

Introduction

1 Olympic weightlifting (OWL) is one of seven weight-category sports in the Olympic Games,
2 accommodating a wider range of categories than any other sport. In each weight category, an athlete's
3 heaviest successful attempt in both the snatch and clean and jerk is combined, and the athlete with the
4 highest combined weight wins the competition. OWL is unique amongst weight category sports, given
5 the critical role velocity and force play in defining success (Fry et al., 2006).

6
7 Athletes in weight-category sports typically use a range of acute weight loss (AWL i.e., weight loss
8 undertaken in the final days and hours prior to competition) and/or chronic weight loss (CWL i.e.,
9 weight loss in the weeks to months prior to competition) strategies. Athletes may use these strategies to
10 compete in a category lighter than their habitual training weight, under the premise this provides a
11 competitive advantage over smaller opponents (Burke et al., 2021). Concerns have been raised about
12 the health and performance implications of the body mass (BM) management practices employed by
13 these athletes (Artioli et al., 2010; Reale et al., 2018). AWL has been linked to adverse health outcomes
14 (Barley et al., 2019; Barley et al., 2018; Berkovich et al., 2019; Kasper et al., 2019), and in some cases,
15 death (Centers for Disease & Prevention, 1998). The majority of research exploring the BM
16 management practices of athletes in weight category sports has remained focused on combat sports
17 (Anyzewska et al., 2018; Barley et al., 2019; Brito et al., 2012; Connor & Egan, 2019; da Silva Santos
18 et al., 2016; Franchini et al., 2012; Hillier et al., 2019; Matthews et al., 2019; Park et al., 2019; Reale et
19 al., 2018). Less is known about the BM management practices of athletes in OWL, despite the former
20 being a summer Olympic Games event for over 100 years.

21
22 OWL athletes physical traits are less impacted by acute compromises in hydration status (Barley et al.,
23 2018) and muscle energy reserves (Barley et al., 2018; Pallarés et al., 2016; Reale et al., 2018; Schytz
24 et al., 2023). This may leave OWL athletes curious about the use of common AWL strategies targeted
25 at the manipulation of total body water (TBW). Indeed, the most commonly used methods reported by
26 powerlifters include water loading (Campbell et al., 2023; Nolan et al., 2022) and fluid restriction

27 (Campbell et al., 2023; King et al., 2023; Kwan & Helms, 2022; Nolan et al., 2022). Although the
28 physical demands of powerlifting and OWL are similar (Gee et al., 2023; Keogh et al., 2007; Serrano
29 et al., 2019), OWL has a shorter time between weigh-in and competition, and a shorter competition
30 duration (Burke et al., 2021), which may impact the athletes' ability to recover from any AWL
31 strategies. These individual sport nuances, including time frame between weigh-in and competition,
32 the requirement for repeat weigh-ins, and culture of weight loss, makes inferring athlete practices and
33 outcomes between sports inappropriate (Burke et al., 2021; Reale et al., 2017). To our knowledge, only
34 one other study has assessed the BM management practices of OWL athletes, undertaken on a small
35 sample of athletes using a tool not validated for that population (Gee et al., 2023). Our research group
36 has recently validated a rapid weight loss questionnaire in OWL athletes (Cox et al 2024), creating an
37 opportunity to better explore the BM management practices of OWL athletes.

38

39 This study aimed to investigate the BM management practices of competitive male and female OWL
40 athletes using a validated questionnaire (Cox et al 2024). It was hypothesised OWL athletes compete in
41 a weight category lighter than their habitual training weight, achieved via a range of acute and/or chronic
42 BM management practices. It was also hypothesised athletes of higher calibre would be more likely to
43 use AWL practices and that there would be no impact of sex on BM management practices.

44

45 **Methods:**

46 Competitive male and female OWL athletes (>18 years) at Queensland state championships in Brisbane,
47 Australia, were invited to complete an anonymous online questionnaire (voluntary response sampling).
48 The survey was advertised for seven days prior to the competition on social media platforms, including
49 Instagram and Facebook. Additionally, eight flyers were posted around the competition venue, featuring
50 a QR code for easy access to the questionnaire. To facilitate survey completion, two iPads were made
51 available for athletes. Announcers at the event also promoted the survey. A designated location was
52 manned throughout competition hours where athletes were encouraged to fill out the survey. The survey
53 was retrospective in nature, asking athletes to focus on competitions during the previous two years. This
54 research project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (University of the Sunshine

55 Coast, Australia); ethics approval number S221696, and participants provided informed consent after
56 having the study explained either verbally and/or in writing.

57

58 The survey used in this investigation has previously been validated in OWL athletes (Cox et al, 2024).
59 It was comprised of five different sections including demographics, training and competition history,
60 weight history (maximum and usual weight loss), source of influence on BM management strategies
61 and BM management practices i.e., retrospective exploration of usual practices. Athlete's responses
62 were collected online via Qualtrics Core XM survey software (Qualtrics LLC, Provo, Utah).

