 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. Bold indicates significance values. Vitamin D deficient 25(OH)D <30; insufficient, 30–49.9; sufficient  $\geq 50$  nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Data are percent or mean ± SD. Missing data: 25(OH)D,  $n = 41$ ; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D,  $n = 39$ ; 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D,  $n = 39$ ; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D,  $n = 56$ ; 25(OH)D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D,  $n = 42$ .

<sup>a</sup> $p < 0.05$  versus spring.

<sup>b</sup> $p < 0.05$  versus summer.

<sup>c</sup> $p < 0.05$  versus fall.

<sup>d</sup> $p < 0.05$  versus winter.



**Table 3.** Lower Body Overuse Musculoskeletal and Bone Stress Injury Incidence and Lost Training Days During Initial Military Training

	All participants	Army Officer training		Army standard training	Army Infantry training	p Value
Sex	75.5% men	Men	Women	Women	Men	
Lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury						
Incidence (%)	21.0	25.8 <sup>d</sup>	31.1 <sup>d</sup>	26.4 <sup>d</sup>	16.7 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Lost training days/injury	6 (3–12)	3 (5–10)	5 (3–9)	5 (2–10) <sup>d</sup>	7 (4–14) <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.019</b>
Lower body bone stress injury						
Incidence (%)	5.6	3.0 <sup>c,d</sup>	3.8	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	5.9 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.019</b>
Lost training days/injury	20 (10–25)	20 (12–25)	20 (9–29)	10 (6–21) <sup>d</sup>	20 (14–28) <sup>c</sup>	<b>0.034</b>

Note: Data are % or median (IQR). Bold indicates significance values.

<sup>a</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus Officer men.

<sup>b</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus Officer women.

<sup>c</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus standard women.

<sup>d</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus Infantry men.

The number of lost training days due to lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury was higher in men undertaking Infantry training compared with women undertaking standard training (*p* < 0.05; Table 3).

#### Bone stress injury

Each lower body bone stress injury resulted in a median (IQR) 20 (10–25) lost training days. The number of lost training days due to lower body bone stress injury was higher in men undertaking Infantry training compared with women undertaking standard training (*p* < 0.05; Table 3).

#### Vitamin D metabolites and overuse injury

The prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency was higher in participants who sustained a lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury than those who were not injured (*p* < 0.05; Table 4). Serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D concentrations were higher in participants who sustained a lower body bone stress injury compared with participants who sustained a lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury and those who were not injured (*p* < 0.05; Table 4). All covariates (except smoking and bone stress injury history) were different between participants categorized by whether they sustained a lower body overuse

**Table 4.** Vitamin D Metabolites, Covariates, and Lower Body Overuse Musculoskeletal and Bone Stress Injury

	All participants	No lower body overuse musculoskeletal or bone stress injury	Lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury	Lower body bone stress injury	p Value
<i>n</i> (%)	2167 (100%)	1589 (73.3%)	456 (21.0%)	122 (5.6%)	
Vitamin D status (%)					
Deficient	12.0	12.6	9.6	13.9	0.178
Insufficient	23.7	22.7 <sup>b</sup>	28.1 <sup>a</sup>	20.5	<b>0.040</b>
Sufficient	64.3	64.7	62.3	65.6	0.612
Serum vitamin D metabolites					
25(OH)D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	63.3 ± 28.8	63.9 ± 29.1	62.1 ± 27.9	61.3 ± 28.6	0.353
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	137.6 ± 37.4	136.5 ± 36.8 <sup>c</sup>	138.2 ± 38.9 <sup>c</sup>	150.2 ± 38.4 <sup>a,b</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.6 ± 3.4	5.7 ± 3.5	5.5 ± 3.2	5.2 ± 3.2	0.318
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	35.7 ± 30.1	35.2 ± 28.8 <sup>c</sup>	34.9 ± 27.6 <sup>c</sup>	45.6 ± 47.9 <sup>a,b</sup>	<b>0.010</b>
25(OH)D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	12.8 ± 4.3	12.8 ± 4.2	12.5 ± 4.1	13.6 ± 5.5	0.207
Sex (% men)	75.5	78.1 <sup>b,c</sup>	68.2 <sup>a</sup>	69.7 <sup>a</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.0 ± 2.6	24.1 ± 2.6 <sup>b</sup>	23.7 ± 2.4 <sup>a</sup>	24.0 ± 2.7	<b>0.032</b>
2.4-km run time (s)	617 ± 79	612 ± 74 <sup>b,c</sup>	630 ± 89 <sup>a</sup>	640 ± 97 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.002</b>
Smoker (%)	30.6	29.2	34.9	32.8	0.054
Previous bone fracture (%)	42.8	41.1 <sup>c</sup>	45.5	54.7 <sup>a</sup>	<b>0.029</b>
Previous bone stress injury (%)	4.1	3.5	5.5	6.3	0.192
Army training course (%)					
Officer	23.5	22.4 <sup>b,c</sup>	30.0 <sup>a,c</sup>	13.1 <sup>a,b</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Standard	19.6	17.6 <sup>b,c</sup>	24.6 <sup>a</sup>	27.1 <sup>a</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Infantry	56.9	60.0 <sup>b</sup>	45.4 <sup>a,c</sup>	59.8 <sup>b</sup>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

