



## LJMU Research Online

Lewis, CJ, Roberts, SJ and Andrews, HJ

**'Why am I putting myself through this?' Women football coaches' experiences of the Football Association's coach education process**

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/2426/>

### Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Lewis, CJ, Roberts, SJ and Andrews, HJ (2015) 'Why am I putting myself through this?' Women football coaches' experiences of the Football Association's coach education process. *Sport, Education and Society*, 23 (1). pp. 28-39. ISSN 1470-1243**

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](#) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 ***‘Why am I putting myself through this?’ Women football coaches’ experiences of the***  
2 ***Football Association’s coach education process***

3 <sup>1</sup>Colin J. Lewis, <sup>1</sup>Simon J. Roberts & <sup>1</sup>Hazel Andrews

4 <sup>1</sup>*Faculty of Education, Health and Community, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool,*  
5 *United Kingdom*

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **‘Why am I putting myself through this?’ Women football coaches’ experiences of The**  
28 **Football Association’s coach education process**

29

30 In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the provision of formal  
31 coach education. However, research has repeatedly demonstrated how coach  
32 education has had a limited impact on the learning and development of coach  
33 practitioners. To date however, these investigations have avoided female coach  
34 populations. Ten women football coaches who had recently completed various  
35 association football coach education courses participated in this study. Following  
36 the interpretive analysis of 10 semi-structured interviews the findings revealed  
37 high levels of gender discrimination and inappropriate cultural practice. The  
38 women’s experiences are discussed in line with the Bourdieuan notions of social  
39 acceptance, symbolic language and power. The women coaches provided a  
40 number of recommendations for future coach education provision, which in turn,  
41 may help to improve the experiences for those women who participate in the  
42 coach education process.

43

44 ***KEY WORDS: Sports Coaching; Formal Learning; Gender Inequality; Soccer; CPD***

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

## 57 **Introduction**

58           The latest active sport participation data for women in the UK reveals that association  
59 football (from now on referred to as football) is currently one of the most popular participation  
60 sports. At the time of writing football is the second most popular sport for women, currently  
61 0.17% behind netball (Sport England, 2015). The creation of the Women's Football  
62 Association in 1993 and the development of the Female Football Development Programme are  
63 considered to be *inter alia* major contributors behind the recent explosion in women's  
64 participation in football. However, despite the reported increases in participation levels, the  
65 number of qualified women Football Association (FA) coaches in the UK remains modest at  
66 best (Norman, 2012).

67           This state of affairs is, to some extent, broadly reflective of the sport coaching  
68 profession in general. For instance, there is compelling evidence that only a small minority of  
69 women (when compared to males) enrol on formal coach education courses, and actively  
70 pursue a career in sport coaching (Fielding-Lloyd & Meân, 2011). Women's engagement (or  
71 not) in *bona fida* sport coaching is currently a vastly under researched area (Norman, 2012).  
72 Thus, following the guidance offered in previous studies (i.e. Mercier, 2001; Norman, 2008)  
73 we agree that researchers should begin to expand their coaching investigations beyond that of  
74 'typical' male populations.

75           Previous research has illustrated how coach education provision tends to be dominated  
76 by males, with course educators often demonstrating a predisposition towards associated male  
77 attributes, orientations and characteristics (Fasting & Pfister, 2000). Likewise, there is evidence  
78 to suggest that formal coach education courses typically reflect associated male behaviours  
79 such as aggression and toughness (Schlesinger & Weigelt-Schlesinger, 2012). Fielding-Lloyd  
80 and Meân (2011) have argued how a woman's unequal coaching status is often attributed to  
81 perceived gender differences, and for them is 'an example of liberal individualism which  
82 assumes equal access to opportunity' (p.360). This notion is supported by Norman (2012), who  
83 has criticised the current lack of support and coaching opportunities for women, arguing that  
84 in order to develop and increase confidence, knowledge and ability, women coaches need to  
85 be provided with more coaching and leadership opportunities. For instance, at the time of  
86 writing, only two women occupy managerial and leadership roles for the 18 Women's Super  
87 League (WSL) football teams.

88

89 As the national governing body (NGB) for English football, the FA's coach education  
90 provision 'cannot be overestimated' as the driving force for enhancing coaching standards  
91 (Lyle 2002, p.275). The FA's own code of conduct for coaches highlights that coaches should  
92 'respect others involved in the game', 'promote fair play and high standards of behaviour' and  
93 to 'never engage in, or tolerate, offensive, insulting or abusive language or behaviour' (The  
94 FA, 2014, p.4). However, in contrast to these aspirations, a number of individuals employed  
95 within the FA, and the wider international football community, have provided incongruous  
96 examples, and disparaging attitudes towards women. This includes the investigation of the  
97 current English Premier League chief Richard Scudamore who recently, was forced to  
98 apologise for exchanging inappropriate emails with colleagues. The terminology and language  
99 used in this context included; referring to women, as 'gash' and how he 'had a girlfriend once  
100 called a double decker...happy for you to play upstairs, but her dad got angry if you went  
101 below' (Drake, 2014). Perhaps more worryingly, Sepp Blatter, the Fédération Internationale de  
102 Football Association (FIFA) President was quoted in 2004 as saying 'Let the women play in  
103 more feminine clothes like they do in volleyball. They could, for example, have tighter shorts'  
104 (BBC, 2004). Additionally, Mike Newell (then manager of Luton Town FC 2003-2007) was  
105 publically criticised for questioning Amy Rayner's (assistant referee) presence, position and  
106 power in 2006 because of her gender. Newell was reported to ask the question 'what are women  
107 doing here?' Before concluding how he felt 'she shouldn't be here' (Caudwell, 2011). It has  
108 therefore been argued, that the challenge for women coaches is not only to survive within this  
109 challenging and often discriminatory culture, but also to understand, and ultimately challenge,  
110 these pre-determined and often socialised views (Norman, 2012).

