



LJMU Research Online

Kelley, NP, Irmis, RB, DePolo, PE, Noble, PJ, Montague-Judd, D, Little, H, Blundell, J, Rasmussen, C, Percival, LME, Mather, TA and Pyenson, ND

Grouping behavior in a Triassic marine apex predator

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/25362/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Kelley, NP, Irmis, RB, DePolo, PE, Noble, PJ, Montague-Judd, D, Little, H, Blundell, J, Rasmussen, C, Percival, LME, Mather, TA and Pyenson, ND (2022) Grouping behavior in a Triassic marine apex predator. Current Bioloav. 32 (24). pp. 5398-5405. ISSN 0960-9822

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

1 **TITLE:** Grouping behavior in a Triassic marine apex predator

2

3 **AUTHORS:** Neil P. Kelley^{1,2,*}, Randall B. Irmis³, Paige E. dePolo^{4,5}, Paula J. Noble⁵, Danielle
4 Montague-Judd^{3,6}, Holly Little², Jon Blundell⁷, Cornelia Rasmussen^{3,8}, Lawrence Percival^{9,10},
5 Tamsin A. Mather¹⁰, Nicholas D. Pyenson²

6

7 **AFFILIATIONS:**

8 ¹- Current mailing address: Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University,
9 PMB 351805 2301 Vanderbilt Place, Nashville, TN 37235-1805 USA

10 ²- Department of Paleobiology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, 1001
11 Madison Drive NW, Washington DC, 20560 USA

12 ³- Department of Geology and Geophysics and Natural History Museum of Utah, University of Utah, 115
13 S 1460 E, Salt Lake City, UT 84112 USA

14 ⁴- School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Drummond St, Edinburgh EH8 9XP United Kingdom

15 ⁵- Department of Geological Sciences and Engineering, University of Nevada—Reno, 1664 N. Virginia
16 Street, Reno, NV 89557 USA

17 ⁶- Department of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E 4th St, Tucson, AZ 85721 USA

18 ⁷- Digitization Program Office 3D Lab, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Smithsonian Institution,
19 Landover, MD 20758 USA

20 ⁸- Institute for Geophysics, Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas at Austin, 2305
21 Speedway Stop C1160, Austin, TX 78712-1692 USA

22 ⁹- Analytical, Environmental and Geochemistry Group (AMGC), Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2
23 Brussels 1050, Belgium

24 ¹⁰- Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3AN, UK

25

26 *Corresponding author and lead contact: neil.p.kelley@vanderbilt.edu

27 **SUMMARY**

28 **Marine tetrapods occupy important roles in modern marine ecosystems and often gather in**
29 **large aggregations driven by patchy prey distribution^{1,2}, social or reproductive behaviors^{3,4}**
30 **or oceanographic factors⁵. Here, we show that similar grouping behaviors evolved in an**
31 **early marine tetrapod lineage, documented by dozens of specimens of the giant ichthyosaur**
32 ***Shonisaurus* in the Luning Formation in West Union Canyon, Nevada, USA^{6,7}. A**
33 **concentration of at least seven skeletons closely preserved on a single bedding plane**
34 **received the bulk of previous attention. However, many more specimens are preserved**
35 **across ~10⁶ square meters and ~200 stratigraphic meters of outcrop representing an**
36 **estimated >10⁵⁻⁶ years. Unlike other marine tetrapod rich deposits, this assemblage is**
37 **essentially monotaxic; other vertebrate fossils are exceptionally scarce. Large individuals**
38 **are disproportionately abundant, with the exception of multiple neonatal or embryonic**
39 **specimens, indicating an unusual demographic composition apparently lacking**
40 **intermediate-sized juveniles or subadults. Combined with geological evidence, our data**
41 **suggest dense aggregations of *Shonisaurus* inhabited this moderately deep, low-diversity,**
42 **tropical marine environment for millennia during the latest Carnian Stage of the Late**
43 **Triassic (237-227 Ma). Thus, philopatric grouping behavior in marine tetrapods,**
44 **potentially linked to reproductive activity, has an antiquity of at least 230 million years.**

45

46 **Results and Discussion**

47 Marine tetrapods are ecologically important members of ocean ecosystems, directly influencing
48 nutrient cycling and ecosystem structure, in part because of their relatively large body sizes and
49 high mobility^{8,9}. Top marine predators today frequently coordinate seasonal migrations, forming

50 transient aggregations or persistent social groups, with effects that further modulate their impacts
51 on marine ecosystems. Understanding the significance of these ecological dynamics over
52 geologic time scales requires fossil data^{10,11}. Fossil sites with exceptional abundance and/or
53 preservation can provide critical ecological snapshots that are otherwise unavailable. However,
54 investigations into the genesis of exceptional marine tetrapod-bearing deposits have often
55 focused on the physical and environmental controls on fossil accumulation and preservation^{12,13}.
56 In contrast, the insights into behavioral and ecological dynamics provided by marine tetrapod
57 fossil assemblages have received much less attention.

