

## Review Article

# Social Determinants and Consequences of Pain: Toward Multilevel, Intersectional, and Life Course Perspectives



Flavia P. Kapos,<sup>\*,†,\*</sup> Kenneth D. Craig,<sup>‡</sup> Steven R. Anderson,<sup>§</sup> Sónia F. Bernardes,<sup>¶</sup>  
Adam T. Hirsh,<sup>||</sup> Kai Karos,<sup>\*\*</sup> Edmund Keogh,<sup>††</sup> Elizabeth A. Reynolds Losin,<sup>‡‡</sup>  
Joanna L. McParland,<sup>§§</sup> David J. Moore,<sup>¶¶</sup> and Claire E. Ashton-James<sup>|||</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Center for Child Health, Behavior and Development, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, <sup>†</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery & Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, <sup>‡</sup>Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, <sup>§</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, <sup>¶</sup>Centre for Social Research and Intervention, Iscte-Lisbon University Institute, Lisbon, Portugal, <sup>||</sup>Department of Psychology, Indiana University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana, <sup>\*\*</sup>Experimental Health Psychology, Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands, <sup>††</sup>Department of Psychology & Centre for Pain Research, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom, <sup>‡‡</sup>Department of Biobehavioral Health, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, <sup>§§</sup>Department of Psychology, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, United Kingdom, <sup>¶¶</sup>School of Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom, <sup>|||</sup>Pain Management Research Institute, Kolling Institute, Sydney Medical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

**Abstract:** Despite wide endorsement of a biopsychosocial framework for pain, *social* aspects of pain remain rarely addressed in the context of pain prevention and management. In this review, we aim to 1) examine the broad scope of social determinants and consequences of pain and their interactions across multiple levels of organization, and 2) provide a framework synthesizing existing concepts and potential areas for future work on social aspects of pain, drawing upon socioecological, intersectional, and life course approaches. Integrating interdisciplinary theory and evidence, we outline pathways through which multilevel social factors and pain may affect each other over time. We also provide a brief summary of intrapersonal aspects of pain, which are thought to operate at the interface between individuals and the social context. Progressing from micro- to macrolevel factors, we illustrate how social determinants of pain can directly or indirectly contribute to pain experiences, expression, risk, prognosis, and impact across populations. We consider 1) at the interpersonal level, the roles of social comparison, social relatedness, social support, social exclusion, empathy, and interpersonal conflict; 2) at the group or community level, the roles of intimacy groups, task groups, social categories, and loose associations; and 3) at the societal level, the roles of political, economic, and cultural systems, as well as their policies and practices. We present examples of multilevel consequences of pain across these levels and discuss opportunities to reduce the burden and inequities of pain by expanding multilevel social approaches in pain research and practice.

**Perspective:** *Despite wide endorsement of a biopsychosocial framework for pain, social aspects of pain are often unclearly defined, hindering their use in pain prevention, management, and research.*

**We summarize the scope of social aspects of pain and provide a framework synthesizing existing concepts and potential areas for future work.**

© Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of United States Association for the Study of Pain, Inc

**Key words:** *Social structure, social determinants of health, health equity, life course perspective, intersectional framework*

For over a hundred years, a person's social context has been recognized by some as a key determinant of variability in pain experiences,<sup>1</sup> contributing to the now-substantial body of interdisciplinary evidence supporting a biopsychosocial understanding of pain risk, perception, expression, and prognosis.<sup>2-6</sup> Yet, the social determinants and consequences of pain remain relatively rarely considered in the context of acute or chronic pain prevention and management. Instead, pain assessment, research, education, and care have been overwhelmingly directed toward intrapersonal biological and psychological determinants and consequences of pain.<sup>7</sup> This may be due, in part, to a lack of clarity over what constitutes social and psychological factors in the biopsychosocial model.<sup>7</sup> For example, social aspects of pain are often conflated with psychological aspects under the term "*psychosocial*." While this term pays homage to the important links between psychological and social factors, it does not otherwise do justice to the unique contributions of social contexts to pain.<sup>7</sup>

Recognizing that biomedical and psychological approaches alone are not enough to fully understand pain, much less to effectively address its personal and societal burden and inequities, there have been several recent calls for greater attention to social aspects of pain.<sup>7-12</sup> Despite growing awareness of social foundations of pain, different disciplines often have produced theory and evidence focused on 1 or 2 levels of organization (eg, psychology and behavioral neuroscience focused on intrapersonal level, social psychology focused on interpersonal level). This fragmentation may have limited appreciation of the overall breadth of social aspects of pain and how they shape pain experiences and pain outcomes. The purpose of this review is, therefore, to address such limitations by illustrating how social factors at multiple levels can influence and be influenced by pain and enhance the biopsychosocial framework of pain to inform novel pain research and practice.

In this theory-informed review, we aim to 1) examine and illustrate the broad scope of social determinants and consequences of pain and their interactions across multiple levels of organization, and 2) provide a framework to situate current research across disciplinary boundaries and highlight areas for future work on social aspects of pain. We do this by drawing upon socioecological, intersectional, and life course approaches. Specifically, by "theory-informed," we mean that the paper aims to provide theory to support existing evidence and proposed future directions. We begin with a brief account of how social factors may affect pain through biological and psychological mechanisms. Then, we examine higher levels of organization beyond the individual, describing potential interpersonal, group, and societal-level social determinants of pain, as

well as social consequences of pain at each of these levels. Integrating theory with evidence, we outline pathways through which multilevel social factors and pain may affect each other over time. Last, we discuss how improved conceptualization of multilevel social aspects of pain creates opportunities to enhance pain research, care, advocacy, and policy, thus maximizing the potential effectiveness and equity of pain prevention and management.


### ***Toward a Multilevel, Intersectional, and Life Course Framework of Pain Etiology and Impact: An Overview***

The original application of the biopsychosocial framework conceptualized the person in a hierarchically arranged continuum of natural systems nested within each other (Fig 1).<sup>13</sup> Socioecological frameworks have been widely used to contextualize individual-level factors and investigate how the many layers of social context constrain or facilitate health and disease.<sup>14</sup> We build upon this multilevel conceptual approach by providing a specific structural emphasis on social aspects of pain, described in more detail in the sections below.

In addition to the different organizational levels, from individual to societal factors, we consider the evidence within 2 cross-level elements: a life course perspective<sup>15</sup> and an intersectional systems approach to inequity<sup>16,17</sup> (Fig 2). The life course perspective emphasizes the role of time and timing in the study of health and disease, over the lifespan of individuals, and historically time across generations.<sup>15</sup> This approach has been underexplored in pain research,<sup>18-22</sup> yet informs investigations of etiological processes and optimal timing for deployment of preventive and treatment measures.<sup>23</sup> The life course approach elicits reflection on the dynamic nature of interactions among biopsychosocial aspects of pain over time.<sup>18</sup> For instance, it calls attention to how social factors differentially affect pain vulnerability or resilience at different stages of development (eg, critical or sensitive periods) and how social determinants and consequences of pain can accumulate and interact over an individual's lifespan and influence the distribution of risk and impact of pain across generations.

We also highlight intersectional systems of inequity (eg, racism, sexism, classism, etc), which shape, according to people's social positions, the distribution of salutary and harmful circumstances across levels of organization. Pain inequities are defined as differences in pain experiences that result from these systematically and socially produced unequal conditions between social groups.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, the concept of intersectionality is key to explaining how people's experiences are simultaneously influenced by different systems of inequity in ways that are not sufficiently

### Continuum of Natural Systems



**Figure 1.** Continuum of natural systems. Reproduced with permission from Engel.<sup>13</sup>

understood by narrowly focusing on the impact of each of these systems individually.<sup>17</sup> Social determinants and social consequences of pain can be conceptualized as contributing to systems of inequity when they systematically confer more favorable conditions to people in intersectional positions of greater social status and power.


In our proposed framework, multilevel determinants and consequences of pain are represented by overlapping and nested shapes, across biological, psychological, and social domains (including the multiple levels of social aspects of pain discussed in this paper: interpersonal, group, and societal). Blank bars are overlaid over all levels of organization, representing intersectional systems of inequity and the influence of their multilevel structures. The arrow from left to right represents the direction of time over the life course, the blue circles represent different life stages and phases of development, and the recurring arrows represent intergenerational influences within families and populations (Fig 2). Together, socioecological, intersectional, and life course perspectives support the understanding of complex, dynamic, and multifactorial determinants and consequences of pain.

Complex bidirectional relationships exist within and between the biological, psychological, and social

domains relevant to the pain experience. In the intrapersonal and interpersonal sections below, we focus on multilevel *determinants* of pain. After that, we examine multilevel *consequences* of pain, noting that social consequences of pain are, in turn, contributors to later pain in many instances. We examine social determinants and consequences of pain from micro to macro levels of organization, starting with a summary of biological and psychological determinants of pain, which may be influenced by social factors at higher (eg, interpersonal, group, and societal) levels. While, unfortunately, there was no direct “patient and public involvement” in this project to date, it will be essential for determining the most critical priorities for different groups, as well as developing and implementing adequate interventions, disseminating, and critiquing this work.

### Intrapersonal Determinants of Pain: How the Social Context “Gets Under the Skin”

We conceptualize intrapersonal determinants of pain as the biological and psychological systems that shape pain at the individual level. In this section, we describe key examples of cognitive, affective, behavioral, and



(caption on next page)

**Figure 2.** Toward a multilevel, intersectional, and life course framework of determinants and consequences of pain. I. Intrapersonal Determinants of Pain: Pain experiences and expression are modulated by cognitive, affective, behavioral, and sensory processes that allow for learning and adaptation to social cues about threats to integrity. Individual psychological and biological determinants of pain are the result of historical and ongoing interactions between genetic, epigenetic, and environmental conditions, which are embedded in and shaped by multilevel social factors. II. Multilevel Social Determinants of Pain: Across nested levels of organization (eg, interpersonal, group, and societal), social positions, processes, and systems shape the conditions in which people are born, develop, play, work, and age. These social factors determine experiences, resources, and opportunities to prevent and manage pain, which contribute to the distribution of pain across populations. III. Multilevel Consequences of Pain: Especially when chronic, pain is consequential to biological, psychological, and social well-being. Pain may impact individual self-perception, interpersonal relationships, and participation in valued social groups, with a substantial impact on social systems and the population burden of disability. IV. Life Course and Intergenerational Dimensions: Life stages are associated with changes in biopsychosocial functions relevant to exposure to pain, stress reactivity, as well as pain sensitivity, meaning appraisal, and coping. Critical and/or sensitive periods may present optimal points for interventions to prevent and manage chronic pain. The effects of multilevel determinants of pain may accumulate and interact over the life course, potentially contributing to intergenerational transmission of pain and its consequences. V. Intersectional Systems of Inequity: Systems of inequity are human-made to create and maintain social stratification and inequitable hierarchies (eg, racism, sexism, classism, ableism, ageism, nativism, heterosexism, and gender binarism). These systems jointly produce effects beyond their separate influences and, thus, are called intersectional. They manifest across all levels of determinants and consequences of pain (eg, institutional, interpersonal, and internalized inequities), creating generally more favorable conditions for people in positions of greater power and status.

physiological processes that are thought to be directly influenced by social context, thus mediating the impact of multilevel social factors on individual pain experience and expression.

### Cognition

Cognitive processes often represent some of the earliest mechanisms connecting social context to pain. Pain, as a sign of potential harm, is an intrinsically salient experience as it captures attention when fulfilling its role as an embodied defense.<sup>25,26</sup> Humans, as well as other species, often display exquisite sensitivity to the immediate social context, including an appreciation of information about the environment provided by conspecifics, such as reactions and consequences of pain-related displays.<sup>27</sup> It is not unusual for people to suppress reports of pain out of concern about the loss of social roles or the impact on others.<sup>28</sup> Social context can alter the salience and meaning of a noxious stimulus and shift the attention of the individual in pain.<sup>29</sup> For example, social partners can contribute to reinterpretation of noxious events as relatively innocuous, leading to higher pain tolerance and lower pain ratings.<sup>30,31</sup> Opposite effects were observed when social partners amplified the perceived threat of noxious events.<sup>32</sup>

Beliefs about the causes and consequences of pain have also been found to modulate appraisals of pain. For example, within some religious systems, beliefs in an external or divine locus of control of pain have been associated with increased pain and disability in both Western<sup>33,34</sup> and Eastern populations.<sup>35</sup> In contrast, belief in an internal locus of control of pain is associated with higher self-efficacy and lower pain.<sup>36-39</sup> A spiritual sense of meaning and purpose in life has been associated with lower pain catastrophizing and higher pain self-efficacy.<sup>40</sup>

### Affect

Social factors may also influence pain by modulating affective mechanisms. The relationship between pain and emotion is characterized by both overlapping and distinct neural pathways.<sup>41-43</sup> Thus, social factors may influence pain by eliciting emotional states implicated

in pain modulation, such as fear<sup>44</sup> and anxiety.<sup>45</sup> For example, social contexts that increase the perceived threat of pain are associated with increased pain report.<sup>44,46,47</sup> Pain can also be perceived as more intense and unpleasant when intentionally caused by others.<sup>48</sup> Perceived injustice associated with the causes of pain may contribute to suffering through mechanisms such as anger<sup>49</sup> and depression,<sup>50</sup> with detrimental effects on pain, disability, and mental health.<sup>51</sup>

In contrast, social contexts that elicit positive emotions may help reduce pain. Emotionally positive social interactions, such as holding the hand of a romantic partner, are associated with reduced pain unpleasantness,<sup>52</sup> reduced skin conductance,<sup>53</sup> and reduced brain activity in regions underlying threat responses<sup>54</sup> and somatic pain.<sup>55</sup> Similarly, the positive affective experience of social laughter has been found to trigger endogenous opioid release<sup>56</sup> and increase pain threshold.<sup>57</sup>

### Behavior

Pain behaviors are expressed at the individual (ie, intrapersonal) level and often connect social context to pain experience and expression. For example, pain behaviors (eg, activity patterns and coping strategies) can be reinforced or punished through social interactions with significant others, or through cultural context.<sup>58-60</sup> People's perceptions about pain and harm associated with an activity can also be influenced by social observation of others' pain behaviors, especially in the presence of pain-related fear.<sup>61</sup> Passive pain coping strategies, such as restricting or canceling social activities, are associated with increased disability, sick leave, and pain-related psychological distress.<sup>62,63</sup> Prayer and meditation, among the most common pain coping strategies, have mixed evidence of effectiveness,<sup>64-70</sup> possibly dependent on their active versus passive nature.<sup>71</sup>