Note: 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; BMI = body mass index. Bold indicates significance values.

Vitamin D deficient 25(OH)D <30; insufficient, 30–49.9; sufficient ≥50 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Data are *n*, %, or mean ± SD.

<sup>a</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus no lower body overuse musculoskeletal or bone stress injury.

<sup>b</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury.

<sup>c</sup>*p* < 0.05 versus lower body bone stress injury.

musculoskeletal injury, bone stress injury, or were not injured ( $p < 0.05$ , Table 4).

## Vitamin D metabolite relationships with overuse injury incidence and risk

### Overuse musculoskeletal injury

The incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury was higher for participants within quartile 2 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and quartile 3 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, after controlling for sex, BMI, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course

( $p < 0.01$ ). The incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury was also higher for participants within cluster 1 versus the highest cluster of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, cluster 3 versus the highest cluster, and cluster 4 versus the highest cluster, after controlling for the same covariates ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 5).

The risk of lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury at any given time during military training was higher for participants within quartile 1 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, quartile 2 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, and quartile 3 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, after controlling for sex, BMI, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course ( $p < 0.05$ ). The risk of lower

**Table 5.** Vitamin D Metabolite Relationships With Lower Body Overuse Musculoskeletal and Bone Stress Injury Incidence

	Lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury	Lower body bone stress injury
<b>Vitamin D status</b>		
25(OH)D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.16$	$R^2 = 0.19$
Deficient: 6.9–29.9	0.69 [0.42–1.12] $p = 0.136$	2.27 [0.93–5.54] $p = 0.072$
Insufficient: 30.0–49.9	1.14 [0.84–1.56] $p = 0.399$	1.56 [0.84–2.92] $p = 0.158$
Sufficient: 50–222.5	Reference	Reference
<b>Serum vitamin D metabolites</b>		
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.16$	$R^2 = 0.19$
Quartile 1: 32.3–112.0	0.98 [0.68–1.40] $p = 0.895$	0.50 [0.23–1.09] $p = 0.082$
Quartile 2: 113.0–135.0	0.94 [0.66–1.35] $p = 0.753$	1.01 [0.53–1.92] $p = 0.970$
Quartile 3: 136.0–160.0	0.89 [0.64–1.24] $p = 0.489$	1.02 [0.57–1.83] $p = 0.948$
Quartile 4: 161.0–380.0	Reference	Reference
24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.16$	$R^2 = 0.20$
Quartile 1: 0.4–3.1	1.49 [0.99–2.24] $p = 0.058$	<b>4.02 [1.82–8.87]</b> <b><math>p &lt; 0.001</math></b>
Quartile 2: 3.2–5.1	<b>1.62 [1.13–2.32]</b> <b><math>p = 0.009</math></b>	<b>2.39 [1.16–4.92]</b> <b><math>p = 0.018</math></b>
Quartile 3: 5.2–7.6	<b>1.59 [1.13–2.24]</b> <b><math>p = 0.008</math></b>	1.25 [0.62–2.52] $p = 0.536$
Quartile 4: 7.7–29.6	Reference	Reference
25(OH)D and 1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	$R^2 = 0.17$	$R^2 = 0.21$
Cluster 1: 6.9–38.5 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 125–307	<b>6.30 [1.89–21.2]</b> <b><math>p = 0.003</math></b>	<b>22.08 [3.26–149.4]</b> <b><math>p = 0.001</math></b>
Cluster 2: 12.0–68.3 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 59–122	1.01 [0.46–2.23] $p = 0.984$	2.30 [0.43–12.20] $p = 0.327$
Cluster 3: 13.1–68.6 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 17–63	<b>2.35 [1.21–4.55]</b> <b><math>p = 0.011</math></b>	<b>5.00 [1.20–20.81]</b> <b><math>p = 0.027</math></b>
Cluster 4: 42.4–82.0 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 6–62	<b>2.07 [1.10–3.88]</b> <b><math>p = 0.024</math></b>	3.10 [0.79–12.13] $p = 0.104$
Cluster 5: 74.3–108.6 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 5–49	1.72 [0.92–3.21] $p = 0.089$	1.55 [0.41–5.88] $p = 0.521$
Cluster 6: 107.2–222.5 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 6–32	Reference	Reference

Note: 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. Bold indicates significance values.