58 The Luning Formation at Berlin-Ichthyosaur State Park (BISP) in West Union Canyon
59 (WUC), central Nevada, U.S.A., contains an unusual marine tetrapod assemblage preserving
60 dozens of associated skeletons and fragmentary specimens of the Late Triassic giant ichthyosaur
61 *Shonisaurus popularis*^{6,7}. Though individuals of *Shonisaurus* have been discovered at multiple
62 sites across ~2 km² in WUC (Figure 1, Figure S2), most attention since the mid 20th century has
63 focused on a high concentration of articulated skeletons left *in situ* on a single bedding plane,
64 called Quarry 2 (Figures 1 and 2, Figure S1). Hypotheses ranging from stranding to mass
65 mortality have been proposed for the skeletal concentration at Quarry 2, but none of them are
66 well-supported by geologic or taphonomic evidence.^{6,7,14} Beyond Quarry 2, the broader
67 significance of the abundance of *Shonisaurus* and the dearth of other marine vertebrate taxa
68 across multiple horizons within the Luning Formation at WUC remains poorly understood.

69 **Geology, Geochemistry and Taphonomy**

70 We investigated the geology and taphonomy of previously known localities including
71 Quarry 2 and the *Shonisaurus* type locality (Quarry 5) and surveyed the distribution of vertebrate
72 fossils across WUC (Figure 1b). We identified and georeferenced 112 vertebrate specimens from

73 50 distinct localities including the *in situ* specimens in Quarry 2 (Table 1, GPS coordinates of all
74 localities on file with UMNH). *Shonisaurus* occurs at multiple horizons within two members of
75 the Luning Formation, spanning three ammonoid zones¹⁵. Though specimens referable to
76 *Shonisaurus* are present in three ammonoid zones (Figure 1c), the majority are from the latest
77 Carnian *Klamathites macrolobatus* zone, including Quarry 5 and the bonebed at Quarry 2,
78 clarifying a discrepancy among previous descriptions^{6,7,14}. Except for a few non-diagnostic bone
79 fragments, we identify all tetrapod occurrences as ichthyosaurian based on porous bone texture
80 lacking an outer cortical layer¹⁶. Moreover, all diagnostic elements invariably showed additional
81 features typical of *Shonisaurus*, including massive ribs with a round cross-section, very large
82 amphicoelous vertebral centra with a high width-to-length ratio and lacking attached neural
83 arches, massive and distinctive limb and girdle elements, including sub-rectangular humeri and
84 proximally expanded coracoids, and deep jaw fragments bearing large teeth with highly infolded
85 roots set in distinct sockets (Figure 3a–e)^{6,17}. Non-ichthyosaur macrovertebrate specimens are
86 exceedingly scarce, comprising two elasmobranch fin spines, small osteichthyan bones and
87 indeterminate bone fragments (Table 1), consistent with what has been previously reported for
88 the site⁶.

89 Fossil-bearing strata at BISP comprise organic mudstones interspersed with thinner
90 carbonate horizons; the latter units are predominantly wackestones and packstones with abundant
91 disarticulated bivalves, echinoids and other invertebrates. This sedimentology is consistent
92 across ichthyosaur-bearing horizons and suggests deposition below fair-weather wave base, but
93 above maximum storm wave base (Figure S4, Methods S1). *Shonisaurus* fossils are present in
94 both mudstone and carbonate facies (Figure 1c). This moderately deep distal ramp setting^{cf. 7}
95 excludes an earlier hypothesis of stranding for the ichthyosaur assemblage⁶, unless stranded