63

64 While athletes were required to specify their usual competition weight category in the previous two
65 years, these categories were subsequently consolidated by researchers into the following four weight
66 category groups for both sexes. Light weight (≤ 73 kg for male athletes, ≤ 55 kg for female athletes),
67 middle weight (> 73 kg to ≤ 96 kg for male athletes, and > 55 kg to ≤ 71 kg for female athletes), heavy
68 weight (> 96 kg to ≤ 109 kg for male athletes and > 71 kg to ≤ 87 kg female athletes) and superheavy weight
69 ($+109$ kg for male athletes, and $+87$ kg for female athletes). The calibre of each athlete was classified
70 using a recognised tiering system, where 1 denotes recreational level and 5 indicates world-class
71 standing (McKay et al., 2022).

72

73 **Statistical analysis:**

74 Descriptive statistics (i.e., mean, standard deviation (SD), range and frequency analysis) were used to
75 represent subject characteristics and responses to survey questions providing ordinal and nominal data.
76 Numeric values for the question 'how much weight do you usually lose in the 24 hours, week, month
77 and two months prior to competition', were provided as a range. When analysed, the mean of the range
78 was used, e.g., 0-1 = 0.5kg. The result for the question related to source of influence was consolidated
79 by researchers into three groups, 'highly influential' (highly influential and very highly influential
80 questionnaire responses), 'a little influential', and 'not influential'. The questionnaire response 'unsure'
81 was removed for analysis. For analysis of calibre, tiers were consolidated into two groups, (tiers 1- 2,
82 and tiers 3-5). A one-way ANOVA was used to compare influence against independent variables (sex,

83 athlete calibre, weight category group). When a significant effect was identified ($P = <0.05$), post hoc
84 testing was performed using Chi-Square test for independence. Frequency analysis for male and female
85 BM practices were split into ‘currently using’ and ‘not using’ and compared against independent
86 variables. When a significant effect was identified ($P = <0.05$), post hoc testing was performed using
87 Spearman’s Rho test.

88

89 **Results:**

90 Of the 174 athletes who initiated the survey, 25 incomplete data sets ($n = 23$ did not identify if they had
91 made weight, $n = 2$ did not answer sources of influences/BM practices) were removed from all analysis.

92 A descriptive analysis of the remaining athletes is presented in Table 1, while athlete calibre is presented
93 in Table 2. Lower calibre athletes were more likely to use low fibre ($P = .014$; $r = -.218$), spitting ($P =$
94 $.003$; $r = -.290$) and sauna ($P = .010$; $r = -.245$) to make weight. Of the 149 athletes, 76% of athletes
95 have previously used CWL and/or AWL strategies to make weight. Three of these athletes
96 acknowledged failing to make weight for a competition.

97

98 In the previous two years, 51% ($n = 68$) of athletes had competed at a BM outside of their usual category.

99 The most common reasons for competing in another weight category are specified in Table 3. Sources
100 of information influencing the BM management practices of OWL athletes are presented in Table 4.
101 Female athletes identified dietitians/nutritionists as a highly influential source of information ($P =$
102 0.009 ; $r = -.250$), but there were no other differences identified according to athlete calibre, weight
103 category or training age/competition age.

104

105 Table 5a and Table 5b present the self-reported BM management methods and timeframe of use
106 amongst female and male athletes, respectively. The most prevalent practices used by females included
107 gradual dieting (83%), fluid restriction (71%), a low carbohydrate diet (52%) and low food weight/high
108 calorie options (51%). Males identified gradual dieting (74%), fluid restriction (71%) and low food/high
109 calorie food options (55%) as their most commonly used practices. Female athletes were more likely to

110 use gradual dieting ($P = .043$; $r = -.192$) and were less likely to increase their exercise ($P = .063$; $r = -$
111 $.177$) and utilise fasting ($P = .035$; $r = .201$) in comparison to their male counterparts.

112

113 **Discussion:**

114 This is the first study to assess the BM management practices of both male and female OWL athletes
115 prior to competition using a tool validated specifically for an OWL population. The majority of OWL
116 athletes competed at a BM lighter than their habitual training weight, aligning with other preliminary
117 findings in OWL athletes (Gee et al., 2023) and other weight category sports (Alderman et al., 2004;
118 Anzewska et al., 2018; Artioli et al., 2010; Brito et al., 2012; Campbell et al., 2023; Connor & Egan,
119 2019; Franchini et al., 2012; Hillier et al., 2019; King et al., 2023; Kwan & Helms, 2022; Matthews et
120 al., 2019; Nolan et al., 2022; Park et al., 2019; Reale et al., 2018). Usual BM loss (2-3%) achieved in
121 the week before competition is similar to that identified in other weight category sports with a shorter
122 post weigh-in recovery period (<3 hours) (Campbell et al., 2023; Reale et al., 2018). Athletes used a
123 combination of both CWL and AWL strategies, with gradual dieting, fluid restriction and low food
124 weight/high calorie options being the most commonly used strategies. Sex differences were noted for
125 gradual dieting, fasting and increase in exercise. Lower calibre athletes were more likely to use AWL
126 practices (sauna, spitting, low fibre diets) to make weight. These practices did not vary by weight
127 category.

