

# LJMU Research Online

**Irabor, T, Hoare, MG, Burton, M, Cotton, WD, Diamond, P, Dougherty, S, Ellingsen, SP, Fender, R, Fuller, GA, Garrington, S, Goldsmith, PF, Green, J, Gunn, AG, Jackson, J, Kurtz, S, Lumsden, SL, Marti, J, McDonald, I, Molinari, S, Moore, TJ, Mutale, M, Muxlow, T, O'Brien, T, Oudmaijer, RD, Paladini, R, Pandian, JD, Paredes, JM, Richards, AMS, Sanchez-Monge, A, Spencer, R, Thompson, MA, Umana, G, Urquhart, JS, Wieringa, M and Zijlstra, A**

 **The coordinated radio and infrared survey for high-mass star formation – V. The CORNISH-South survey and catalogue**

**http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/24453/**

**Article**

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

**Irabor, T, Hoare, MG, Burton, M, Cotton, WD, Diamond, P, Dougherty, S, Ellingsen, SP, Fender, R, Fuller, GA, Garrington, S, Goldsmith, PF, Green, J, Gunn, AG, Jackson, J, Kurtz, S, Lumsden, SL, Marti, J, McDonald, I, Molinari, S, Moore, TJ, Mutale, M, Muxlow, T, O'Brien, T, Oudmaijer, RD,** 

LJMU has developed **[LJMU Research Online](http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/)** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

For more information please contact [researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk)

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**The coordinated radio and infrared survey for high-mass star formation – V. The CORNISH-South survey and catalogue**

T. Irabor[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7289-1998)  $1\star$  $1\star$  M. G. Hoare  $\bullet$ ,  $1\star$  M. Burton  $\bullet$ , [2](#page-20-0) W. D. Cotton, [3](#page-20-0) P. Diamond, [4](#page-20-0) S. Dougherty, [5](#page-20-0) S. P. Ellingsen <sup>®</sup>[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1363-5457) <sup>[6](#page-20-0)</sup> R. Fender, <sup>[7](#page-20-0)</sup> G. A. Fuller, <sup>[4,8](#page-20-0)</sup> S. Garrington, <sup>[4](#page-20-0)</sup> P. F. Goldsmith, <sup>[9](#page-20-0)</sup> J. Green, <sup>[10](#page-20-0)</sup> A. G. Gunn, <sup>4</sup> J. Jackson, [11](#page-20-0) S. Kurtz, <sup>[12](#page-20-0)</sup> S. L. Lumsden, <sup>[1](#page-20-0)</sup> J. Marti, <sup>[13](#page-20-0)</sup> I. McDonald <sup>®</sup>[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0356-0655) <sup>[4,14](#page-20-0)</sup> S. Molinari, <sup>[15](#page-20-0)</sup> T. J. Moore, <sup>[16](#page-20-0)</sup> M. Mutale,<sup>[1](#page-20-0)</sup> T. Muxlow,<sup>[4](#page-20-0)</sup> T. O'Brien,<sup>4</sup> R. D. Oudmaijer,<sup>1</sup> R. Paladini,<sup>[17](#page-20-0)</sup> J. D. Pandian,<sup>[18](#page-20-0)</sup> J. M. Paredes <sup>®</sup>[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1566-9044)<sup>[19](#page-20-0)</sup> A. M. S. Richards,  $4$  A. Sanchez-Monge,  $8$  R. Spencer,  $4$  M. A. Thompson,  $1,20$  G. Umana,  $21$ J. S. Urquhart  $\mathbb{P}^{22}$  $\mathbb{P}^{22}$  $\mathbb{P}^{22}$  M. Wieringa<sup>[10](#page-20-0)</sup> and A. Zijlstra<sup>[4](#page-20-0)</sup>

*Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper*

Accepted 2022 December 21. Received 2022 December 17; in original form 2022 February 21

#### **ABSTRACT**

We present the first high spatial resolution radio continuum survey of the southern Galactic plane. The CORNISH project has mapped the region defined by  $295° < 1 < 350°$ ; |b|  $< 1°$  at 5.5 GHz, with a resolution of 2.5 arcsec (FWHM). As with the CORNISH-North survey, this is designed to primarily provide matching radio data to the *Spitzer* GLIMPSE survey region. The CORNISH-South survey achieved a root mean square noise level of  $\sim 0.11$  mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>, using the 6A configuration of the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA). In this paper, we discuss the observations, data processing and measurements of the source properties. Above a 7*σ* detection limit, 4701 sources were detected, and their ensemble properties show similar distributions with their northern counterparts. The catalogue is highly reliable and is complete to 90 per cent at a flux density level of 1.1 mJy. We developed a new way of measuring the integrated flux densities and angular sizes of non-Gaussian sources. The catalogue primarily provides positions, flux density measurements, and angular sizes. All sources with IR counterparts at 8*μ*m have been visually classified, utilizing additional imaging data from optical, near-IR, mid-IR, far-IR, and sub-millimetre galactic plane surveys. This has resulted in the detection of 524 H II regions of which 255 are ultra-compact H II regions, 287 planetary nebulae, 79 radio stars, and 6 massive young stellar objects. The rest of the sources are likely to be extragalactic. These data are particularly important in the characterization and population studies of compact ionized sources such as UCHII regions and PNe towards the Galactic mid-plane.

**Key words:** techniques: image processing – catalogues – surveys – H II regions – radio continuum: ISM.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Understanding the formation and evolution of the content of our Galaxy requires studying an unbiased population of objects covering different evolutionary stages utilizing a wide range of wavebands. Cm-wave radio continuum surveys are useful for probing the ionized gas components such as H II regions and planetary nebulae.

The ultra-compact (UC) H II population provides a means to probe the early phases of massive star formation, where the young stars are still deeply embedded in their natal molecular clouds. They are characterized by physical sizes ≤0.1 pc, high emission measures  $>10^7$  pc cm<sup>-6</sup>, high electron densities  $>10^4$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and lifetimes typical of  $10^5$  yr (Wood & Churchwell [1989;](#page-18-0) Comeron & Torra [1996;](#page-18-0) Kurtz [2000;](#page-18-0) Kurtz & Franco [2002;](#page-18-0) Churchwell [2002\)](#page-18-0). Given that these populations often form in clusters, radio and infrared observations at arcsecond resolution are required to resolve their morphologies and provide insight into their immediate environment

(Churchwell [1990;](#page-18-0) Hoare et al. [2007\)](#page-18-0). An unbiased survey of the population of UCHII regions will allow us to test evolutionary models of massive star formation, H II region dynamics, galactic structure, and massive star formation rate in our Galaxy (Churchwell [2002;](#page-18-0) Hoare et al. [2007;](#page-18-0) Davies et al. [2011;](#page-18-0) Urquhart et al. [2013b;](#page-18-0) Steggles [2016\)](#page-18-0). Radio observations of UCHII regions are also more useful when carried out at a frequency where thermal free–free emission is optically thin ( $\geq$ 5 GHz; Wood & Churchwell [1989;](#page-18-0) Churchwell [1990\)](#page-18-0).

IR surveys with high sensitivity and arcsecond resolution of the Galactic plane have made possible studies of an unbiased and statistically representative population of Galactic objects, thereby aiding the studies of massive star formation and stellar evolution. In the northern Galactic plane, these surveys include the the mid-infrared Galactic Legacy Infrared Mid-Plane Survey Extraordinaire (GLIMPSE) by the *Spitzer* satellite (Churchwell et al. [2009\)](#page-18-0), the mid-infrared inner Galactic plane survey using the Multiband Infrared Photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPSGAL<sup>1</sup>; Carey et al. [2009\)](#page-18-0), the far-infrared Herschel

[1http://mipsgal.ipac.caltech.edu/](http://mipsgal.ipac.caltech.edu/)

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/520/1/1073/6979835 by Liverpool John Moores University user on 08 October 2024

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/520/1/1073/6979835 by Liverpool John Moores University user on 08 October 2024

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

E-mail: [janesoki@yahoo.com](mailto:janesoki@yahoo.com) (TI); [m.g.hoare@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:m.g.hoare@leeds.ac.uk) (MGH)

<sup>© 2023</sup> The Author(s).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



Infrared Galactic Plane (Hi-GAL) survey (Molinari et al. [2010\)](#page-18-0), the near-infrared Galactic plane survey (GPS) of the United Kingdom Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) project (Lucas et al. [2008\)](#page-18-0), sub-millimetre APEX Telescope Large Area Survey of the Galaxy (ATLASGAL; Schuller et al. [2009\)](#page-18-0) and the Hα Isaac Newton Telescope Photometric Survey (IPHAS; Drew et al. [2005\)](#page-18-0).

To complement these surveys, the CORNISH project delivered a uniform and high resolution (1.5 arcsec) radio continuum data set of the northern Galactic plane at 5 GHz. It achieved a sensitivity  $\sim$ 0.43 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> using the VLA in B and BnA configurations (Hoare et al. [2012;](#page-18-0) Purcell et al. [2013,](#page-18-0) hereafter [Paper](#page-18-0) I and [Paper](#page-18-0) II, respectively). These data made possible an unbiased census of UCHII regions that is the largest selection to date in the northern-Galactic plane (Kalcheva et al. [2018,](#page-18-0) hereafter [Paper](#page-18-0) III). Kalcheva [\(2018\)](#page-18-0) found that 70 per cent of UCHII regions had a cometary morphology using the CORNISH data and follow-up higher resolution radio data. Through a multiwavelength analysis, Irabor et al. [\(2018,](#page-18-0) hereafter [Paper](#page-18-0) IV) uncovered an unbiased population of compact planetary nebulae (PNe), of which 7 per cent were newly discovered PNe. A subset of the PNe population has properties that are typical of young sources [\(Paper](#page-18-0) IV and Fragkou et al. [2018\)](#page-18-0). The study of such objects is critical, given that the transition window from the post-AGB to the PN phase is small (usually *<*1000 yr). Other studies that used the CORNISH data in massive star formation studies include Urquhart et al. [\(2013a\)](#page-18-0), Cesaroni et al. [\(2015\)](#page-18-0), Tremblay et al. [\(2015\)](#page-18-0), Yang et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-0) and Djordjevic et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-0). Note that the Global view on Star formation in the Milky Way (GLOSTAR) Galactic plane survey (Brunthaler et al. [2021\)](#page-18-0), is using the JVLA to go deeper than the CORNISH survey of the northern Galactic plane.

In the southern-Galactic plane, the VST Photometric H *α* Survey (VPHAS +; Drew et al. [2014\)](#page-18-0) and the Vista Variables in the Via Lactea (VVV) survey (Minniti et al. [2011\)](#page-18-0) delivered high resolution  $H\alpha$  and near-infrared data, respectively, in addition to existing infrared and sub-millimetre data (see Table 1). Surveys of masers at radio wavelengths such as the Methanol Multibeam Survey (MMB; Green et al.  $2012$ ,  $2017$ ) and the H<sub>2</sub>O Southern Galactic Plane Survey (HOPS; Walsh et al. [2011\)](#page-18-0) are useful tracers of massive star formation. Existing radio continuum surveys include the Molongolo Galactic Plane Survey (MGPS) (Green [1999;](#page-18-0) Murphy et al. [2007\)](#page-18-0) that surveyed the  $245° < 1 < 365°$  and  $|b| \le 10°$  region at 843 MHz with a resolution of 45 arcsec, the 1.4-GHz Southern Galactic Plane Survey (SGPS) that mapped the  $253° < 1 < 358°$ ; |b|  $< 1°.5$  region, with a resolution of 100 arcsec and sensitivity of 1 mJy beam−<sup>1</sup> (McClure-Griffiths et al. [2005;](#page-18-0) Haverkorn et al. [2006\)](#page-18-0), the GaLactic and Extragalactic All-sky Murchison Widefield Array (GLEAM) survey at 72–231 MHz, with a resolution of 4–2 arcmin that covers all the southern plane (Hurley-Walker et al. [2019\)](#page-18-0) and the TIFR GMRT Sky Survey (TGSS) survey at 150 MHz and 25 arcsec resolution covering the sky above declination −53◦ (Intema et al. [2017\)](#page-18-0). These surveys are too low a resolution to resolve UCHII regions and are at frequencies where these objects are optically thick.