111 Unfortunately, little empirical research currently exists, which examines women's  
112 experiences of coach education particularly within football. As Schlesinger and Weigelt-  
113 Schlesinger (2012) remind us 'we do not know exactly why women keep away from the  
114 associations' coach education programmes or do not take on coaching positions' (p.58).  
115 Accordingly, for change to occur, the issues concerning the existing cultures and recruitment  
116 policies, at the heart of the underrepresentation of women, need to be accurately identified and  
117 understood (Mercier, 2001).

118

### 119 *Formal coach learning*

120 Sport coaching and more specifically learning how to coach, has previously been  
121 labelled as a socialisation process, similar to that of an 'apprenticeship' (Cushion, Armour &  
122 Jones, 2003). Somewhat critically, Nelson, Cushion and Potrac (2006) referred to formal coach

123 education provision, as a process more aligned to ‘indoctrination’ (p.251). Similarly, Rogers  
124 (2002) suggested that coach educators engage in ‘activities that set out to convince us (i.e.  
125 coaches) that there is a right way of thinking, feeling and behaving’ (p.53). In this respect it is  
126 argued that ‘indoctrination’ denies the learner the opportunity to question or examine the  
127 content they have learned, and so are more likely to abide by the prescribed cultural values,  
128 attitudes and practices presented to them (Nelson *et al.*, 2006).

129 In recent years, there has been a significant increase in the provision of formal coach  
130 education and the associated importance attached to them (Gilbert & Trudel, 1999). It is widely  
131 acknowledged that NGBs adopt a range of learning opportunities for accrediting coaches to  
132 enhance their knowledge and underpinning theory. However, as Chesterfield, Potrac and Jones  
133 (2010) stated, ‘while this body of literature has provided scholars and practitioners with  
134 valuable knowledge about the role, nature and impact of coach education programmes, very  
135 little is known about how coaches experience such programmes’ (p.300). Consequently,  
136 Denison (2007) and Denison and Avner (2011) have suggested that scant attention has been  
137 paid to exploring coach education effectiveness, in particular, amongst women coaches.

138 In order to examine the notion of woman’s inequality within coaching, the focal point  
139 of the research inquiry must be gender (Norman, 2008). As Norman (2008) has argued  
140 previously, gender is ‘conceptualised as the organising principle that influences and moulds  
141 individuals’ lives and consciousness, as well as shaping institutions and determining how social  
142 power and privilege is distributed’ (p.449). The central concern for inspecting potential gender  
143 inequality is therefore considered to be the suspected disregard for the enforcement of equal  
144 opportunities. As Hargreaves (1993) reminds us, ‘attempts to remove or compensate for the  
145 ascriptive and social impediments that prevent women from competing on equal terms with  
146 men, without otherwise challenging the hierarchical structures within which both sexes  
147 operate’ (p.168).

148 English football has recently been described as a deeply masculinised institution  
149 (Norman, 2012). Previous research has attempted to understand and explore the existing  
150 barriers associated with recruitment strategies, underrepresentation and negative influences  
151 women have faced (Norman, 2008). In coach education terms, it has been argued that gender-  
152 stereotypical beliefs, and expectations of the male coach educators, and male candidates leads  
153 to the natural exclusion of women during their formal learning (Hartmann-Tews, 2006).  
154 Therefore, it is perhaps unsurprising that many women choose not to continue or indeed engage  
155 in the formal coach education process (Schlesinger & Weigelt-Schlesinger, 2012).

156 Similar to Norman (2008) then, it is argued, that what is missing from previous coach  
157 education inquiries is an examination of current coach education provision, through the voice  
158 of the woman. Given the previously reported gender inequalities inherent within football  
159 coaching (Fielding-Lloyd & Meân, 2011; Norman, 2008), formal coach education courses may  
160 be considered an appropriate starting point for exploring, and understanding these issues in  
161 more depth.

162 In this regard, the work of Pierre Bourdieu is worth considering as Bourdieu's work  
163 constitutes a powerful attempt to comprehend the social structuring of human relationships  
164 (Cushion, 2011). Furthermore, Bourdieu's concepts of field, capital and habitus have recently  
165 been adopted as a conceptual framework with which to examine coach learning (i.e. Townsend  
166 & Cushion, 2015). As Townsend and Cushion (2015) emphasised, incorporating a Bourdieuan  
167 lens is appealing, as it offers numerous 'possibilities for grasping the complexity of coach  
168 education and presenting it as a construct embodied within social practice' (Townsend &  
169 Cushion, 2015, p.2).

170 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the experiences of a number of women  
171 football coaches following the completion of the FA's coach education process. By following  
172 an interpretative phenomenological framework, this study will offer a unique theoretical and  
173 sociological insight into the cultural practices of male coach educators, and coaches as  
174 experienced by woman coaches. Furthermore, the work of Bourdieu and in particular notions  
175 of field, capital and habitus are adopted to build on earlier work, to better understanding formal  
176 coach education as a complex social encounter. In this respect, it is anticipated that following  
177 this framework can contribute to our understanding and provide a more detailed insight into  
178 the 'unknown world' of women football coaches' experiences of formal coach education.

179

## 180 **Methodology**

181

### 182 *Participants*

183 Prior to the data collection process, a local university ethics committee provided ethical  
184 approval, and the research protocol was conducted in accordance with institutional guidelines  
185 and procedures. In an attempt to adhere to similar research methodologies (i.e. Norman, 2012)  
186 purposeful sampling procedures were employed. Specifically, contracted women football  
187 coaches currently working in a County FA (CFA), the WSL or the Women's Premier League

188 (WPL) were contacted regarding their possible involvement in the study. The participant's elite  
189 athletic achievement in football varied. At the time of data collection, one was still actively  
190 playing in the WSL; five were playing in the WPL and one in a CFA league. Additionally,  
191 three had recently retired. Following an initial verbal acceptance, the participants were  
192 informed about the nature of the study by the first author, who at the time was working as a  
193 coach at a professional league club in the third tier of English football. Following formal written  
194 consent and assent, 10 participants finally agreed to take part in the study. Each participant has  
195 been given a pseudonym.