96 carcasses were transported to deeper water *en masse*, an unlikely hypothesis that is difficult to
97 test. There is no direct geological evidence for a major environmental perturbation (e.g., ocean
98 anoxia, carbon cycle changes or volcanic eruptions) either coeval with or immediately
99 prior/following deposition of the Quarry 2 bonebed that might provide a repeated kill
100 mechanism. The latest Carnian *macrolobatus* zone post-dates the Carnian Pluvial Episode (CPE)
101 by at least 2-3 million years¹⁸, but is within known uncertainties for the eruption of the
102 Wrangellia flood basalts (~234–225 Ma)¹⁹. Although there is some variability above and below
103 the bonebed in Quarry 2, the *Shonisaurus*-rich layer itself shows no evidence of a clear mercury
104 (Hg) peak or increased enrichment with respect to total organic carbon (i.e., increased Hg/TOC)
105 that would imply a large subaerial eruptive event as a driver of increased ichthyosaur mortality
106 and would result in the preserved fossil assemblage at that site (Figure S3, Methods S1). This
107 absence of a clear peak contrasts with the more coherent signals attributed to the Wrangellia
108 eruptions observed in more distal western Tethys successions associated with the CPE itself²⁰.
109 Similarly, *Shonisaurus*-bearing horizons in Quarries 2 and 5 do not stratigraphically correlate
110 with any observed excursions in the organic carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$) record. Though negative
111 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ excursions can record disruption of primary productivity or a flux of isotopically light
112 carbon to the ocean-atmosphere system²¹, the most negative values in WUC are not associated
113 with ichthyosaur-rich horizons, and nor are large-scale carbon cycle perturbations thought to
114 have occurred during the late Carnian. Instead, the high total organic carbon (TOC) contents of
115 samples with lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ values suggests that they likely reflect locally increased carbon burial
116 (Figure 1d–e; Figure S3, Methods S1), or a change in the aggregate composition of organic
117 matter. Thus, we find no clear evidence for a persistent environmental mechanism biased
118 towards killing or preserving *Shonisaurus*.

119 Although most occurrences of *Shonisaurus* within WUC are isolated individuals, in at
120 least three localities (Figure S2, Table S3) multiple individuals occur in proximity sometimes on
121 the same stratigraphic level. This is most striking at Quarry 2 where we identified twelve distinct
122 clusters of associated or articulated bones (Figure 2a, Figure S1, Tables 1 and S3). Some of these
123 likely represent multiple portions of the same animal fragmented by taphonomic processes. Four
124 partial skulls with associated pectoral girdles provide a conservative minimum number of
125 individuals. Based on position and arrangement of clusters we estimate that at least seven
126 individuals are preserved in the quarry (Figure 2d), although previous estimates of nine
127 individuals⁷ cannot be conclusively rejected. Original excavations revealed as many as six
128 additional partial skeletons near Quarry 2⁶ (Figure S2); these could represent an extension of the
129 bone bed, but the fate of most of this material is unknown and the limited available information
130 renders this hypothesis equivocal. Though the Quarry 2 specimens are all associated, their
131 taphonomic condition ranges widely (Figure 2b). Most clusters within Quarry 2 exhibit a parallel
132 alignment (Figure 2c) and are lacking smaller elements including distal limbs and tail, suggesting
133 variably moderate-to-high degrees of decay, disarticulation and hydraulic modification prior to
134 burial. Petrographic analysis of the bone-bearing carbonate layer in Quarry 2 shows a dense
135 concentration of broken invertebrate shell fragments with preferential alignment subparallel to
136 bedding in a fine-grained carbonate mud matrix (Figure S4, Methods S1), consistent with
137 transient elevated energy levels below fair-weather wave base, and similar to the sedimentology
138 observed at quarries 5 and 6. Differential degrees of articulation and completeness reflect
139 varying degrees of decay prior to burial and suggest that some carcasses were either floating in
140 the water column or sitting on the seabed for some time prior to burial²².

141 In contrast, at other WUC *Shonisaurus* sites, specimens show a wider range of
142 taphonomic conditions. Apart from Quarry 2, at least four other localities are known to preserve
143 partially articulated skeletons including the original type locality and a newly discovered site
144 (Figure S2, Table S3). However, isolated bones found *in situ* at multiple locations in WUC
145 demonstrate that some skeletons were fully disarticulated prior to burial. Articulated remains
146 appear to be more common in the *macrolobatus* zone, which coincides with an overall maximum
147 abundance of *Shonisaurus* occurrences, including isolated and disarticulated remains (Figure 1c).
148 Original excavations documented fragmentary but associated or partially articulated remains in
149 the underlying *schucherti* zone⁶; however, *Shonisaurus* fossils are more sparsely distributed in
150 these lower horizons, and we did not discover additional articulated remains within this interval
151 (Figure 1c, Table 1).