**Table 2.** Summary of observation parameters for the CORNISH-South survey.

Parameters	Value		
Observation region	$295^{\circ} < l < 350^{\circ}$ ; $ b  \le 1^{\circ}$		
Total time	$\sim$ 400 h		
Number of antennas	6		
Number of baselines	15		
Observation period	2010 to 2012		
Observation frequency	5.5 GHz		
Bandwidth	$2$ GHz		
Longest baseline	6 km		
Size of single dish	$22 \text{ m}$		
Field of view/ Primary beam (FWHM)	$\sim$ 10 arcmin		
Synthesized beam (FWHM)	2.5 arcsec		
Root mean square (rms) noise level	$\sim 0.11$ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup>		

In this paper, we present a new radio continuum survey from the CORNISH project of the southern-Galactic Plane. The observations are described in Section 2. The calibration and imaging are discussed in Sections [3](#page-4-0) and [4.](#page-5-0) Data quality and measurement of source properties are presented in Sections [5](#page-6-0) and [6](#page-9-0) along with a discussion of the catalogue and its reliability. Furthermore, example sources and a comparison of the CORNISH catalogue with other surveys are presented in Section [6.](#page-9-0)

#### **2 O B S E RVAT I O N S**

The CORNISH programme observed the southern-Galactic plane with the Australia Telescope Compact Array  $(ATCA<sup>2</sup>)$  using the 2-GHz bandwidth of the Compact Array Broadband Backend (CABB) correlator (Wilson et al. [2011\)](#page-18-0). Observations were carried out with the  $6A<sup>3</sup>$  array configuration for about 400 h at two different frequency bands (4.5–6.5 GHz and 8–10 GHz) in full polarization, centred on 5.5 and 9 GHz, simultaneously. This paper focuses on the 4.5– 6.5 GHz band. Data reduction of the 8–10 GHz data is still ongoing and will be presented in a future paper (Irabor et al., In preparation). Observations were between 2010 and 2012 and the observation parameters are summarized in Table 2.

The survey utilized on-the-fly mapping, such that the antennas were scanning continuously, while the phase centres were sequentially moved in a traditional mosaic pattern. This resulted in the doubling of the uv coverage in a single run and a primary beam that is elongated in the scanning direction.<sup>4</sup> The phase centres were spaced at 7.4 arcmin in a mosaic pattern that is a scaled version of the hexagonal mosaic implemented in the NVSS survey (Condon

[2https:/www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/](https:/www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/)

 $3$ https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/cgi-bin/obstools/baselines.cgi?array = 6a [4http://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/observing/users](http://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/observing/users_guide/html/atug.html) guide/html/atug.html

Date	Calibrator (s)	Longitude range	Date	Calibrator $(s)$	Longitude range
2010-12-21	1714-397	348.5–350.0	2011-12-21	$1511 - 55$	317.8-319.4
2010-12-22	1729-37	346.8-348.5	2011-12-22	1352-63	$304.1 - 305.7$
2010-12-23	1729-37	$345.1 - 346.8$	2011-12-23	$1511 - 55$	316.0-317.6
2010-12-24	1646–50	343.4-345.1	2011-12-24	1352-63, 1511-55	314.3-315.9
2010-12-25	1646–50	341.7-343.4	2011-12-25	1352-63	310.9-312.5
2010-12-26	1646-50	340.0-341.7	2011-12-26	1352-63	309.2-310.8
2010-12-27	1646–50	$338.3 - 340.0$	2011-12-27	1148-671	297.2-298.8
2010-12-28	1646–50	336.5-338.3	2011-12-28	1148-671, 1511-55	295.5-297.1
2010-12-29	1646–50	334.8-336.5	2011-12-29	1148-671	299.0-304.0
2010-12-30	1646–50	331.4 - 333.0	2011-12-30	1352-63	305.8-307.5
2010-12-31	1646–50	329.7-331.4	2011-12-31	1148-671	302.4-304.0
2011-01-01	1511-55, 1646-50	328.0-329.7	2012-01-01	1148-671	$300.7 - 302.3$
2011-01-02	1511-55, 1646-50	$326.3 - 328.0$	2012-01-02	1352-63, 1148-671	307.5-309.1
2011-01-04	$1511 - 55$	324.6–326.3	2012-01-03	1148-671, 1352-63	294.3-295.4
2011-01-05	$1511 - 55$	322.9-324.6	2012-01-04	1352-63	312.6-314.2
2011-01-06	$1511 - 55$	321.2-322.9	2012-01-05	1148-671, 1352-63	301.5-326.2
2011-01-07	$1511 - 55$	319.5-321.2	2012-01-07	1352-63	310.1-310.9
2011-12-20	$1646 - 50$ , $1511 - 55$	333.1-334.7			

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 3.** Secondary calibrators for each block of observations and the corresponding longitude range.

et al. [1998\)](#page-18-0). This spacing delivers a sensitivity that is uniform to 10 per cent at 5.5 GHz.

The survey region was divided into 33 blocks (33 d of observations) covering  $110 \text{ deg}^2$  of the Galactic plane, defined by  $295° < 1$  $<$  350 $\degree$  and  $|b| \leq 1\degree$ . The mosaic was scanned in Galactic latitude and required ∼18 pointings to cover the 2 $\degree$  of a row (i.e.  $-1\degree$  to + 1◦). At a scan rate of 7 *f arcm*4 / 10 s, each row was completed in 3.2 min, including the turnaround time. A secondary calibrator was observed for 2 min after observations of eight rows. A further eight rows were then observed with a secondary calibrator to complete one *uv* cut of a block of observation, summing up to 54 min. To achieve an optimum *uv* coverage, this was repeated eleven times at different LSTs, resulting in 1.8 min of on-source time. 12 h were spent on each block, including flux calibration and set-up time. 16 rows correspond to 1.7◦ in longitude, hence 33 of these 1.7◦ × 2◦ blocks were required to cover the survey area. PKS B1934−638 was observed at the end of each block of observations as the primary flux calibrator. PKS B0823–500 was also observed at the beginning of each block of observations as a backup flux calibrator. The secondary calibrators and corresponding days of observation are presented in Table 3.

The observations fall naturally into two epochs (see Fig. 1), based on the observation period (between 2010 and 2012). Fields that were missed or days with observation difficulties due to bad weather or correlator problems were repeated to achieve as full a coverage as possible within the allocated time.

#### **3 DATA REDUCTION PIPELINE**

For us to achieve a similar uniform processing as in the CORNISH-North survey, we implemented a similar semi-automated calibration and imaging pipeline (see fig. 1 in [Paper](#page-18-0) II). The pipeline was implemented in PYTHON language using MIRPY $<sup>5</sup>$  to directly interface</sup> with the Multichannel Image Reconstruction, Image Analysis, and Display (MIRIAD) software packages (Sault, Teuben & Wright [1995\)](#page-18-0).



**Figure 1.** Coverage of the CORNISH-South data and positions of the six secondary calibrators used for calibration. Epoch 1 (red-shaded regions) is defined by block 2010-12-21 to 2011-01-07 and epoch II (black-shaded regions) is defined by 2011-12-20 to 2012-01-07.

#### **3.1 Flagging and calibration**

The raw data were converted to a MIRIAD *uv*-file format using the ATLOD task. Known bad channels and radio-frequency interference (RFI) at the time of observations were flagged. The system variables such as *uv* coverage were inspected for each 12-h block of observations. The system variables allowed quick identification of blocks with poor *uv* coverage or times with bad visibilities. Additionally, the amplitude and phase variations with time were inspected visually before any flagging. This was to ensure that no more data were flagged than necessary, to minimize any impact on image fidelity.

The epochs (I and II) presented different flagging demands. All XX polarization data of all baselines to antenna  $ca01<sup>6</sup>$  were flagged for epoch I. For epoch II, the YY polarization data of all baselines to antenna ca01 were flagged instead. This was due to a ripple

<sup>6</sup>https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/observing/users\_guide/html/chunked/ap h.html

<span id="page-5-0"></span>in the bandpass at the time of observation, which would lead to false structures in the final images.<sup>7</sup> We performed both automated (PGFLAG) and manual flagging (UVFLAG) on the flux calibrator, secondary calibrators, and science data.

Flagging parameters were determined manually for each block of observations and written to a master configuration file that was applied automatically when the pipeline was run. After an initial flagging of the primary and secondary calibrators, bandpass and flux calibrations were performed. For blocks with two secondary calibrators, the solutions in phase and amplitude were estimated for each calibrator and then combined to produce a global calibration table. A second pass of flagging wasthen performed on the calibrated data before performing a second and final calibration. This was to ensure that the final calibration was performed on properly flagged data. The final global flagging and calibration tables produced were then applied to the science data and split into individual pointings.

#### **4 IMAGING**

The calibrated visibilities of individual fields were imaged by iteratively cleaning down to the maximum residual peak flux (MRF; see Section 4.1) using multifrequency synthesis (mfs; Sault & Conway [1999\)](#page-18-0). This is implemented in MIRIAD using INVERT with the 'MFS', *'*SDB' options and the multifrequency CLEAN MFCLEAN tasks. Multifrequency imaging accounts for the spectral variation acrossthe observation bandwidth of 2 GHz. Thus, the resulting image consists of the normal flux component and the flux times the spectral component  $(I \alpha)$ , where  $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ .

The dirty images were created using a robust weighting scheme (Briggs [1995\)](#page-18-0) of 0.5 robustness and gridded to an image pixel size of 0.6 arcsec, ∼, which is about one third of the minor axis of the synthesized beam. The robust weighting scheme provides a tradeoff between uniform and natural weighting, which is a trade-off between resolution and sensitivity. The choice of 0.5 allows an improved sensitivity without sacrificing the resolution. To ensure uniform resolution, we have forced a restoring circular Gaussian beam with an FWHM of 2.5 arcsec using RESTOR (see Section [5.2\)](#page-7-0). The residuals were not corrected for the change in resolution, so care should be taken when summing values below the maximum residual flux (see Section 4.1).