196 At the time of the data collection process all of the participants were actively coaching  
197 women's football in a variety of different environments, which included: local amateur football  
198 clubs, semi-professional football clubs and professional football clubs. The participant's ages  
199 ranged from a low of 17 years to a high of 26 years and collectively they had a combined total  
200 of 48 years football coaching experience. More importantly, all of the participants had at some  
201 stage in their coaching career attended a formal coach education course, as stipulated by their  
202 NGB. In total, 60% of the participants had completed their coach education course in the north  
203 west of England. The level of the attained qualifications ranged from the 1<sup>st</sup> Sport Level 1  
204 Certificate in Coaching Football to the Union of European Football Association (UEFA)  
205 Standards 'A' Certificate. The only pre-requisite for admission onto the coach education course  
206 was the successful completion of the preceding level of qualification. So for entry onto a level  
207 two qualification for example, the coach must already hold a level one qualification. For a more  
208 detailed breakdown of the participant profile please refer to Table 1.

209



210 **Table 1. Participants Characteristics**

Participant	Age	Qualification	Location of coach education course	Coaching experience (years)	Current coaching role
Amy	17	Level 2	North West	3	WPL
Beth	26	UEFA A	South East	8	WSL
Charlotte	18	Level 1	North West	2	CFA
Danielle	22	Level 1	North West	2	CFA
Eve	24	UEFA B	North East	7	WSL
Faye	21	Level 2	North West	4	WPL
Georgia	23	Level 2	North West	6	WPL
Helen	25	UEFA B	East Midlands	5	WPL
Ivy	19	Level 2	North West	2	CFA
Jennifer	26	UEFA B	East Midlands	9	WPL

211

212 *Data Collection Process and Interview Procedure*

213 The current study adopted an interpretive line of enquiry. In order to capture the  
 214 women’s experiences and perceptions of the coach education process, 10 semi-structured  
 215 interviews were conducted. The interview process was relaxed and informal in nature, and  
 216 conducted at locations previously decided by the participants. The questioning protocol was  
 217 informed by the current gaps in the coach education literature, and was structured around five  
 218 central sections. The opening section focused on the participants coaching background and  
 219 qualifications, in order to create a sense of their current coaching status, and previous coaching  
 220 roles. The second section focused on the participants’ overall satisfaction and experiences of  
 221 the coach education process. The third and fourth sections were designed to elicit detailed  
 222 information surrounding the cultural practices of their coach education course educators, and

223 male peers. In particular, the coaches were prompted to outline and expand on any difficulties  
224 or challenges they encountered. This included the recording of specific language, and  
225 associated practices adopted by the male coach educators and fellow male coaches. The fifth  
226 and final section was reflective in nature, and required the coaches to consider  
227 recommendations and possible suggestions, which in turn, may have improved their recent  
228 coach education experiences.

229         The 10 in-depth interviews were audiotaped using a CL-R10 digital voice recorder and  
230 transcribed verbatim by the first author. The duration of each of the 10 interviews was  
231 approximately 90 minutes. The interview process mirrored the interpretative framework  
232 advocated by Reinharz (1983) as the criterion presented included ‘completeness, plausibility  
233 and understanding and responsiveness to...subjects’ experiences’ (p.171). As a result, the data  
234 collection process from a participant’s point of view was regarded as morally significant and  
235 honourable.

236

### 237 *Data analysis*

238         In order to critically analyse the data, Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA)  
239 procedures were employed. According to Sparkes and Smith (2013), IPA has ‘two  
240 complementary commitments: the phenomenological requirements to understand and ‘give  
241 voice’ to the concerns of participants; and the interpretative requirement to contextualise and  
242 ‘make sense’ of these claims and concerns’ (p.126). The importance of using this data analysis  
243 approach is that the rich perceptions of the interviewees, which are ‘regarded as the primary  
244 source of knowledge’ (Moustakas, 1994, p.52), can be interpreted and analysed so that the  
245 participants insights can be easily identified and discussed. The work of Pierre Bourdieu and  
246 in particular the notion of field, capital and habitus were also weaved into the analysis. It was  
247 considered the use of Bourdieuan concepts would provide a more detailed insight into the  
248 ‘unknown world’ of women football coaches’ experiences of participating in formal coach  
249 education.

250         According to Sparkes and Smith (2013), when performing IPA there are a number of  
251 key procedures to follow. Firstly, the process of reading and re-reading of the participant’s  
252 transcripts was performed in an attempt to fully understand the dialogue. During this stage  
253 descriptive, linguistic and conceptual comments were recorded and highlighted for further  
254 detailed examination. Secondly, data from the interview transcripts, observational notes and

255 memos were examined to ensure the captured data provided a clear representation of the  
256 participant's views. Thirdly, themes were identified and connected with other participants  
257 views. Here clusters of concepts with shared meanings or references, or dissimilarities were  
258 generated. The final stage involved a complex process of searching for patterns across data and  
259 within the transcripts to identify repeated patterns or new emerging themes. Trustworthiness  
260 of the data and subsequent data analysis was applied through member checking. This process  
261 was performed in conjunction with the second and third authors, who both have previous  
262 experiences of conducting and analysing in-depth interviews (Andrews, 2010; Roberts, 2011).  
263 The coded interview transcripts were forwarded to each participant to guarantee complete  
264 accuracy of coding, and allow the participants the opportunity to make any corrections deemed  
265 necessary. Despite some minor grammatical errors, the data transcripts, codes and final themes  
266 were all considered to be an accurate reflection of the interviews and data analysis procedures.