Logistic regression with control for covariates: sex, body mass index, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course.  $R^2$  for all variables in the model. Vitamin D deficient 25(OH)D <30; insufficient, 30–49.9; sufficient ≥50 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Vitamin D metabolites are minimum–maximum. Data are odds ratio [95% confidence interval].

**Table 6.** Vitamin D Metabolite Relationships With Lower Body Overuse Musculoskeletal and Bone Stress Injury Risk

	Lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury	Lower body bone stress injury
<b>Vitamin D status</b>		
25(OH)D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.43$	$R^2 = 0.77$
Deficient: 6.9–29.9	0.80 [0.49–1.30]	1.82 [0.47–7.12]
	$p = 0.366$	$p = 0.388$
Insufficient: 30.0–49.9	1.15 [0.86–1.52]	2.04 [0.86–4.89]
	$p = 0.714$	$p = 0.106$
Sufficient: 50–222.5	Reference	Reference
<b>Serum vitamin D metabolites</b>		
1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (pmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.44$	$R^2 = 0.79$
Quartile 1: 32.3–112.0	1.21 [0.84–1.77]	0.60 [0.21–1.74]
	$p = 0.304$	$p = 0.347$
Quartile 2: 113.0–135.0	0.98 [0.69–1.40]	1.14 [0.50–2.60]
	$p = 0.910$	$p = 0.763$
Quartile 3: 136.0–160.0	0.85 [0.61–1.19]	0.63 [0.27–1.46]
	$p = 0.341$	$p = 0.278$
Quartile 4: 161.0–380.0	Reference	Reference
24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D (nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2 = 0.46$	$R^2 = 0.83$
Quartile 1: 0.4–3.1	<b>1.57 [1.01–2.45]</b>	<b>5.15 [1.67–18.26]</b>
	$p = 0.045$	$p = 0.005$
Quartile 2: 3.2–5.1	<b>1.63 [1.10–2.42]</b>	<b>3.60 [1.24–10.40]</b>
	$p = 0.015$	$p = 0.018$
Quartile 3: 5.2–7.6	<b>2.03 [1.41–2.92]</b>	1.75 [0.64–4.75]
	$p < 0.001$	$p = 0.273$
Quartile 4: 7.7–29.6	Reference	Reference
25(OH)D and 1,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D:24,25(OH) <sub>2</sub> D	$R^2 = 0.45$	$R^2 = 0.82$
Cluster 1: 6.9–38.5 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 125–307	<b>3.89 [1.30–11.42]</b>	1.31 [0.76–26.40]
	$p = 0.015$	$p = 0.077$
Cluster 2: 12.0–68.3 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 59–122	1.00 [0.44–2.67]	1.83 [0.20–17.01]
	$p = 0.998$	$p = 0.594$
Cluster 3: 13.1–68.6 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 17–63	1.70 [0.84–3.44]	5.04 [0.84–22.65]
	$p = 0.141$	$p = 0.076$
Cluster 4: 42.4–82.0 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 6–62	1.77 [0.91–3.45]	2.43 [0.46–12.90]
	$p = 0.091$	$p = 0.296$
Cluster 5: 74.3–108.6 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 5–49	1.40 [0.73–2.67]	1.10 [0.23–5.31]
	$p = 0.311$	$p = 0.906$
Cluster 6: 107.2–222.5 nmol·L <sup>-1</sup> and 6–32	Reference	Reference

Note: 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D = 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. Bold indicates significance values.

Cox proportional hazards regression with control for covariates: sex, body mass index, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course.  $R^2$  for all variables in the model. Vitamin D deficient 25(OH)D <30; insufficient, 30–49.9; sufficient ≥50 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Vitamin D metabolites are minimum–maximum. Data are hazard ratio [95% confidence interval].

body overuse musculoskeletal injury at any given time during military training was also higher for participants within cluster 1 versus the highest cluster of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, after controlling for the same covariates ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 6 and Supplemental Fig. S1).

#### Bone stress injury

The incidence of lower body bone stress injury was higher for participants within quartile 1 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and quartile 2 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, after controlling for sex, BMI, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury

history, and Army training course ( $p < 0.05$ ). The incidence of lower body bone stress injury was also higher for participants within cluster 1 versus the highest cluster of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and cluster 3 versus the highest cluster, after controlling for the same covariates ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 5).