128

129 While the majority of OWL athletes acknowledged the use of AWL strategies, especially those
130 promoting loss of TBW, the BM reductions were similar to that potentially achieved during a normal
131 training session (Gee et al., 2023; Keogh et al., 2007; Serrano et al., 2019). Typical BM loss observed
132 in the week prior to competition aligns with current American College of Sports Medicine guidelines
133 (<3% of total BM) (Burke et al., 2021). However, outliers were evident, with a small number of athletes
134 reporting typical losses of up to 8% of BM in the week prior to competition, similar to typical losses
135 identified in combat athletes (Morton et al., 2010; Reale et al., 2018). Adverse health outcomes have
136 been identified in combat sports athletes undertaking similar amounts of AWL (Franchini et al., 2012;
137 Kasper et al., 2019). Athletes with a short post weigh-in recovery period (≤ 3 hours) have been advised

138 against AWL of greater than 5% of total BM (Burke et al., 2021), presumably due to potential adverse
139 effects on health and performance. However, without an understanding of an athletes' post-weigh-in
140 recovery practices, it is difficult to pass comment on the potential performance implications, warranting
141 further investigation in OWL athletes.

142

143 Manipulation of TBW is common amongst weight category sport athletes, given it has the capacity for
144 large and rapid fluctuations that directly impact BM (Sawka et al., 2005). The reliance on fluid
145 restriction observed in OWL athletes (Durguerian et al., 2016; Gee et al., 2023) is similar to reports in
146 other weight category sports (Anyzewska et al., 2018; Brito et al., 2012; Connor & Egan, 2019; Gee et
147 al., 2023; Matthews et al., 2019; Park et al., 2019; Reale et al., 2018). While the majority of combat
148 sport athletes (Reale et al., 2018) undertake additional exercise to facilitate AWL, a minority of OWL
149 athletes engaged in additional exercise (Table 5a and 5b), similar to reports in powerlifting (Campbell
150 et al., 2023; Kwan & Helms, 2022; Nolan et al., 2022). Interestingly, a similar proportion of OWL
151 athletes used passive sweating (saunas and hot baths) to reduce TBW. The limited use of aerobic
152 training in OWL (King et al., 2023) may likely influence the preference for passive techniques. Passive
153 sweat loss may have greater deleterious impact on physiological and psychological function (altered
154 mood states) (Barley et al., 2018; Benton, 2011; Durguerian et al., 2016), and performance, posing a
155 more serious recovery challenge (Barley et al., 2018). Indeed, passive sweating techniques have been
156 shown to increase the risk of heat-related illnesses, especially in athletes, who have a brief recovery
157 period (less than 3 hours), and lose more than 3% of total BM (Barley et al., 2019).

158

159 Manipulation of gastrointestinal (GI) content is another common AWL strategy used amongst athletes
160 in weight category sports (Bruto et al., 2012; da Silva Santos et al., 2016; Nolan et al., 2022; Reale et
161 al., 2017). Approximately one-third of OWL athletes manipulated their GI contents to promote BM
162 loss, a practice which is more prevalent among combat (Reale et al., 2018) and powerlifting athletes
163 (Nolan et al., 2022). A small proportion (10%) of OWL athletes resorted to laxatives to alter GI contents
164 in the day prior to competition, similar to previous reports in combat sports (Artioli et al., 2010; Filaire
165 et al., 2007), and recent OWL literature (Gee et al., 2023). While laxatives can efficiently clear faecal

166 matter, they can adversely influence exercise capacity (Holte et al., 2004). A low-fibre diet (<10g of
167 fibre over 5-7 days) can also be effective in clearing GI contents and has been linked to an estimated
168 0.7% decrease in total BM (Foo et al., 2022). Given its ability for repeatable use, with no apparent
169 adverse performance implications, and only minimal physiological disturbances (decreased satiety)
170 (Foo et al., 2022), a low-fibre diet is potentially a BM management strategy that could be considered
171 by more OWL athletes.

172

173 OWL athletes utilise gradual dieting as a means of making weight, similar to results observed in athletes
174 in combat (Reale et al., 2018) and strength-based sports (Campbell et al., 2023; King et al., 2023; Kwan
175 & Helms, 2022; Nolan et al., 2022). Gradual dieting involves a systematic reduction in energy intake
176 over an extended time period, and is often complemented by an increase in exercise to promote steady
177 weight loss, while preserving muscle mass and avoiding metabolic disruptions (Mountjoy et al., 2023).
178 Energy expenditure inherent to OWL training is similar to that in powerlifting, but likely less intensive
179 than endurance and combat sports (King et al., 2023). While these strategies may reduce the immediate
180 physiological stressors of AWL, if not managed appropriately could result in low energy availability,
181 and associated adverse health (Mountjoy et al., 2018) and performance implications related to relative
182 energy deficiency in sport (REDs) (Benton, 2011; Kasper et al., 2019; Mountjoy et al., 2023). The
183 majority of research addresses the prevalence, magnitude and performance implications of AWL
184 strategies amongst athletes (da Silva Santos et al., 2016; Kasper et al., 2019), but few address the
185 impacts of CWL in weight category sports (Langan-Evans, Germaine, et al., 2021; Mountjoy et al.,
186 2023). Further research into the methods and impact of gradual dieting amongst OWL athletes is
187 warranted, including the risk of low energy availability and subsequent REDs.