#### **4.1 Maximum residual flux**

The maximum residual flux (MRF) is an important parameter in the deconvolution process and should be carefully chosen. CLEANing deeper than necessary would result in many artefacts in the form of faint spurious sources and underestimated peak fluxes and flux densities of real sources. This is due to the CLEAN algorithm cleaning noise spikes and redistributing flux from point sources to noise peaks and is known asthe clean bias effect (White et al. [1997;](#page-18-0) Condon et al. [1998\)](#page-18-0). This effect is more pronounced for sources with lower signalto-noise ratio (SNR), given that the amount of distributed flux is independent of the flux density of the source. According to Prandoni et al. [\(2000\)](#page-18-0), the clean bias effect can be reduced by setting the MRF above the noise level. However, a shallow CLEAN, where the MRF is too far above the noise level, will create residual images dominated by sidelobes. Therefore, it is important that MRF be carefully chosen, especially for a blind survey such as CORNISH. Unlike for the CORNISH-North survey the MFCLEAN task does



**Figure 2.** Fraction of recovered flux density versus  $f_{\text{mrf}}$  for an artificial source of 50 mJy that is introduced into an empty field (115050.00–612353.14). Shaded grey region represents the ratio of the rms noise level to the recovered flux density.

not employ windowing. Equation (1) is an expression for the MRF, where  $f<sub>mrf</sub>$  is a constant multiplicative factor and rms is the root mean square noise level.

$$
MRF \simeq f_{\text{mrf}} \times \text{rms} \tag{1}
$$

Given that the clean bias is also dependent on the rms noise level, we have determined the rms in equation (1) by imaging the central portion of each field's dirty map in Stokes V. Stokes V maps usually have very few sources or no sources, since there are few circularly polarized sources at 5.5 GHz (Roberts et al. [1975;](#page-18-0) Homan & Lister [2006\)](#page-18-0). Hence, the Stokes V maps are dominated by thermal noise.

In order to determine an average and appropriate  $f<sub>mrf</sub>$  value for the CORNISH-South data, we followed the same procedure as in [Paper](#page-18-0) II. Artificial point sources and sources with simple morphologies were introduced into the *uv* data of an empty field and the field was imaged with  $f_{\text{mrf}}$  values from 0.5 to 5, using our imaging pipeline. The flux densities of these artificial point sources were then measured and compared with the original flux densities. Fig. 2 shows the resulting plot of the fraction of recovered flux density against the  $f_{\text{mrf}}$  values. The range of  $f_{\text{mrf}}$  values resulted in a  $>98$  per cent recovered flux density. Below  $f_{\text{mrf}} = 1.5$  the field is over CLEANed with a *>*40 per cent decrease in the rms noise level, compared to the Stokes V map.

To further constrain the choice of an average  $f_{\text{mrf}}$  value, for each iteration, the residual images of the sources with simple morphologies were inspected for sidelobe structures. At  $f_{\text{mrf}} = 1.5$ , the Stokes I map is CLEANed deeply enough to remove sidelobes and recover *>*99 per cent of the flux density. Based on these tests, an average value of  $f_{\text{mrf}} = 1.5$  was used in the imaging process. For fields with imaging artefacts as a result of very bright sources  $(>1$  Jy) and/or extended sources, we have reimaged with higher values of  $f<sub>mrf</sub>$ and manually CLEANed, where necessary. We discuss the procedure for estimating the effect of the clean bias on the CORNISH-South data in Section [5.4.](#page-9-0)

#### **4.2 Imaging extended sources**

The shortest baseline of the ATCA 6A configuration is ∼337 m, which corresponds to a spatial scale of ∼40 arcsec. Nevertheless,

 $7$ https://atcaforum.atnf.csiro.au/viewtopic.php?f=11&t = 184

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Top panel: Fractional recovered flux density as a function of FWHM for an artificial Gaussian source of 1 Jy. Bottom panel: Recovered sizes as a function of the injected FWHM. The red line traces the Gaussian fits while the black line after 17 arcsec traces the polygon fit. The black dashed line is a fit to the Gaussian measurements. The transition from Gaussian fitted sizes to polygon sizes happens between 17 and 20 arcsec (see the text for details). Both plots share the same *x*-axis.

the *uv* plane at these short baselines is sparsely sampled and the deconvolution algorithm models extended emission as a series of delta functions. Thus, the algorithm struggles to reconstruct extended emission due to the difficulty in interpolating the sparsely sampled plane. This is reflected in the image as scattered flux, which results in high rms noise and imaging artefacts, especially in regions with bright and large structures.

To estimate the maximum recoverable angular size above which the deconvolution begins to fail or struggles to recover the flux density of extended sources, we injected a 1 Jy artificial Gaussian source into the *uv* data of an empty field. Holding the flux density constant, the FWHM was increased from 3 to 30 arcsec in 2 arcsec steps. The data were imaged with our imaging pipeline and then the injected Gaussian properties were measured for each iteration using the AEGEAN source fitting algorithm (see Section  $6.1$ ). Fig. 3 shows the fraction of recovered flux density as a function of the injected Gaussian FWHM (top panel) and a plot of the measured sizes against the injected FWHM (bottom panel).

For sizes above 17 arcsec, the source was fitted with two Gaussians by AEGEAN; the FWHM plotted in Fig. 3 (bottom panel) is the Gaussian with the larger  $\theta_{\text{maj}}$  (red line). Our pipeline combines the multiple Gaussians into a polygon and measures the geometric mean as the angular size (see Section  $6.1$ ), which is traced by the black line. The maximum FWHM of the fitted Gaussian is ∼17 arcsec (red line) as illustrated in Fig. 3 (bottom panel). However, by switching to a polygon that encloses the emission, we can recover up to ∼24 arcsec (black line). Above 17 arcsec, the corresponding flux density is reduced by ≥40 per cent as the flux density steeply drops off. For the real data, we expect that the reduction in flux density and limited size of an extended source should be influenced by morphology as well as rms noise level. Compared to the northern counterpart with an estimated maximum size of ∼14 arcsec [\(Paper](#page-18-0) II), we expect to see more extended sources in the CORNISH-South catalogue. Most structures that are less than 15 arcsec should give reasonable estimates of the angular size and the integrated flux density is expected to be within a factor of 2 of the true value.

#### **4.3 Mosaicking**

Individually imaged fields were linearly mosaicked onto 20 arcmin  $\times$ 20 arcmin grid tiles, using LINMOS, a MIRIAD task $8$  that overlaps by 1 arcmin). The tiles are arranged in equatorial coordinates (J2000) and 1825 tiles were needed to cover the survey region, extending to the edges of the survey. Tiles covering the edges for  $|b| > 1°$ may not be full; i.e. less than 20 arcmin, and some sources at the edges of the survey region may have poor image fidelity due to poor *uv* coverage. Wide-band primary beam correction, which is the inverse of the primary beam response as a function of the radius and frequency, was performed by LINMOS for each field before linearly mosaicking them to form a tile. LINMOS uses the *α* I plane and takes into account the OTF scanning during the primary beam correction. In order to improve the accuracy of the primary beam correction, the *'*BW*'* option in LINMOS was used to specify the bandwidth of the images (2 GHz).

Linear mosaicking is performed in LINMOS using the standard mosaic equation by minimizing the rms noise (Sault, Staveley-Smith & Brouw [1996\)](#page-18-0). In order to properly account for the geometry and avoid interpolation problems during mosaicking, the overlapping fields were put on the same pixel grid using the 'OFFSET' key in INVERT. If this is not taken into account, the position of sources in overlapping tiles will be altered because LINMOS does not automatically account for the geometric correction during linear mosaicking.

#### **5 DATA QUALITY**

#### **5.1 Calibrators**

The six secondary calibrators along the Galactic plane are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) For observation days with two secondary calibrators, calibration was done separately and then the solutions were combined. Before imaging the science data, the calibrators were imaged. This was to inspect the images for artefacts, jets, or anything else that could affect the data. All the secondary calibrators as shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0)

[8https://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/miriad/doc/linmos.html](https://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/miriad/doc/linmos.html)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Images of the six secondary calibrators 1352–63 (1.064  $\pm$  0.007 Jy), 1148–671 (1.557  $\pm$  0.007 Jy), 1511–55 (2.338  $\pm$  0.009 Jy), 1646–50  $(2.063 \pm 0.018 \text{ Jy})$ , 1714–397 (1.172  $\pm 0.006 \text{ Jy}$ ), 1729–37 (1.73  $\pm 0.01 \text{ Jy}$ ). The quoted flux densities are from the ATCA calibrator manual. The images are all 1 arcmin by 1 arcmin with the size of the beam shown at the bottom left.

are point sources with no jets or any other structure  $\geq 5\sigma$  within the field.

B1934−638 was the preferred primary flux calibrator with a flux density of 4.95 Jy at 5.5 GHz. An additional backup flux calibrator (B0823−500) with a flux density of 2.93 Jy at 5.5 GHz was also observed at the beginning of each day's observation. B0823−500 was used for flux calibration when the B1934−638 data were bad or when it could not be observed due to time constraints e.g. for blocks 28 and 35 (2011-12-30 and 2012-01-07). The flux densities of the secondary calibrators from the ATCA calibrator manual<sup>9</sup> at 5.5 GHz are 1.064  $\pm$  0.007 Jy (1352–63), 1.557  $\pm$  0.007 Jy  $(1148–671), 2.338 \pm 0.009$  Jy  $(1511–55), 2.063 \pm 0.018$  Jy  $(1646–$ 50),  $1.172 \pm 0.006$  Jy (1714–397), and  $1.73 \pm 0.01$  Jy (1729–37). Because the calibrators are standard calibrators, MFCAL uses the appropriate flux density variation with frequency during calibration.

With the available data, the flux densities of the secondary calibrators were measured after flagging and calibration. Fig. 5 shows the deviation in percentage from the median flux densities of the six secondary calibrators over the 35 d of observations. Each point in Fig. 5 represents a single day's observations. Different calibrators were used for the different epochs with the exception of 1511– 55 that overlaps both epochs. The secondary calibrators show a percentage flux density deviation from the mean flux density that is less than 10 per cent and a standard deviation of 4.0 per cent. Based on the scatter in the flux density deviation of the secondary calibrators in Fig. 5, we have adopted a 10 per cent calibration error for the CORNISH-South data. The mean positional accuracy of all six calibrators $^{10}$  is 0.1 arcsec.



**Figure 5.** Percentage deviation from the median flux density versus block/day number for the six secondary calibrators. Each point represents measurements from a block's observations.

#### **5.2 Synthesized beam**

Fig. [6](#page-8-0) (bottom right) shows the distribution of the unconstrained major and minor axes of the dirty beam. The major axis has a median of ∼2.5 arcsec and extends up to 5.5 arcsec. The minor axis distribution shows a narrower distribution with a peak about 1.8 arcsec. For uniformity across the survey region, the median value of the major axis distribution (2.5 arcsec) was chosen as the size of a circular restoring beam. This results in a super-resolution (major axis/restoring beam of 2.5 arcsec) distribution presented in Fig. [6](#page-8-0) (bottom middle). Ninety per cent (90 per cent) of the fields have super-resolution that is less than 1.3. We also plan to release the calibrated uv dataset so that users can re-image fields with whichever beam they require, as well as convolving the residuals with their chosen beam.