Pain expression via verbal or nonverbal pain behaviors may elicit social support, harm, or avoidance from others.<sup>72</sup> The amplification or suppression of pain behaviors may have evolved specifically to communicate pain depending on potential social benefits or risks.<sup>73</sup> For example, when social support is present and considered satisfactory, pain behaviors may increase.<sup>74,75</sup> In

contrast, when in the presence of someone who is potentially threatening, pain expressions can be suppressed.<sup>47,76,77</sup> This mechanism is thought to explain why social learning and reward system activation may play a role in pain chronification.<sup>78,79</sup> For example, neural systems involved in behavioral control and response monitoring have been implicated as mediators between facial expressions of others in a social context and pain reports in patients with chronic pain, but not in healthy controls.<sup>80</sup>

## Physiology

Social context is also thought to affect pain through facilitation or inhibition of physiological responses to acute and chronic stress.<sup>81,82</sup> Exposure to early-life adversity, including family violence or loss, lower socioeconomic status, and deprivation, is associated with detrimental impacts on stress reactivity and pain.<sup>83-85</sup> Negative social experiences across the lifespan, such as persistent discrimination, have also been found to affect nociceptive processing via stress pathways.<sup>86-89</sup> Acute threat perception can be adaptive: Stress elicits a cascade of central and peripheral physiological changes, including increased cortisol secretion by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which can enhance pain and aid the consolidation of fear-based emotional memories that protect the individual from future harms.<sup>81</sup> In contrast, chronic stress ultimately reduces cortisol secretion, increasing the production of proinflammatory cytokines, which have been found to increase pain through both peripheral and central sensitization.<sup>90</sup> Accordingly, hypocortisolism has been associated with the development of a variety of chronic conditions, including fibromyalgia and chronic fatigue syndrome.<sup>81,91</sup>

Chronic stress can also result in even longer-term effects on pain sensitivity through epigenetic (eg, DNA methylation) upregulation of proinflammatory genes and downregulation of antiviral genes through the conserved transcriptional response to adversity.<sup>92-94</sup> These neuroendocrine and immune-functional changes in response to environmental stress may contribute to the life course and intergenerational effects of social adversity on pain.<sup>95</sup> Social support may also decrease pain through similar physiological pathways, highlighting the dynamic nature of physiological mechanisms connecting social context to pain.<sup>96</sup>

## Multilevel Social Determinants of Pain

In this section, we describe potential social determinants of pain at different levels of organization *beyond the individual level*, going from micro to macro scales, proximal to distal relative to individuals. We define social factors as systems (eg, institutions, organizations, groupings, and their norms and policies), processes (eg, relationships, interactions), and/or positions (eg, group membership, roles) that emerge from or are modified by the engagement of individuals with each other. People experience different combinations of these factors over their life course, which may shape their pain

perception and expression, as well as vulnerability and resilience to pain.

## Interpersonal-Level Determinants of Pain

Interpersonal interactions and the relationships people have with others, both past and ongoing, shape their experiences of pain—for better and for worse. We summarize some of the main research at this level, exploring how social comparison, social relatedness, social support, social exclusion, empathy, and interpersonal conflict may influence individuals' experiences of pain and distress, their expectations of pain, and pain behavior.

**Social Comparison and Social Relatedness.** Social comparison theory<sup>97</sup> highlights the importance of others in providing normative standards. The potential threat to quality of life posed by illness, including pain, can bring uncertainty that leads to self-other comparisons on dimensions, including symptom severity and symptom management.<sup>98,99</sup> Cognitive appraisals of the interpersonal social context, such as upward contrast ("other people are able to cope so much better than me") and downward identification ("people suffering from chronic pain like me never get better") comparisons are accompanied by greater pain and worse psychological outcomes.<sup>100-103</sup> The reverse pattern with better outcomes holds for those making upward identification ("most people get better") and downward contrast ("my problem isn't as bad as theirs") comparisons.<sup>103,104</sup> Social modeling and expectation mirroring can also impact pain. For example, observation of others going through a potentially painful experience without significant distress has been shown to reduce perceived pain<sup>105</sup> and physiological reactivity<sup>106</sup> when the observer undergoes the same procedure. Low levels of anxiety or fear about medical procedures displayed by care providers have also been associated with lower patient pain and distress.<sup>107-109</sup>

Studies of neurodiversity also provide insights into the importance of interpersonal factors in pain. Autism, for example, is characterized by differences in interpersonal social relatedness and is associated with altered sensory<sup>110</sup> and social responses to pain.<sup>111,112</sup> Despite there being no evidence for altered psychophysical response to noxious stimuli,<sup>113,114</sup> autistic children display greater overall facial response to venipuncture,<sup>115</sup> and greater and persisting nonverbal behaviors following venipuncture,<sup>116</sup> as well as elevated pain anxiety compared with neurotypical controls.<sup>117,118</sup> Autistic children use less social referencing in ambiguous situations,<sup>119</sup> which in turn may alter social learning about threat and pain, as well as impact on caregiving behavior. For example, tendencies to engage in adaptive morphing<sup>120</sup> (sometimes also called camouflage or masking) of autistic symptoms or affective states can reduce access to medical care or lead care providers to underestimate pain or perceive malinger behavior.<sup>121,122</sup>

**Social Support.** Perceived or received social support can exacerbate or attenuate the experience of pain. Social support may include the provision of pain relief, for example, by administering medication or facilitating the use of adaptive coping strategies.<sup>123</sup> It can also improve chronic pain adjustment by facilitating well behaviors<sup>124,125</sup> and promoting functional autonomy.<sup>126,127</sup> Social support may also modulate individual pain experience by influencing attention to and evaluation of noxious stimuli, as well as through validation of the unpleasantness of sensations and associated feelings of distress.<sup>29,128,129</sup> For example, when parents or health care providers distract children during a painful procedure, the child experiences less pain and less fear of pain in the future.<sup>130</sup> Parent-led pain reframing after surgery may also help children remember postsurgical pain more accurately or positively, with potential impact on future pain experiences.<sup>131</sup> To the extent that the presence of a care provider is comforting and reassuring (as opposed to threatening), distress and pain are lessened.<sup>44,46,132,133</sup>

While generally considered salutary, certain pain-related social support responses can also have detrimental effects. For example, solicitous responses to another's pain, such as taking over their tasks or responsibilities, can lead to increased pain, distress, and disability.<sup>134-137</sup> In addition, expressions of extreme alarm or fear from a care provider can lead to greater pain and distress.<sup>138-142</sup> It is also possible that pain may increase in response to encouragement or positive reinforcement. For example, to the extent that experiences of sympathy and care from another person are contingent upon the expression of pain, care from others may be associated with increased pain behavior.<sup>143</sup> McMurtry and colleagues<sup>141</sup> found that efforts to reassure children that their pain will soon dissipate ("it's ok," "you're ok") may lead to increasing distress if children perceive these attempts at reassurance as a sign of parental fear.

**Social Exclusion.** Social exclusion and isolation are associated with increased pain behaviors and disability. For example, patient-reported social isolation has been found to predict worse pain interference and disability in persons with chronic pain.<sup>144,145</sup> Consistent with these findings, a large cross-sectional study of UK Biobank participants found that social exclusion (a composite of social isolation and deprivation) and loneliness were associated with increased prevalence of acute and chronic pain.<sup>146</sup> Experimental evidence suggested levels of distress caused by social exclusion are associated with reported pain unpleasantness.<sup>147</sup> In contrast, there are mixed results with respect to the impact of social exclusion on pain sensitivity.<sup>148</sup> While social exclusion experiences are associated with activation of somatosensory brain regions linked with physical pain,<sup>149</sup> reduced pain sensitivity (eg, "numbing") responses to social exclusion are also reported.<sup>150</sup>

**Empathy.** Empathy can occur when an observer demonstrates (and the individual perceives) a shared understanding of another person's experiences at emotional and cognitive levels.<sup>151</sup> Pain empathy can

manifest as concern, nonjudgmental acceptance, perspective-taking, and a desire to help relieve discomfort.<sup>152</sup> Observers can convey empathy verbally through explicit acknowledgment and/or validation and instrumentally through the provision of support. Empathy can also be conveyed nonverbally through touch, listening without interruption, eye gaze, mirroring of emotional expressions or behaviors, and affective synchrony. The receipt of empathy from others can shape individuals' pain experience for better or worse depending on previous experiences and the disposition or traits of the individual experiencing pain. In general, when people receive empathy for pain from others (family, friends, strangers, or care providers), they experience comfort (reduced distress), pain is experienced as less threatening, and consequently, pain is more tolerable, and noxious stimuli may even be experienced as less painful.<sup>153-156</sup>

Given experimental evidence that racial ingroup bias can limit empathic response to the pain of others,<sup>157,158</sup> approaches such as perspective-taking have been shown as potentially useful in medical contexts. For example, a virtual perspective-taking intervention for health care providers reduced racial and socioeconomic bias in pain care decision-making.<sup>159</sup> Enhancing perspective-taking in an observing partner also led to lower pain severity and higher pain tolerance in their partner receiving experimental noxious stimuli.<sup>160</sup> However, the perception of empathic concern from others may also exacerbate pain if the receiver or person with pain has an insecure (avoidant) attachment style (ie, experiences discomfort when receiving care and concern from others),<sup>139,161,162</sup> is high in trait anxiety, or has difficulties with emotion regulation.<sup>163,164</sup>

**Interpersonal Conflict.** Interpersonal conflict can also influence the experience of pain. Conflict may be experienced in a variety of interpersonal contexts, including intimate, health care, and workplace relationships. In the context of close relationships, punitive (hostile, invalidating, or dismissing) responses to the expression of pain have been associated with additional physical and psychosocial impairment in the person with pain.<sup>60,165</sup> Domestic abuse is particularly detrimental—people who are injured by a close-relationship partner are significantly more likely to develop chronic pain than those injured outside of the home.<sup>166</sup> Workplace bullying has also been found to prospectively predict chronic pain onset.<sup>167,168</sup> Health care professionals may also be implicated by those with long-term painful conditions as having unjustly contributed to injury or provided inadequate care.<sup>169,170</sup> While fraudulent misrepresentations of pain are rare, there can be suspicion of the credibility of pain among health care professionals, caregivers, employers, insurance adjusters, or others.<sup>171,172</sup> Such invalidating perceptions and responses, which may lead to underassessment and undertreatment of pain, have been documented to be disproportionately common toward women,<sup>173,174</sup> people of diverse gender identities, people who are racialized, and/or people perceived to be of lower socioeconomic status.<sup>175,176</sup>

## Group-Level Determinants of Pain

People are born to, acquire membership to, or are perceived to belong to different groups, which play central roles in various aspects of their lives, with potential implications for pain. According to a well-established socio-cognitive taxonomy,<sup>177</sup> individuals are affiliated with 4 group types: 1) intimacy groups, such as family or friends; 2) task groups, such as work-related organizations or vocational associations; 3) social categories, such as gender, social class, race/ethnicity, and religion; and 4) loose associations, such as people living in the same neighborhood or people attending a social event. Several types of group membership are thought to shape interpersonal dynamics as well as aspects of individual pain experiences and their expression.

**Intimacy Groups.** Individuals' intimacy groups (eg, friends or family) are complex, dynamic systems whose characteristics and resources may influence the development, maintenance, and management of pain.<sup>178</sup> Families—for example, caregivers and partners—are a primary source of information about pain, influencing individuals' pain beliefs, emotional responses to pain (eg, fear, distress), and behavioral coping strategies (eg, avoidance of activity, support-seeking).<sup>179</sup> Families are also a primary source of attachment and social support. Having a family who represents a "safe haven" has been associated with lower distress and increased tolerance to a painful experimental procedure, while inconsistent or distant family relationships with anxious or insecure attachments are associated with an increased prevalence of pain and pain-related disability.<sup>180,181</sup> Additionally, having a family member with chronic pain is associated with higher odds of chronic pain.<sup>182</sup> Ethnocultural variation in pain experience and expression is often transmitted through family influence. Pathways of intergenerational transmission of pain may include social learning (eg, modeling and reinforcement),<sup>183</sup> adverse childhood experiences (eg, uncertainty associated with parental illness or, in extreme cases, neglect), and elevated risk of child depression.<sup>184-186</sup> Family social and economic deprivation is strongly associated with both adverse childhood experiences<sup>187</sup> and attachment style,<sup>188,189</sup> as well as with the prevalence of high-impact chronic pain among adults.<sup>190</sup>

**Task Groups.** Work-related environments can impact development and adjustment to chronic pain.<sup>191</sup> Workers have a higher likelihood of developing musculoskeletal (neck and low back) chronic pain with longer recovery time and delayed return to work<sup>192</sup> when 1) their workload and ergonomic demands are high<sup>193-196</sup>; 2) they have low job control or decision authority,<sup>193,195</sup> high job demands,<sup>195</sup> and, hence, high job strain<sup>197</sup>; 3) they have low satisfaction with the workplace<sup>196</sup>; and 4) they have lower levels of peer and supervisor social support.<sup>193,195</sup>

**Social Categories.** Social group membership can be subject to discrimination, and differential access to

resources and opportunities, which can affect the pain experience.<sup>198</sup> For example, there is growing evidence of the impact of racism on pain—although much of the literature to date has been framed as examining "racial/ethnic differences" in pain and pain management. For instance, among African Americans, there is a consistent relationship between perceived discrimination and both chronic pain and evoked pain, as well as neurobiological correlates of pain,<sup>89,199</sup> above and beyond socioeconomic and health-related factors.<sup>86-88,200</sup> Similar associations have also been found for indigenous (Sami) populations in rural Norway,<sup>201</sup> Vietnamese-Americans, Filipino-Americans, and Chinese-Americans.<sup>202</sup> Multiple dimensions of racialized discrimination (but not all<sup>203</sup>), as well as decreases in objective and subjective life course social status, have also been found to be associated with enhanced temporal summation of pain among Latinx Americans,<sup>203,204</sup> while perceived discrimination has been identified as a potential mediator of both impaired descending pain inhibition and chronic pain onset among Native Americans.<sup>205</sup> While most of the research on racial pain inequities has been produced in the United States, there is growing awareness of the global need to recognize and address racism in pain science, policy, and practice.<sup>206</sup> It should also be noted that, while the majority of research into the association between minority group membership and pain outcomes measures perceived discrimination, it is important to recognize that discrimination cannot be eliminated by interventions aimed at changing people with minoritized affiliation attention to or perceptions. Rather, it is the people, groups, institutions, and systems themselves that must change in order to repair the experiences of people from marginalized groups.