267

### 268 *Theoretical Framework*

269 The work of Bourdieu (1977) offers a useful lens in order to 'capture the reality of  
270 different groups' unequal interactions, and situations' (Cushion & Jones, 2006, p.145), and  
271 thus provide a more critical understanding of the nuances of coach education. Bourdieu (2000)  
272 argued that in order to 'encounter' rather than reassemble the social world, we should move  
273 closer to the site of practice and production so that we may complete 'the sociological picture'  
274 (p.50). According to Bourdieu (2004) the social world can be viewed as a multi-dimensional  
275 space created on unequal foundations of power between social agents. In the context of coach  
276 education, or more specifically, in the context of formal coach learning (i.e. through the  
277 participation on a coach education course), it can be perceived as 'a field of struggles'  
278 (Bourdieu & Wacquant, 1992, p.101).

279 Bourdieu's conceptualisation of field according to Smith (2012, p.254) is a 'powerful  
280 heuristic' for understanding the social practices and relational struggles in institutional arenas.  
281 Crucially, it allows social agents, to pursue, protect and enhance their social position and 'to  
282 impose the principle of hierarchisation most favourable to their own products' (Bourdieu, 1989,  
283 p.40). Moreover, Hunter (2004) illustrated that focal to the functioning of any social space (e.g.  
284 a coach education course) is the concept of capital. Capital, is essentially a form of power,  
285 which ensures individuals endlessly do their utmost to maximise their capital, due to social  
286 positions being allocated by the volume of capital attributed to them (Ritzer, 1996). As  
287 Denison, Mills and Jones (2013) remind us, power essentially dictates who speaks, where,

288 when and with what authority. Therefore, individuals continuously pursue strategies to enhance  
289 and transmit their ‘power’ to gain hierarchal positioning (Cushion & Jones, 2006).

290 Furthermore, it is important to note that Bourdieu’s concept of symbolic violence also  
291 demonstrates how inappropriate language and actions are transferred to indirectly establish  
292 social positioning. Symbolic violence refers to the imposition of systems of symbolism and  
293 meanings upon groups “in such a way that they are experienced as legitimate” (Jenkins, 2002,  
294 p. 104). As Kim (2004) reminds us, it is this legitimacy that disguises the existing power  
295 relations, thus making them distorted and hidden. In essence, the concept of symbolic violence  
296 will lend itself to exploring the ways in which coach educators and fellow candidates interact  
297 and communicate with female candidates on association football coach education courses.

298

## 299 **Results and Discussion**

300 In total, five thematic categories emerged following the data analysis. In this section,  
301 the over-arching themes are presented, along with extracts from the interviews. It further,  
302 discusses the contextual nuances of women football coaches, following the completion of a  
303 formal FA coach education course.

304

305 *‘Why am I putting myself through this?’ Notions of field, habitus and capital*

306 Bourdieu (1986) highlighted how the concept of field can be the site of struggle, for  
307 access, for acknowledgment and of acceptance. Agents (i.e. coaches) that engage within the  
308 field take up a position that is relative to their individual quantity of capital that they possess.  
309 For instance, Cushion and Jones (2006) remind us how capital can occur in a number of forms:  
310 economic, cultural, social, symbolic and physical, of which one’s social position is defined in  
311 relation to one’s access to the relevant form of capital. Furthermore, habitus is referred to as ‘a  
312 system of acquired dispositions or categories of perception and assessment held by the coach  
313 at the level of practice’ (Taylor & Garratt, 2014, p.126).

314 Within the present study, numerous dispositions of capital, which devalued the  
315 women’s social stature, were reported. For example, the majority of the women (9 out of 10)  
316 mentioned how they felt unappreciated and to prove themselves. The following three  
317 participant’s points below illustrate this point.

318

319 “I just felt like I had to prove myself all the time. I just used to look at them and think  
320 who are you to put me down? It was hard to accept. Part of me understands it, when it’s

321 the other coaches, but to feel like I did, just for the tutor's benefit just took the piss"  
322 [Charlotte]

323

324 "Because I was like a female he made me feel a bit silly and useless. I was made to  
325 make a show of myself most of the time which just killed my confidence. I was starting  
326 to ask myself why am I putting myself through this?" [Beth]

327

328 "It was hard because in that environment you felt like you had to earn your place, just  
329 because you're a female football coach" [Jennifer]

330

331 It becomes apparent how the majority of the women football coaches interviewed  
332 struggled to adapt to the coach education environment, and reported feelings of 'not being  
333 welcomed' and a perception of a 'lack of self-worth'. These concerns were initially generated  
334 by the language, behaviour and cultural practices adopted by the male coach education team.  
335 Bourdieu (1986) discussed how power determines the position and construction of the social  
336 agents in the social field, particularly based on the differentiation of power between the social  
337 agents. It is apparent that the language, behaviour and cultural practices employed reflect the  
338 interests of the dominant group to ensure they acclaim their 'rightful' honour and prestige  
339 (Bourdieu, 1986). Therefore, it could be argued that the reproduction of social inequalities  
340 within the field provided a 'sense of the position one occupies in the social space' (Bourdieu,  
341 1990, p.235). Consequently, it appears that the women were far from members of the traditional  
342 'boys club' – a hypothetical club which the male coaches were typically granted access. In  
343 contrast, the women were met with hostility and became increasingly 'angered and frustrated'  
344 by being treated like 'an outsider'. For instance, when asked to comment specifically on this  
345 topic, the women mentioned how they needed to 'prove themselves', and 'earn the right to be  
346 present on a formal coach education course'. Due to the limited number of women on the  
347 courses (when compared to men) it could be argued, that as a consequence of their gender, the  
348 quantity of cultural and social capital that they possessed was, in essence lowered (Bourdieu,  
349 1989).