The resulting elongation of the synthesized beam before superresolution, i.e. the ratio of the major to the minor axis, is shown in Fig. [6](#page-8-0) (bottom right). Ninety-six per cent (96 per cent) of the fields have elongation lessthan 2 with a peak at ∼1.4. This meansthat there are a few fields (*<*4 per cent) where the major axis is 2 or more times

[<sup>9</sup>https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/calibrators/calibrator](https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/calibrators/calibrator_database.html) database.html 1[0https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/calibrators/calupdate.html](https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/calibrators/calupdate.html)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Top panel: Galactic longitude distribution of the major axis and beam elongation before super-resolution is shown. The synthesized beam of an East-West array like ATCA is a function of the declination of the field, hence the greater major axis for l *>* 344◦. Additionally, the super-resolution distribution is presented. Bottom panel: Major and minor axes distribution of the imaged fields with a median major ( $\theta_{\text{maj}}$ ) of 2.5 arcsec and median minor axis ( $\theta_{\text{min}}$ ) of 1.8 arcsec (bottom left). Forcing a restoring beam size of 2.5 arcsec (FWHM) will result in the distribution of the beam super-resolution shown in the middle panel (bottom). 66 per cent of the fields have super-resolution that is greater than 1. The beam elongation is the ratio of the major to the minor axis (bottom right). 96 per cent of the fields have elongation less than 2.

greater than the minor axis. The variation of the beam's major axis across the survey region is presented in Fig. 6. The elongation of the synthesized beam of an East-West array like ATCA is a function of the declination of the field, hence the greater elongation for l *>* 344◦ for more equatorial declinations. This will also explain the fields with major axis  $>3$  arcsec (4 arcsec $> \theta_{\text{maj}} > 3$  arcsec) seen within the longitude region *>*344◦ (Fig. 6 : Top panel). Fields with higher major axes (*>*3.5 arcsec) in Fig. 6 fall within the longitude 333◦ to 335 $\degree$  region. Fig. 6 (top panel) also highlights the epochs. Epoch II shows a less elongated beam, with major axes lower than 3 arcsec for  $\sim$ 93 per cent of the fields. The fields with higher major axes within the longitude 333◦ to 335◦ region are seen to come from epoch II. This is due to the poor *uv*-coverage resulting from less than the typical 12 scans for the block observed on 2011-12-20. However, only a few fields were affected, making up ∼6 per cent of the epoch II data.

### **5.3 Sensitivity/Root Mean Square (rms) noise level**

The rms noise level achieved across the survey region in CLEANed Stokes I maps is shown in Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) The noise level is fairly uniform,

having a mean of 0.11 mJy beam−1. The rms noise level around a few very bright source clusters is particularly high as expected. The noisy region between longitude 333◦ and 335◦ is seen to reflect the poor uv coverage seen in Fig. 6. Fig. [7](#page-9-0) (bottom panel) further shows a distribution of the rms noise with an elongated tail that corresponds to regions with poor uv coverages. The two peaks correspond to the different epochs, where the second peak is dominated by epoch I data. The noise level of epoch II data (hatched grey shaded region) is better than that of epoch I (hatched red shaded region). Given that the two epochs are separated by 11 months, the intervening series of system maintenance and tests $11$  could have improved the efficiency and overall performance of the array. The region of epoch II data with rms noise > 0.11 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to fields where there were fewer than the average 12 scans (see Section [2\)](#page-3-0).

[<sup>11</sup>https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/observing/schedules/2011OctSem/CA](https://www.narrabri.atnf.csiro.au/observing/schedules/2011OctSem/CA.pdf) .pdf

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Top panel: The variation of the rms noise in Stokes I maps across Galactic longitude. Bottom panel: Distribution of the rms noise in Stokes I maps(grey region) measured within an aperture size of 3 arcmin. The hatched regions represent the rms noise from the epoch I (red hatched region) and II (black hatched region. The mean rms noise is  $\sim$ 0.11 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>. The SIQR of epoch I is 0.003 and that of epoch II is 0.004.

#### **5.4 Clean bias**

To estimate the effect of the clean bias by CLEANing down to the MRF (see equation [1\)](#page-5-0), point sources of random flux densities between 1 and 15 mJy were injected into the *uv* data of six empty tiles chosen from the two epochs. The positions of the sources were chosen to fall about the centres of individual fields, away from any source. The fields were imaged and mosaicked using the imaging pipeline. The flux densities and sizes were then measured from the mosaicked tiles at the injected positions, using our aperture photometry pipeline. This wasto avoid flux density bias caused by thermal flux fluctuations (Franzen et al. [2015\)](#page-18-0). This procedure was repeated 10 times to get a better average estimate of the clean bias.

Measured flux densities were subtracted from the injected flux densities to get an estimate of the clean bias effect. The median clean bias from averaging the measurements is estimated to be 0.14 mJy and was not different across the epochs. This value is about half the clean bias estimated for the CORNISH-North catalogue of 0.33 mJy [\(Paper](#page-18-0) II). For  $\geq 7\sigma$  sources, this effect is <13 per cent. Thus, we conclude that the clean bias will not significantly degrade the quality of the flux densities compared to the statistical and absolute flux density calibration uncertainty of 10 per cent.

#### **6 CATALOGUE**

#### **6.1 Source finding and characterization**

The automated source finding and source characterization part of our pipeline utilizes the AEGEAN software package<sup>12</sup> (Hancock et al. [2012;](#page-18-0) Hancock, Trott & Hurley-Walker [2018\)](#page-18-0). AEGEAN uses the flood-fill algorithm, where two thresholds (*σ*s: seeding threshold, *σ*<sub>f</sub>: flooding threshold) are defined, such that  $\sigma_s > \sigma_f$ . The seeding threshold is used to seed an island, while the flooding threshold is used to grow the island (see Hancock et al. [2012\)](#page-18-0). Detected pixels are then grouped into contiguous islands and characterized by fitting with one or more overlapping 2D Gaussians.

We have used the background and noise estimation function, BANE (Hancock et al. [2012\)](#page-18-0) to compute the background and rms noise for each tile. BANE uses a grid algorithm that estimates the rms noise ( $\sigma_{\text{BANE}}$ ) and background level within a sliding box of a defined size that is centred on a grid point. The pixel values within the box are then subjected to sigma clipping  $(3\sigma)$ , which reduces any effect source pixels may introduce (Hancock et al. [2012;](#page-18-0) see also Bertin & Arnouts [1996\)](#page-18-0). Given that radio images do not have very complicated backgrounds, we do not expect the noise properties across a 20 arcmin $\times$  20 arcmin tile to change much. Therefore, we used the default boxcar size of  $30\theta_{bm}$  ( $\theta_{bm}$ : synthesized beam size) for the CORNISH-South data, which is about 75 arcsec. This size has been demonstrated to be optimized for the completeness and reliability of compact sources (Huynh et al. [2012\)](#page-18-0). For source finding, we defined a 4.5 $\sigma_s$  seeding threshold and 4.0 $\sigma_f$  flooding threshold to create an initial catalogue of the CORNISH-South data.

#### **6.2 Quality control**

#### *6.2.1 Elimination of duplicate sources*

With a 60 arcsec overlap of the tiles, sources closer to the edges of the tiles were detected more than once. However, because overlapping regions are formed from the same fields, the difference in position would be a fraction of the synthesized beam. This will also affect the peak flux, depending on the local rms noise. An extended source fitted with multiple Gaussians could have different parameters from one tile to another because it is closer to the edge on one tile and fully imaged on another tile.

To eliminate such duplicated sources, we searched forsources with similar positions, <2.0 arcsec and similar peak flux, Peak<sub>min</sub>/Peak<sub>max</sub> *>* 0.7. In addition to both conditions, the distance of each duplicated source, relative to the centre of the tile, was calculated and the source closer to the centre of a tile was retained, over the ones closer to the edges.

#### *6.2.2 Elimination of spurious sources*

The choice of a cut-off threshold for a catalogue, in terms of the SNR, is a trade-off between completeness and reliability. A low threshold catalogue, e.g.  $3\sigma$ , will result in more real sources but with many unreal sources as well, while a high threshold will result in a highly reliable catalogue but miss real sources with low SNR. In order to

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 8.** Number of sources as a function of SNR for 15 real and inverted tiles. The hatched grey region represents spurious sources, while the hatched blue region represents real sources. The blue line is a fit to the spurious sources assuming the total number of possible detections equals the number of beams within the CORNISH survey region (2.02  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> beams). The black line is the fit to the number of spurious sources by allowing it to be a free parameter and adjusting the curve to fit. The green line represents a fit to bins higher than  $5\sigma_a$  and adjusting the width of the Gaussian ( $\sigma = 0.8\sigma_{\text{gauss}}$ ).

determine an appropriate cut-off threshold for the highly reliable CORNISH-South catalogue, we attempted to estimate the number of spurious sources at a given threshold. Based on the analysis in Paper II (see Hopkins et al. [2002\)](#page-18-0), 15 tiles were selected to represent all 1825 tiles. These tiles were chosen such that there were no sources with very bright side lobes and contained only point sources and fairly extended sources.

To estimate the number of spurious sources as a function of SNR, i.e. the ratio of peak flux to rms noise level, the tiles were inverted by multiplying the pixel values in the tiles by  $-1$ . A seeding threshold of  $4.5\sigma_s$  was then used to search for sources on both sets of tiles (normal and inverted tiles). To account for an average estimate of the number of sources across the survey region, the detections from the 15 tiles were multiplied by 122 (1825/15). Fig. 8 shows a cumulative histogram of the detected sources before and after inversion (the latter being obviously allspurious), as a function of the SNR. The rms noise level used for the SNR is measured in an annulus with a 5 arcsec gap from the source aperture ( $\sigma_a$ : see Section 6.2.3). Detections below 5*σ*<sup>a</sup> are dominated by spurioussources(*>*90 per cent) asindicated by the grey shaded region. Spurious detections fall off steeply compared to real sources above  $5\sigma_a$  and then fall off to 1 in the 15 tiles between  $6.0\sigma$ <sub>a</sub> and  $6.5\sigma$ <sub>a</sub>.

Following the analysis in [Paper](#page-18-0) II, if the population of detected sources is assumed to be governed by Gaussian statistics, then the fraction,  $f(\sigma)$ , of the population that falls within a given detection threshold can be expressed as

$$
f(\sigma) = 1 - \text{erff}\left(\sigma/\sqrt{2}\right),\tag{2}
$$

where  $errf(\sigma)$  is the Gaussian error function, given by

$$
\text{erff}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\sigma}^{\sigma} e^{-t^2} dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\sigma} e^{-t^2} dt. \tag{3}
$$

A plot of  $f(\sigma)$  is presented in Fig. 8, assuming the total number of possible detections equals the number of beams within the CORNISH survey region (2.02  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> beams). With this assumption, the total number of spurious sources is underestimated (blue dashed line). However, the number of sources can be allowed to be a free parameter, resulting in a fit represented by the black line. The black line appears to predict the number of spurious sources at  $4.5\sigma_{a}$  but falls off rather too steeply, compared to the number of spurious sources. Fitting  $f(\sigma)$  to bins higher than  $5\sigma_a$  and adjusting the width of the Gaussian ( $\sigma = 0.8\sigma_{\text{gauss}}$ ) results in a better fit (green dashed line) and predicts the number of spurious sources to be less than 10 at  $7\sigma_a$ . Fig. 8 shows that the fraction of spurious sources decreases from 25 to 5 per cent to 1 per cent at  $5\sigma$ ,  $5.5\sigma$ , and  $6\sigma$ , respectively. Based on this analysis, the cut-off threshold for a reliable CORNISH-South catalogue to be accepted is set at  $7\sigma_a$ .

#### *6.2.3 Gaussian sources*

For compact sources fitted with a single Gaussian by AEGEAN, the integrated flux densities we adopt those given by AEGEAN. However, for the rms noise we remeasure this in an annulus around an aperture  $(\sigma_a)$ . The source aperture was defined by an elliptical aperture which extends to 3*σ* of the Gaussian major ( $\theta_{\text{maj}}$ ) and minor ( $\theta_{\text{min}}$ ) axes. An annulus with the same shape as the source aperture of width 15 arcsec , offset at 5 arcsec from the source aperture, was then defined to measure the rms noise and background level. The choice of an annulus offset of 5 arcsec allows an estimation of the background around the immediate locale of the source. An annulus of width 15 arcsec provides a statistically large area in pixels over which to compute the background and rms noise level, compared to the synthesized beam area of 19.7 pixels. This is more local than  $\sigma_{\text{BANE}}$  and is consistent with what was used for the CORNISH-North survey. [Paper](#page-18-0) II provides equations and further details on the aperture photometry (see also [Paper](#page-18-0) IV).