Discrimination and bias,<sup>207</sup> based on other social identities, have also been linked to pain, including gender,<sup>198,208-210</sup> education, employment status, age, place of birth,<sup>211</sup> and other marginalized identities.<sup>212</sup> Inappropriate pain care and invalidating judgments due to social group membership may also contribute to disparities in pain and suffering. For example, recent experimental studies have shown classism in pain assessment and management.<sup>159,213-215</sup> Several studies have also shown that, despite equivalent health care needs, compared with men, women's chronic pain is less often perceived as legitimate and deserving of effective pain relief. Women's pain is more mistrusted, psychologized, and they receive less opioids, more antidepressants, and more mental health referrals (for reviews, see<sup>210,216</sup>). The previous discussion of perceived discrimination is not meant to imply that agents of change should focus exclusively (or primarily) on eliminating racism and bias in the clinical arena. Structural systems of inequity and exclusion (ie, see the section on Societal-Level Determinants of Pain below) that dehumanize people of marginalized social categories are at the root of these expressions of and are impossible to disentangle from discrimination at the interpersonal and group levels.

Group-level normative standards of masculinity and femininity are thought to play a role in differences in pain by gender.<sup>216-218</sup> Patterns of gender norms about pain are consistent with the concepts of hegemonic

masculinity and andronormativity, in which individuals are evaluated against standards of stereotypically masculine attributes and behaviors (eg, stoicism, strength, and independence) that are considered prevailing and normative over stereotypically feminine ones (eg, emotional, caring, and sociable).<sup>210,219</sup> These stereotypic perspectives are thought to impact socialization of pain experiences and pain expression during early childhood.<sup>175,218,220</sup> Gender is also considered to have a situationally specific effect on pain.<sup>218</sup> For example, experimental manipulation of information about gender roles affects pain and how it is reported.<sup>221-223</sup> Taken together, evidence suggests that some gender differences in pain are not fixed or inherent, but partly learned, flexible, and dependent on social context.<sup>175,216,218,220</sup> Emerging research also emphasizes the need to advance our understanding of the roles of gender beyond binary woman/man categories on pain, with implications for care equity.<sup>175,216,224</sup>

People are not singly defined by 1 social category at a time (eg, race, gender, class, ableness, immigration status, age, and sexual orientation), with their experiences and health shaped by the combination of their social positions and the relative value attributed to them in a given context and historical moment. It follows that social categories are not static and monolithic. Interactions between social categories such as race and socioeconomic status,<sup>225</sup> age and socioeconomic status,<sup>226,227</sup> as well as race and gender<sup>176</sup> have also been found to result in different burdens of pain, biases in pain care, and pain-related stigma. People may live at uniquely oppressive or invisible intersections between multiple marginalized positions or simultaneously benefit from privilege due to other aspects of their social positions.<sup>17,228</sup> These complex intersectional positions may have implications on wellness and pain by socially determining exposure to risks and access to resources to stay healthy or manage symptoms that may arise. To date, few studies have used intersectional frameworks to consider heterogeneity of pain phenomena across multidimensional social positions.<sup>176,225,229-233</sup>

**Loose Associations.** Measures of social group integration and connection have also been found to impact pain. Higher neighborhood social cohesion—or the degree to which neighborhood members feel they can trust and rely on one another to cooperate and help each other when in need—may be protective against chronic pain and disability, for example, through higher levels of physical activity.<sup>234</sup> Similarly, higher social capital (eg, participation in local community, feeling of trust, and neighborhood connections) has been found to be associated with lower pain in persons with fibromyalgia and lower odds of having dental pain, musculoskeletal pain, depression, and somatic symptoms in population-based studies.<sup>235-237</sup> In experimental studies, synchronized group activities (eg, choir singing, rowing, and dancing) have been shown to increase social bonding and increase pain thresholds, even when group members are not known to each other.<sup>238-240</sup>

Societal and systemic factors often differentially impact people in different social categories or intersectional social positions, shaping the environments where they live, work, and play, often creating a disadvantage for marginalized groups.

## Societal-Level Determinants of Pain

Political, economic, and cultural systems and institutions—societal determinants—are thought to regulate social relations of groups and individuals, defining rights and responsibilities, as well as shaping the distribution of power and resources within and between social groups, within and between countries.<sup>241</sup> The resulting conditions of living, development, and opportunities that influence health differ between social groups across place and time. Such differential access to health resources has been recognized since at least the mid-1800s.<sup>242</sup> Under the assumption of health as a universal human right, critical approaches to the social determinants of health have sought to expose the societal mechanisms responsible for health inequities.

**Inequity-Generating Mechanisms.** Chronic pain has been widely documented to disproportionately impact persons in socially marginalized positions.<sup>190,212,243-245</sup> Moreover, the fundamental social causes of pain inequities have also been increasingly interrogated in the literature.<sup>206,246-248</sup> For instance, health (including pain) inequities have been theorized to derive from broader systems of beliefs and practices rooted in unjust ideas of superiority of certain groups, which are used to motivate and justify the control, domination, and exploitation of other groups for their benefit (eg, racism and white supremacy, sexism and patriarchy, classism, gender binarism, heterosexism, ableism, ageism, nationalism, imperialism, etc).<sup>16,249</sup> These systems of power and inequity are assumed to create and maintain social stratification or fail to undo structures and dynamics that provide unearned privileges to dominant groups (ie, institutionalized or structural inequities).<sup>250-252</sup>

**Upstream Social Systems as Root Causes of Pain.** Societal factors may influence pain through effects on group-level, interpersonal, and individual life experiences, shaping access to resources and conditions to prevent and manage pain over the life course. For example, political, economic, and cultural systems often shape participation of people from different social groups in spaces of power (eg, knowledge production, voting rights, representation in government, policymaking, and communication). Norms, policies, and values produced in these spaces may reinforce unequal access to goods, services, and opportunities for different social groups (eg, quality education, safe housing, nutritious food, leisure time, adequate employment and working conditions, wealth, and health care).<sup>241</sup> In turn, group membership and the resulting life trajectories may influence risk and protective factors for pain at the interpersonal (eg, social support, interpersonal conflict,

## 10 The Journal of Pain

and interpersonally mediated discrimination<sup>251</sup>) and individual levels (eg, internalized stigma, assumptions about own abilities, and worth<sup>251</sup>). For example, although different aspects of socioeconomic position—that have some of the most consistent evidence as social determinants of pain<sup>11,253</sup>—are often measured in research as individual-level characteristics, their impact on pain depends on differences in social circumstances and resources determined by inequitable sociopolitical contexts<sup>254</sup> (ie, systematically worse life conditions for people with lower income, education, occupation, wealth, etc). Furthermore, higher societal inequities (eg, Gini Index, Gender Inequality Index) are associated with higher country-level prevalence of pain overall, not only among disadvantaged groups.<sup>255</sup>

**Neighborhoods and Local Environment.** Societal factors are thought to influence access to neighborhood-built and social environments according to people's social positions.<sup>256-259</sup> Access to these different social contexts may influence pain outcomes, for example, through exposure to crime, injury, conduciveness to physical activity, and psychosocial distress.<sup>260</sup> Walkability and proximity to parks have been associated with lower levels of pain, pain-related disability, and better physical functioning in adolescents, net of select family socioeconomic characteristics and pain history.<sup>261</sup> Neighborhood disadvantage has also been associated with a variety of negative pain outcomes, such as higher pain intensity and interference during the first year after a motor vehicle collision,<sup>262</sup> higher onset of pain interference in adults aged 50 and over,<sup>263</sup> higher pain disability in adults with chronic pain,<sup>264</sup> and lower health-related quality of life in children with sickle cell disease pain.<sup>265</sup>

**Policies That Shape Resources and Opportunities for Well-being and Pain Relief.** As recognized by the Health in All Policies approach,<sup>266</sup> health is influenced not only by health care and public health policies, but by policies across sectors such as labor, fiscal, housing, transportation, food and agriculture, trade and industry, land use, education, media, military, environment, electoral, criminal justice, and welfare.<sup>241</sup> Ultimately, the multilevel social context may influence individuals' exposure to pain and stressors (eg, injury, violence, trauma, and illness) over the life course and across generations.<sup>267</sup> Importantly, multilevel social factors may also constrain the range of lifestyle "choices"<sup>268,269</sup> that can act as predisposing, precipitating, and perpetuating factors for pain, as well as shape pain sensitivity and impact. Individual (lack of) material and social resources, which depends on one's intersectional social positions, may then determine options for pain prevention, management, coping, and mitigation of its impact.<sup>212</sup>

**Contextualizing Resilience and Risk Factors for Pain.** Societal-level determinants may help to explain the unequal distribution of more proximal risk factors for illness across social groups and social gradients in

## Social Determinants and Consequences of Pain

health.<sup>249</sup> Furthermore, awareness of societal determinants challenges biological essentialism and narratives that normalize health inequities (ie, claims of inherent superiority and/or normality of dominant groups). Importantly, a better understanding of higher-level or upstream social determinants and inequity-generating mechanisms may help to identify paths for accountability, social change, and population health interventions toward equity,<sup>16</sup> in addition to individual-level approaches for pain management.

### **Multilevel Consequences of Pain**

The consequences of pain are also multilevel, adversely affecting 1) individual sense of self in relation to others, 2) interpersonal relationships, 3) group (including family, work, and community) cohesion and integration, and 4) participation in social systems. Like in the section on social determinants of pain, we consider here the *consequences* of pain at the individual, interpersonal, group, and societal levels of organization, from micro to macro scales. While presented separately for didactic purposes, these different levels are also interrelated. Higher-level consequences (eg, societal) depend on the consequences that occur at lower levels but may be "more than the sum of its parts," potentially becoming part of the inequitable systems that influence pain. Conversely, while individual-level consequences may seem isolated at first look, they contribute to reinforcing higher-level social consequences of pain too.

### **Individual-Level Consequences of Pain**

Experiences of chronic pain influence how individuals think about themselves in relation to others, with impacts on social outcomes. Chronic pain can affect one's self-concept ("I am a chronic pain sufferer"),<sup>270</sup> threaten identities (eg, gender<sup>271</sup>), and frustrate progress toward long-held goals and aspirations.<sup>272</sup> Consequently, living with chronic pain can threaten one's sense of belonging in the world. Patients with chronic pain report feeling "different" from their peers who live relatively pain-free.<sup>273</sup> Waugh and colleagues<sup>274</sup> found that 38% of surveyed adults with chronic pain affirmed negative self-stereotypes (eg, "people with chronic pain often exaggerate for secondary gain" and "I can't achieve much in life because I have pain") and anticipated negative social responses from others. Consequently, rather than seeking out social connection in response to feelings of estrangement, individuals may be more likely to withdraw further from social roles and relationships (the "why try" effect).<sup>275</sup> The burden of chronic pain has also been found to have a detrimental impact on educational, vocational, and economic outcomes over the life course.<sup>276,277</sup>

### **Interpersonal-Level Consequences of Pain**

Individuals with chronic pain often have fewer and lower-quality peer relationships, lower-quality romantic relationships, and less social support than individuals

without chronic pain.<sup>277-281</sup> A confluence of factors may contribute to the paucity and deterioration of interpersonal relationships in people living with chronic pain. The experience of pain and associated negative sequelae (eg, fatigue, anhedonia) can limit one's ability and motivation to participate in many social activities.<sup>282</sup> Additionally, lack of engagement in social activities could be precipitated by negative self-beliefs<sup>274</sup> or negative emotions such as irritability, stress, or feelings of anger that often accompany persistent pain.<sup>283-285</sup> These negative emotions can, in turn, have a detrimental impact on one's ability to initiate and maintain interpersonal relationships.<sup>282</sup>

The onus of responsibility for poor relations with others does not necessarily sit with the people living with chronic pain, who often experience stigmatization, social exclusion, and discrimination owing to others' mistrust of the legitimacy of chronic pain.<sup>286-288</sup> Experimental studies have found that people showing pain behavior (eg, guarding, limping, groaning, wincing, or holding) are evaluated as being lower in warmth (trustworthiness, sincerity, and kindness), competence (intelligence, skill, and capability), dependability, and readiness to work than people who do not show pain behaviors.<sup>289,290</sup> Judgments of a person's warmth and competence reliably predict how people feel and behave toward others.<sup>291</sup> The finding that expression of pain is sufficient to elicit negative evaluations may help to explain why people with chronic pain have more difficulty forming and maintaining relationships, over and above pain-related physical restrictions to socialization.

### Group-Level Consequences of Pain

Chronic pain can present challenges, such as within the workplace and family unit, interfering with one's ability to perform valued roles within social groups (eg, as "caregiver," "provider," or "colleague"). Some people with chronic pain report that employers and work colleagues judge them as lazy and unreliable team members.<sup>286</sup> Such pain-related prejudice is consequential. Byrne and Hochwarter<sup>292</sup> conducted a series of studies of injured workers and found that high levels of chronic pain were associated with lower workplace performance only among workers who reported low organizational support (ie, perceived stigma). Hence, they suggest it is not the experience of chronic pain, per se, that determines return-to-work performance among injured workers, but rather it is the quality of organizational support.

The impact of chronic pain on families is also complex and highly variable. A meta-analysis of 3 studies indicated that family functioning (eg, cohesion, marital satisfaction) was poorer in families with parental chronic pain compared with healthy control families.<sup>293</sup> Conversely, some families describe having a family member with chronic pain as an opportunity to develop compassion, independence, and empathy for others.<sup>294</sup> Caregivers of family members with chronic pain report experiencing emotional, physical, and often financial

strain, with the affected family members' negative mood, reduced participation in leisure activities, sleep disturbances, and health care costs having the greatest impact on the family.<sup>295</sup> The impact of living with chronic pain and its comorbidities among adults also shapes the social environment of children and adolescents around them, influencing the risk of intergenerational transmission of pain.<sup>296,297</sup> Caring for, supporting, and observing the suffering of a family member with chronic pain can also be overwhelming. Suffering can also be felt by family members, who often experience depression or anxiety themselves.<sup>298-300</sup>

### Societal-Level Consequences of Pain

Chronic pain has a substantial impact on social systems, such as through direct and indirect costs to health care systems and economies.<sup>301,302</sup> Pain is one of the main reasons for medical appointments<sup>303,304</sup> and health care utilization.<sup>305,306</sup> For example, in one study from 15 European countries and Israel, 60% of chronic pain patients reported between 2 and 9 doctor visits in the 6 months prior to the study, and 11% had done so at least 10 times.<sup>307</sup> It is estimated that, within the United States alone, the annual cost of pain, including health care and loss of productivity, ranged from \$560 to \$635 billion dollars in 2010—greater than the annual costs of heart disease, cancer, and diabetes.<sup>308</sup> More recent studies estimated the annual costs of pain to be in the order of tens of billions in Canada and in Australia.<sup>309,310</sup> Part of the high health care costs of pain may stem from inefficient care pathways, primarily focused on biomedical targets (eg, diagnostic tests and procedures). Sidelining psychological and social determinants and consequences of pain likely contributes to pain being inadequately assessed and addressed.<sup>305,311-314</sup> Despite astronomical expenditures, access to adequate pain care is not universal in high-income countries; even more complex challenges pose barriers to pain equity in low- and middle-income countries, which will require multisector solutions.<sup>315</sup>

### Discussion

*Filling the Social Gaps in the Biopsychosocial Framework of Pain.* A multilevel conceptualization of pain acknowledges the contribution of intersectional social context and socioecological factors that may contribute to individual pain experiences and expression, as well as the prevalence, prognosis, and consequences of pain within and across populations. The broad biopsychosocial framework provides a useful counterpoint to a narrower biomedical model of pain.<sup>2,3,316</sup> However, biological and psychological contributors to pain have historically been prioritized over social factors in pain research, education, assessment, and management.<sup>317</sup> This focus on biological and psychological factors may be due, in part, to the fact that pain has long been defined as a sensory and affective experience,<sup>318,319</sup> largely omitting explicit recognition of its social aspects.<sup>320</sup> This narrower

emphasis corresponds to how the model has been translated to pain treatment settings,<sup>12</sup> predominantly relying on pharmaceutical, biomedical, and sometimes psychological approaches. This review attempts to clarify how and why a multilevel social approach is also needed to fully understand and address pain.