350

351 *'I'd love to give her one'. Socialisation and symbolic language and violence*

352 The socialisation process appeared to maintain a particular social order throughout the  
353 participant's experiences, and the production and exercise of power was illustrated in the form  
354 of symbolic language and violence (Jenks, 1993). Symbolic language and violence is often  
355 associated with the notion of misrecognition, and involves a series of actions or words that  
356 eventually affect performance and commitment (Bourdieu, 1977). When prompted to comment  
357 on their experiences of abusive, derogatory or sexist language nearly all of the women (9 out  
358 of 10) provided numerous examples to support this particular point. These included derogatory  
359 actions by both fellow male coaches and members of various coach education teams. The  
360 following extracts cited during the interviews help to support this view:

361

362 “You kind of felt a little bit patronised, so you know, you got the feeling that he would  
363 kind of think, oh, so you can kick a ball. It just makes you question whether you can be  
364 bothered anymore” [Danielle]

365

366 “You did really well, considering you're a female...that's all he kept saying.” [Faye]

367

368 “The course tutor kept forgetting my name *on purpose* (emphasis intended) and then he  
369 just kept calling me that girl which I was really quite annoyed at. It was humiliating. I  
370 got to the point when I just blurted out...Why not just ask me my name? I was very  
371 annoyed by that” [Charlotte]

372

373 “I overheard one of the lads say *I'd love to give her one*, and then he made a humping  
374 action with one hand on the back of his neck and the other on his hips...I also heard  
375 one comment about my bum at some point as well. But it's strange; there is a nothing  
376 you can do. You are made to feel as though you just have to accept it” [Eve]

377

378 Symbolic violence involves engagement of reproducing the interests of the dominant  
379 group (Bourdieu, 1977). In this case, the women coaches experienced what Bourdieu termed  
380 as misrecognition: ‘the process whereby power relations are perceived not for what they  
381 objectively are, but in the form that renders them legitimate in the eyes of the beholder’  
382 (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977, p.xiii). Symbolic violence reinforces the position of those in  
383 power; whilst also disguising the actions and language that they are indeed employing (Cushion  
384 & Jones, 2014). Bourdieu (1989) also argued that in ‘advanced’ societies, domination in its  
385 principal mode is actually more symbolic than actual. However, whilst this could be argued in

386 this instance, the often abusive, derogative and sexist language evidently affected the women  
387 and consequently made them feel ‘annoyed’ and question whether they ‘could be bothered  
388 anymore?’

389 Cushion and Jones (2014) summarised in their study that the coaches at Albion Football  
390 Club (pseudonym) imposed their language, meanings and system and culture onto the players  
391 through a process of symbolic violence. Indeed, so desperate were the players to earn a  
392 professional contract, it was accepted as legitimate. In the current study there were a number  
393 of similarities. For example, the women reported having to just ‘accept it...as this is how it’s  
394 done’. Thus indicating how they considered this behaviour to be standardised and  
395 commonplace and so, subsequently, carried an awareness and understanding of women football  
396 coaches’ social position. For example:

397

398 “Come on lads...come over boys...right fellas...does he even know that I’m a woman?  
399 I mean I am standing right there and quite clearly have a bigger chest than the rest of  
400 the other people standing there, it’s quite obvious to me really” [Amy]

401

402 “His favourite line was right chaps, which didn’t exactly make me feel great when he  
403 was addressing the group” [Ivy]

404

405 During the completion of the coach education process the women had to repeatedly  
406 endure degrading comments such being called one of the ‘lads’ and ‘fellas’, and educators  
407 mocking them regarding their athletic prowess. The course educators also turned a ‘blind eye’  
408 when other candidates commented on how they would like to ‘give her one’ and performed  
409 inappropriate sexual actions in front of the woman. More worryingly, it would appear that the  
410 behaviour of the course educators, and the language they adopted helped to reinforce a number  
411 of gender stereotypes. For instance, although the woman reminded the educator on several  
412 occasions, they continued to refer the woman as either: ‘lads’, ‘boys’ or ‘fellas’. This lack of  
413 sensitivity towards their gender, and the treatment they received caused some of the women to  
414 question their commitment to coaching and their desire to continue with the coach education  
415 process. Indeed, one of the coaches did reveal how she recently had to leave a coach education  
416 course, due to the inappropriate sexual advances from a member of the coach education team,  
417 following an evening of drinking in the bar. This will be reported in more detail in a follow up  
418 paper.

419

420 *'Disgusting, absolutely disgusting'. Where are the female role models?*

421 Norman (2012) suggested that increasing the cogency of existing high-performance  
422 female football coaches as role models, may inspire other women to perceive football coaching  
423 as an achievable profession. Drawing on the data collected in this study it was evident that only  
424 one of the 10 women interviewed had ever experienced working with a female football coach  
425 educator. The ratio of the male and female coach educators that the participants had been  
426 exposed to during their coach education experiences was 46:1 in favour of the males. The  
427 women's frustration with this state of affairs was evident, and typically perceived as harmful  
428 and damaging. The following points below offered by 6 out of the 10 participants help to  
429 illustrate this point.