The risk of lower body bone stress injury at any given time during military training was higher for participants within quartile 1 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and quartile 2 versus the highest quartile of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, after controlling for sex, BMI, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course ( $p < 0.05$ ; Table 6 and Supplemental Fig. S2).



**Fig. 1.** Dynamic relationship between vitamin D metabolites and overuse injury. Participants (1 per filled circle) are categorized into one of six clusters (with each cluster a different color). Clusters are annotated with the proportion of participants injured during initial military training and the number of lost training days across all participants (injured or not). (A) Lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury. (B) Lower body bone stress injury. Cx,y,  $p < 0.05$  versus cluster x and y. Comparisons of binary coding of lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury were conducted using logistic regression with full data set and reference cluster rotated to assess all pairwise comparisons. Comparisons of the number of lost training days were conducted using tobit regression with full data set and reference cluster rotated to assess all pairwise comparisons.

### Relative concentrations of vitamin D metabolites and proportion who sustained an overuse injury

The dynamic relationship between vitamin D metabolites is illustrated in Figure 1, with clusters 1–6 (lowest to highest) of 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D indicated.

#### Overuse musculoskeletal injury

The proportion of participants who sustained a lower body overuse musculoskeletal injury was higher for participants within cluster 1 versus cluster 2, and higher for participants within cluster 3 versus clusters 2 and 5 ( $p < 0.05$ ). The number of lost

training days was higher for participants within cluster 1 versus clusters 2 and 5, and higher for participants within cluster 3 versus clusters 2 and 5 ( $p < 0.05$ , Fig. 1A).

#### Bone stress injury

The proportion of participants who sustained a lower body bone stress injury was higher for participants within cluster 1 versus clusters 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 ( $p < 0.05$ ). The number of lost training days was higher for participants within cluster 1 versus clusters 2 and 5, and higher for participants within cluster 3 versus clusters 2 and 5 ( $p < 0.05$ , Fig. 1B).





**Fig. 1** (Continued)

## Discussion

Greater conversion of 25(OH)D to 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, relative to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (ie, low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D), and higher serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, measured at the time of commencing arduous physical training, were associated with a lower incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury in young adults. We controlled for factors associated with injury risk (sex, BMI, 2.4-km run time, smoking, bone injury history, and Army training course) as covariates in our regression models. As hypothesized, we demonstrated that a dynamic relationship between vitamin D metabolites incorporating serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio was related to clinician-diagnosed lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury. By examining this relationship between vitamin D metabolites, we provide a unique insight into how the vitamin D metabolic pathway is related to overuse

injury. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D relationships with injury in young, physically active adults. These novel data demonstrate that serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may have a role in protecting against overuse injury in young men and women. Serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio  $\leq 32$ , or 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D  $\geq 7.7$  nmol·L<sup>-1</sup> when analyzed in isolation, may help to protect against lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury (32 = maximum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio in the highest cluster; 7.7 nmol·L<sup>-1</sup> = minimum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D concentration in the highest quartile; Table 5).

Higher 25(OH)D has previously been associated with a lower risk of bone stress injury (stress fracture) in military personnel, with control for some covariates.<sup>(14,49,50)</sup> Others have shown a higher incidence of musculoskeletal injury<sup>(11)</sup> and self-reported bone fracture (caused by trauma or overuse)<sup>(12)</sup> in athletes with lower 25(OH)D, but these studies may have overestimated the

possible influence of vitamin D because they did not control for any covariates. Our study includes control for multiple covariates and examines 25(OH)D together with two other major vitamin D metabolites, rather than analyzing 25(OH)D in isolation. Using this approach, we reveal a more nuanced association, with low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D resulting in a lower incidence of overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury. Serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D <35 has been estimated as a threshold for vitamin D sufficiency,<sup>(31)</sup> which is similar to our threshold of ≤32 for protection against overuse injury. The relationships we report are consistent for three methods of analysis (Tables 5 and 6 and Fig. 1). The reason why overuse musculoskeletal injury incidence, for example, was greater in clusters 1, 3, and 4 compared with the highest cluster but was not greater in cluster 2 compared with the highest cluster remains unclear. This might be explained by interindividual differences during military training or genetic differences that may influence vitamin D and musculoskeletal pathways.

Serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D were not related to overuse injury when examined in isolation from 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D. Serum 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D does not reflect vitamin D reserves or status because its availability is tightly regulated by parathyroid hormone (PTH) and fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF23).<sup>(51)</sup> The relatively short half-life and low serum concentration of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D mean it is not a suitable marker of vitamin D status. Increased 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:25(OH)D (independent of vitamin D binding protein)<sup>(23)</sup> and 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D were associated with a reduced risk of hip fracture in elderly adults, but 25(OH)D was not associated with risk of hip fracture.<sup>(21)</sup> Increased 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:25(OH)D, but not 25(OH)D, was associated with a reduced risk of fracture and slower decline in bone mineral density in elderly adults.<sup>(20)</sup> We did not measure the bioavailability of vitamin D metabolites in this study, but our findings support the possibility that serum 25(OH)D alone is not the best clinical marker of vitamin D status for predicting injury risk and that other vitamin D metabolites and their bioavailability need to be considered.

An inverse exponential relationship exists between serum 25(OH)D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio.<sup>(31)</sup> When the availability of 25(OH)D decreases, the conversion of 25(OH)D to 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D and 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is reduced, but 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D production appears to be reduced more, which increases 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D.<sup>(52)</sup> Vitamin D deficiency will result in a decrease in serum calcium and release of PTH,<sup>(2)</sup> which may then lead to an increase in FGF23.<sup>(53)</sup> Possible effects of elevated FGF23 may help to explain why higher 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D was associated with an increased incidence of overuse injury. Examining whether PTH and FGF23 are associated with overuse injury is a logical area for future study. Our novel finding that proportionally greater conversion of 25(OH)D to 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D relative to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (ie, low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D) was associated with a lower incidence of overuse injury suggests 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D is not a purely catabolic metabolite. The existence of 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D receptors<sup>(25,27,54)</sup> and their possible function in the musculoskeletal system need to be examined.

## Mechanisms

Vitamin D supports musculoskeletal health and may reduce the risk of bone stress injury by its classical effects on the maintenance of calcium and phosphate homeostasis, intracellular calcium, and promoting the mineralization of osteoid.<sup>(1)</sup> Bone remodeling can become imbalanced during arduous physical training, resulting in microdamage, weakening of bone, and

bone stress injuries.<sup>(22)</sup> Overproduction of 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D in sarcoidosis and other granulomatous diseases can cause increased osteoclastic bone resorption and hypercalcemia.<sup>(55)</sup> Increasing 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (thereby lowering the 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio) may protect against these effects and therefore warrants investigation. Rather than being a catabolic waste product, 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may be important for normal bone development and integrity. It enhances bone formation and fracture healing in animal models<sup>(26-28,56-58)</sup>; however, studies are needed to examine if these effects occur in humans. Beyond bone, 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may be involved in cartilage development<sup>(29)</sup> and prevent articular cartilage damage,<sup>(30)</sup> supporting its possible role in preventing overuse musculoskeletal injuries.

High 25(OH)D and low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D were associated with greater muscle strength and muscle power and better endurance performance in the same population of military recruits.<sup>(32)</sup> Strength training can reduce the risk of overuse musculoskeletal injury.<sup>(59)</sup> Delaying the onset of fatigue and avoiding its negative effect on lower body biomechanics for longer during training, competition, or military operations could lower the risk of musculoskeletal injury.<sup>(60)</sup> It may be that vitamin D helps to protect against overuse injury by enhancing muscle strength and performance in endurance-type exercise, but a causal effect of vitamin D on physical performance has not been clearly demonstrated.

## Perspectives

Key strengths of this study include the analysis of records of clinician-diagnosed lower body overuse injuries in a large sample of men and women and the measurement of lost training days that indicate the severity and burden of injury. This study has limitations. The concentration of vitamin D metabolites (which undergo a seasonal variation) were measured during the first week of training, not at the time that injuries were reported. The availability of vitamin D metabolites at the time of injury and whether they were associated with injury risk at that time, is, therefore, not known. Nevertheless, our prospective measure of vitamin D metabolites could be valuable for predicting an individual's risk of overuse injury and allow time for preventative action to be taken. This study only reports associations. Further validation is required to examine cause and effect. It may be that higher concentrations of vitamin D metabolites are reflective of a more physically active, outdoor lifestyle before commencing military training, which could reduce the likelihood of sustaining an overuse injury. We controlled for the effect of physical fitness on injury incidence by including 2.4-km run time as a covariate.<sup>(45,46)</sup> Most participants in the present study were White; whether vitamin D metabolites are similarly associated with overuse injury in other ethnic groups is not known and requires further study.