*Opportunities for Improved Pain Prevention and Management.* In failing to systematically measure and address social aspects of pain—and their multilevel, intersectional, and life course processes—we are surely missing opportunities to prevent pain, as well as opportunities to improve acute and chronic pain management. As noted by Sir Michael Marmot, “Why treat people and send them back to the conditions that make them sick?”.<sup>321</sup> Better understanding of social aspects of pain within the biopsychosocial framework can help to improve the consideration of social outcomes in pain treatment and guide future intervention development.<sup>8</sup> Likewise, by increasing the precision of what constitutes a social determinant or consequence of pain, a multilevel social approach will enable more precise treatments that target or are modified by social aspects of pain. People with pain do not live in a vacuum, but are immersed in social roles, relationships, community dynamics, and societal systems, all of which can act as barriers and facilitators to pain relief, function, and quality of life. Pain prevention and management strategies will likely be more successful if they address these social contributing factors at the interpersonal, group, and societal levels.

*Building Evidence to Address the Social Determinants and Consequences of Pain.* In support of these much-needed advances to reduce the burden of pain, causal thinking and methods used in pain research need to evolve to reflect the contemporary conceptualization of pain, including social factors. For example, better understanding of the multilevel, intersectional, and life course pathways through which the social context may affect pain informs the use of novel analytic approaches<sup>322</sup> and intentional selection of covariates to be included or omitted from statistical models.<sup>323,324</sup> Because social determinants are often distal causes of many other pain risk factors measured in research (ie, social determinants are “risk factors to the risk factors” or “causes of causes”<sup>325</sup>), studies of the impact of social factors on pain are particularly vulnerable to overadjustment bias.<sup>326</sup> It is important to avoid adjusting for potential mediators (ie, intermediary factors along a hypothesized causal pathway or part of how the exposure/independent variable is thought to influence the outcome/dependent variable), as this will prevent underestimation of the total effect of social factors on pain. For example, adjustment for socioeconomic status should be avoided when estimating the overall impact of racism on pain, because socioeconomic inequities are influenced by racism and are part of how racism may influence pain.<sup>327</sup>

Additionally, improved measurement of multilevel social factors is also needed to advance the understanding of social determinants and consequences of

pain. For example, pain studies have yet to widely use inclusive assessment of gender (eg, a 2-step question that separately ascertains sex assigned at birth and current gender identity, including nonbinary gender categories and write-in gender options, in addition to woman and man<sup>328</sup>). In-depth understanding can also be gained from rigorous qualitative or mixed-methods approaches. Additionally, measures of structural inequities are also an active area of progress,<sup>16</sup> which can benefit multilevel studies of pain. Design and testing of social interventions for pain is rapidly developing through diverse approaches ranging from virtual human and computer-simulated environments,<sup>159</sup> to community-engaged research,<sup>329</sup> and workplace systems programs.<sup>330</sup>

*Action-Oriented Approaches Toward Pain Equity.* By pointing to fundamental social mechanisms such as historical and systemic power relations that drive social stratification,<sup>331</sup> multilevel, intersectional, and life course approaches can support pain research to advance past merely describing social gradients in pain as if they were natural, inevitable, or nonmodifiable. Without a clear understanding of how social systems of inequity shape multilevel determinants and consequences of pain, research will be limited to merely documenting the existence of pain inequities. Or worse, unequal pain outcomes resulting from unequal social privilege and disadvantage may be essentialized, that is, incorrectly attributed to inherent or nonmodifiable differences. We see this manifest when, for example, individual biological and psychological risk factors for pain among people in socially marginalized groups are framed as natural “vulnerabilities” of those groups, despite evidence that individual risk factors are themselves shaped by social inequities. To avoid reinforcing biased stereotypes in pain research and care, careful consideration of potential fundamental social causes<sup>332</sup> is warranted to inform the interpretation of pain findings among different socially defined groups, even when measures of higher-level social factors are not readily available. Furthermore, due to systemic inequities (eg, access to education, research, and health care), advances in pain prevention and management are unlikely to automatically translate to equal benefit across social groups; they may even further worsen pain inequities.<sup>333</sup> Intentional policies and practices are needed to ensure the inclusion of marginalized populations, such as through principles of proportionate universalism.<sup>334</sup>

*Moving Forward Through Multilevel Collaborations.* We have collated key examples from the existing literature and provided a starting point for the study of multilevel and intersectional causal pathways and mechanisms through which the social context may affect pain over the life course and across generations. Large and persistent social differences in pain share fundamental social causes with a plethora of other health conditions (eg, mental health, sleep, and substance use disorders). Together, pain and these often comorbid conditions have extensive detrimental effects on individuals and society.



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Challenge discourse and practices that prioritize the legitimacy of "biological pain", given that pain is always biopsychosocial.</li> <li>• Consider who benefits from the perpetuation of a predominantly biomedical approach to the conceptualization and management of pain [227].</li> <li>• Eliminate narratives of onset and persistence of pain as a personal deficit, in recognition of the social underpinnings of biological and psychological contributors to pain.</li> <li>• Listen to and amplify the voices of people with pain [287], especially those at intersections of multiple marginalizing social positions [319], to improve all aspects of pain prevention and management.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve study designs, measurement, and reporting of social determinants and consequences of pain at all levels of organization [144; 200; 238].</li> <li>• Avoid (or be intentional about) adjusting for potential mediators between social factors and pain in statistical models, to prevent underestimation of total effects of social determinants on pain [18; 228].</li> <li>• Develop and disseminate pain management strategies that account for multilevel social conditions that contribute to pain prognosis and treatment success [145; 152].</li> <li>• Identify and harness interpersonal-, community-, and societal-level strengths, resources, and resilience to prevent and mitigate the impact of pain [69; 305].</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support equitable education, hiring, and advancement practices for better pain science and care through consideration of diversity, inclusion, and representation [8; 153].</li> <li>• Demand accountability from systems that contribute to the inadequate prevention and management of pain, and to the widening of social inequities in pain [227].</li> <li>• Contribute towards "pain" interventions beyond biomedical settings, such as by advocating for policies and programs that reduce social inequities and promote health across social groups [262].</li> <li>• Re-envision, enhance, and transform social systems (e.g., welfare, workers' compensation, healthcare) to reduce the burden of pain for all [211; 250].</li> </ul>
--	--	--

**Figure 3.** Potential avenues to apply a multilevel conceptualization of social aspects of pain to advance equitable pain prevention and management.

Therefore, the impact of scientific evidence and social interventions can be maximized by expanding interdisciplinary collaborations between illness-focused fields (including pain) and areas such as public health, public policy, sociology, social work, social psychology, and other social sciences. Interdisciplinary (eg, transdiagnostic) and intersectoral collaborations across the multilevel spectrum are needed to ultimately produce new policies (eg, Health in All Policies), institutions, and practices to improve the effectiveness and equity of pain prevention and management.<sup>335-337</sup> There are myriad possible ways to address these multilevel factors and advance pain equity. Fig 3 presents a starting point of practical examples, including actions of various levels of complexity, for persons and teams/collaborators, organized in the areas of "Reflection and Critical Engagement," "Research and Care," and "Advocacy and Structural Transformation" (eg, challenge discourse and practices that prioritize the legitimacy of "biological pain," given that pain is always biopsychosocial; consider who benefits from the perpetuation of a predominantly biomedical approach to the conceptualization and management of pain). More work is needed to produce in-depth follow-ups to this general framework and periodic progress assessment. We

invite pain researchers, practitioners, educators, persons with lived experience of pain, caregivers, advocates, and the broader community to help expand and apply our knowledge of social aspects of pain and reduce the burden of pain for all people.

## Disclosures

Elizabeth A. Reynolds Losin's effort was supported by a Mentored Research Scientist Development award from the National Institute on Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health (K01DA045735). Edmund Keogh has received research grant funding via the University of Bath from Versus Arthritis and UKRI (Medical Research Council, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, and Economic and Social Research Council). Edmund Keogh also reports consultancy services via the University of Bath to Reckitt Benckiser Health Limited. All remaining author have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the International Association for the Study of Pain and the Social Aspects of Pain

Special Interest Group for serving as catalysts for the interdisciplinary collaboration that enabled this project. The authors thank Kaylee Murphy, BA, and Aneri Shah, BA, for assistance in preparing the

paper reference library, and Drs. Anjum Hajat, Kushang Patel, Jeffrey Mogil, Jessica Jones-Smith, and Michael Von Korff for feedback on an earlier version of this work.

## References

1. Beecher HK: Relationship of significance of wound to pain experienced. *JAMA* 161(17):1609-1613, 1956.
2. Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC: The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. *Psych Bull* 133(4):581-624, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.4.581>
3. Hadjistavropoulos T, Craig KD, Duck S, et al. A biopsychosocial formulation of pain communication. *Psych Bull* 137(6):910-939, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023876>
4. Loeser JD, Melzack R: Pain: an overview. *Lancet* 353(9164):1607-1609, 1999. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(99\)01311-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(99)01311-2)
5. Main CJ: Pain assessment in context: a state of the science review of the McGill pain questionnaire 40 years on. *Pain* 157(7):1387-1399, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000457>
6. Waddell G, Main CJ, Morris EW, Di Paola M, Gray IC: Chronic low-back pain, psychologic distress, and illness behavior. *Spine* 9(2):209-213, 1984. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-198403000-00013>
7. Blyth FM, Macfarlane GJ, Nicholas MK: The contribution of psychosocial factors to the development of chronic pain: the key to better outcomes for patients? *Pain* 129:8-11, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.03.009>
8. Ashton-James CE, Anderson SR, MacKey SC, Darnall BD: Beyond pain, distress, and disability: the importance of social outcomes in pain management research and practice. *Pain* 163(3):E426-E431, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002404>
9. Janevic MR, Mathur VA, Booker SQ, et al. Making pain research more inclusive: why and how. *J Pain* 23(5):707-728, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2021.10.004> Epub 2021 Oct 20
10. Karos K, Williams ACC, Meulders A, Vlaeyen JWS: Pain as a threat to the social self: a motivational account. *Pain* 159(9):1690-1695, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001257>
11. Karran EL, Grant AR, Moseley GL: Low back pain and the social determinants of health: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *Pain* 161(11):2476-2493, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001944>
12. Nicholas MK: The biopsychosocial model of pain 40 years on: time for a reappraisal? *Pain* 163(11):S3-S14, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002654>
13. Engel GL: The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. *Am J Psychiatry* 137(5):535-544, 1980. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.137.5.535>
14. Krieger N: A glossary for social epidemiology. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 55:693-700, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.55.10.693>
15. Kuh D, Ben-Shlomo Y, Lynch J, Hallqvist J, Power C: Life course epidemiology. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 57:778-783, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.14085-1>
16. Krieger N: Measures of racism, sexism, heterosexism, and gender binarism for health equity research: from structural injustice to embodied harm—an ecosocial analysis. *Annu Rev Public Health* 41(1):1-26, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094017>
17. Crenshaw K: Mapping the margins: intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. 1241-1241 *Stan Law Rev* 43(6), 1991. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039>
18. Dunn KM: Extending conceptual frameworks: life course epidemiology for the study of back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 11:23, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-11-23>
19. Goosby BJ: Early life course pathways of adult depression and chronic pain. *J Health Soc Behav* 54(1):75-91, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146512475089>
20. Lacey RJ, Belcher J, Croft PR: Does life course socioeconomic position influence chronic disabling pain in older adults? A general population study. *Eur J Public Health* 23(4):534-540, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cks056>
21. Muthuri SG, Kuh D, Cooper R: Longitudinal profiles of back pain across adulthood and their relationship with childhood factors: evidence from the 1946 British birth cohort. *Pain* 159(4):764-774, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001143>
22. Zouikr I, Karshikoff B: Lifetime modulation of the pain system via neuroimmune and neuroendocrine interactions. *Front Immunol* 8:276, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00276>
23. Jones NL, Gilman SE, Cheng TL, Drury SS, Hill CV, Geronimus AT: Life course approaches to the causes of health disparities. *Am J Public Health* 109(S1):S48-S55, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304738>
24. Whitehead M, Dahlgren G: Concepts and Principles for Tackling Social Inequities in Health: Leveling Up, Part 1. *Studies on Social and Economic Determinants of Population Health*. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe; 2006
25. Eccleston C: Chronic pain as embodied defence: implications for current and future psychological treatments. *Pain* 159(Suppl 1):S17-S23, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001286>
26. Legrain V, Damme SV, Eccleston C, Davis KD, Seminowicz DA, Crombez G: A neurocognitive model of attention to pain: behavioral and neuroimaging evidence. *Pain* 144(3):230-232, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2009.03.020>
27. Vervoort T, Goubert L, Eccleston C, et al. The effects of parental presence upon the facial expression of pain: the moderating role of child pain catastrophizing. *Pain* 138(2):277-285, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.12.013>
28. Larochette AC, Chambers CT, Craig KD: Genuine, suppressed and faked facial expressions of pain in children.