152 **Age Structure**

153 The majority of *Shonisaurus* specimens from WUC represent very large individuals
154 spanning a narrow size class, both in Quarry 2 and at other WUC localities, based on
155 comparisons of humerus length (a frequently used proxy for body size in ichthyosaurs^{23–25}) and
156 other skeletal proportions (Figure 3f, g, Table S1). Humeri within Quarry 2 range from 31 to 45
157 cm in length and all others known from WUC fall within this range. Based on previously
158 published correlations between ichthyosaur humerus length and total body length, this
159 corresponds to total estimated body lengths of WUC *Shonisaurus* ranging from 11–16 m,
160 consistent with earlier estimates^{6,17,26}. The restricted size distribution across WUC *Shonisaurus*
161 specimens, limited to very large individuals, contrasts with other marine reptile lagerstätten
162 which preserve a more complete postnatal ontogenetic sample including juveniles, subadults, and
163 adults^{28–30}.

164 Although the vast majority of *Shonisaurus* occurrences in WUC represent large,
165 skeletally mature individuals, we identified three discrete occurrences representing embryonic or
166 neonatal individuals. One specimen (NSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-001) consists of a mass of small
167 bones from the pelvic region of the type *Shonisaurus* specimen (Figure 3h, Supplemental
168 Information); it was previously noted in the original description of the taxon⁶ but never figured
169 or described in detail. Another small isolated centrum associated with the type material was
170 identified in collections (NSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-007, Figure S5b). Our μ CT analyses
171 confirm the identification of these elements as extremely small *Shonisaurus* vertebrae, based on
172 their high diameter to length ratio and overall similarity in shape and proportion to adult
173 *Shonisaurus* centra (Supplemental Information). A very small surface-collected, isolated
174 vertebral centrum (UMNH VP 32547, Figure S5c) found in a different area of WUC is a close
175 match with those found *in situ* within the type specimen (Supplemental Information). Finally, a
176 surface-collected fragmentary jaw (UCMP 290269) exhibits teeth with heavily plicated roots set
177 in discrete sockets separated by thin bony partitions consistent with the distinctive dentition
178 observed in adult *Shonisaurus popularis* (Figure 3a–e, Figure S5a). Thus, the size distribution of
179 the WUC *Shonisaurus* assemblage is markedly bimodal, with large individuals and
180 embryos/neonates, but no observed intermediately sized juveniles (Figure 3f,g).

181 **Paleoecological Implications**

182 The large size of *Shonisaurus*, together with new specimens preserving robust sectorial
183 teeth throughout the jaws (Figure 3d, e), indicate a trophic role as a macrophagous raptorial
184 predator that likely fed at least in part on large-bodied prey, i.e. the so called ‘cut guild’³⁰, as
185 opposed to previous suggestions of specialized filter feeding³¹ or suction feeding³². However,
186 there are very few potential large prey items preserved within the Luning Formation at WUC.

187 This scarcity does not appear to be due to preservation bias as large *Shonisaurus* and small
188 invertebrate fossils, including ammonoids and bivalves, are very abundant at the site. We
189 therefore propose that *Shonisaurus* likely fed elsewhere, consistent with wide ranging foraging
190 activities of most large extant marine tetrapods⁸. Indeed, *Shonisaurus* fossils are also abundant in
191 other Luning Fm. exposures about 60 km southwest of WUC in the Pilot Mountains of
192 Nevada^{33,34}, suggesting a broader regional distribution within the partially restricted seaway in
193 which these marine sediments were deposited. Outside of the Luning Fm., specimens referred to
194 *Shonisaurus* occur in other Late Triassic marine deposits associated with accreted arc terranes
195 preserved in Sonora, Mexico^{35,36}, southeastern Alaska³⁷, and possibly northern California³⁶.
196 Despite lower sample sizes, outside of the Luning Fm. *Shonisaurus* occurs in association with
197 other marine reptile taxa and other vertebrates, and likely occupied a top-predator role in multi-
198 tiered marine food webs (Figure 1a). The large size of *Shonisaurus* is also consistent with long
199 distance travel, as the co-evolution of migration and body size, modulated by dynamics such as
200 climate and patchy prey distribution, has been suggested for other marine tetrapod clades³⁸.

201 Abundant *Shonisaurus* fossil occurrences in WUC span approximately 200 m of section
202 and more than a complete ammonoid biozone representing $>10^{5-6}$ years³⁹ (Figure 1c,
203 Supplemental Information). The preponderance of large individuals, the scarcity of other large
204 marine vertebrate fossils, the presence of both *in situ* and isolated embryonic or neonatal
205 specimens, and records of *Shonisaurus* from other approximately coeval localities spanning ~30
206 degrees of paleolatitude and a range of paleoenvironments (Figure 1a) are all consistent lines of
207 evidence with a scenario tied to reproductive aggregation and/or seasonal migration. This
208 scenario involves sexually mature, in some cases gravid, individuals aggregating together in
209 groups in the absence of potential predators that would target newborns. These dense

210 aggregations would have been vulnerable to mortality events and subsequent burial
211 penecontemporaneously, as observed at Quarry 2, although many WUC *Shonisaurus*
212 occurrences, which range from isolated and disarticulated to nearly complete specimens at
213 multiple stratigraphic levels, likely represent attritional mortality. Thus, although Quarry 2 is
214 notable in preserving a large number of associated skeletons, it is representative of the larger-
215 scale pattern of an essentially monotypic marine tetrapod assemblage of *Shonisaurus* throughout
216 WUC.