#### *6.2.4 Extended non-Gaussian sources*

Extended non-Gaussian sources were automatically detected by searching for contiguous islands with more than one overlapping Gaussian. A single optimal 2D polygon was then defined using the CONVEX HULL algorithm to trace the outer outline of the Gaussians, enclosing the emission. The assumption is that overlapping Gaussians trace a single extended source. The extent of the generated 2D polygon is strongly affected by the extent of the individual Gaussians. Thus, before generating the polygons, there was the need to make sure the catalogue is clean (i.e. free from sidelobes), otherwise the generated polygon may be overestimated, stretched by the sidelobes. Additionally, some extended sources that were not properly imaged may appear as individual Gaussians, spreading over an area. In such cases, manual intervention was needed to trace the outline of the real emission. Such cases account for ∼10 per cent of non-Gaussian sources.

Given the defined polygons, new intensity weighted centres  $(\alpha_0,$ *δ*0) and diameters were then determined. The diameter of the 2D polygon, defined by n-sides and n-vertices, was calculated by determining the radius of each vertex to the intensity weighted centre and then estimating the geometric mean of the radii and multiplying by a factor of 2. Fig. [9](#page-11-0) shows an example of two sources that were first fitted by multiple Gaussians and the subsequently generated polygons. For these extended sources, aperture photometry was used to measure the source properties within the defined polygon that

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

**Figure 9.** Examples of generated polygons for extended sources fitted with multiple Gaussian by the AEGEAN source finder. The fitted Gaussians are overlaid (left-hand panel), while the defined polygon is shown (right-hand panel). The top source (radio galaxy) is an example of a source that shows the centre source as a Gaussian and the lobes as non-Gaussian sources. The source at the bottom is an example of a double lobe H II region.

encloses the emission and the rms noise level within the annulus (see [Paper](#page-18-0) II and [Paper](#page-18-0) IV). The geometric mean of the 2D polygon is  $\theta_{\rm E} = 2\left(\sqrt[n]{r_1r_2...r_n}\right)$ , where  $r_1, r_2...r_n$  are the radii of the vertices.

Having removed duplicates, spurious sources, and sources *<*7*σ*<sup>a</sup> (see Section [6.2.2\)](#page-9-0), we then used visual inspection to further eliminate artefacts due to sidelobes that are close to very bright sources. This was aided by comparison with the GLIMPSE (Churchwell et al. [2009\)](#page-18-0) data. As we are primarily interested in a complete sample of UCHII regions, radio sources in areas with artefacts that have clear IR counterparts were retained. Often these artefacts are caused by bright H II regions themselves and we expect other H II regions to be present in such clustered star forming regions. After these eliminations, the final CORNISH-South catalogue has a total of 4701 high-quality sources above 7*σ*a.

#### **6.3 Measurements and uncertainties**

In order to create a uniform catalogue that is similar to the CORNISH-North catalogue, we have used the same sets of equations given in [Paper](#page-18-0) II to estimate the properties and associated errors of the sources (see also Condon [1997\)](#page-18-0). For the well-defined and unresolved sources, defined by a single Gaussian fit, the AEGEAN Gaussian fit measurements are the catalogued properties. However, for the catalogued rms noise level, we have remeasured within an annulus around the source for both extended and non-extended sources. This was to create a CORNISH (North and South) catalogue with uniform

noise measurements, given that we have implemented sigma clipping to remove sources within the annulus (see [Paper](#page-18-0) II).

The integrated flux density and associated error are given by

$$
S = \frac{A\pi}{4\ln(2)} \frac{\theta_{\text{maj}}\theta_{\text{min}}}{\theta_{\text{bm}}^2} \tag{4}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\rm S}^2}{\rm S} \approx \frac{\sigma_{\rm A}^2}{\rm A^2} + \frac{\theta_{\rm bm}^2}{\theta_{\rm maj}\theta_{\rm min}} \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_{\rm maj})}{\theta_{\rm maj}^2} + \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_{\rm min})}{\theta_{\rm min}^2} \right],\tag{5}
$$

where A is the peak amplitude,  $\theta_{\text{min}}$  is the minor axis,  $\theta_{\text{maj}}$  is the major axis, and  $\theta_{bm}$  is the synthesized beam size.  $\sigma\theta_{maj}$  and  $\sigma\theta_{min}$ are the errors on the Gaussian fits. The catalogued angular size and associated error is the geometric mean of the major and minor axes, which can be calculated from

$$
\theta_{\text{mean}} = \sqrt{\theta_{\text{maj}} \theta_{\text{min}}} \tag{6}
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\theta_{\text{mean}}) = \frac{\theta_{\text{mean}}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(\theta_{\text{maj}})}{\theta_{\text{maj}}^2} + \frac{\sigma^2(\theta_{\text{min}})}{\theta_{\text{min}}^2}} \tag{7}
$$

The integrated flux density of the polygonal sources, measured using aperture photometry, is given by

$$
S = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{src}} A_i - N_{src} \overline{B}\right)}{a_{bm}}, \qquad (8)
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

**Figure 10.** A plot of the intensity-weighted diameter against the geometric mean diameter for the polygonal sources. The one-to-one line (black) shows that the geometric mean diameter is consistently larger than the intensity weighted diameter. The best-fitting line (green) is given by  $y = 0.44x - 0.41$ .

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{src}} A_i$  is the total flux density in a given aperture over  $N_{src}$ pixels,  $\overline{B}$  is the median background flux, and a<sub>bm</sub> is the beam area (19.66 pixels). The associated error is given by

$$
\sigma_{\rm S}^2 = \frac{\left(\sigma(\sum A_{\rm i})^2 + \frac{\pi N_{\rm src}^2 \sigma_{\rm g}^2}{2N_{\rm sky}}\right)}{a_{\rm bm}^2} \,, \tag{9}
$$

where  $\sigma_g^2$  is the variance and  $N_{\rm sky}$  is the number of pixels in the annulus. For CORNISH-North, the angular sizes for the polygonal sources were intensity-weighted diameters and were given by

$$
d_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{src}} r_i A_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{src}} A_i},
$$
\n(10)

where  $d_w$  is the intensity-weighted diameter and  $\sum_{i=1}^{Nsrc} A_i$  is the sum of the flux within the defined source aperture. This works well for simple extended sources. However, the sizes of very extended and double-lobed sources could be underestimated by  $\geq$ 50 per cent, in some cases. Fig. 10 shows a comparison of the intensity-weighted diameters and the geometric mean diameters for the polygonal sources (see Section [6.2.4\)](#page-10-0). The intensity-weighted diameters are consistently smaller compared to the geometric mean diameters. The best-fitting line to the scatter is given by:  $y = 0.44x - 0.41$ . Based on this, the catalogued size for a polygonal source is the geometric mean. This can be useful in interpreting and rescaling the CORNISH-North sizes for extended sources.

#### **6.4 Completeness**

It is important to demonstrate the completeness of our 5.5-GHz catalogue as a function of flux density. In order to quantify this, artificial point sources were injected into the calibrated *uv* data of nine fairly empty tiles having no imaging artefacts, fairly homogenous noise distribution and from both epoch I and epoch II. The flux densities of the artificial point sources were chosen to be in the range of 0.2 mJy (∼2*σ*) to 4 mJy (∼40*σ*). The positions and flux densities were randomly assigned, while avoiding positions of real sources and making sure that the artificial sources do not overlap. In total, 5000 sources were injected into the tiles. The tiles were imaged, and the properties of the injected sources were measured with the same pipeline that produced the catalogue. This procedure was repeated





**Figure 11.** Percentage completeness as a function of flux density for artificial point sources injected into 9 representative tiles. Completeness is the number of extracted sources divided by number of injected sources at binned flux densities. The black line with the '+' symbol shows the mean completeness.

**Table 4.** Completeness level of the 5.5-GHz CORNISH-South data across nine representative tiles at 50% and 90%.

Tile	Epoch	rms	50%	90%
		$(mJy beam^{-1})$	mJy	mJy
7	П	0.09	0.63	0.85
62	П	0.09	0.43	0.64
81	П	0.09	0.45	0.68
109	П	0.10	0.63	0.88
1614	I	0.19	0.81	1.38
1655	I	0.14	0.80	1.30
1731	Ī	0.12	0.72	1.27
1733	Ī	0.12	0.51	1.02
1752	Ī	0.16	0.42	1.14
Mean	I & II	0.12	0.60	1.09

10 times and then the average values of the measured properties over the 10 iterations were compared to the injected properties.

Fig. 11 shows the completeness level measured by the percentage of detected sources as a function of their injected flux densities. The mean completeness level (per centage) is also shown as a black line with the '+' symbol. The percentage completeness from the graph shows *>*90 per cent for 1.5 mJy and essentially 100 per cent for *>*3 mJy. The completeness for *<*0.3 mJy is 0 per cent because it is below the seeding threshold of  $4.5\sigma_s$ . Table 4 shows the noise level and completeness for the individual tiles at 50 and 90 per cent. Tiles from epoch I with higher noise levels show lower completeness level compared to tiles from epoch II. The stated rms noise level is an average across each tile and so the completeness will be affected by local rms noise surrounding a given source. At 1.4 mJy (∼7*σ*), the percentage completeness is about 90 per cent for the worst case (Tile 1614). Based on the mean completeness level, for point sources, the CORNISH-South data is 90 per cent complete at 1.1 mJy. The completeness will be worse around very bright sources, but as can be seen from Fig. [7,](#page-9-0) this represents less than 0.3 per cent of the total area of the survey.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

**Figure 12.** Angular size distribution of the 7*σ* CORNISH-South sources.

#### **6.5 Catalogue ensemble properties**

Figs 12 to [14](#page-14-0) present the distribution of the ensemble physical properties of the CORNISH-South sources. We identified 4701 sources above the  $7\sigma$  limit, of which the properties of 608 are measured with a polygon.

#### *6.5.1 Angular size*

The catalogued angular size for both the Gaussian and non-Gaussian sources is the geometric mean (see section [6.3\)](#page-11-0). Based on the mean error of the angular sizes, which is ∼0.3 arcsec and the size of the restoring beam (2.5 arcsec), resolved sources are defined as sources with angular sizes *>*2.8 arcsec for the CORNISH-South catalogue. The angular size distribution in Fig. 12 is dominated by unresolved sources (66.3 per cent) and accounts for the obvious peak at ∼2.5 arcsec. Resolved sources (1584) account for 33.6 per cent of the catalogue, of which 38 per cent are polygonal sources (608). The distribution of resolved sources is fairly flat out to 30 arcsec after a steep drop from 2.5 to 5 arcsec. This is consistent with the maximum recoverable size (see Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0).

As a characteristic of all interferometric observations, very extended emission will not be properly imaged due to missing information on large scale structures, limited by the shortest baseline in the array. Thus, caution should be applied in interpreting the angular sizes and flux densities of very extended sources (*>*17 arcsec). This is also demonstrated in Section [4.2.](#page-5-0)

#### *6.5.2 Galactic latitude and longitude distributions*

Figs 13(a) and (b) show the distributions of the CORNISH-South sources in Galactic latitude and longitude, respectively. The coverage of the CORNISH-South survey is complete within the  $|b| \leq 1.0$ region as shown in Fig.  $13(a)$ . The distributions are similar compared to the Galactic distributions of the CORNISH-North catalogue [\(Paper](#page-18-0) II).