- Pain 126(1-3):64-71, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.06.013>
29. Krahé C, Springer A, Weinman JA, Fotopoulou A: The social modulation of pain: others as predictive signals of salience - a systematic review. *Front Hum Neurosci* 7:386, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00386>
30. Jackson T: Interpersonal transactions and responses to cold pressor pain among Australian women and men. *Sex Roles* 56(1-2):55-62, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-006-9146-4>
31. Jackson T, Iezzi T, Chen H, Ebnet S, Eglitis K: Gender, interpersonal transactions, and the perception of pain: an experimental analysis. *J Pain* 6(4):228-236, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2004.12.004>
32. Jackson T, Huang X, Chen H, Phillips H: Effects of threatening information on interpersonal responses to pain. *Eur J Pain* 13(4):431-438, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2008.05.012>
33. Arraras JI, Wright SJ, Jusue G, Tejedor M, Calvo JI: Coping style, locus of control, psychological distress and pain-related behaviours in cancer and other diseases. *Psychol Health Med* 7(2):181-187, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548500120116139>
34. Gustafsson M, Gaston-Johansson F: Pain intensity and health locus of control: a comparison of patients with fibromyalgia syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis. *Patient Educ Couns* 29(2):179-188, 1996. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-3991\(96\)00864-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0738-3991(96)00864-6)
35. Cheng SK, Leung F: Catastrophizing, locus of control, pain, and disability in Chinese chronic low back pain patients. *Psychol Health* 15(5):721-730, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870440008405482>
36. Manning EL, Fillingim RB: The influence of athletic status and gender on experimental pain responses. *J Pain* 3(6):421-428, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1054/jpai.2002.128068>
37. Musich S, Wang SS, Slindee L, Kraemer S, Yeh CS: The association of pain locus of control with pain outcomes among older adults. *Geriatr Nurs* 41(5):521-529, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2019.04.005>
38. Tesarz J, Schuster AK, Hartmann M, Gerhardt A, Eich W: Pain perception in athletes compared to normally active controls: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pain* 153(6):1253-1262, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2012.03.005>
39. Toomey TC, Mann JD, Abashian S, Thompson-Pope S: Relationship between perceived self-control of pain, pain description and functioning. *Pain* 45(2):129-133, 1991. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(91\)90177-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(91)90177-Y)
40. McCabe R, Murray R, Austin P, Siddall P: Spiritual and existential factors predict pain relief in a pain management program with a meaning-based component. *J Pain Manag* 11(2):163-170, 2018.
41. Corradi-Dell'Acqua C, Tusche A, Vuilleumier P, Singer T: Cross-modal representations of first-hand and vicarious pain, disgust and fairness in insular and cingulate cortex. *Nat Commun* 7:10904, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10904>
42. Gilam G, Gross JJ, Wager TD, Keefe FJ, Mackey SC: What is the relationship between pain and emotion? Bridging constructs and communities. *Neuron* 107(1):17-21, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.05.024>
43. Krishnan A, Woo CW, Chang LJ, et al. Somatic and vicarious pain are represented by dissociable multivariate brain patterns. *eLife* 5:e15166, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.15166>
44. Karos K, Meulders A, Vlaeyen JWS: Threatening social context facilitates pain-related fear learning. *J Pain* 16(3):214-225, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.11.014>
45. Moseley GL, Arntz A: The context of a noxious stimulus affects the pain it evokes. *Pain* 133(1-3):64-71, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.03.002>
46. Karos K, Meulders A, Goubert L, Vlaeyen JWS: The influence of social threat on pain, aggression, and empathy in women. *J Pain* 19(3):291-300, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2017.11.003>
47. Peeters PAM, Vlaeyen JWS: Feeling more pain, yet showing less: the influence of social threat on pain. *J Pain* 12(12):1255-1261, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.07.007>
48. Gray K, Wegner DM: The sting of intentional pain. *Psychol Sci* 19(12):1260-1262, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02208.x>
49. Scott W, Trost Z, Bernier E, Sullivan MJL: Anger differentially mediates the relationship between perceived injustice and chronic pain outcomes. *Pain* 154(9):1691-1698, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2013.05.015>
50. Scott W, Sullivan M: Perceived injustice moderates the relationship between pain and depressive symptoms among individuals with persistent musculoskeletal pain. *Pain Res Manag* 17(5):335-340, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/501260>
51. Carriere JS, Donayre Pimentel S, Yakobov E, Edwards RR: A systematic review of the association between perceived injustice and pain-related outcomes in individuals with musculoskeletal pain. *Pain Med* 21(7):1449-1463, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnaa088>
52. Master SL, Eisenberger NI, Taylor SE, Naliboff BD, Shirinyan D, Lieberman MD: A picture's worth: partner photographs reduce experimentally induced pain. *Psychol Sci* 20(11):1316-1318, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02444.x>
53. Reddan MC, Young H, Falkner J, López-Solà M, Wager TD: Touch and social support influence interpersonal synchrony and pain. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 15(10):1064-1075, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa048>
54. Coan JA, Schaefer HS, Davidson RJ: Lending a hand: social regulation of the neural response to threat. *Psychol Sci* 17(12):1032-1039, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01832.x>
55. López-Solà M, Geuter S, Koban L, Coan JA, Wager TD: Brain mechanisms of social touch-induced analgesia in females. *Pain* 160(9):2072-2085, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001599>
56. Manninen S, Tuominen L, Dunbar RI, et al. Social laughter triggers endogenous opioid release in humans. *J Neurosci* 37(25):6125-6131, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0688-16.2017>

57. Dunbar RIM, Baron R, Frangou A, *et al.* Social laughter is correlated with an elevated pain threshold. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 279(1731):1161-1167, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.1373>
58. Flor H, Kerns RD, Turk DC: The role of spouse reinforcement, perceived pain, and activity levels of chronic pain patients. *J Psychosom Res* 31(2):251-259, 1987. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(87\)90082-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(87)90082-1)
59. Keefe FJ, France CR: Pain: biopsychosocial mechanisms and management. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 8(5):137-141, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00032>
60. Leonard MT, Cano A, Johansen AB: Chronic pain in a couples context: a review and integration of theoretical models and empirical evidence. *J Pain* 7(6):377-390, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2006.01.442>
61. Trost Z, France CR, Vervoort T, Lange JM, Goubert L: Learning about pain through observation: the role of pain-related fear. *J Behav Med* 37(2):257-265, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-012-9483-4>
62. Mercado AC, Carroll LJ, Cassidy JD, Côté P: Passive coping is a risk factor for disabling neck or low back pain. *Pain* 117(1-2):51-57, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2005.05.014>
63. Snow-Turek AL, Norris MP, Tan G: Active and passive coping strategies in chronic pain patients. *Pain* 64(3):455-462, 1996. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(95\)00190-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(95)00190-5)
64. Andersson G: Chronic pain and praying to a higher power: Useful or useless? *J Relig Health* 47(2):176-187, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-007-9148-8>
65. Elmholt EM, Skewes J, Dietz M, *et al.* Reduced pain sensation and reduced BOLD signal in parietofrontal networks during religious prayer. *Front Hum Neurosci* 11:337, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00337>
66. Jegindø EME, Vase L, Skewes JC, *et al.* Expectations contribute to reduced pain levels during prayer in highly religious participants. *J Behav Med* 36(4):413-426, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-012-9438-9>
67. Rippentrop AE, Altmaier EM, Chen JJ, Found EM, Keffala VJ: The relationship between religion/spirituality and physical health, mental health, and pain in a chronic pain population. *Pain* 116(3):311-321, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2005.05.008>
68. Tajadini H, Zangiabadi N, Divsalar K, Safizadeh H, Esmaili Z, Rafiei H: Effect of prayer on intensity of migraine headache: a randomized clinical trial. *J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med* 22(1):37-40, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2156587215627551>
69. Tuttle DH, Shutty MS, DeGood DE: Empirical dimensions of coping in chronic pain patients: a factorial analysis. *Rehabil Psychol* 36(3):179-188, 1991. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0079083>
70. Wachholtz AB, Pearce MJ: Does spirituality as a coping mechanism help or hinder coping with chronic pain? 2009.
71. Meints SM, Mosher C, Rand KL, Ashburn-Nardo L, Hirsh AT: An experimental investigation of the relationships among race, prayer, and pain. *Scand J Pain* 18(3):545-553, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sjpain-2018-0040>
72. Craig KD: The social communication model of pain. *Can Psychol* 50(1):22-32, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014772>
73. De C, Williams AC: Facial expression of pain: an evolutionary account. *Behav Brain Sci* 25(4):439-488, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X02000080>
74. Gil KM, Keefe FJ, Crisson JE, Van Dalfsen PJ: Social support and pain behavior. *Pain* 29(2):209-217, 1987. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(87\)91037-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(87)91037-2)
75. Paulsen JS, Altmaier EM: The effects of perceived versus enacted social support on the discriminative cue function of spouses for pain behaviors. *Pain* 60(1):103-110, 1995. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(94\)00096-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(94)00096-W)
76. Karos K, Meulders A, Goubert L, Vlaeyen JWS: Hide your pain: social threat increases pain reports and aggression, but reduces facial pain expression and empathy. *J Pain* 21(3-4):334-346, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2019.06.014>
77. Vlaeyen JWS, Hanssen M, Goubert L, *et al.* Threat of pain influences social context effects on verbal pain report and facial expression. *Behav Res Ther* 47(9):774-782, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2009.05.008>
78. Kunz M, Rainville P, Lautenbacher S: Operant conditioning of facial displays of pain. *Psychosom Med* 73(5):422-431, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e318218db3e>
79. Sullivan MJL: Toward a biopsychomotor conceptualization of pain: implications for research and intervention. *Clin J Pain* 24(4):281-290, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e318164bb15>
80. Vachon-Preseau E, Roy M, Woo CW, *et al.* Multiple faces of pain: effects of chronic pain on the brain regulation of facial expression. *Pain* 157(8):1819-1830, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000587>
81. Hannibal KE, Bishop MD: Chronic stress, cortisol dysfunction, and pain: a psychoneuroendocrine rationale for stress management in pain rehabilitation. *Phys Ther* 94(12):1816-1825, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130597>
82. Melzack R: Pain and stress: a new perspective. In: Gatchel R, Turk D, editors. *Psychosocial Factors in Pain: Critical Perspectives*. The Guilford Press; 1999. pp 89-106, .
83. Al-Chaer ED, Weaver SA: Early life trauma and chronic pain. In: Mayer E, Bushnell M, editors. *Functional Pain Syndromes: Presentation and Pathophysiology*. IASP Press; 2009. pp 423-452.
84. Burke NN, Finn DP, McGuire BE, Roche M: Psychological stress in early life as a predisposing factor for the development of chronic pain: clinical and preclinical evidence and neurobiological mechanisms. *J Neurosci Res* 95(6):1257-1270, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23802>
85. Low LA, Schweinhardt P: Early life adversity as a risk factor for fibromyalgia in later life. *Pain Res Treat* 2012:140832, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/140832>
86. Burgess DJ, Grill J, Noorbaloochi S, *et al.* The effect of perceived racial discrimination on bodily pain among older African American men. *Pain Med* 10(8):1341-1352, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2009.00742.x>

87. Edwards RR: The association of perceived discrimination with low back pain. *J Behav Med* 31(5):379-389, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-008-9160-9>
88. Goodin BR, Glover TL, King CD, et al. Perceived racial discrimination, but not mistrust of medical researchers, predicts the heat pain tolerance of african americans with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Health Psychol* 32(11):1117-1126, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031592>
89. Losin EAR, Woo CW, Medina NA, Andrews-Hanna JR, Eisenbarth H, Wager TD: Neural and sociocultural mediators of ethnic differences in pain. *Nat Hum Behav* 4(5):517-530, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-0819-8>
90. Zhang JM, An J: Cytokines, inflammation, and pain. *Int Anesthesiol Clin* 45(2):27-37, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AIA.0b013e318034194e>
91. Van Uum SHM, Sauvé B, Fraser LA, Morley-Forster P, Paul TL, Koren G: Elevated content of cortisol in hair of patients with severe chronic pain: a novel biomarker for stress. *Stress* 11(6):483-488, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890801887388>
92. Cole SW: Human social genomics. *PLoS Genet* 10(8):e1004601, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004601>
93. Eisenberger NI, Cole SW: Social neuroscience and health: neurophysiological mechanisms linking social ties with physical health. *Nat Neurosci* 15(5):669-674, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3086>
94. King CD, Boggero IA, Schulert GS, Pickerill HM, Cole S: Preliminary evidence for conserved transcriptional response to adversity in adults with temporomandibular disorder. *Pain Rep* 6(1):e874, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PR9.0000000000000874>
95. Aroke EN, Joseph PV, Roy A, et al. Could epigenetics help explain racial disparities in chronic pain? *J Pain Res* 12:701-710, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S191848>
96. Che X, Cash R, Chung S, Fitzgerald PB, Fitzgibbon BM: Investigating the influence of social support on experimental pain and related physiological arousal: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 92:437-452, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.07.005>
97. Festinger L: A theory of social comparison processes. *Hum Relat* 7(2):117-140, 1954. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>
98. Arigo D, Cornell M, Smyth JM: Social comparisons in adults with type 2 diabetes: patients' reasons for target selection. *Psychol Health* 33(7):906-924, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2018.1432759>
99. Arigo D, Suls JM, Smyth JM: Social comparisons and chronic illness: research synthesis and clinical implications. *Health Psychol Rev* 8(2):154-214, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2011.634572>
100. Cabrera-Perona V, Buunk AP, Terol-Cantero MC, Quiles-Marcos Y, Martin-Aragon M: Social comparison processes and catastrophizing in fibromyalgia: a path analysis. *Psychol Health* 32(6):745-764, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2017.1307370>
101. Mehok LEM, Samantha M, Miller MM, Ashburn-Nardo L, Hirsh A: Beliefs about race differences in pain vs. actual race differences in pain: and experimental investigation. *J Health Dispar Res Pract* 16(1):1-17, 2023.
102. Orfgen H, Dijkstra A: A cross-sectional study of psychological comparison processes that may underlie the acceptance of chronic pain. *Clin Psychol Psychother* 23(6):487-495, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.1973>
103. Terol Cantero MC, Buunk AP, Cabrera V, Bernabe M, Martin-Aragon Gelabert M: Profiles of women with fibromyalgia and social comparison processes. *Front Psychol* 11:440, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00440>
104. Affleck G, Tennen H, Urrows S, Higgins P, Abeles M: Downward comparisons in daily life with chronic pain: dynamic relations with pain intensity and mood. *J Soc Clin Psychol* 19(4):499-518, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2000.19.4.499>
105. Craig KD, Weiss SM: Vicarious influences on pain-threshold determinations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 19(1):53-59, 1971. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0031097>
106. Craig KD, Prkachin KM: Social modeling influences on sensory decision theory and psychophysiological indexes of pain. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 36(8):805-815, 1978. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.36.8.805>
107. Goubert L, Vlaeyen JW, Crombez G, Craig KD: Learning about pain from others: an observational learning account. *J Pain* 12(2):167-174, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2010.10.001>
108. Helsen K, Goubert L, Peters ML, Vlaeyen JW: Observational learning and pain-related fear: an experimental study with colored cold pressor tasks. *J Pain* 12(12):1230-1239, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.07.002>
109. Reicherts P, Gerdes AB, Pauli P, Wieser MJ: On the mutual effects of pain and emotion: facial pain expressions enhance pain perception and vice versa are perceived as more arousing when feeling pain. *Pain* 154(6):793-800, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2013.02.012>
110. Roth I: *The Autism Spectrum in the 21st Century*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers; 2010
111. Keysers C, Kaas JH, Gazzola V: Somatosensation in social perception. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 11(6):417-428, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2833>
112. Minio-Paluello I, Baron-Cohen S, Avenanti A, Walsh V, Aglioti SM: Absence of embodied empathy during pain observation in Asperger syndrome. *Biol Psychiatry* 65(1):55-62, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.08.006>
113. Moore DJ: Acute pain experience in individuals with autism spectrum disorders: a review. *Autism* 19(4):387-399, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361314527839>
114. Vaughan S, McGlone F, Poole H, Moore DJ: A quantitative sensory testing approach to pain in autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord* 50(5):1607-1620, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-03918-0>
115. Nader R, Oberlander TF, Chambers CT, Craig KD: Expression of pain in children with autism. *Clin J Pain* 20(2):88-97, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002508-200403000-00005>
116. Rattaz C, Dubois A, Michelon C, Viellard M, Poinso F, Baghdadli A: How do children with autism spectrum