Eight weeks of vitamin D and calcium supplementation (800 IU·day<sup>-1</sup> and 2000 mg·day<sup>-1</sup>) reduced the incidence of stress fracture by 20% in female military recruits,<sup>(61)</sup> but neither serum 25(OH)D nor any other vitamin D metabolites were measured. Beyond this single study, no randomized controlled trials have assessed the influence of supplementation with vitamin D or its metabolites on injury risk in young adults.<sup>(22)</sup> Randomized, placebo-controlled trials in otherwise healthy adults at risk of vitamin D deficiency and overuse injury are warranted (eg, in athletes or military personnel undertaking arduous physical training). Vitamin D and calcium supplementation (1000 IU·day<sup>-1</sup> and 2000 mg·day<sup>-1</sup>) was

beneficial for bone health during initial military training.<sup>(62,63)</sup> A negative association between calcium intake and serum 25(OH)D<sup>(64)</sup> indicates calcium consumption potentially influences vitamin D metabolite ratios. The influence of calcium intake on vitamin D metabolites and overuse injury warrants further investigation.

Whether oral vitamin D supplementation can achieve high 25(OH)D and low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratio—and reduce the incidence of overuse injury—remains to be determined. High doses of vitamin D have increased 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D concentrations and lowered 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D ratios.<sup>(65)</sup> Optimal concentrations of vitamin D metabolites and their ratios—that may result in beneficial effects—need to be determined. The high incidence of lower body overuse injuries in athletes and military personnel and long rehabilitation times required for bone stress injuries in particular (typically >80 days)<sup>(36)</sup> mean a safe, low-burden, and low-cost intervention (eg, an oral vitamin D supplement) that lowers the risk of overuse injury would be attractive if found to be efficacious.

Greater conversion of 25(OH)D to 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, relative to 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D (ie, low 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D:24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D), and higher serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D, were associated with a lower incidence of lower body overuse musculoskeletal and bone stress injury, after controlling for covariates. Serum 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D may have a role in helping to protect against overuse injury in young adults undergoing arduous physical training.

## Author Contributions

Alexander T Carswell: Investigation; visualization; writing—original draft; writing—review & editing. Thomas J O'Leary: Investigation; visualization; writing—review & editing. Paul Swinton: Formal analysis; visualization; writing—review & editing. Sarah Jackson: Investigation; methodology; visualization; writing—review & editing. Jonathan CY Tang: Investigation; visualization; writing—review & editing. Samuel J Oliver: Investigation; methodology; supervision; writing—review & editing. Rachel M Izzard: Methodology; writing—review & editing. Neil P Walsh: Investigation; methodology; supervision; writing—review & editing. William D Fraser: Investigation; methodology; supervision; visualization; writing—review & editing. Julie P Greeves: Conceptualization; methodology; supervision; visualization; writing—review & editing.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr Daniel Kashi, Xin Hui Aw Yong, Mark Ward, Claire Potter, and Dr Laurel Wentz for their assistance with data collection. The study was funded by the UK Ministry of Defence (Army).

## Peer Review

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peer-review/10.1002/jbm.b.4890>.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of the study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest regarding the study.

## References

- DeLuca HF. Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamin D. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(6 Suppl):1689S–1696S.
- Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96(7):1911–1930.
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes for calcium and vitamin D. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011.
- Lips P, van Schoor NM. The effect of vitamin D on bone and osteoporosis. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;25(4):585–591.
- Yao P, Bennett D, Mafham M, et al. Vitamin D and calcium for the prevention of fracture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(12):e1917789.
- Owens DJ, Allison R, Close GL. Vitamin D and the athlete: current perspectives and new challenges. *Sports Med*. 2018;48(Suppl 1):3–16.
- Girgis CM, Brennan-Speranza TC. Vitamin D and skeletal muscle: current concepts from preclinical studies. *JBM Plus*. 2021;5(12):e10575.
- Dougherty KA, Dilisio MF, Agrawal DK. Vitamin D and the immunomodulation of rotator cuff injury. *J Inflamm Res*. 2016;9:123–131.
- Farrokhyar F, Tabasinejad R, Dao D, et al. Prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy in athletes: a systematic-review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med*. 2015;45(3):365–378.
- Redzic M, Lewis RM, Thomas DT. Relationship between 25-hydroxyvitamin D, muscle strength, and incidence of injury in healthy adults: a systematic review. *Nutr Res*. 2013;33(4):251–258.
- Rebolledo BJ, Bernard JA, Werner BC, et al. The association of vitamin D status in lower extremity muscle strains and core muscle injuries at the National Football League combine. *Art Ther*. 2018;34(4):1280–1285.
- Maroon JC, Mathyssek CM, Bost JW, et al. Vitamin D profile in National Football League players. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(5):1241–1245.
- Dao D, Sodhi S, Tabasinejad R, et al. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and stress fractures in military personnel: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(8):2064–2072.
- Davey T, Lanham-New SA, Shaw AM, et al. Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is associated with increased risk of stress fracture during Royal Marine recruit training. *Osteoporos Int*. 2016;27(1):171–179.
- Allison RJ, Farooq A, Hamilton B, Close GL, Wilson MG. No association between vitamin D deficiency and markers of bone health in athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2015;47(4):782–788.
- Allison RJ, Farooq A, Cherif A, Hamilton B, Close GL, Wilson MG. Why don't serum vitamin D concentrations associate with BMD by DXA? A case of being 'bound' to the wrong assay? Implications for vitamin D screening. *Br J Sports Med*. 2018;52(8):522–526.
- Kremer R, Campbell PP, Reinhardt T, Gilsanz V. Vitamin D status and its relationship to body fat, final height, and peak bone mass in young women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009;94(1):67–73.
- Tenforde AS, Parziale AL, Popp KL, Ackerman KE. Low bone mineral density in male athletes is associated with bone stress injuries at anatomic sites with greater trabecular composition. *Am J Sports Med*. 2018;46(1):30–36.
- Halliday TM, Peterson NJ, Thomas JJ, Kleppinger K, Hollis BW, Larson-Meyer DE. Vitamin D status relative to diet, lifestyle, injury, and illness in college athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43(2):335–343.
- Ginsberg C, Hoofnagle AN, Katz R, et al. The vitamin D metabolite ratio is associated with changes in bone density and fracture risk in older adults. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2021;36(12):2343–2350.
- Ginsberg C, Katz R, de Boer IH, et al. The 24,25 to 25-hydroxyvitamin D ratio and fracture risk in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Bone*. 2018;107:124–130.