The latitude and longitude distributions of the resolved sources correspond to the Galactic region traced by high-mass star formation (Urquhart et al. [2009,](#page-18-0) [2011\)](#page-18-0). Known star formation complexes G333 and G338.398+00.164, can be seen at  $l = 333°$  and  $l = 338°$ , respectively (Urquhart et al. [2013a\)](#page-18-0). Based on the Galactic distribution of the resolved sources, they are expected to be dominated





**Figure 13.** Galactic latitude (13a) and longitude (13b) distributions of the 7*σ* CORNISH-South sources.

Galactic Longitude (deg)

by H II regions that are concentrated towards the Galactic mid-plane (Urquhart et al. [2013a,](#page-18-0) [Paper](#page-18-0) III).

#### *6.5.3 Flux density distribution*

The integrated flux density and peak flux distribution in Fig. [14](#page-14-0) shows similar distributions compared to the CORNISH-North sources [\(PaperII\)](#page-18-0). The flux density distribution peaks at∼1 mJy, below which the number of sources drops off due to increasing incompleteness (see Section [6.4\)](#page-12-0). For the resolved/extended sources, the flux density distribution peaks at ∼3 mJy and gently falls off, extending up to 10<sup>4</sup> mJy. Compared to the CORNISH-North, we have picked up more faint sources as expected due to the sensitivity being two times better.

#### **6.6 Source classification and example sources**

Initial classification of the CORNISH-South sources has utilized the availability of comparable high-resolution and high-sensitivity surveys (GLIPMSE, VVV, VPHAS, HI-GAL and ATLASGAL) of the Galactic plane. As with the CORNISH-North survey, one of us (MGH) has



 $10<sup>°</sup>$ 

 $10<sup>4</sup>$ 

Integrated Flux Density (mJy) **Figure 14.** Distributions of the integrated flux density of the 7*σ* CORNISH-South catalogue. Resolved/extended sources are represented by the hatched

10

10

<span id="page-14-0"></span> $10<sup>7</sup>$ 

Number of Sources<br>D

 $10$ 

 $10'$ 

regions. fig. 19 in [Paper](#page-18-0) II shows the distribution of the CORNISH-North.

visually inspected the multiwavelength images of each source on the CORNISH-South website. Examples of multiwavelength images of the main different types of sources are shown in Fig. [A1.](#page-19-0) Using experience gained from classification of sources in the RMS (Red MSX Source) survey (Lumsden et al. [2013\)](#page-18-0), the following visual classification criteria were used.

HII regions have strong, and usually extended, mid-IR, far-IR-, and sub-millimetre counterpart emission. The morphology of the IR emission is usually irregular and complex, as well as often being part of a clustered environment. Their radio emission is usually fairly strong and when resolved can have a cometary, shell, or irregular morphology. The mid-IR emission, dominated by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) emission, arises from just outside the radio emitting region and often reflects the same morphology (Hoare et al. [2007\)](#page-18-0). An initialsub-classification on angularsize has been used in the catalogue, pending distance information. All H II regions less than 5 arcsec in size have been labelled as UCHIIs. This corresponds to the typical 0.1 pc size of UCHIIs if they were at a typical distance of ∼4 kpc for the more nearby part of the population of UCHIIs [\(Paper](#page-18-0) III). The larger ones were mostly labelled as H II regions if the radio emission was clearly identifiable as part of a single source across the radio and IR bands. If the radio emission was due to overresolution of a much larger, multiple, and complex source in the IR then it was labelled as a diffuse H II region. A few H II regions were hidden behind large amounts of dust extinction at 8 μm and were labelled as IR-Dark H II regions.

PNe also have strong mid-IR counterparts due to dust and PAH emission (Smith & McLean [2008;](#page-18-0) Guzman-Ramirez et al. [2014;](#page-18-0) Cox et al. [2016\)](#page-18-0), but are much fainter at far-IR wavelengths than H II regions, and are usually undetected in sub-millimetre plane surveys. The SEDs of PNe generally peak at ∼24 μm but some young and dense PNe have their peaks extending up to 70 μm and beyond (see [Paper](#page-18-0) IV; Anderson et al. [2012;](#page-18-0) Urquhart et al. [2013a\)](#page-18-0). A few of the bipolar, Type I, PNe can have more far-IR and sub-millimetre emission, but are still significantly weaker than H II regions. Morphologically they are much simpler than H II regions and are isolated rather than being in clustered, complex environments.



**Figure 15.** Distribution of classified CORNISH-South sources. 50 per cent has been classified so far. All H<sub>II</sub> regions sum up to 530, of which 257 are UCHII regions. Unclassified sources add up to ∼2300.

Radio stars are point sources in every waveband they are detected in. They are also isolated sources in the field. Depending on the type of radio star, they can either have blue or red colours in the optical and IR. Very red radio stars like dusty symbiotic stars are difficult to distinguish from unresolved PN without further information (Irabor et al. [2018\)](#page-18-0).

Due to the sensitivity of the CORNISH-South survey, the radio emission from a few known massive young stellar objects (MYSOs) was detected. They share all the IR characteristics of H II regions, but have very weak radio emission compared to H II regions in general and are unresolved or jet-like (Purser et al. [2016\)](#page-18-0). It can be difficult to distinguish weak, unresolved UCHIIs powered by B3 stars from MYSOs as they have similar radio luminosities (Purser et al. [2016\)](#page-18-0).

Most extragalactic radio sources are undetected in corresponding optical, IR and sub-millimetre Galactic plane surveys used here and therefore they are straightforward to identify. In the radio, they are usually unresolved single sources and we classify them as IR quiet sources in the catalogue. A small number of these may be radio stars that are so distant or obscured as to remain undetected in the optical and IR surveys. A significant number of extragalactic sources show the classic double radio source morphology, and these are classified as 'Radio Galaxy (Lobe)' in the catalogue. In some cases, the core of the radio galaxy is also seen and is classified as such. If both lobes, or a lobe and a core, or both lobes and a core are visible, but part of the same extended source in the catalogue, they are referred to as 'Radio Galaxy (Both)'. It is possible that some normal star-forming galaxies, as opposed to AGN, are detected in CORNISH-South. Some radio sources had very faint, resolved counterparts in the mid-IR, where it was not clear if they are very distant PNe or galaxies. Further studies will be required to rule out a PN classification.

A few sources did not fit in to any of the above categories, either because they are known sources of unusual type, or their origin is currently unknown. These were classified as 'Other'.

At the time of publication, all sources with detectable flux at 8 μm seen within the same aperture used for radio fluxes have been classified. This should account for the vast majority of Galactic sources. Fig. 15 shows the distribution of classifions of these sources. Resolved sources with infrared counterparts are dominated by PNe and H<sub>II</sub> regions. We find more UCHII regions compared to the northern counterpart and have also identified six known MYSOs. With a sensitivity of 0.11 mJy beam−1, we have also detected PNe with lower radio flux density compared to CORNISH-North. We expect that the vast majority of the sources that remain to be visually classified will be extragalactic and under the IR Quiet or Radio Galaxy categories. The latest classifications will be those on the CORNISH-South website.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>





#### *6.6.1 Catalogue format*

An excerpt of the catalogue is presented in Table 5 and the columns are arranged in the following format: Column (1) – CORNISH Source name  $(l + b)$ ; Columns (2) and (3) – right ascension  $(\alpha)$  and declination ( *δ* ) in (J2000) with their associated errors in brackets; Column (4) – Peak flux and associated error in mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>; Column (5) – integrated flux density and associated error in mJy. Because the clean bias is close to the rms noise level and within the errors, the flux densities were not corrected for the clean bias effect. Column (6) – Angular size and associated error in arcsec; Column (7) – Gaussian FWHM major axis and error in arcsec; Column (8) – Gaussian FWHM minor axis and error in arcsec; Column (9) – position angle (E of N) of elliptical Gaussian; Column (10) – local rms noise level,  $(\sigma_a)$ , in mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> as measured in the annulus described in Section  $6.2.3$ ; Column  $(11)$  – SNR of the source given by Peak flux divided by  $\sigma_a$ ; Column (12) – Type of source tells if the source is Gaussian fitted (G) or non-Gaussian, in which case a polygon (P) was drawn around the source; Column (12) – This column indicates the classification of the source. Gaussian sources have both the Gaussian fitted sizes and geometric mean sizes in the final catalogue. The final version of the full table is made available on theCORNISH website [\(http://cornish.leeds.ac.uk/public/index.php\)](http://cornish.leeds.ac.uk/public/index.php).

#### **6.7 Astrometry and flux density quality check**

#### *6.7.1 GLIMPSE*

In order to check the astrometry of the CORNISH-South catalogue, we cross-matched a subset of the CORNISH-South sources with the GLIMPSE point source catalogue. To a void mismatches and multiple matches, the CORNISH-South catalogue was limited to classified sources, excluding extended H II regions, radio-galaxies, and infrared quiet sources. Additionally, sources with angular size *>*3 arcsec were excluded. The cross-match returned 218 sources and Fig. [16](#page-16-0) shows the distributions of the offsets in right ascension  $(\alpha)$ and declination ( *δ*).

The distribution of the angular offsets in Fig. [16](#page-16-0) (top left) peaks at  $\sim$ 0.4 arcsec and steeply falls to 1.5 arcsec before continuing gently out to 3 arcsec. The offset distribution in  $\alpha$  is tightly peaked at about 0 arcsec compared to the distribution in *δ* that has double peaks at 0.5 and −0.2 arcsec. The spread in *δ* offset can be attributed to the distribution of the intrinsic beam along the major axis seen in the epoch I data (see Fig. [6\)](#page-8-0).

#### *6.7.2 The Red MSX Source (RMS) 6 cm ATCA survey*

Targeted radio continuum observations were conducted to identify UCHII regions and PNe as part of the Red MSX Source (RMS) survey (Lumsden et al. [2013\)](#page-18-0). The observations were carried out with the ATCA within the  $235^{\circ} < l < 350^{\circ}$  region at 3.6 and 6 cm (Urquhart

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Figure 16.** CORNISH-South and GLIMPSE cross-matched sources (218) within 3 arcsec. Top left: The angular offset between cross-matched sources. Top right: Offset distribution in *α* (arcsec). Bottom left: Offset distribution in *δ* (arcsec). Bottom right: Scatter plot of offsets in *α* against *δ*. The cross symbol indicates the mean in  $\alpha$  (0.02  $\pm$  0.04 arcsec) and  $\delta$  (0.19  $\pm$  0.04 arcsec). The error is the standard error on the mean.



**Figure 17.** CORNISH-South and RMS 4.8-GHz cross-matched radio sources (186) within 5 arcsec. Top left: The angular offset between cross-matched sources. Top right: Offset distribution in *α* (arcsec). Bottom left: Offset distribution in *δ* (arcsec). Bottom right: Scatter plot of offsets in *α* against *δ*. The cross symbol indicates the mean in d*α* (0.12  $\pm$  0.07 arcsec) and d $\delta$  (0.21  $\pm$  0.08 arcsec). The mean error for the CORNISH-South is 8 mJy.

et al. [2007b\)](#page-18-0). Table [6](#page-15-0) compares the observational parameters of the RMS 4.8 GHz (6 cm) and the CORNISH-South 5.5 GHz. Both surveys have similar observational properties but the CORNISH-South observing bandwidth of 2 GHz provides better image fidelity compared to the 128 MHz of the RMS survey.