430

431 "How they cannot have a female member of staff when there's females on the course  
432 is disgusting, absolutely disgusting" [Beth]

433

434 "I think if another female can get like high up then it obviously might help us to think,  
435 you know, they can do it so it's not just a male dominated industry" [Eve]

436

437 "It would, maybe, be good to see a female coach educator as well to balance it out so  
438 people can say yes, women can be coaches too" [Faye]

439

440 "100% lack of female role models. Who do I have to look up to?" [Charlotte]

441

442 "[Laughs] they didn't take me seriously. I was the only girl so I can see why I found it  
443 hard" [Amy]

444

445 "I would have felt more comfortable and confident with a female coach educator there.  
446 It would attract more females and be a less intimidating environment" [Danielle]

447



448 Similarly, when questioned about the behaviour of their fellow male candidates that  
449 were present on the course, three of the participants illustrated how they were afforded a  
450 genuine lack of respect. For instance:

451

452 “What really wound me up though, was that as soon as it was my turn to coach, all the  
453 men seemed to take it in turns to mess about. You know, mess things up on purpose.  
454 Make you look stupid. I don’t know why the course tutors team allowed them to get  
455 away with it too be honest – but they did” [Ivy]

456

457 “You really have to bite your tongue. When I was coaching you could see them laughing  
458 and sniggering, really taking the piss. They would openly hold conversations with each  
459 other when I was trying to explain a drill or practice. I was like, come on guys, show  
460 some respect” [Jennifer]

461

462 *‘Why are you isolating them?’ The need for women only coach education courses*

463 When questioned about possible strategies to help improve the current situation for  
464 women on coach education courses, one suggestion was the introduction of women only coach  
465 education courses. This recommendation was highlighted by 8 out of the 10 participants. For  
466 example:

467

468 “Maybe doing female courses so we feel comfortable in our own environment. If female  
469 football coaches feel more comfortable then there might be more than there is now  
470 doing courses” [Eve]

471

472 “I think female only courses would encourage more girls to do it, yes, definitely”  
473 [Danielle]

474

475 “I would prefer it if it was all women course if I am honest... I can understand why not  
476 all women carry on going higher than a level one, if they know they’re going to be  
477 surrounded by men, who, in the most part are sexist pigs” [Helen]

478

479 “Why are you isolating them on different courses? Why don’t you put all the women  
480 together so it’s like a little bit of camaraderie?” [Beth]

481

482 “It was embarrassing. I was having to deliver to all these men and didn’t have one other  
483 female there to support me or for me to even look at to make me feel, well, even just  
484 calmer than I was” [Ivy]

485

486 “Confidence is mainly the biggest barrier. I think delivery to boys the same age is  
487 difficult and if it were girls it would be different. That could put girls off” [Amy]

488

489 Norman (2012) suggested the need for the creation of supportive networks for women  
490 to develop ‘in a more accommodating, encouraging environment in which they are not afraid  
491 to learn and sometimes fail, but have the opportunity to take the lead’ (p.232). The majority of  
492 women interviewed expressed their positivity towards women only courses, suggesting it  
493 would be less ‘embarrassing’ and supplement ‘a little bit of camaraderie’. Using the words of  
494 one of the coaches, ‘if female football coaches feel more comfortable then there might be more  
495 than there is now doing courses’, which may help to increase the number of women football  
496 coaches performing, and sharing coaching practices and experiences away from an often male-  
497 dominated environment.

498

499 *‘Pointless and not realistic at all...has to be a certain way otherwise you fail’. Time for*  
500 *change?*

501 Previous coach education research has suggested that the content of the theoretical  
502 elements of coach education courses needs to come under much more scrutiny (Nash &  
503 Sproule, 2012). Chesterfield et al. (2010) reported how questions were raised regarding the  
504 design and delivery of formal coach education programmes and that their participants were

505 critical of the 'one size fits all' approach. In the present study, some women reported a number  
506 of positive aspects. For example, when questioned about the courses organisation and content,  
507 Amy and Georgia's comments included:

508

509 "Beforehand I wasn't really sure what to expect, but I was happy with what we had  
510 learnt after I had completed the course" [Amy]

511

512 "It was good because I didn't really know a lot of technical detail before we started so  
513 what I picked up really helped me learn more about what and how to coach" [Georgia]

514

515 Similar to Chesterfield et al. (2010) the findings suggested the women experienced  
516 some positive learning episodes during their formal coach learning. Positive comments  
517 generally included reference to the practicality and relevance to some of the coaching material.  
518 However, others made reference to its unrealistic application in the real world setting and the  
519 value and effectiveness of the awards. Coach education has previously been described as being  
520 too focused on sport-specific skills and tactics (Cassidy, Jones & Potrac, 2004). When  
521 questioned about the course applicability and its value in the real world of coaching Beth, Helen  
522 and Jennifer stated:

523

524 "All I was thinking was when the hell would I use this? I couldn't exactly say to half  
525 the team just go and sit over there for twenty minutes whilst I coach this lot could I?"  
526 [Beth]

527

528 "If I'm going to be honest, it's hard because the way the practical's are delivered they're  
529 very structured and focus a lot on stop stand still. When I coach back at my club, if  
530 you've got something to say you're in and out within about 20 seconds. On my course,  
531 you had to speak for about one, sometimes even two minutes, which to me is pointless  
532 and not realistic at all...has to be a certain way otherwise you fail. He said something  
533 like the FA would tell you to do it this way, but I'm telling you to do it this way" [Helen]

534

535 "I've not used hardly any of the content since I passed, and I don't think I will to be  
536 honest. I think the FA need a re-think. I think it might be time for a change" [Jennifer]

537

538           The evidence contained within the present study suggests that the FA's coach education  
539 programme had very little, if any impact on the development and professional practice of the  
540 women coaches. One of the more qualified coaches (UEFA A' licence) stated they had 'not  
541 used it since' and another was going to find it 'hard' to incorporate because of its 'stop stand  
542 still' nature. According to Chesterfield et al. (2010) 'the best practice presented standards set  
543 by the coach educators were considered to be somewhat out of kilter with the respondent  
544 coaches' understanding of their daily realities' (p.306). In this respect, Guskey (2002) suggests  
545 that rather than conveying change in candidates by endeavouring to adjust their beliefs and  
546 values, coach educators need adapt and acknowledge how their practices can be contextually  
547 applied in 'live' coaching situations in the real world coaching setting.