188

189 Male OWL athletes did not identify preference for any one source of influence over their BM
190 management practices which contrasts with combat athletes, where coaches and training partners have
191 been consistently identified as influential (Campbell et al., 2023; Connor & Egan, 2019; Kwan &
192 Helms, 2022; Nolan et al., 2022; Reale et al., 2018). This may stem from former athletes transitioning
193 into coaching roles, perpetuating pre-existing cultural attitudes within weight category sports (Brown

194 et al., 2012; Filaire et al., 2007; Purcell et al., 2022; Reale et al., 2018). Interestingly, female OWL
195 athletes highlighted dietitians/nutritionists as very influential, indicating that evidence-based advice
196 regarding BM management practices is deemed as impactful. Female OWL athletes were more likely
197 to focus on CWL, utilising gradual dieting, with less reliance on AWL strategies, including fasting and
198 increased exercise. This variation in preference could be indicative of wider sex-based differences in
199 dietary habits and approaches (Brown et al., 2012; Grzymislawska et al., 2020). Indeed, females often
200 place a greater emphasis on nutrition and actively managing their BM, likely influenced by societal
201 expectations that prioritise appearance and body image (Brown et al., 2012; Grzymislawska et al.,
202 2020). The existing body of research is yet to feature sex-based interventions within weight category
203 sports (Langan-Evans, Reale, et al., 2021).

204

205 **Limitations**

206 This study used a BM management questionnaire for which construct validity was recommended during
207 data capture (Cox et al., 2024), possibly by capturing biochemical measures to confirm the athlete's
208 hydration and energy status. Unfortunately, this was deemed too intrusive and costly to implement
209 directly prior to competition. In hindsight, incorporating a rapid weight loss score (Artioli et al., 2010)
210 to measure the 'intensity of application' for each AWL and CWL strategy may have been beneficial.
211 Such a scale would offer a means of facilitating a more straightforward comparison with other studies
212 (Artioli et al., 2010; Berkovich et al., 2019; Nolan et al., 2022; Reale et al., 2017). It is recognised that
213 self-reported data can be susceptible to recall bias (Althubaiti, 2016). Measures were taken to mitigate
214 this by validating the questionnaire specifically for OWL athletes, specifying timeframes, avoiding
215 leading questions, and utilising the imminent OWL competition to enhance recall (Althubaiti, 2016). It
216 should be noted that only a third of the OWL athletes surveyed in this study compete at a national or
217 international level. This underrepresentation could potentially skew the observed relationships between
218 athlete calibre and weight loss methods. Thus, the impact of athlete calibre on BM management
219 practices in OWL warrants further exploration, especially in international competitions.

220

221 **Conclusion**

222 This research offers new insights into the BM management practices of OWL athletes, identifying that
223 the majority (76%) of athletes compete at a BM lighter than their habitual training weight. The
224 prevalence and magnitude of weight loss (2-3%) achieved in the week before competition are trends
225 consistent across sex, athlete calibre and weight category. Athletes use a combination of both CWL and
226 AWL strategies, with gradual dieting, fluid restriction and low food weight/high calorie options being
227 the most commonly used strategies. Lower calibre athletes were more likely to use AWL practices
228 (sauna, spitting, low fibre diets) to make weight. While male athletes acknowledged a wide-ranging
229 impact from various influences on their BM management practices, females sought guidance from
230 dietitians/nutritionists, which may reflect sex differences in dietary habits and approaches. This may be
231 important to consider in any subsequent interventions used to influence an athlete's BM management
232 practices. Further research into the recovery practices of athletes following weigh-in is warranted and
233 should be complemented by an exploration of the performance implications of OWL athletes BM
234 management practices.

Table 1: Olympic weightlifting (OWL) athletes characteristics according to weight categories.