- disorders express pain? A comparison with developmentally delayed and typically developing children. *Pain* 154(10):2007-2013, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2013.06.011>
117. Failla MD, Gerdes MB, Williams ZJ, Moore DJ, Cascio CJ: Increased pain sensitivity and pain-related anxiety in individuals with autism. *Pain Rep* 5(6):e861, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/pr9.0000000000000861>
118. Garcia-Villamisar D, Moore D, Garcia-Martínez M: Internalizing symptoms mediate the relation between acute pain and autism in adults. *J Autism Dev Disord* 49(1):270-278, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3765-9>
119. Brim D, Townsend DB, DeQuinzio JA, Poulson CL: Analysis of social referencing skills among children with autism. *Res Autism Spectr Disord* 3(4):942-958, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2009.04.004>
120. Lawson WB: Adaptive morphing and coping with social threat in autism: an autistic perspective. *J Intellect Disabil Diagn Treat* 8(3):519-526, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.6000/2292-2598.2020.08.03.29>
121. Lai MC, Lombardo MV, Ruigrok ANV, et al. Quantifying and exploring camouflaging in men and women with autism. *Autism* 21(6):690-702, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316671012>
122. Schneid I, Raz AE: The mask of autism: social camouflaging and impression management as coping/normalization from the perspectives of autistic adults. *Soc Sci Med* 248:112826, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.112826>
123. Holtzman S, Newth S, Delongis A: The role of social support in coping with daily pain among patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Health Psychol* 9(5):677-695, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105304045381>
124. Raichle KA, Romano JM, Jensen MP: Partner responses to patient pain and well behaviors and their relationship to patient pain behavior, functioning, and depression. *Pain* 152(1):82-88, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2010.09.015>
125. Rosen NO, Bergeron S, Sadikaj G, Glowacka M, Delisle I, Baxter M-L: Impact of male partner responses on sexual function in women with vulvodynia and their partners: a dyadic daily experience study. *Health Psychol* 33(8):823-831, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034550>
126. Matos M, Bernardes SF, Goubert L: The relationship between perceived promotion of autonomy/dependence and pain-related disability in older adults with chronic pain: the mediating role of self-reported physical functioning. *J Behav Med* 39(4):704-715, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-016-9726-x>
127. Matos M, Bernardes SF, Goubert L, Beyers W: Buffer or amplifier? Longitudinal effects of social support for functional autonomy/dependence on older adults' chronic pain experiences. *Health Psychol* 36(12):1195-1206, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000512>
128. Koban L, Jepma M, Geuter S, Wager TD: What's in a word? How instructions, suggestions, and social information change pain and emotion. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 81(Pt A):29-42, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.02.014>
129. Williams AM, Cano A: Spousal mindfulness and social support in couples with chronic pain. *Clin J Pain* 30(6):528-535, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000009>
130. Longobardi C, Prino LE, Fabris MA, Settanni M: Soap bubbles as a distraction technique in the management of pain, anxiety, and fear in children at the paediatric emergency room: a pilot study. *Child Care Health Dev* 45(2):300-305, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cch.12633>
131. Pavlova M, Lund T, Nania C, Kennedy M, Graham S, Noel M: Reframe the pain: a randomized controlled trial of a parent-led memory-reframing intervention. *J Pain* 23(2):263-275, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2021.08.002>
132. Brown JL, Sheffield D, Leary MR, Robinson ME: Social support and experimental pain. *Psychosom Med* 65(2):276-283, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.psy.0000030388.62434.46>
133. Eisenberger NI, Master SL, Inagaki TK, et al. Attachment figures activate a safety signal-related neural region and reduce pain experience. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(28):11721-11726, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108239108>
134. Bernardes SF, Forgeron P, Fournier K, Reszel J: Beyond solicitousness: a comprehensive review on informal pain-related social support. *Pain* 158(11):2066-2076, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001033>
135. Haugli L, Maeland S, Magnussen LH: What facilitates return to work? Patients' experiences 3 years after occupational rehabilitation. *J Occup Rehabil* 21(4):573-581, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-011-9304-6>
136. Newton-John TR, Williams AC: Chronic pain couples: perceived marital interactions and pain behaviours. *Pain* 123(1-2):53-63, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.02.009>
137. Rosland AM, Heisler M, Piette JD: The impact of family behaviors and communication patterns on chronic illness outcomes: a systematic review. *J Behav Med* 35(2):221-239, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-011-9354-4>
138. Cano A, Leong LEM, Williams AM, May DKK, Lutz JR: Correlates and consequences of the disclosure of pain-related distress to one's spouse. *Pain* 153(12):2441-2447, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2012.08.015>
139. Hurter S, Paloyelis Y, Williams AC, Fotopoulou A: Partners' empathy increases pain ratings: effects of perceived empathy and attachment style on pain report and display. *J Pain* 15(9):934-944, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.06.004>
140. McCracken LM: Social context and acceptance of chronic pain: the role of solicitous and punishing responses. *Pain* 113(1-2):155-159, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2004.10.004>
141. McMurtry MC, Chambers CT, McGrath PJ, Asp E: When "don't worry" communicates fear: children's perceptions of parental reassurance and distraction during a painful medical procedure. *Pain* 150(1):52-58, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2010.02.021>
142. Modic Stanke KI, D: Social context of pain perception: the role of other people's presence and physical distance. *Rev Psychol* 17(1):69-74, 2010.
143. Jolliffe CD, Nicholas MK: Verbally reinforcing pain reports: an experimental test of the operant model of

- chronic pain. *Pain* 107(1-2):167-175, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2003.10.015>
144. Karayannis NV, Baumann I, Sturgeon JA, Melloh M, Mackey SC: The impact of social isolation on pain interference: a longitudinal study. *Ann Behav Med* 53(1):65-74, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay017>
145. Oliveira VC, Ferreira ML, Morso L, Albert HB, Refshauge KM, Ferreira PH: Patients' perceived level of social isolation affects the prognosis of low back pain. *Eur J Pain* 19(4):538-545, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.578>
146. Allen SF, Gilbody S, Atkin K, van der Feltz-Cornelis C: The associations between loneliness, social exclusion and pain in the general population: a N=502,528 cross-sectional UK Biobank study. *J Psychiatr Res* 130:68-74, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.06.028>
147. Eisenberger NI, Jarcho JM, Lieberman MD, Naliboff BD: An experimental study of shared sensitivity to physical pain and social rejection. *Pain* 126(1-3):132-138, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.06.024>
148. Bernstein MJ, Claypool HM: Social exclusion and pain sensitivity: why exclusion sometimes hurts and sometimes numbs. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull* 38(2):185-196, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211422449>
149. Kross E, Berman MG, Mischel W, Smith EE, Wager TD: Social rejection shares somatosensory representations with physical pain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(15):6270-6275, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1102693108>
150. DeWall CN, Baumeister RF: Alone but feeling no pain: effects of social exclusion on physical pain tolerance and pain threshold, affective forecasting, and interpersonal empathy. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 91(1):1-15, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.1.1>
151. Goubert L, Craig KD, Vervoort T, et al. Facing others in pain: the effects of empathy. *Pain* 118(3):285-288, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2005.10.025>
152. Halpern J: What is clinical empathy? *J Gen Intern Med* 18(8):670-674, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2003.21017.x>
153. Cano A, de CWAC: Social interaction in pain: reinforcing pain behaviors or building intimacy? *Pain* 149(1):9-11, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2009.10.010>
154. Edmond SN, Keefe FJ: Validating pain communication: current state of the science. *Pain* 156(2):215-219, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.j.pain.0000460301.18207.c2>
155. Linton SJ, Boersma K, Vangronsveld K, Fruzzetti A: Painfully reassuring? The effects of validation on emotions and adherence in a pain test. *Eur J Pain* 16(4):592-599, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2011.07.011>
156. Mistiaen P, van Osch M, van Vliet L, et al. The effect of patient-practitioner communication on pain: a systematic review. *Eur J Pain* 20(5):675-688, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.797>
157. Avenanti A, Sirigu A, Aglioti SM: Racial bias reduces empathic sensorimotor resonance with other-race pain. *Curr Biol* 20(11):1018-1022, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.03.071>
158. Han S: Neurocognitive basis of racial ingroup bias in empathy. *Trends Cogn Sci* 22(5):400-421, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.02.013>
159. Hirsh AT, Miller MM, Hollingshead NA, et al. A randomized controlled trial testing a virtual perspective-taking intervention to reduce race and socioeconomic status disparities in pain care. *Pain* 160(10):2229-2240, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001634>
160. Leong LE, Cano A, Wurm LH, Lumley MA, Corley AM: A perspective-taking manipulation leads to greater empathy and less pain during the cold pressor task. *J Pain* 16(11):1176-1185, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2015.08.006>
161. Krahé C, Paloyelis Y, Condon H, Jenkinson PM, Williams SC, Fotopoulou A: Attachment style moderates partner presence effects on pain: a laser-evoked potentials study. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* 10(8):1030-1037, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsu156>
162. Sambo CF, Howard M, Kopelman M, Williams S, Fotopoulou A: Knowing you care: effects of perceived empathy and attachment style on pain perception. *Pain* 151(3):687-693, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2010.08.035>
163. Finset A: Chapter 24 - how communication between clinicians and patients may impact pain perception. In: Colloca L, Flaten MA, Meissner K, editors. *Placebo and Pain*. Academic Press; 2013. pp 243-256, .
164. Graugaard PK, Finset A: Trait anxiety and reactions to patient-centered and doctor-centered styles of communication: an experimental study. *Psychosom Med* 62(1):33-39, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-200001000-00005>
165. Schwartz L, Slater MA, Birchler GR: The role of pain behaviors in the modulation of marital conflict in chronic pain couples. *Pain* 65(2-3):227-233, 1996. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959\(95\)00211-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(95)00211-1)
166. Balousek S, Plane MB, Fleming M: Prevalence of interpersonal abuse in primary care patients prescribed opioids for chronic pain. *J Gen Intern Med* 22(9):1268-1273, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0257-6>
167. Kääriä S, Laaksonen M, Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Leino-Arjas P: Risk factors of chronic neck pain: a prospective study among middle-aged employees. *Eur J Pain* 16(6):911-920, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1532-2149.2011.00065.x>
168. Kivimäki M, Leino-Arjas P, Virtanen M, et al. Work stress and incidence of newly diagnosed fibromyalgia: prospective cohort study. *J Psychosom Res* 57(5):417-422, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2003.10.013>
169. McParland JL, Eccleston C, Osborn M, Hezsetline L: It's not fair: an interpretative phenomenological analysis of discourses of justice and fairness in chronic pain. *Health* 15(5):459-474, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459310383593>
170. Scott W, McEvoy A, Garland R, et al. Sources of injustice among individuals with persistent pain following musculoskeletal injury. *Psychol Inj Law* 9(1):6-15, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12207-015-9249-8>
171. Craig KD, Badali MA: Introduction to the special series on pain deception and malingering. *Clin J Pain* 20(6):377-382, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00002508-200411000-00001>
172. Ziano I, Wang D: Slow lies: response delays promote perceptions of insincerity. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 120(6):1457-1479, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000250>
173. Werner A, Malterud K: It is hard work behaving as a credible patient: encounters between women with chronic