548           The women stated how the course educators were keen on developing 'competent  
549 workers' equipped with the skills to do the 'job'. However, this seemingly came at a price. It  
550 was clear the course educators requested the candidates to abide and emulate their own values  
551 and ideologies. Subsequently, the women, such as Helen and Ivy, commented on how they  
552 'couldn't deviate from the format presented to them', and so 'had to do what the educator told  
553 me'. Interestingly, they spoke about a reluctance to challenge the educator workforce and were  
554 worried about asking questions. For instance, using Beth's words 'you did it their way  
555 otherwise you fail'. Sadly, such a finding is not unique, and is consistent with previous  
556 authoritarian behaviour found within football coaching (Cushion & Jones, 2006).

557

## 558 **Concluding Thoughts**

559           The findings from this study provide a revealing insight into some of the challenges  
560 and difficulties women experience in their attempt to gain certification through the FA's formal  
561 coach education system. The women interviewed reported a number of issues associated with  
562 the often sexist and bigoted nature of the coach educators, and their male peers. Primarily, it  
563 has been established that some of the women didn't feel particularly welcomed and found the  
564 atmosphere intimidating and often uncomfortable.

565           The woman recounted numerous examples of being exposed to overtly sexist behaviour  
566 and ensured degrading comments such as being referred to as a 'lad', 'boy' or 'fella'. Therefore,  
567 based on the evidence reported, it is our contention that researchers, coach educators and  
568 women football coaches must begin to critically engage and reflect on their formal coach

569 education experiences to increase awareness and transform representation to reconstruct the  
570 field.

571 Our findings also demonstrate how there is a major shortage of women coach educators  
572 and potential role models, which may help to address some of these particular problems. This  
573 in itself is somewhat worrying state of affairs given that role models are ‘a source of norms and  
574 values and operate as standards for self-evaluation’ (Norman, 2012, p.236). Consequently,  
575 women are finding it difficult to comprehend and integrate themselves in an established male  
576 dominated coaching hierarchy.

577 According to Lyle (2002), coach education ‘acts as a gatekeeper to the profession and  
578 ensures, therefore, that the competence of the practitioner can be assured’ (p.275). However,  
579 the findings of the present study suggest that there is still a disconnect between what coach  
580 education organisers and coach educators perceive as being relevant for personal development,  
581 and what coaches actually desire.

582 In summary, consulting and listening to the experiences of women football coaches  
583 may help to ensure that future coach education provision meets the developmental needs of  
584 those women wishing to pursue a career in sport coaching. A failure to do so may unfortunately  
585 lead to more of the same, and consequently through no fault of their own, women coaches may  
586 continue to find themselves in an obtuse position. Hopefully this paper can begin the process  
587 of widening the discussion surrounding women’s experiences of formal coach education  
588 provision. Future investigations should consider different sports and NGBs in order to ratify a  
589 number of the claims documented in this paper.

590

## 591 **Acknowledgements**

592 The author(s) would like to acknowledge the women coaches who participated in this  
593 study, and for their willingness to speak openly and honestly about their experiences. Finally,  
594 the author(s) acknowledges the two anonymous reviewers for their comments and questions,  
595 which have undoubtedly enhanced the quality of this paper.

596

## 597 **Reference List**

598 Andrews H. J. (2010) Researching Tourism Reflexive Practice and Gender, *Journal of*  
599 *Tourism Consumption and Practice*, 2(2), 1-14.

600 BBC. (2004) Women footballers blast Blatter. Available online at:  
601 <http://news.bbc.co.uk/sport1/hi/football/3402519.stm> (Accessed 18 June 2014)

602 Bourdieu, P. & Passeron, J. (1977) *Reproduction in education, society and culture*  
603 (London, Sage).

604 Bourdieu, P. & Wacquant, L. J. D. (1992) *An invitation to reflexive sociology*  
605 (University of Chicago Press, Chicago).

606 Bourdieu, P. (1977) *Outline of theory and practice* (Cambridge/New York, Cambridge  
607 University Press).

608 Bourdieu, P. (1986) *Distinction. A social critique of the judgement of taste* (London,  
609 Routledge).

610 Bourdieu, P. (1989) Social space and symbolic power, *Sociological Theory*, 7, 14-25.

611 Bourdieu, P. (1990) *In other words: essays towards a reflexive sociology* (Cambridge,  
612 Polity Press).

613 Bourdieu, P. (2000) *Pascalian meditations* (Cambridge, Polity Press).

614 Bourdieu, P. (2004) *Science of science and reflexivity* (Chicago, University of Chicago  
615 Press).

616 Cassidy, T. Jones, R. L. & Potrac, P. (2004) *Understanding sports coaching: the social,*  
617 *cultural and pedagogical foundations of coaching practice* (London, Routledge).

618 Caudwell, J. (2011) 'Does your boyfriend know you're here?' The spatiality of  
619 homophobia in men's football culture in the UK, *Leisure Studies*, 30(2), 123-138.

620 Chesterfield, G. Potrac, P. & Jones, R. L. (2010) 'Studentship' and 'impression  
621 management' in an advanced soccer coach education award, *Sport, Education and Society*,  
622 15(3), 299-314.

623 Cushion, C. (2011) Pierre Bourdieu: A theory of (coaching) practice, in: R. L. Jones, P.  
624 Potrac, C. Cushion & L. T. Ronglan (eds.) *The sociology of sports coaching* (London,  
625 Routledge), 43-50.

626 Cushion, C. & Jones, R. L. (2014) A Bourdieusian analysis of cultural reproduction:  
627 socialisation and the 'hidden curriculum' in professional football, *Sport, Education and*  
628 *Society*, 19(3), 276-298.