	Weight Category							
	Male				Female			
	Light Weight (<i>n</i> = 5)	Middle-Weight (<i>n</i> = 38)	Heavy-Weight (<i>n</i> = 9)	Super heavy Weight (<i>n</i> = 3)	Light Weight (<i>n</i> = 18)	Middle-Weight (<i>n</i> = 49)	Heavy-Weight (<i>n</i> = 23)	Super Heavy weight (<i>n</i> = 4)
Age (y)	34±8	29±11	38±16	31±3	33±14	32±11	32±10	24±5
Weight (kg)	81.4±6.6	84.1±10.1	101.8±7.7	110±28	55.7±3.9	67.2±5.4	81.7±6.4	117.3±24
Height (cm)	172.6±2	174.9±5.9	185.4±9.1	182±9.5	155.3±4.6	163.5±6.8	170.2±6.4	171±3
Age began practicing OWL	21±6	23±8	25±8	17±6	29±12	27±9	28±8	18±9
Age began competing in OWL	22±5	24±9	28±9	17±6	30±12	28±10	29±9	18.9
Competitions in the past 12 months?	5±3	3±2	2±1	2±2	3±1	3±2	3±3	2±1
Athletes that have made weight before. n (%)	5 (100)	28 (74)	6 (67)	3 (100)	18 (100)	34 (69)	16 (70)	1 (25)
What is the most weight you have ever lost to compete (kg)?								
Mean ± SD	4±1.3	4.3±1.9	4.5±2.3	9±2.6	2.2±0.9	3.4±1.7	3.7±2.7	2
Range	2-5	2-8	2-8	7-12	1-5	1-9	1-11	
What is the most weight you have ever lost in the week before competition (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	1.8±0.4	3±1.4	4.5±2.6	4.7±1.5	1.7±0.8	2±1.7	2.2±1.2	1
Range %	1-2	1-7	2-8	3-6	1-3	1-5	1.5	
How much do you usually lose in the last 24 hours before competitions (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	1.5±1.1	1±0.8	2.8±2.7	2.2±1.2	0.9±0.5	0.6±0.4	0.7±0.4	0.5
Range %	0.5-3.5	0.5-3.5	0.5-7.5	1.5-3.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	0.5-1.5	
How much do you usually lose in the last week before competitions (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	1.1±0.5	2±1.3	3±2.5	2.2±1.2	1.1±0.8	1.3±0.8	1.4±0.7	1.5
Range %	0.5-1.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-7.5	1.5-5.5	0.5-3.5	0.5-3.5	0.5-3.5	
How much do you usually lose in the last month before competitions (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	3.5±1.3	2.1±1.6	2.7±0.5	3.5±2	1.9±1.4	1.9±1.4	2.1±1	1.5
Range %	1.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-7.5	1.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-3.5	
How much do you usually cut in the last 2 months before competitions (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	3.1±2.2	2.3±2	2.5±0.5	2.2±2.9	1.8±1.5	1.9±1.6	2.4±1.7	3.5
Range %	0.5-5.5	0.5-7.5	0.5-7.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	0.5-5.5	
How much do you usually regain in the week after competition (kg)?								
Mean ± SD %	1.5±0.3	2.4±1.2	2.5±1.4	4.2±2.9	1.4±0.7	1.7±1	2±1	1
Range %	1-2	0-5	1.5-5	2-7.5	0-2.5	0-4	1-4	

237 **Table 2.** Calibre of Olympic Weightlifting athletes who completed the questionnaire.*

Calibre**	Male <i>n</i> = 55 (%)	Female <i>n</i> = 94 (%)
Tier 5	0 (0)	3 (3)
Tier 4	8 (15)	4 (3)
Tier 3	13 (24)	24 (26)
Tier 2	28 (51)	38 (40)
Tier 1	6 (11)	25(27)

238 *(McKay et al., 2022) Tier 5: World Class; Tier 4: Elite/International level; Tier 3: National Level; Tier 2: Trained/developmental; Tier 1: Recreational
 239 active.

240 ** All $P = >0.05$

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251 **Table 3.** Rationale for Olympic weightlifting athletes competing in a different weight category than their usual weight category ($n = 68$).

Reasons:	Female (%)	Male (%)
Did not need to make weight for that competition	24	19
It was too difficult to make weight for that competition	28	5
Wanted to be more competitive in a different weight category	12	9
Wanted to increase/decrease lean mass/fat mass into the next body weight category	7	10
To qualify for states/nationals/international competitions	7	6
Returning to sport from injury	6	4
No longer competitive in the weight category	3	2
Lack of understanding on how to make weight into a different category	2	0
Other*	16	9

252 *Health reasons, increase in height

253

254 **Table 4.** Frequency analysis of the persons/sources who are influential on the weight management behaviours reported by participants in Olympic
 255 weightlifting.

Person/Source	Male <i>n</i> = 42			Female <i>n</i> = 69		
	Not Influential	A little Influential	Highly Influential	Not Influential	A little Influential	Highly Influential
Dietitian/Nutritionist	23	4	14	20	10	40*
OWL Coach	19	11	12	22	26	20
PT/Other coach	30	10	2	43	15	7
Internet	29	8	3	48	16	3
Journal articles/Textbooks	28	8	5	45	18	6
Social Media	31	7	1	55	10	3
OWL/Training partner	28	8	4	44	16	8
Doctor	37	1	1	64	3	1
Parents/partner	37	2	2	64	3	2
Other: Self-trial and error			3			4

256 For analysis, nutritionist (*n* = 2) was collapsed into dietitian; partner (*n* = 1) was collapsed into parents. * *P* = .006; *r* = .019.

257

258 **Table 5a.** Frequency analysis of self-reported methods of body mass loss and timeframe of use amongst female athletes ($n = 69$).

Weight loss methods	Never used	I don't use anymore	<1 day	<2 days	<3 days	<4 days	<1 week	<2 week	<3 weeks	<4 weeks	>4 weeks
Chronic weight loss											
Gradual Dieting	4	8	1			4	5	10	5	14	18
Increase exercise	31	6		1	1	2	12	2	3	6	5
Acute gut content manipulation											
Skipping 1-2 meals	39	10	8	6	2			3			1
Low fibre	42	4	5	6	5	4	3				
Low wt/high calorie*	32	1	15	6	3	2	7	2			1
Laxatives	57	6	1	2			3				
Acute total body water manipulation											
Restrict fluid	12	8	40	7		1	1				
Hot baths	38	5	15	5	1	1	3				
Saunas	33	5	14	7	2		6	1		1	
Heated rooms	62	3	1	1		1	1				
Water loading	33	12	2	2	2	8	9			1	
Low carbohydrate diet	27	7	4	5	4	6	13	1		1	1
Low salt	33	4	3	6	4	3	16				1
Wearing rubber suits	67	1	1								
Spitting	55	4	10								
Other											
Fasting	43	11	7	4		1	1	2			
Diuretics	66	2		1							
Diet pills	69										
Fat burners	63	5									1
Vomiting	67	2									

259 ***Low weight/high calorie option**

260

261

262 **Table 5b.** Frequency analysis of self-reported methods of body mass loss and timeframe of use amongst male athletes ($n = 42$).