22. Lawley R, Syrop IP, Fredericson M. Vitamin D for improved bone health and prevention of stress fractures: a review of the literature. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. 2020;19(6):202–208.
23. Ginsberg C, Hoofnagle AN, Katz R, et al. The vitamin D metabolite ratio is independent of vitamin D binding protein concentration. *Clin Chem*. 2021;67(2):385–393.
24. Haussler MR, Whitfield GK, Kaneko I, et al. Molecular mechanisms of vitamin D action. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2013;92(2):77–98.
25. St-Arnaud R, Glorieux FH. 24,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D-active metabolite or inactive catabolite? *Endocrinology*. 1998;139(8):3371–3374.
26. Gal-Moscovici A, Gal M, Popovtzer MM. Treatment of osteoporotic ovariectomized rats with 24,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2005;35(6):375–379.
27. St-Arnaud R. CYP24A1-deficient mice as a tool to uncover a biological activity for vitamin D metabolites hydroxylated at position 24. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2010;121(1–2):254–256.
28. Curtis KM, Aenlle KK, Roos BA, Howard GA. 24R, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> promotes the osteoblastic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2014;28(5):644–658.
29. St-Arnaud R, Naja RP. Vitamin D metabolism, cartilage and bone fracture repair. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2011;347(1–2):48–54.
30. Boyan BD, Hyzy SL, Pan Q, et al. 24R, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> protects against articular cartilage damage following anterior cruciate ligament transection in male rats. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(8):e0161782.
31. Tang JCY, Jackson S, Walsh NP, et al. The dynamic relationships between the active and catabolic vitamin D metabolites, their ratios, and associations with PTH. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):6974.
32. Carswell AT, Jackson S, Swinton P, et al. Vitamin D metabolites are associated with physical performance in young healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2022;54(11):1982–1989.
33. Bislev LS, Grove-Laugesen D, Rejnmark L. Vitamin D and muscle health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2021;36(9):1651–1660.
34. Wilkinson DM, Rayson MP, Bilzon JL. A physical demands analysis of the 24-week British Army parachute regiment recruit training syllabus. *Ergonomics*. 2008;51(5):649–662.
35. O'Leary TJ, Saunders SC, McGuire SJ, Venables MC, Izard RM. Sex differences in training loads during British Army basic training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2018;50(12):2565–2574.
36. Sharma J, Greeves JP, Byers M, Bennett AN, Spears IR. Musculoskeletal injuries in British Army recruits: a prospective study of diagnosis-specific incidence and rehabilitation times. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2015;16:106.
37. Walsh NP, Kashi DS, Edwards JP, et al. Good perceived sleep quality protects against the raised risk of respiratory infection during sleep restriction in young adults. *Sleep*. 2023;46(1):zsac222.
38. Harrison SE, Oliver SJ, Kashi DS, et al. Influence of vitamin D supplementation by simulated sunlight or oral D<sub>3</sub> on respiratory infection during military training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2021;53(7):1505–1516.
39. Kashi DS, Oliver SJ, Wentz LM, et al. Vitamin D and the hepatitis B vaccine response: a prospective cohort study and a randomized, placebo-controlled oral vitamin D(3) and simulated sunlight supplementation trial in healthy adults. *Eur J Nutr*. 2021;60(1):475–491.
40. Carswell AT, Oliver SJ, Wentz LM, et al. Influence of vitamin D supplementation by sunlight or oral D<sub>3</sub> on exercise performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2018;50(12):2555–2564.
41. Wentz LM, Ward MD, Potter C, et al. Increased risk of upper respiratory infection in military recruits who report sleeping less than 6 h per night. *Mil Med*. 2018;183(11–12):e699–e704.
42. Tang JCY, Nicholls H, Picc I, et al. Reference intervals for serum 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and the ratio with 25-hydroxyvitamin D established using a newly developed LC-MS/MS method. *J Nutr Biochem*. 2017;46:21–29.