629 Cushion, C. Armour, K. & Jones, R. L. (2003) Coach education and continuing  
630 professional development: experience and learning to coach, *Quest*, 55, 215-230.

631 Cushion, C. J. & Jones, R. L. (2006) Power, discourse and symbolic violence in  
632 professional youth soccer: the case of Albion FC, *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 23(2), 142-161.

633 Denison, J. (2007) Social theory for coaches. A Foucauldian reading of one athlete's  
634 poor performance, *International Journal of Sport Science and Coaching*, 2. 369-383.

635 Denison, J. & Avner, Z. (2011) Positive Coaching. Ethical Practices for Athlete  
636 Development, *Quest*, 2, 209-228.

637 Denison, J. Mills, J & Jones, L. (2013) Effective Coaching as a Modernist Formation,  
638 in: P. Potrac, W. Gilbert & J. Denison (eds.) Routledge Handbook of Sports Coaching (London,  
639 Routledge) 388-399.

640 Drake, M. (2014) England football supremo Richard Scudamore made sexist slurs in a  
641 string of emails to soccer pals, *Mirror* [online] Available at: [http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-](http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/premier-league-boss-richard-scudamore-3524857)  
642 [news/premier-league-boss-richard-scudamore-3524857](http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/premier-league-boss-richard-scudamore-3524857) [Accessed 16 June 2014]

643 Fasting, K. & G. Pfister. (2000) Female and Male Coaches in the Eyes of Female Soccer  
644 Players, *European Physical Education Review* 6, 91-110.

645 Fielding-Lloyd, B. & Meân, L. (2011) ‘I don’t think I can catch it’: women, confidence  
646 and responsibility in football coach education, *Soccer & Society*, 12(3), 345-364.

647 Gilbert, W. & Trudel, P. (1999) An evaluation strategy for coach education programs,  
648 *Journal of Sport Behaviour*, 22, 234-250.

649 Guskey, T. (2002) Professional development and teacher change, *Teachers and*  
650 *Teacher Education*, 8(3), 381-391.

651 Hargreaves, J. (1993) Gender on the Sports Agenda, in: Ingham, A.G., and Loy, J.W.  
652 (eds.) Sport in Social Development: Traditions, Transitions and Transformations (Human  
653 Kinetics, Champaign), 167-185.

654 Hartmann-Tews, I. (2006) Soziale Konstruktion von Geschlecht im Sport und in den  
655 Sportwissenschaften, in: I. Hartmann-Tews & B. Rulofs (eds.) Handbuch Sport und Geschlecht  
656 (Schorndorf, Hofmann), 40-54.

657 Hunter, L. (2004) Bourdieu and the social space of the PE Class: reproduction of doxa  
658 through practice, *Sport, Education and Society*, 9(2), 175-192.

659 Jenkins, R. (1992) Key sociologists; Pierre Bourdieu (London, Routledge).

660 Jenks, C. (1993) Culture (London, Routledge).

661 Kim, K. M. (2004) Can Bourdieu’s critical theory liberate us from the symbolic  
662 violence? *Cultural Studies-Critical Methodologies*, 4, 362-376.

663 Lyle, J. (2002) Sports coaching concepts: a framework for coaches’ behaviour (London,  
664 Routledge).

665 Mercier, R. (2001) A Practical Lesson for Developing Women Coaches, *Canadian*  
666 *Journal for Women in Coaching Online*, 1(4), 1-8.

667 Moustakas, C. (1994) Phenomenological research methods (Thousand Oaks,  
668 California, Sage Publications).

669 Nash, C & Sproule, J. (2012) Coaches perceptions of their coach education experiences,  
670 *International Journal of Sport Science and Coaching*, 43, 33-52.

671 Nelson, L. Cushion, C. & Potrac, P. (2006) Formal, nonformal and informal coach  
672 learning: a holistic conceptualisation, *International Journal of Coaching Science*, 1(3), 247-  
673 259.

674 Norman, L. (2008) The UK Coaching System is Failing Women Coaches, *International*  
675 *Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 3(4), 447-464.

676 Norman, L. (2012) Developing female coaches: strategies from women themselves,  
677 *Asia-Pacific Journal of Health, Sport and Physical Education*, 3(3), 227-238.

678 Reinharz, S. (1983) Exponential analysts: A contribution to feminist research, in: G.  
679 Bowles & R. Duelli Klein (eds.) *Theories of Women's Studies*. London: Routledge, 162-191

680 Ritzer, G. (1996) *Sociological Theory* (Singapore, McGraw Hill).

681 Roberts, S. (2011) Teaching Games for Understanding: the difficulties and challenges  
682 experienced by participation cricket coaches, *Physical Education & Sport Pedagogy*, 16(1),  
683 33-48.

684 Rogers, M. (2002) Contextualizing Theories and Practices of Bricolage Research, *The*  
685 *Qualitative Report*, 7, 1-17.

686 Schlesinger, T. & Weigelt-Schlesinger, Y. (2012) 'Poor thing' or 'Wow, she knows  
687 how to do it' – gender stereotypes as barriers to women's qualification in the education of  
688 soccer coaches, *Soccer & Society*, 13(1), 56-72.

689 Sparkes, A. & Smith, B. (2013) *Qualitative research in sport, exercise & health*  
690 *sciences. From process to product* (London, Routledge).

691 Sports England (2015) *Active People Survey (APS9 Q2) 2014/2015*, Available at:  
692 <http://activepeople.sportengland.org/Result#Id=67831&OutputType=1> (accessed 09  
693 September 2015).

694 The Football Association. (2014) *Respect Codes of Conduct*, (London, The Football  
695 Association).

696 Townsend, R. & Cushion, C. (2015) Elite cricket coach education: a Bourdieusian  
697 analysis, *Sport, Education and Society*, 20, 1-19.