For further checks on the CORNISH-South astrometry and flux densities, the CORNISH-South 5.5-GHz catalogue was crossmatched with the RMS 4.8-GHz catalogue (Urquhart et al. [2007a\)](#page-18-0) within a 5 arcsec radius. For a one-to-one match, the CORNISH-South catalogue was limited to only sources that have been visually classified, excluding diffuse H II regions and radio galaxies. Fig. 17 (top left) shows the distribution of the angular separation between the CORNISH-South and RMS for 186 radio sources. The distribution shows a tight correlation with a sharp peak at 0.3 arcsec and then a steep fall to ∼1.5 arcsec before gently falling off to ∼4.3 arcsec.

75 per cent of the cross-matched sources fall within 1.5 arcsec and 94 per cent fall within 3 arcsec. Compared to the distribution of the offset in  $\delta$ , the offset in  $\alpha$  shows a narrower distribution that is strongly peaked at 0 arcsec. Similar offset distribution in *δ* is seen in the CORNISH-South-GLIMPSE cross-match that is attributed to the spread in the intrinsic major axis distribution. The mean offset in  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  is 0.1 and 0.2 arcsec, respectively. Based on this, and the CORNISH-South-GLIMPSE cross-match, we adopt a positional accuracy of  $0.22 \pm 0.11$  arcsec for the CORNISH-South catalogue. This is also in line with the mean positional accuracy of the secondary calibrators (see Section [5.1\)](#page-6-0).

In Fig. [18,](#page-17-0) the flux densities of the cross-matched sources are compared. A one-to-one line (black line) shows that the CORNISH-South 5.5-GHz flux densities are higher than the RMS 4.8-GHz flux densities on average. The few sources where the RMS flux densities are higher are found to be H II regions with larger angular sizes. The two surveys used different ATCA configurations (see Table [6\)](#page-15-0), which are sensitive to different angular sizes. Compared to the shortest baselines of the 6C (153 m) and 6D (77 m) configurations used for the RMS survey (Urquhart et al. [2007b\)](#page-18-0), the shortest baseline of the CORNISH-South 6A configuration (337 m) makes it less sensitive to extended structures. Hence, for optically thin and angularly large H II regions, the RMS flux densities are expected to be higher, asseen in Fig. [18.](#page-17-0) However, the better *uv* coverage of the CORNISH-South recovers more extended emission on some sources.

A best-fitting line (dotted green line) predicts ∼24 per cent flux density increase for the CORNISH-South counterparts that is more than the typical calibration error of 10 per cent. According to Urquhart et al. [\(2007b\)](#page-18-0), the distribution of spectral indices, (*α*, where  $S_v \propto v^{\alpha}$ ) between the 3.6 and 6 cm data is slightly skewed towards positive indices, which suggests optically thick sources in

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Figure 18.** A plot of the 5.5-GHz CORNISH-South flux densities against the RMS 4.8 GHz (Urquhart et al. [2007b\)](#page-18-0) flux densities. The black line is a one-to-one line  $(y = x)$  and the dotted green line is the best-fitting line defined by  $log(y) = (0.99 \pm 0.03) log(x) - 0.11 \pm 0.05$ . The error on the fitted line is the standard deviation.

their sample. This provides a possible explanation for the compact sources where the 5.5 GHz CORNISH-South flux densities are higher than the 4.8 GHz RMS ones.

#### **7 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

The CORNISH program has successfully mapped the southern Galactic plane at radio wavelengths with unprecedented resolution and sensitivity. We have presented radio continuum ATCA data at 5.5 GHz, covering the 295<sup>°</sup> < 1 < 350<sup>°</sup>;  $|b| \le 1$ <sup>°</sup> region of the southern Galactic plane. The resolution of 2.5 arcsec and noise level of 0.11 mJy beam−<sup>1</sup> deliver radio data matched to the existing high resolution, multi-wavelength surveys GLIMPSE, VVV and  $VPHAS + of the southern Galactic plane.$ 

Utilizing the MIRIAD program for data reduction and AEGEAN source finding algorithm, we have identified 4701 sources above 7*σ*. Data arising from fields with poor uv coverage make up to only 2 per cent of the data set. In addition to several measures undertaken to ensure data quality, visual inspection has also been used to exclude artefacts and hence the data are highly reliable. The survey has a 90 per cent completeness level at a flux density of 1.1 mJy. The measured properties show distributions that are similar to that of the CORNISH-North catalogue presented in [Paper](#page-18-0) II.

All sources with potential counterparts at  $8 \mu m$  (GLIMPSE) have been visually classified. This corresponds to 43 per cent of the sources. We have identified 530 H<sub>II</sub> regions, of which 257 are UCHIIs. Additionally, we identified 287 PNe and 79 radio stars. The vast majority of the remaining unclassified sources without infrared counterparts are expected to be extragalactic. With the sensitivity of the CORNISH-South survey being two times better than the CORNISH-North counterpart, sources with lower flux densities have been detected, including a few MYSOs. A detailed analysis of the statistical properties of individual catalogues will be presented in future papers. The CORNISH-South survey also carried out simultaneous observations of the entire field at 9 GHz, which will be presented in a separate paper. The 9 GHz data provide higher spatial resolution at reduced sensitivity and will enable an examination of spectral indices of the sources.

The CORNISH-South data are particularly important in the characterization of compact ionized sources towards the Galactic mid-plane, as no radio survey has previously covered the southern Galactic plane in such resolution and sensitivity. Previous surveys of the southern Galactic plane were limited in resolution and coverage, especially within the  $|b| < 1^\circ$  region, hence not suitable for studies of compact ionized regions. New radio facilities such as the, ASKAP<sup>13</sup> (Hotan et al.  $2014$ ), MeerKAT<sup>14</sup> (Jonas & MeerKAT Team [2016\)](#page-18-0), and ultimately the  $SKA<sup>15</sup>$  (Braun et al. [2019\)](#page-18-0) are exploring the southern Galactic plane at greater depth. The CORNISH-South catalogue is well positioned as a very useful resource to characterize the population of radio sources seen in the MEERKAT L-band survey (8 arcsec resolution and 10 mJy beam−<sup>1</sup> noise level; Goedhart, In preparation.) and also for follow-up observations with ALMA.<sup>16</sup> It will also be useful in combination with existing multiwavelength surveys of the southern Galactic plane to address key questions in stellar formation and evolution. This will allow multiwavelength exploration and statistical studies of compact ionized regions, which can then be compared with population synthesis models. To date, the CORNISH programme has delivered the most sensitive and unbiased compact radio source catalogue towards the southern Galactic midplane. Data productsin the form of FITS images of individualsources and catalogues are available on the CORNISH-South Website.<sup>17</sup>

With deeper and larger radio surveys on the way, it is also important that we look at building and improving existing machine-learning models for image classification (e.g. Adhiambo, in preparation). Having used multiwavelength visual classification, the CORNISH sources provide a good training and validation set for machinelearning models that will allow automated classification of radio sources in these upcoming larger and deeper surveys.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

TI acknowledges the support of the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom (STFC) through grant ST/P00041X/1 and the OSAPND scholarship (Nigeria). The work done by PFG was carried out in part at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). JM acknowledges financial support from the State research agency, Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation under grant PID2019-105510GB-C32. JMP acknowledges financial support from the State Agency for Research of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation under grant PID2019-105510GB-C31 and through the Unit of Excellence María de Maeztu 2020–2023 award to the Institute of Cosmos Sciences (CEX2019-000918-M). GAF acknowledges support from the Collaborative Research Centre 956, funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) project ID 184018867.

The Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is funded by the Australian Government for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. We acknowledge the Gomeroi people as the traditional own-

<sup>1</sup>[3https://www.atnf.csiro.au/projects/askap/index.html](https://www.atnf.csiro.au/projects/askap/index.html)

<sup>1</sup>[4https://www.sarao.ac.za/gallery/meerkat/](https://www.sarao.ac.za/gallery/meerkat/)

<sup>1</sup>[5https://www.skatelescope.org/](https://www.skatelescope.org/)

<sup>1</sup>[6https://www.almaobservatory.org/en/home/](https://www.almaobservatory.org/en/home/)

<sup>1</sup>[7https://cornish-south.leeds.ac.uk/](https://cornish-south.leeds.ac.uk/)

<span id="page-18-0"></span>ers of the Observatory site. This work made use of Montage, aplpy and astropy python libraries in the batch processing of FITS files.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY**

All data (images and catalogues) are described in the text and available on the cornish website at <https://cornish-south.leeds.ac.uk/> to download in csv (catalogues), uv files and FITS (images) formats, catalogues are already available. Fits images and uv-data will be available by the end of February 2023.