43. Hoenig T, Ackerman KE, Beck BR, et al. Bone stress injuries. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2022;8(1):26.
44. Fraley C, Raftery AE. How many clusters? Which clustering method? Answers via model-based cluster analysis. *Comput J*. 1998;41(8):578–588.
45. Robinson M, Siddall A, Bilzon J, et al. Low fitness, low body mass and prior injury predict injury risk during military recruit training: a prospective cohort study in the British Army. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2016;2(1):e000100.
46. Blacker SD, Wilkinson DM, Bilzon JL, Rayson MP. Risk factors for training injuries among British Army recruits. *Mil Med*. 2008;173(3):278–286.
47. Knapik JJ, Sharp MA, Canham-Chervak M, Hauret K, Patton JF, Jones BH. Risk factors for training-related injuries among men and women in basic combat training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2001;33(6):946–954.
48. Therneau TM, Lumley T. Package 'Survival'. *Survival Analysis Published on CRAN 2:119*; Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival> 2014.
49. Ruohola JP, Laaksi I, Ylikomi T, et al. Association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and bone stress fractures in Finnish young men. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2006;21(9):1483–1488.
50. Burgi AA, Gorham ED, Garland CF, et al. High serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is associated with a low incidence of stress fractures. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2011;26(10):2371–2377.
51. Henry HL. Regulation of vitamin D metabolism. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;25(4):531–541.
52. Fraser WD, Tang JCY, Dutton JJ, Schoenmakers I. Vitamin D measurement, the debates continue, new analytes have emerged, developments have variable outcomes. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2020;106(1):3–13.
53. Latic N, Erben RG. FGF23 and vitamin D metabolism. *JBM Plus*. 2021;5(12):e10558.
54. Somjen D, Somjen GJ, Weisman Y, Binderman I. Evidence for 24,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol receptors in long bones of newborn rats. *Biochem J*. 1982;204(1):31–36.
55. Gianella F, Hsia CC, Sakhaee K. The role of vitamin D in sarcoidosis. *Fac Rev*. 2020;9:14.
56. Martineau C, Kaufmann M, Arabian A, Jones G, St-Arnaud R. Preclinical safety and efficacy of 24R, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> or lactosylceramide treatment to enhance fracture repair. *J Orthop Translat*. 2020;23:77–88.
57. Martineau C, Naja RP, Hussein A, et al. Optimal bone fracture repair requires 24R, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> and its effector molecule FAM57B2. *J Clin Invest*. 2018;128(8):3546–3557.
58. Seo EG, Einhorn TA, Norman AW. 24R, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub>: an essential vitamin D<sub>3</sub> metabolite for both normal bone integrity and healing of tibial fracture in chicks. *Endocrinology*. 1997;138(9):3864–3872.
59. Lauersen JB, Bertelsen DM, Andersen LB. The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(11):871–877.
60. Apte S, Prigent G, Stoggl T, et al. Biomechanical response of the lower extremity to running-induced acute fatigue: a systematic review. *Front Physiol*. 2021;12:646042.
61. Lappe J, Cullen D, Haynatzki G, Recker R, Ahlf R, Thompson K. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation decreases incidence of stress fractures in female navy recruits. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2008;23(5):741–749.
62. Gaffney-Stomberg E, Lutz LJ, Rood JC, et al. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation maintains parathyroid hormone and improves bone density during initial military training: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Bone*. 2014;68:46–56.
63. Gaffney-Stomberg E, Nakayama AT, Guerriere KI, et al. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation and bone health in marine recruits: effect of season. *Bone*. 2019;123:224–233.
64. Jorde R, Grimnes G. Increased calcium intake is associated lower serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in subjects with adequate vitamin D intake: a population-based observational study. *BMC Nutr*. 2020;6(1):49.
65. Owens DJ, Tang JCY, Bradley WJ, et al. Efficacy of high-dose vitamin D supplements for elite athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2017;49(2):349–356.