Weight Loss Methods	Never used	I don't use anymore	<1 day	<2 days	<3 days	<4 days	<1 week	<2 week	<3 weeks	<4 weeks	>4 weeks
Chronic Weight Loss											
Gradual Dieting	9	3			1		3	7	5	7	7
Increase exercise	27	3	1				1	3	2	3	2
Acute gut content manipulation											
Skipping 1-2 meals	19	5	6	2	2	1	3	1		1	2
Low fibre	30		2	4	3	1	2				
Low wt/high calorie	19		11	3	4		2	1		1	1
Laxatives	36	1	4		1						
Acute total body water manipulation											
Restrict fluid	8	3	24	6			1				
Hot baths	20	9	8				1			1	3
Saunas	19	8	8	1		2				1	3
Heated rooms	34	5	2	1							
Water loading	20	7	2	4	3	1	5				
Low carbohydrate	19	3	2	4	3	2	6	1		1	1
Low salt	23		4	5	2	2	3	3			
Wearing rubber suits	36	4	1					1			
Spitting	34	4	4								
Other											
Fasting	19	6	10	1	1		2			1	2
Diuretics	37	3	1	1							
Diet pills	41	1									
Fat burners	41	1									
Vomiting	41	1									

263 ***Low food weight/high calorie food option**

264 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank the Olympic weightlifting athletes who
265 completed the survey.

266 **Authorship:** The authors' responsibilities were as follows; AC, GS, FP, DJ, RR, and CLE helped in
267 the study concept and design; AC and GS in the acquisition of data; AC and GS assisted in analysis and
268 interpretation of data; GS helped in drafting the manuscript; AC, GS, FP, DJ, RR, and CLE assisted in
269 the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and GS in study supervision.
270 AC had full access to all the data in the investigation and takes responsibility for the integrity and the
271 accuracy of the data analysis.

272 **Conflict of interest:** The results of this investigation are presented clearly, honestly, and without
273 fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation. The main author AC business does
274 sponsor Queensland Weightlifting events otherwise there are no conflicts of interest to declare.

275 **Funding sources:** There were no funding sources for the present investigation.

276 **Protocol:** Nil required

277 **References**

- 278 Alderman, B., Landers, D. M., Carlson, J., & Scott, J. R. (2004). Factors related to rapid weight loss
279 practices among international-style wrestlers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 36(2), 249-252.
280 <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000113668.03443.66>
- 281 Althubaiti, A. (2016). Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment
282 methods. *J Multidiscip Healthc*, 9, 211-217. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S104807>
- 283 Anzewska, A., Dzierzanowski, I., Wozniak, A., Leonkiewicz, M., & Wawrzyniak, A. (2018). Rapid
284 Weight Loss and Dietary Inadequacies among Martial Arts Practitioners from Poland. *Int J*
285 *Environ Res Public Health*, 15(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112476>
- 286 Artioli, G. G., Gualano, B., Franchini, E., Scagliusi, F. B., Takesian, M., Fuchs, M., & Lancha, A. H.,
287 Jr. (2010). Prevalence, magnitude, and methods of rapid weight loss among judo competitors.
288 *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 42(3), 436-442. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181ba8055>
- 289 Barley, O. R., Chapman, D. W., & Abbiss, C. R. (2019). The Current State of Weight-Cutting in
290 Combat Sports-Weight-Cutting in Combat Sports. *Sports (Basel)*, 7(5).
291 <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7050123>
- 292 Barley, O. R., Chapman, D. W., Blazevich, A. J., & Abbiss, C. R. (2018). Acute Dehydration Impairs
293 Endurance Without Modulating Neuromuscular Function. *Front Physiol*, 9, 1562.
294 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01562>
- 295 Benton, D. (2011). Dehydration influences mood and cognition: a plausible hypothesis? *Nutrients*,
296 3(5), 555-573. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu3050555>
- 297 Berkovich, B. E., Stark, A. H., Eliakim, A., Nemet, D., & Sinai, T. (2019). Rapid Weight Loss in
298 Competitive Judo and Taekwondo Athletes: Attitudes and Practices of Coaches and Trainers.
299 *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 29(5), 532-538. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijnsnem.2018-0367>
- 300 Brito, C. J., Roas, A. F., Brito, I. S., Marins, J. C., Cordova, C., & Franchini, E. (2012). Methods of
301 body mass reduction by combat sport athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 22(2), 89-97.
302 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijnsnem.22.2.89>