- pain and their doctors. *Soc Sci Med* 57(8):1409-1419, 2003. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(02\)00520-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00520-8)
174. Zhang L, Losin EAR, Ashar YK, Koban L, Wager TD, Reynolds Losin EA: Gender biases in estimation of others' pain. *J Pain* 22(9):1048-1059, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2021.03.001>
175. Boerner KE, Chambers CT, Gahagan J, Keogh E, Fillingim RB, Mogil JS: Conceptual complexity of gender and its relevance to pain. *Pain* 159(11):2137-2141, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001275>
176. Pryma J: "Even my sister says I'm acting like a crazy to get a check": race, gender, and moral boundary-work in women's claims of disabling chronic pain. *Soc Sci Med* 181:66-73, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.03.048>
177. Lickel B, Rutchick AM, Hamilton DL, Sherman SJ: Intuitive theories of group types and relational principles. *J Exp Soc Psychol* 42(1):28-39, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.01.007>
178. Palermo TM, Chambers CT: Parent and family factors in pediatric chronic pain and disability: an integrative approach. *Pain* 119(1-3):1-4, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2005.10.027>
179. Turk DC, Wack JT, Kerns RD: An empirical examination of the "pain-behavior" construct. *J Behav Med* 8(2):119-130, 1985. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00845516>
180. McWilliams LA: Adult attachment insecurity is positively associated with medically unexplained chronic pain. *Eur J Pain* 21:1378-1383, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1036>
181. Romeo A, Tesio V, Castelnuovo G, Castelli L: Attachment style and chronic pain: toward an interpersonal model of pain. *Front Psychol* 8:284, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00284>
182. Campbell P, Jordan KP, Smith BH, Scotland G, Dunn KM: Chronic pain in families: a cross-sectional study of shared social, behavioural, and environmental influences. *Pain* 159(1):41-47, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001062>
183. Craig KD: Modeling and social learning factors in chronic pain. In: Bonica JJ, Lindblom U, Iggo A, editors. *Advances in Pain Research & Therapy: Proceedings of the Third World Congress on Pain*. Raven Press; 1983. pp 813-826, .
184. Brown D, Rosenthal N, Könnig A, Wager J: Intergenerational transmission of chronic pain-related disability. *Pain* 162(2):653-662, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002066>
185. Dennis CH, Clohessy DS, Stone AL, Darnall BD, Wilson AC: Adverse childhood experiences in mothers with chronic pain and intergenerational impact on children. *J Pain* 20(10):1209-1217, 2019.
186. Higgins KS, Chambers CT, Rosen NO, et al. Testing the intergenerational model of transmission of risk for chronic pain from parents to their children. *Pain* 160(11):2544-2553, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001658>
187. Bellis MA, Lowey H, Leckenby N, Hughes K, Harrison D: Adverse childhood experiences: retrospective study to determine their impact on adult health behaviours and health outcomes in a UK population. *J Public Health* 36(1):81-91, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/ftd038>
188. Fergusson D, Swain-campbell N, Horwood J: How does childhood economic disadvantage lead to crime. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 5:956-966, 2004.
189. Stansfeld S, Head J, Bartley M, Fonagy P: Social position, early deprivation and the development of attachment. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 43:516-526, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-008-0330-4>
190. Dahlhamer J, Lucas J, Zelaya C, et al. Prevalence of chronic pain and high-impact chronic pain among adults — United States, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 67(36):1001-1006, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6736a2>
191. Wainwright E, Bevan S, Blyth FM, et al. Pain, work, and the workplace: a topical review. *Pain* 163(3):408-414, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002413>
192. Campbell P, Wynne-Jones G, Muller S, Dunn KM: The influence of employment social support for risk and prognosis in nonspecific back pain: a systematic review and critical synthesis. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 86(2):119-137, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-012-0804-2>
193. Buruck G, Tomaschek A, Wendsche J, Ochsmann E, Dörfel D: Psychosocial areas of worklife and chronic low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 20(1):480, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2826-3>
194. Coenen P, Willenberg L, Parry S, et al. Associations of occupational standing with musculoskeletal symptoms: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 52(3):176-183, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096795>
195. Jacukowicz A: Psychosocial work aspects, stress and musculoskeletal pain among musicians. A systematic review in search of correlates and predictors of playing-related pain. *Work* 54(3):657-668, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-162323>
196. Jun D, Zoe M, Johnston V, O'Leary S: Physical risk factors for developing non-specific neck pain in office workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 90(5):373-410, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-017-1205-3>
197. Amiri S, Behnezhad S: Is job strain a risk factor for musculoskeletal pain? A systematic review and meta-analysis of 21 longitudinal studies. *Public Health* 181:158-167, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2019.11.023>
198. Brown TT, Partanen J, Chuong L, Villaverde V, Chantal Griffin A, Mendelson A: Discrimination hurts: the effect of discrimination on the development of chronic pain. *Soc Sci Med* 204:1-8, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.015>
199. Kim HJ, Yang GS, Greenspan JD, et al. Racial and ethnic differences in experimental pain sensitivity: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 158(2):194-211, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000731>
200. Haywood C, Diener-West M, Strouse J, et al. Perceived discrimination in health care is associated with a greater burden of pain in sickle cell disease. *J Pain Symptom Manag*

48(5):934-943, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsyman.2014.02.002>

201. Hansen KL: Ethnic discrimination and health: the relationship between experienced ethnic discrimination and multiple health domains in Norway's rural Sami population. *Int J Circumpolar Health* 74:1-12, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ijch.v74.25125>

202. Gee GC, Spencer MS, Chen J, Takeuchi D: A nationwide study of discrimination and chronic health conditions among Asian Americans. *Am J Public Health* 97(7):1275-1282, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2006.091827>

203. Rassa FS, Luedke JC, Nanavaty N, Mathur VA, Meagher MW: Greater mechanical temporal summation of pain in Latinx-Americans and the role of adverse life experiences. *Pain Rep* 5(5):e842, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PR9.0000000000000842>

204. Walsh KT, Boring BL, Nanavaty N, Guzman H, Mathur VA: Sociocultural context and pre-clinical pain facilitation: multiple dimensions of racialized discrimination experienced by Latinx Americans are associated with enhanced temporal summation of pain. *J Pain* 23(11):1885-1893, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2022.06.004>

205. Rhudy JL, Huber FA, Toledo TA, Kell PA, Street EN, Shadlow JO: Psychosocial and cardiometabolic predictors of chronic pain onset in Native Americans: serial mediation analyses of 2-year prospective data from the Oklahoma Study of Native American Pain Risk. *Pain* 163(5):E654-E674, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002458>

206. Hood AM, Booker SQ, Morais CA, et al. Confronting racism in all forms of pain research: a shared commitment for engagement, diversity, and dissemination. *J Pain* 23(6):913-928, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2022.01.008>

207. De Ruddere L, Craig KD: Understanding stigma and chronic pain: a-state-of-the-art review. *Pain* 157(8):1607-1610, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000512>

208. Klonoff EA, Landrine H, Campbell R: Sexist discrimination may account for well-known gender differences in psychiatric symptoms. *Psychol Women Q* 24(1):93-99, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-6402.2000.tb01025.x>

209. McDonald JA, Terry MB, Tehranifar P: Racial and gender discrimination, early life factors, and chronic physical health conditions in midlife. *Womens Health Issues* 24(1):e53-e59, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.whi.2013.09.006>

210. Samulowitz A, Gremyr I, Eriksson E, Hensing G: "Brave Men" and "Emotional Women": a theory-guided literature review on gender bias in health care and gendered norms towards patients with chronic pain. *Pain Res Manag* 2018:6358624, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6358624>

211. Lee N, Sung H, Kim J-HH, Punnett L, Kim S-SS: Perceived discrimination and low back pain among 28, 532 workers in South Korea: effect modification by labor union status. *Soc Sci Med* 177:198-204, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.057>

212. Craig KD, Holmes C, Hudspith M, et al. Pain in persons who are marginalized by social conditions. *Pain* 161(2):261-265, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001719>

213. Bernardes SF, Tome-Pires C, Brandao T, Campos L, Teixeira F, Goubert L: Classism in pain assessment and

management: the mediating role of female patient dehumanization and perceived life hardship. *Pain* 162(12):2854-2864, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002278>

214. Brandao T, Campos L, de Ruddere L, Goubert L, Bernardes SF: Classism in pain care: the role of patient socioeconomic status on nurses' pain assessment and management practices. *Pain Med* 20(11):2094-2105, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnz148>

215. Summers KM, Deska JC, Almaraz SM, Hugenberg K, Lloyd EP: Poverty and pain: low-SES people are believed to be insensitive to pain. *J Exp Soc Psychol* 95:104116, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2021.104116>

216. Keogh E: Sex and gender differences in pain: past, present, and future. *Pain* 163(Suppl 1):S108-S116, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002738>

217. Keogh E: Men, masculinity, and pain. *Pain* 156(12):2408-2412, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000328>

218. Keogh E: The gender context of pain. *Health Psychol Rev* 15(3):454-481, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2020.1813602>

219. Wood W, Eagly AH: Two traditions of research on gender identity. *Sex Roles* 73(11-12):461-473, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-015-0480-2>

220. Bernardes SF, Keogh E, Lima ML: Bridging the gap between pain and gender research: a selective literature review. *Eur J Pain* 12(4):427-440, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2007.08.007>

221. Fowler SL, Rasinski HM, Geers AL, Helfer SG, France CR: Concept priming and pain: an experimental approach to understanding gender roles in sex-related pain differences. *J Behav Med* 34(2):139-147, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-010-9291-7>

222. Pool GJ, Schwegler AF, Theodore BR, Fuchs PN: Role of gender norms and group identification on hypothetical and experimental pain tolerance. *Pain* 129(1-2):122-129, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.10.008>

223. Pronina I, Rule NO: Inducing bias modulates sensitivity to nonverbal cues of others' pain. *Eur J Pain* 18(10):1452-1457, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.510>

224. Strath LJ, Sorge RE, Owens MA, et al. Sex and gender are not the same: why identity is important for people living with HIV and chronic pain. *J Pain Res* 13:829-835, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S248424>

225. Anastas TM, Miller MM, Hollingshead NA, Stewart JC, Rand KL, Hirsh AT: The unique and interactive effects of patient race, patient socioeconomic status, and provider attitudes on chronic pain care decisions. *Ann Behav Med* 54(10):771-782, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaa016>

226. Celeste RK, Fritzell J: Do socioeconomic inequalities in pain, psychological distress and oral health increase or decrease over the life course? Evidence from Sweden over 43 years of follow-up. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 72(2):160-167, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2017-209123>

227. Grol-Prokopczyk H: Sociodemographic disparities in chronic pain, based on 12-year longitudinal data. *Pain* 158(2):313-322, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000762>

## 22 The Journal of Pain

228. Bowleg L: The problem with the phrase women and minorities: intersectionality-an important theoretical framework for public health. *Am J Public Health* 102(7):1267-1273, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.300750>

229. Befus DR, Irby MB, Coeytaux RR, Penzien DB: A critical exploration of migraine as a health disparity: the imperative of an equity-oriented, intersectional approach. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 22(12):79, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-018-0731-3>

230. Brady B, Veljanova I, Chipchase L: The intersections of chronic noncancer pain: culturally diverse perspectives on disease burden. *Pain Med* 20(3):434-445, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pny088>

231. Goodin BR, Owens MA, White DM, et al. Intersectional health-related stigma in persons living with HIV and chronic pain: implications for depressive symptoms. *AIDS Care* 30(Suppl 2):66-73, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2018.1468012>

232. Newman AK, Thorn BE: Intersectional identity approach to chronic pain disparities using latent class analysis. *Pain* 163(4):e547-e556, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002407>

233. Wiklund M, Fjellman-Wiklund A, Stalnacke BM, Hammarstrom A, Lehti A: Access to rehabilitation: patient perceptions of inequalities in access to specialty pain rehabilitation from a gender and intersectional perspective. *Glob Health Action* 9:31542, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v9.31542>

234. Gebauer S, Schootman M, Xian H, Xaverius P: Neighborhood built and social environment and meeting physical activity recommendations among mid to older adults with joint pain. *Prev Med Rep* 18:101063, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2020.101063>

235. Aslund C, Starrin B, Nilsson KW: Social capital in relation to depression, musculoskeletal pain, and psychosomatic symptoms: a cross-sectional study of a large population-based cohort of Swedish adolescents. *BMC Public Health* 10(1):715, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-715>

236. Boehm A, Eisenberg E, Lampel S: The contribution of social capital and coping strategies to functioning and quality of life of patients. *Clin J Pain* 27(3):233-239, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181fdabcf>

237. Santiago BM, Valença AMG, Vettore MV: Social capital and dental pain in Brazilian northeast: a multilevel cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health* 13:2, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-13-2>

238. Lewis Z, Sullivan PJ: The effect of group size and synchrony on pain threshold changes. *Small Group Res* 49(6):723-738, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496418765678>

239. Tarr B, Launay J, Dunbar RIM: Silent disco: dancing in synchrony leads to elevated pain thresholds and social closeness. *Evol Hum Behav* 37(5):343-349, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2016.02.004>

240. Weinstein D, Launay J, Pearce E, Dunbar RIM, Stewart L: Evolution and human behavior singing and social bonding: changes in connectivity and pain threshold as a function of group size. *Evol Hum Behav* 37(2):152-158, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2015.10.002>

## Social Determinants and Consequences of Pain

241. Solar O, Irwin A: *A Conceptual Framework for Action on the Social Determinants of Health Discussion Paper 2 (Policy and Practice)*. WHO Document Production Services; 2010

242. Diderichsen F, Evans T, Whitehead M: *The social basis of disparities in health*. In: Evans T, editor. *Challenging Inequities in Health: From Ethics to Action*. Oxford University Press; 2001. pp 12-23, .

243. Dionne CE, V MVK, Koepsell TD, Deyo RA, Barlow WE, Checkoway H: Formal education and back pain: a review. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 55(7):455-468, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.55.7.455>

244. Janevic MR, McLaughlin SJ, Heapy AA, Thacker C, Piette JD: Racial and socioeconomic disparities in disabling chronic pain: findings from the health and retirement study. *J Pain* 18(12):1459-1467, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2017.07.005>

245. Todd A, McNamara CL, Balaj M, et al. The European epidemic: pain prevalence and socioeconomic inequalities in pain across 19 European countries. *Eur J Pain* 23(8):1425-1436, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.1409>

246. Letzen JE, Mathur VA, Janevic MR, et al. Confronting racism in all forms of pain research: reframing study designs. *J Pain* 23(6):893-912, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2022.01.010>

247. Mathur VA, Trost Z, Ezenwa MO, Sturgeon JA, Hood AM: Mechanisms of injustice: what we (do not) know about racialized disparities in pain. *Pain* 163(6):999-1005, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002528>

248. Morais CA, Aroke EN, Letzen JE, et al. Confronting racism in pain research: a call to action. *J Pain* 23(6):878-892, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2022.01.009>

249. Ng E, Muntaner C: A critical approach to macrosocial determinants of population health: engaging scientific realism and incorporating social conflict. *Curr Epidemiol Rep* 1:27-37, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40471-013-0002-0>

250. Bailey ZD, Feldman JM, Bassett MT: How structural racism works — racist policies as a root cause of U.S. racial health inequities. *N Engl J Med* 384:768-773, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2025396>

251. Jones CP: *Levels of racism: a theoretic framework and a Gardener's Tale*. *Am J Public Health* 90(8):1212-1215, 2000.

252. Jones CP, Jones CY, Perry GS, Barclay G, Jones CA: *Addressing the social determinants of children's health: a cliff analogy*. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 20:1-12, 2009.