## **REFERENCES**

- [Anderson](#page-14-0) L. [D.,](#page-14-0) Zavagno A., Barlow M. J., García-Lario P., Noriega-Crespo A., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117640) 537, A1
- [Bertin](#page-9-0) [E.,](#page-9-0) Arnouts S., 1996, [A&AS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:1996164) 117, 393
- [Braun](#page-17-0) [R.,](#page-17-0) Bonaldi A., Bourke T., Keane E., Wagg J., 2019, preprint [\(arXiv:1912.12699\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.12699)
- [Briggs](#page-5-0) [D.](#page-5-0) S., 1995, PhD thesis, New Mexico Institue of Mining and Technology
- [Brunthaler](#page-3-0) [A.](#page-3-0) et al., 2021, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039856) 651, 18
- [Carey](#page-3-0) [S.](#page-3-0) J. et al., 2009, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/596581) 121, 76
- [Cesaroni](#page-3-0) [R.](#page-3-0) et al., 2015, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525953) 579, A71
- [Churchwell](#page-2-0) [E.,](#page-2-0) 1990, [A&A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00872764) Rev., 2, 79
- [Churchwell](#page-2-0) [E.,](#page-2-0) 2002, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093845) 40, 27
- [Churchwell](#page-3-0) [E.](#page-3-0) et al., 2009, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/597811) 121, 213
- [Comeron](#page-2-0) [F.,](#page-2-0) Torra J., 1996, A&A, 314, 776
- [Condon](#page-11-0) J. [J.,](#page-11-0) 1997, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/133871) 109, 166
- [Condon](#page-4-0) J. [J.,](#page-4-0) Cotton W. D., Greisen E. W., Yin Q. F., Perley R. A., Taylor G. B., Broderick J. J., 1998, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/300337) 115, 1693
- [Cox](#page-14-0) [N.](#page-14-0) L. J., Pilleri P., Berné O., Cernicharo J., Joblin C., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slv184) 456, L89
- [Davies](#page-2-0) [B.,](#page-2-0) Hoare M. G., Lumsden S. L., Hosokawa T., Oudmaijer R. D., Urquhart J. S., Mottram J. C., Stead J., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19095.x) 416, 972
- [Djordjevic](#page-3-0) J. [O.,](#page-3-0) Thompson M. A., Urquhart J. S., Forbrich J., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1262) 487, 1057
- [Drew](#page-3-0) J. [E.](#page-3-0) et al., 2005, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09330.x) 362, 753
- [Drew](#page-3-0) J. [E.](#page-3-0) et al., 2014, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu394) 440, 2036
- [Fragkou](#page-3-0) [V.,](#page-3-0) Parker Q. A., Bojičić I. S., Aksaker N., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1977) 480, 2916
- [Franzen](#page-9-0) T. [M.](#page-9-0) O. et al., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1866) 453, 4020
- [Green](#page-3-0) A. J., 1999, in Taylor A. R., Landecker T. L., Joncas G., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 168, New Perspectives on the Interstellar Medium. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 43
- [Green](#page-3-0) J. [A.](#page-3-0) et al., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20229.x) 420, 3108
- [Green](#page-3-0) J. [A.](#page-3-0) et al., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx887) 469, 1383
- [Guzman-Ramirez](#page-14-0) [L.,](#page-14-0) Lagadec E., Jones D., Zijlstra A. A., Gesicki K., 2014, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu454) 441, 364
- [Hancock](#page-9-0) P. [J.,](#page-9-0) Murphy T., Gaensler B. M., Hopkins A., Curran J. R., 2012, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20768.x) 422, 1812
- [Hancock](#page-9-0) P. [J.,](#page-9-0) Trott C. M., Hurley-Walker N., 2018, [PASA,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2018.3) 35, e011
- [Haverkorn](#page-3-0) [M.,](#page-3-0) Gaensler B. M., McClure-Griffiths N. M., Dickey J. M., Green A. J., 2006, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/508467) 167, 230
- [Hoare](#page-2-0) [M.,](#page-2-0) Kurtz S., Lizano S., Keto E., Hofner P., 2007, Protostars and Planets V. Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ
- [Hoare](#page-3-0) [M.](#page-3-0) G. et al., 2012, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/668058) 124, 939 (Paper I)
- [Homan](#page-5-0) D. [C.,](#page-5-0) Lister M. L., 2006, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/500256) 131, 1262
- [Hopkins](#page-10-0) A. [M.,](#page-10-0) Miller C. J., Connolly A. J., Genovese C., Nichol R. C., Wasserman L., 2002, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/338316) 123, 1086
- [Hotan](#page-17-0) A. [W.](#page-17-0) et al., 2014, [PASA,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2014.36) 31, e041
- [Hurley-Walker](#page-3-0) [N.](#page-3-0) et al., 2019, [PASA,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2019.37) 36, e047
- [Huynh](#page-9-0) [M.](#page-9-0) T., Hopkins A., Norris R., Hancock P., Murphy T., Jurek R., Whiting M., 2012, [PASA,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AS11026) 29, 229
- [Intema](#page-3-0) [H.](#page-3-0) T., Jagannathan P., Mooley K. P., Frail D. A., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628536) 598, A78
- [Irabor](#page-3-0) [T.](#page-3-0) et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1929) 480, 2423 (Paper IV)
- [Jonas](#page-17-0) [J.,](#page-17-0) [MeerKAT](#page-17-0) Team, 2016, in MeerKAT Science: On the Pathway to the SKA. p. 1
- [Kalcheva](#page-3-0) I. [E.,](#page-3-0) 2018, PhD thesis, University of Leeds
- [Kalcheva](#page-3-0) I. [E.,](#page-3-0) Hoare M. G., Urquhart J. S., Kurtz S., Lumsden S. L., Purcell C. R., Zijlstra A. A., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832734) 615, A103 (Paper III)
- [Kurtz](#page-2-0) S. [E.,](#page-2-0) 2000, in Arthur S. J., Brickhouse N. S., Franco J., eds, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conf. Ser., Vol. 9, Ultracompact H II Regions: New Challenges. p. 169
- [Kurtz](#page-2-0) [S.,](#page-2-0) Franco J., 2002, in Henney W. J., Franco J., Martos M., eds, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, Vol. 12, Ultracompact H II Regions. p. 16
- [Lucas](#page-3-0) P. [W.](#page-3-0) et al., 2008, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13924.x) 391, 136
- [Lumsden](#page-14-0) S. [L.,](#page-14-0) Hoare M. G., Urquhart J. S., Oudmaijer R. D., Davies B., Mottram J. C., Cooper H. D. B., Moore T. J. T., 2013, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/208/1/11) 208, 11
- [McClure-Griffiths](#page-3-0) N. [M.,](#page-3-0) Dickey J. M., Gaensler B. M., Green A. J., Haverkorn M., Strasser S., 2005, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/430114) 158, 178
- [Minniti](#page-3-0) [D.](#page-3-0) et al., 2011, Bol. Asociacion Argentina de Astron. La Plata Argentina, 54, 265
- [Molinari](#page-3-0) [S.](#page-3-0) et al., 2010, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/651314) 122, 314
- [Murphy](#page-3-0) [T.,](#page-3-0) Mauch T., Green A., Hunstead R. W., Piestrzynska B., Kels A. P., Sztajer P., 2007, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12379.x) 382, 382
- [Prandoni](#page-5-0) [I.,](#page-5-0) Gregorini L., Parma P., de Ruiter H. R., Vettolani G., Wieringa M. H., Ekers R. D., 2000, [A&AS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000360) 146, 31
- [Purcell](#page-3-0) [C.](#page-3-0) R. et al., 2013, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/205/1/1) 205, 1 (Paper II)
- [Purser](#page-14-0) S. J. [D.](#page-14-0) et al., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1027) 460, 1039
- [Roberts](#page-5-0) J. [A.,](#page-5-0) Roger R. S., Ribes J.-C., Cooke D. J., Murray J. D., Cooper B. F. C., Biraud F., 1975, Aust. J. [Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/PH750325) 28, 325
- [Sault](#page-5-0) [R.](#page-5-0) J., Conway J. E., 1999, in Taylor G. B., Carilli C. L., Perley R. A., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 180, Synthesis Imaging in Radio Astronomy II. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 419
- [Sault](#page-4-0) [R.](#page-4-0) J., Teuben P. J., Wright M. C. H., 1995, in Shaw R. A., Payne H. E., Hayes J. J. E., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 77, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 433
- [Sault](#page-6-0) [R.](#page-6-0) J., Staveley-Smith L., Brouw W. N., 1996, A&AS, 120, 375
- [Schuller](#page-3-0) [F.](#page-3-0) et al., 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811568) 504, 415
- [Smith](#page-14-0) [E.C.,](#page-14-0) McLean I. S., 2008, in Kwok S., Sanford S., eds, Proc.IAU Symp. 251, Organic Matter in Space. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 219 [Steggles](#page-2-0) H. [G.,](#page-2-0) 2016, PhD thesis, University of Leeds
- [Tremblay](#page-3-0) C. [D.,](#page-3-0) Walsh A. J., Longmore S. N., Urquhart J. S., König C., 2015, [PASA,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2015.48) 32, e047
- [Urquhart](#page-16-0) J. [S.,](#page-16-0) Busfield A. L., Hoare M. G., Lumsden S. L., Clarke A. J., Moore T. J. T., Mottram J. C., Oudmaijer R. D., 2007a, VizieR On-line Data Catalog: J/A+A/461/11
- [Urquhart](#page-16-0) J. [S.,](#page-16-0) Busfield A. L., Hoare M. G., Lumsden S. L., Clarke A. J., Moore T. J. T., Mottram J. C., Oudmaijer R. D., 2007b, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065837) 461, 11

[Urquhart](#page-13-0) J. [S.](#page-13-0) et al., 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912108) 501, 539

- [Urquhart](#page-13-0) J. [S.](#page-13-0) et al., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19594.x) 418, 1689
- [Urquhart](#page-3-0) J. [S.](#page-3-0) et al., 2013a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1310) 435, 400
- [Urquhart](#page-2-0) J. [S.,](#page-2-0) Figura C. C., Moore T. J. T., Hoare M. G., Lumsden S. L., Mottram J. C., Thompson M. A., Oudmaijer R. D., 2013b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt2006) 437, 1791
- [Walsh](#page-3-0) [A.](#page-3-0) J. et al., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19115.x) 416, 1764
- [White](#page-5-0) R. [L.,](#page-5-0) Becker R. H., Helfand D. J., Gregg M. D., 1997, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/303564) 475, 479
- [Wilson](#page-3-0) [W.](#page-3-0) E. et al., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19054.x) 416, 832 [Wood](#page-2-0) [D.](#page-2-0) O. S., Churchwell E., 1989, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/191329) 69, 831
- [Yang](#page-3-0) A. [Y.,](#page-3-0) Thompson M. A., Tian W. W., Bihr S., Beuther H., Hindson L., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2811) 482, 2681

## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Supplementary data are available at *[MNRAS](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/mnras/stad005#supplementary-data)* online.

**Table 6.** An excerpt of the 5.5-GHz CORNISH-South catalogue. **Figure [A1.](#page-19-0)** From the left: 5.5-GHz radio (CORNISH), 3-colour GLIMPSE, 70 μm image (Hi-Gal) and ATLASGAL 850 μm image. **Figure [A2.](#page-20-0)** Continuation from Fig. [A1.](#page-19-0) Images are available online.

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/artide/520/1/1073/6979835 by Liverpool John Moores University user on 08 October 2024 Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/520/1/1073/6979835 by Liverpool John Moores University user on 08 October 2024

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>1090 *T. Irabor et al.*

Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

#### **APPENDIX A : EXAMPLE SOURCES IN THE CORNISH-SOUTH CATALOGUE THAT HAVE BEEN CLASSIFIED**



**Figure A1.** From the left: 5.5-GHz radio (CORNISH), 3-colour GLIMPSE, 70 μm image (HI-GAL) and ATLASGAL 850 μm image. From top to bottom: Example H II region, UCHII region, PN, radio star, radio galaxy, and infrared-quiet sources. CORNISH radio images are 80 arcsec by 80 arcsec; GLIMPSE images are 100 arcsec by 100 arcsec; Hi-Gal and ATLASGAL images are 180 arcsec by 180 arcsec. The red polygons/gaussian overlays are that of the 5.5-GHz CORNISH sizes. Images are available online.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

**Figure A2.** Continuation from Fig. [A1.](#page-19-0) Images are available online.

<sup>1</sup>*Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*Armagh Observatory and Planetarium, College Hill, BT61 9DB, UK* <sup>3</sup>*The National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

<sup>5</sup>*The ALMA headquarters, Santiago, Alonso de Cordova ´ 3107, Chile*

<sup>6</sup>*School of Natural Sciences, College of Sciences and Engineering, University of Tasmania, Hobart 7001, TAS, Australia*

<sup>7</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK*

<sup>8</sup>*PhysikalischesInstitut, University ofCologne, Zulpicher ¨ Str. 77, 50937Koln, ¨ Germany*

<sup>9</sup>*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA*

<sup>10</sup>*CSIRO Space and Astronomy, PO Box 1130, Bentley WA 6102, Australia* <sup>11</sup>*Green Bank Observatory, 155 Observatory Rd, PO Box 2, Green Bank, WV 24944, USA*

<sup>12</sup>*Institute of Radio Astronomy and Astrophysics, National Autonomous University of Mexico, 58089 Morelia, Michoacan, ´ Mexico ´*

<sup>13</sup>*Departamento de F´ısica (EPSJ), Universidad de Jaen, ´ Campus Las Lagunillas s/n, A3, E-23071 Jaen, ´ Spain*

<sup>14</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK*

<sup>15</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica – IAPS, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133 Roma, Italy*

<sup>16</sup>*Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Twelve Quays House, Egerton Wharf, CH41 1LD, UK*

<sup>17</sup>*Infrared Processing Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

<sup>18</sup>*Department of Earth and Space Sciences, Indian Institute of Space Science and Technology, Trivandrum 695547, India*

<sup>19</sup>*Cosmos Science Institute, University of Barcelona, ICCUB, Mart´ı i Franques, ´ 1, 08028 Barcelona, Spain*

<sup>20</sup>*Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK*

<sup>21</sup>*INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, Via S. Sofia 78, I-95123, Catania, Italy*

<sup>22</sup>*Centre for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NH, UK*

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.