- 303 Brown, A. J., Parman, K. M., Rudat, D. A., & Craighead, L. W. (2012). Disordered eating,
 304 perfectionism, and food rules. *Eat Behav*, *13*(4), 347-353.
 305 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.05.011>
- 306 Burke, L. M., Slater, G. J., Matthews, J. J., Langan-Evans, C., & Horswill, C. A. (2021). ACSM
 307 Expert Consensus Statement on Weight Loss in Weight-Category Sports. *Curr Sports Med*
 308 *Rep*, *20*(4), 199-217. <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000831>
- 309 Campbell, P., Martin, D., Bargh, M. J., & Gee, T. I. (2023). A comparison of rapid weight loss
 310 practices within international, national and regional powerlifters. *Nutr Health*,
 311 *26*01060231201892. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02601060231201892>
- 312 Centers for Disease, C., & Prevention. (1998). Hyperthermia and dehydration-related deaths
 313 associated with intentional rapid weight loss in three collegiate wrestlers--North Carolina,
 314 Wisconsin, and Michigan, November-December 1997. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*, *47*(6),
 315 105-108. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9480411>
- 316 Connor, J., & Egan, B. (2019). Prevalence, Magnitude and Methods of Rapid Weight Loss Reported
 317 by Male Mixed Martial Arts Athletes in Ireland. *Sports (Basel)*, *7*(9).
 318 <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7090206>
- 319 da Silva Santos, J. F., Takito, M. Y., Artioli, G. G., & Franchini, E. (2016). Weight loss practices in
 320 Taekwondo athletes of different competitive levels. *J Exerc Rehabil*, *12*(3), 202-208.
 321 <https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1632610.305>
- 322 Durguerian, A., Bougard, C., Drogou, C., Sauvet, F., Chennaoui, M., & Filaire, E. (2016). Weight
 323 Loss, Performance and Psychological Related States in High-level Weightlifters. *Int J Sports*
 324 *Med*, *37*(3), 230-238. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1555852>
- 325 Filaire, E., Rouveix, M., Pannafieux, C., & Ferrand, C. (2007). Eating Attitudes, Perfectionism and
 326 Body-esteem of Elite Male Judoists and Cyclists. *J Sports Sci Med*, *6*(1), 50-57.
 327 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24149224>
- 328 Foo, W. L., Harrison, J. D., Mhizha, F. T., Langan-Evans, C., Morton, J. P., Pugh, J. N., & Areta, J. L.
 329 (2022). A Short-Term Low-Fiber Diet Reduces Body Mass in Healthy Young Men:
 330 Implications for Weight-Sensitive Sports. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, *32*(4), 256-264.
 331 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2021-0324>
- 332 Franchini, E., Brito, C. J., & Artioli, G. G. (2012). Weight loss in combat sports: physiological,
 333 psychological and performance effects. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr*, *9*(1), 52.
 334 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1550-2783-9-52>
- 335 Fry, A. C., Ciroslan, D., Fry, M. D., LeRoux, C. D., Schilling, B. K., & Chiu, L. Z. (2006).
 336 Anthropometric and performance variables discriminating elite American junior men
 337 weightlifters. *J Strength Cond Res*, *20*(4), 861-866. <https://doi.org/10.1519/R-18355.1>
- 338 Gee, T. I., Campbell, P., Bargh, M. J., & Martin, D. (2023). Rapid Weight Loss Practices Within
 339 Olympic Weightlifters. *J Strength Cond Res*, *37*(10), 2046-2051.
 340 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000004507>
- 341 Grzymislawska, M., Puch, E. A., Zawada, A., & Grzymislawski, M. (2020). Do nutritional behaviors
 342 depend on biological sex and cultural gender? *Adv Clin Exp Med*, *29*(1), 165-172.
 343 <https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/111817>
- 344 Hillier, M., Sutton, L., James, L., Mojtahedi, D., Keay, N., & Hind, K. (2019). High Prevalence and
 345 Magnitude of Rapid Weight Loss in Mixed Martial Arts Athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc*
 346 *Metab*, *29*(5), 512-517. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0393>
- 347 Holte, K., Nielsen, K. G., Madsen, J. L., & Kehlet, H. (2004). Physiologic effects of bowel
 348 preparation. *Dis Colon Rectum*, *47*(8), 1397-1402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10350-004-0592-1>
- 349 Kasper, A. M., Crighton, B., Langan-Evans, C., Riley, P., Sharma, A., Close, G. L., & Morton, J. P.
 350 (2019). Case Study: Extreme Weight Making Causes Relative Energy Deficiency,
 351 Dehydration, and Acute Kidney Injury in a Male Mixed Martial Arts Athlete. *Int J Sport Nutr*
 352 *Exerc Metab*, *29*(3), 331-338. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0029>
- 353 Keogh, J. W., Hume, P. A., Pearson, S. N., & Mellow, P. (2007). Anthropometric dimensions of male
 354 powerlifters of varying body mass. *J Sports Sci*, *25*(12), 1365-1376.
 355 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410601059630>