253. Khalatbari-Soltani S, Blyth FM: Socioeconomic position and pain: a topical review. *Pain* 163(10):1855-1861, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002634>

254. Huang R, Yang Y, Zajacova A, Zimmer Z, Li Y, Grol-Prokopczyk H: Educational disparities in joint pain within and across US states: do macro sociopolitical contexts matter? *Pain* 164(10):2358-2369, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002945>

255. Zimmer Z, Fraser K, Grol-Prokopczyk H, Zajacova A: A global study of pain prevalence across 52 countries: examining the role of country-level contextual factors. *Pain*

- 163(9):1740-1750, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002557>
256. Fjellborg AA: Leaving poor neighbourhoods: the role of income and housing tenure. *Hous Stud* 36(7):1122-1142, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673037.2020.1748177>
257. Howell J, Korver-Glenn E: Neighborhoods, race, and the twenty-first-century housing appraisal industry. *Sociol Race Ethn* 4(4):473-490, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332649218755178>
258. Nieuwenhuis J, Tammaru T, van Ham M, Hedman L, Manley D: Does segregation reduce socio-spatial mobility? Evidence from four European countries with different inequality and segregation contexts. *Urban Stud* 57(1):176-197, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018807628>
259. Weiss CC, Purciel M, Bader M, et al. Reconsidering access: park facilities and neighborhood disamenities in New York City. *J Urban Health* 88(2):297-310, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-011-9551-z>
260. Brooks Holliday S, Dubowitz T, Ghosh-Dastidar B, et al. Do sleep and psychological distress mediate the association between neighborhood factors and pain? *Pain Med* 20(2):278-289, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pny075>
261. Schild C, Reed E, Hingston T, Dennis C, Wilson A: Neighborhood characteristics: influences on pain and physical function in youth at risk for chronic pain. *Children* 3(4):35, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children3040035>
262. Ulirsch JC, Weaver MA, Bortsov AV, et al. No man is an island: living in a disadvantaged neighborhood influences chronic pain development after motor vehicle collision. *Pain* 155(10):2116-2123, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2014.07.025>
263. Jordan KP, Thomas E, Peat G, Wilkie R, Croft P: Social risks for disabling pain in older people: a prospective study of individual and area characteristics. *Pain* 137(3):652-661, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2008.02.030>
264. Green CR, Hart-Johnson T: The association between race and neighborhood socioeconomic status in younger Black and White adults with chronic pain. *J Pain* 13(2):176-186, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.10.008>
265. Palermo TM, Riley CA, Mitchell BA: Daily functioning and quality of life in children with sickle cell disease pain: relationship with family and neighborhood socioeconomic distress. *J Pain* 9(9):833-840, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2008.04.002>
266. World Health Organization: The Helsinki statement on health in all policies. *Health Promot Int* 29(Suppl 1):i17-i18, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dau036>
267. Pearlin LI, Schieman S, Fazio EM, Meersman SC: Stress, health, and the life course: some conceptual perspectives. *J Health Soc Behav* 46:205-219, 2005.
268. Adler NE, Stewart J: Reducing obesity: motivating action while not blaming the victim. *Milbank Q* 87(1):49-70, 2009.
269. Galea S: *Well: What We Need to Talk About When We Talk About Health*. Oxford University Press; 2019
270. Pincus T, Morley S: Cognitive-processing bias in chronic pain: a review and integration. *Psychol Bull* 127(5):599-617, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.5.599>
271. Bernardes SF, Lima ML: Being less of a man or less of a woman: perceptions of chronic pain patients' gender identities. *Eur J Pain* 14(2):194-199, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2009.04.009>
272. Harris S, Morley S, Barton SB: Role loss and emotional adjustment in chronic pain. *Pain* 105(1-2):363-370, 2003. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959\(03\)00251-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959(03)00251-3)
273. Forgeron PA, King S, Stinson JN, McGrath PJ, MacDonald AJ, Chambers CT: Social functioning and peer relationships in children and adolescents with chronic pain: a systematic review. *Pain Res Manag* 15(1):27-41, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/820407>
274. Waugh OC, Byrne DG, Nicholas MK: Internalized stigma in people living with chronic pain. *J Pain* 15(5):550.e1-550.e10, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.02.001>
275. Corrigan PW, Larson JE, Rüsç N: Self-stigma and the "why try" effect: impact on life goals and evidence-based practices. *World Psychiatry* 8(2):75-81, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2051-5545.2009.tb00218.x>
276. Buse DC, Fanning KM, Reed ML, et al. Life with migraine: effects on relationships, career, and finances from the chronic migraine epidemiology and outcomes (CaMEO) study. *Headache* 59(8):1286-1299, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1111/head.13613>
277. Murray CB, Groenewald CB, de la Vega R, Palermo TM: Long-term impact of adolescent chronic pain on young adult educational, vocational, and social outcomes. *Pain* 161(2):439-445, 2020.
278. Bergman S: Psychosocial aspects of chronic widespread pain and fibromyalgia. *Disabil Rehabil* 27(12):675-683, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638280400009030>
279. Kashikar-Zuck S, Lynch AM, Graham TB, Swain NF, Mullen SM, Noll RB: Social functioning and peer relationships of adolescents with juvenile fibromyalgia syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 57(3):474-480, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.22615>
280. Kotarba JA: Perceptions of death, belief systems and the process of coping with chronic pain. *Soc Sci Med* 17(10):681-689, 1983. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(83\)90374-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(83)90374-x)
281. Vannatta K, Getzoff EA, Gilman DK, et al. Friendships and social interactions of school-aged children with migraine. *Cephalalgia* 28(7):734-743, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2982.2008.01583.x>
282. Dueñas M, Ojeda B, Salazar A, Mico JA, Failde I: A review of chronic pain impact on patients, their social environment and the health care system. *J Pain Res* 9:457-467, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S105892>
283. Feldman SI, Downey G, Schaffer-Neitz R: Pain, negative mood, and perceived support in chronic pain patients: a daily diary study of people with reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 67(5):776-785, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006x.67.5.776>
284. Galvez-Sánchez CM, Duschek S, Reyes Del Paso GA: Psychological impact of fibromyalgia: current perspectives. *Psychol Res Behav Manag* 12:117-127, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S178240>
285. Sturgeon JA, Carriere JS, Kao MCJ, Rico T, Darnall BD, Mackey SC: Social disruption mediates the relationship

between perceived injustice and anger in chronic pain: a collaborative health outcomes information registry study. *Ann Behav Med* 50(6):802-812, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-016-9808-6>

286. Holloway I, Sofaer-Bennett B, Walker J: The stigmatization of people with chronic back pain. *Disabil Rehabil* 29(18):1456-1464, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638280601107260>

287. Tarasuk V, Eakin JM: The problem of legitimacy in the experience of work-related back injury. *Qual Health Res* 5(2):204-221, 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104973239500500205>

288. Wallace B, Varcoe C, Holmes C, *et al.* Towards health equity for people experiencing chronic pain and social marginalization. *Int J Equity Health* 20(1):53, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-021-01394-6>

289. Ashton-James CE, Richardson DC, De Williams ACC, Bianchi-Berthouze N, Dekker PH: Impact of pain behaviors on evaluations of warmth and competence. *Pain* 155(12):2656-2661, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2014.09.031>

290. Martel MO, Wideman TH, Sullivan MJL: Patients who display protective pain behaviors are viewed as less likable, less dependable, and less likely to return to work. *Pain* 153(4):843-849, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2012.01.007>

291. Fiske ST, Cuddy AJC, Glick P: Universal dimensions of social cognition: warmth and competence. *Trends Cogn Sci* 11(2):77-83, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.11.005>

292. Byrne ZS, Hochwarter WA: I get by with a little help from my friends: the interaction of chronic pain and organizational support on performance. *J Occup Health Psychol* 11(3):215-227, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.11.3.215>

293. Higgins KS, Birnie KA, Chambers CT, *et al.* Offspring of parents with chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of pain, health, psychological, and family outcomes. *Pain* 156(11):2256-2266, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000293>

294. Evans S, de Souza L: Dealing with chronic pain: giving voice to the experiences of mothers with chronic pain and their children. *Qual Health Res* 18(4):489-500, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732308315433>

295. Ojeda B, Salazar A, Dueñas M, Torres LM, Micó JA, Failde I: The impact of chronic pain: the perspective of patients, relatives, and caregivers. *Fam Syst Health* 32(4):399-407, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fsh0000069>

296. Nelson S, Simons LE, Logan D: The incidence of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and their association with pain-related and psychosocial impairment in youth with chronic pain. *Clin J Pain* 34(5):402-408, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000549>

297. Stone AL, Wilson AC: Transmission of risk from parents with chronic pain to offspring: an integrative conceptual model. *Pain* 157(12):2628-2639, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000637>

298. Bigatti SM, Cronan TA: An examination of the physical health, health care use, and psychological well-being of spouses of people with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Health Psychol* 21(2):157-166, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.21.2.157>

299. Boone D, Kim SY: Family strain, depression, and somatic amplification in adults with chronic pain. *Int J Behav Med* 26(4):427-436, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12529-019-09799-y>

300. Ferrell B: Pain observed the experience of pain from the family caregiver's perspective. *595-ix Clin Geriatr Med* 17(3), 2001. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-0690\(05\)70099-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-0690(05)70099-9)

301. Ashworth M, Durbaba S, Whitney D, Crompton J, Wright M, Doshia H: Journey to multimorbidity: longitudinal analysis exploring cardiovascular risk factors and sociodemographic determinants in an urban setting. *BMJ Open* 9(12):1-8, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031649>

302. Wang YP, Nunes BP, Coêlho BM, *et al.* Multilevel analysis of the patterns of physical-mental multimorbidity in general population of São Paulo Metropolitan Area, Brazil. *Sci Rep* 9(1):1-12, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-39326-8>

303. Keeley P, Creed F, Tomenson B, Todd C, Borglin G, Dickens C: Psychosocial predictors of health-related quality of life and health service utilisation in people with chronic low back pain. *Pain* 135(1-2):142-150, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.05.015>

304. Pérez C, Navarro A, Saldaña MT, Wilson K, Rejas J: Modeling the predictive value of pain intensity on costs and resources utilization in patients with peripheral neuropathic pain. *Clin J Pain* 31(3):273-279, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000110>

305. Azevedo LF, Costa-Pereira A, Mendonça L, Dias CC, Castro-Lopes JM: Chronic pain and health services utilization: is there overuse of diagnostic tests and inequalities in nonpharmacologic treatment methods utilization? *Med Care* 51(10):859-869, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3182a53e4e>

306. Langley P, Miller-Schwerfe G, Nicolaou A, Liedgens H, Pergolizzi J, Varrassi G: The societal impact of pain in the European Union: health-related quality of life and healthcare resource utilization. *J Med Econ* 13(3):571-581, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.3111/13696998.2010.516709>

307. Breivik H, Collett B, Ventafridda V, Cohen R, Gallacher D: Survey of chronic pain in Europe: prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *Eur J Pain* 10(4):287-333, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009>

308. Gaskin DJ, Richard P: The economic costs of pain in the United States. *J Pain* 13(8):715-724, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2012.03.009>

309. Pezzullo L: *The Cost of Pain in Australia*. Deloitte Access Economics; 2019

310. Canadian Pain Task Force. Chronic Pain in Canada: laying a foundation for Action. Report in: <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/documents/corporate/about-health-canada/public-engagement/external-advisory-bodies/canadian-pain-task-force/report-2019/canadian-pain-task-force-june-2019-report-en.pdf>.

311. Agüera L, Failde I, Cervilla JA, Díaz-Fernández P, Mico JA: Medically unexplained pain complaints are associated with underlying unrecognized mood disorders in primary care. *BMC Fam Pract* 11:17, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-11-17>

312. Breckons M, Bissett SM, Exley C, Araujo-Soares V, Durham J: Care pathways in persistent orofacial pain: qualitative evidence from the DEEP study. *JDR Clin Trans Res* 2(1):48-57, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2380084416679648>
313. Buchbinder R, Underwood M, Hartvigsen J, Maher CG: The Lancet Series call to action to reduce low value care for low back pain: an update. *Pain* 161(Suppl 1):S57-S64, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001869>
314. Durham J, Steele JG, Wassell RW, Exley C: Living with uncertainty: temporomandibular disorders. *J Dent Res* 89(8):827-830, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034510368648>
315. Morriss WW, Roques CJ: Pain management in low- and middle-income countries. *BJA Educ* 18(9):265-270, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjae.2018.05.006>
316. Darnall BD, Carr DB, Schatman ME: Pain psychology and the biopsychosocial model of pain treatment: ethical imperatives and social responsibility. *Pain Med* 18:1413-1415, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw166>
317. Mescouto K, Olson RE, Hodges PW, Setchell J: A critical review of the biopsychosocial model of low back pain care: time for a new approach? *Disabil Rehabil* 44(13):3270-3284, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1851783>
318. Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain* 161:1976-1982, 2020.
319. Turner JA, Arendt-Nielsen L: Four decades later: what's new, what's not in our understanding of pain. *Pain* 161(9):1943-1944, 2020.
320. Williams ACDC, Craig KD: Updating the definition of pain. *Pain* 157:2420-2423, 2016.
321. Marmot M: The health gap: the challenge of an unequal world. *Lancet* 386(10011):2442-2444, 2015. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)00150-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00150-6)
322. Kiadaliri A, Merlo J, Englund M: Complex socio-demographic inequalities in consultations for low back pain: lessons from multilevel intersectional analysis. *Pain* 162(4):1135-1143, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002081>
323. Lahelma E, Martikainen P, Laaskonen M, Aittomäki A: Pathways between socioeconomic determinants of health. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 58:327-332, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2003.011148>
324. Singh-Manoux A, Clarke P, Marmot M: Multiple measures of socio-economic position and psychosocial health: proximal and distal measures. *Int J Epidemiol* 31:1192-1199, 2002.
325. Phelan JC, Link BG, Tehranifar P: Social conditions as fundamental causes of health inequalities: theory, evidence, and policy implications. *J Health Soc Behav* 51(Suppl\_1):S28-S40, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383498>
326. Assari S: Over-adjustment bias by controlling for overall health. *Int J Prev Med* 4(4):491-492, 2013.
327. Meghani SH, Chittams J: Controlling for socioeconomic status in pain disparities research: all-else-equal analysis when "All Else" is not equal. *Pain Med* 16(12):2222-2225, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12829>
328. Tordoff DM, Minalga B, Gross BB, et al. Erasure and health equity implications of using binary male/female categories in sexual health research and human immunodeficiency virus/sexually transmitted infection surveillance: recommendations for transgender-inclusive data collection and reporting. *Sex Transm Dis* 49(2):e45-e49, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000001533>
329. Janevic M, Robinson-Lane SG, Murphy SL, Courser R, Piette JD: A pilot study of a chronic pain self-management program delivered by community health workers to underserved African American older adults. *Pain Med* 23(12):1965-1978, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnaa468>
330. Main CJ, Shaw WS, Nicholas MK, Linton SJ: System-level efforts to address pain-related workplace challenges. *Pain* 163(8):1425-1431, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000002548>
331. Bowleg L: Perspectives from the social sciences: critically engage public health. *Am J Public Health* 109(1):15-16, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304825>
332. Link BG, Phelan J: Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *J Health Soc Behav* :80-94, 1995. PMID: 7560851
333. Assari S: Unequal gain of equal resources across racial groups. *Int J Health Policy Manag* 7(1):1-9, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2017.90>
334. Marmot M: Social justice, epidemiology and health inequalities. *Eur J Epidemiol* 32(7):537-546, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-017-0286-3>
335. Blyth FM, Van Der Windt DA, Croft PR: Chronic disabling pain: a significant public health problem. *Am J Prev Med* 49(1):98-101, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.01.008>
336. Zajacova A, Grol-Prokopczyk H, Zimmer Z: Sociology of chronic pain. *J Health Soc Behav* 62(3):302-317, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00221465211025962>
337. Goldberg DS, McGee SJ: Pain as a global public health priority. *BMC Public Health* 11:770, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-770>