- 356 King, A., Kwan, K., Jukic, I., Zinn, C., & Helms, E. (2023). The general nutrition practices of
 357 competitive powerlifters vary by competitive calibre and sex, weight, and age class. *Eur J*
 358 *Nutr.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-023-03233-6>
- 359 Kwan, K., & Helms, E. (2022). Prevalence, Magnitude, and Methods of Weight Cutting Used by
 360 World Class Powerlifters. *J Strength Cond Res*, 36(4), 998-1002.
 361 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000004199>
- 362 Langan-Evans, C., Germaine, M., Artukovic, M., Oxborough, D. L., Areta, J. L., Close, G. L., &
 363 Morton, J. P. (2021). The Psychological and Physiological Consequences of Low Energy
 364 Availability in a Male Combat Sport Athlete. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 53(4), 673-683.
 365 <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002519>
- 366 Langan-Evans, C., Reale, R., Sullivan, J., & Martin, D. (2021). Nutritional Considerations for Female
 367 Athletes in Weight Category Sports. *Eur J Sport Sci*, 1-13.
 368 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1936655>
- 369 Matthews, J. J., Stanhope, E. N., Godwin, M. S., Holmes, M. E. J., & Artioli, G. G. (2019). The
 370 Magnitude of Rapid Weight Loss and Rapid Weight Gain in Combat Sport Athletes Preparing
 371 for Competition: A Systematic Review. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 29(4), 441-452.
 372 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0165>
- 373 McKay, A. K. A., Stellingwerff, T., Smith, E. S., Martin, D. T., Mujika, I., Goosey-Tolfrey, V. L.,
 374 Sheppard, J., & Burke, L. M. (2022). Defining Training and Performance Caliber: A
 375 Participant Classification Framework. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 17(2), 317-331.
 376 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2021-0451>
- 377 Morton, J. P., Robertson, C., Sutton, L., & MacLaren, D. P. (2010). Making the weight: a case study
 378 from professional boxing. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 20(1), 80-85.
 379 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.20.1.80>
- 380 Mountjoy, M., Ackerman, K. E., Bailey, D. M., Burke, L. M., Constantini, N., Hackney, A. C.,
 381 Heikura, I. A., Melin, A., Pensgaard, A. M., Stellingwerff, T., Sundgot-Borgen, J. K.,
 382 Torstveit, M. K., Jacobsen, A. U., Verhagen, E., Budgett, R., Engebretsen, L., & Erdener, U.
 383 (2023). 2023 International Olympic Committee's (IOC) consensus statement on Relative
 384 Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs). *Br J Sports Med*, 57(17), 1073-1097.
 385 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2023-106994>
- 386 Mountjoy, M. L., Burke, L. M., Stellingwerff, T., & Sundgot-Borgen, J. (2018). Relative Energy
 387 Deficiency in Sport: The Tip of an Iceberg. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*, 28(4), 313-315.
 388 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0149>
- 389 Nolan, D., Lynch, A. E., & Egan, B. (2022). Self-Reported Prevalence, Magnitude, and Methods of
 390 Rapid Weight Loss in Male and Female Competitive Powerlifters. *J Strength Cond Res*,
 391 36(2), 405-410. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000003488>
- 392 Pallarés, J. G., Martínez-Abellán, A., López-Gullón, J. M., Morán-Navarro, R., De la Cruz-Sánchez,
 393 E., & Mora-Rodríguez, R. (2016). Muscle contraction velocity, strength and power output
 394 changes following different degrees of hypohydration in competitive olympic combat sports.
 395 *J Int Soc Sports Nutr*, 13, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-016-0121-3>
- 396 Park, S., Alencar, M., Sassone, J., Madrigal, L., & Ede, A. (2019). Self-reported methods of weight
 397 cutting in professional mixed-martial artists: how much are they losing and who is advising
 398 them? *J Int Soc Sports Nutr*, 16(1), 52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-019-0320-9>
- 399 Purcell, R., Pilkington, V., Carberry, S., Reid, D., Gwyther, K., Hall, K., Deacon, A., Manon, R.,
 400 Walton, C. C., & Rice, S. (2022). An Evidence-Informed Framework to Promote Mental
 401 Wellbeing in Elite Sport. *Front Psychol*, 13, 780359.
 402 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.780359>
- 403 Reale, R., Slater, G., & Burke, L. M. (2017). Acute-Weight-Loss Strategies for Combat Sports and
 404 Applications to Olympic Success. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 12(2), 142-151.
 405 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2016-0211>
- 406 Reale, R., Slater, G., & Burke, L. M. (2018). Weight Management Practices of Australian Olympic
 407 Combat Sport Athletes. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 13(4), 459-466.
 408 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2016-0553>
- 409 Sawka, M. N., Chevront, S. N., & Carter, R., 3rd. (2005). Human water needs. *Nutr Rev*, 63(6 Pt 2),
 410 S30-39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-4887.2005.tb00152.x>

- 411 Schytz, C. T., Ørtenblad, N., Birkholm, T. A., Plomgaard, P., Nybo, L., Kolnes, K. J., Andersen, O.
412 E., Lundby, C., Nielsen, J., & Gejl, K. D. (2023). Lowered muscle glycogen reduces body
413 mass with no effect on short-term exercise performance in men. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*,
414 33(7), 1054-1071. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.14354>
- 415 Serrano, N., Colenso-Semple, L. M., Lazauskus, K. K., Siu, J. W., Bagley, J. R., Lockie, R. G., Costa,
416 P. B., & Galpin, A. J. (2019). Extraordinary fast-twitch fiber abundance in elite weightlifters.
417 *PLoS One*, 14(3), e0207975. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207975>
418