217 Although the WUC assemblage is far from unique in preserving ichthyosaur embryos⁴⁰⁻⁴²
218 it does contrast with most other ichthyosaur-bearing lagerstätten by the absence other marine
219 reptile taxa, even other species of ichthyosaurs, and scarcity of intermediate-sized juveniles or
220 subadults. However, it is possible that grouping behavior linked to seasonal reproductive cycles
221 played a role in the genesis of other ichthyosaur-rich fossil assemblages. Notably, group
222 migratory behavior is a common feature of many extant marine tetrapods and other large marine
223 vertebrates. For example, baleen whales seek out lower productivity water to give birth, either to
224 avoid potential predators⁴³ or for energetic reasons⁴⁴.

225 **Conclusion**

226 The Mesozoic Era marks a pivotal interval in the modernization of marine and terrestrial
227 ecosystems across all trophic levels^{45,46}. This transition was initiated during the Triassic, in the
228 wake of the end-Permian mass extinction. By the Middle Triassic, five million years later,
229 ichthyosaurs evolved the large body sizes typical of dominant predators at the top of marine food
230 webs^{47,48}. This role has been occupied by successive lineages of marine tetrapod predators ever
231 since, punctuated by turnovers driven by extinctions and radiations of new marine clades⁴⁹.
232 Triassic ichthyosaurs were among the first marine tetrapods to acquire key innovations, such as

233 high-performance swimming⁵⁰, deep diving^{51,52} and aquatic birth⁴⁰, many of which evolved
234 convergently among other Mesozoic marine reptiles and over 130 million years later in seabirds
235 and marine mammals.

236 The results presented here underscore an additional behavioral trait that emerged early in
237 ichthyosaur evolution: grouping behavior of adults. The ichthyosaur aggregations recorded in the
238 Luning Formation at WUC seem to have occurred in the absence of an abundant prey source,
239 suggesting *Shonisaurus* might have regularly travelled between birthing and feeding grounds.
240 Similar mass movements are observed in the life history of many marine tetrapods today,
241 including whales⁵³, pinnipeds³, and penguins⁴. Grouping behaviors of large marine predators
242 amplify their impacts on ecosystems and their long-distance movements link spatio-temporally
243 distinct habitats. Our results suggest that marine tetrapods have participated in these dynamics
244 for more than 230 million years.

245 **Acknowledgements** WUC is within the ancestral homelands of the Numu (Notherrn Paiute) and
246 Newe (Western Shoshone) peoples. This research was conducted under research permits issued
247 by and with assistance from the U.S. Forest Service (Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest) and
248 Nevada State Parks. Funding was provided by Smithsonian Institution, University of Nevada-
249 Reno, Vanderbilt University, and University of Utah. We thank numerous volunteers and
250 students from all institutions for assistance with fieldwork and fossil preparation as well as data
251 processing; R. Johnson prepared the elements of UMNH VP 32539 in Figure 3. T. Birthisel and
252 C. Levitt-Bussian (UMNH) provided assistance in the field, lab, and with curation. S.
253 Underwood (NVSMLV), D. Smith, P. Holroyd (UCMP), and J. Morris (BISP) provided access
254 to archives and collections under their care and facilitated loan of material. B. Gibson
255 (Vanderbilt University) assisted with μ CT scanning. Steve Wyatt (University of Oxford) and K
256 Ritterbush (University of Utah) generously provided access to analytical equipment under their
257 care. M. Dattoria, V. Rossi (Smithsonian) and K. Kunze (QuietPixel) assisted with 3D models.
258 L. Delsett, A. Friedlaender and T. Faith provided feedback on an earlier version of the
259 manuscript. Valentin Fischer and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful suggestions to
260 improve the manuscript. We thank T. Young (Great Basin Brewing) for his continued support
261 and unending enthusiasm and the late R. Riggs (BISP) for his service as an ambassador and
262 caretaker of unique fossil resources.

263
264 **Author Contributions** N.K., N.P., R.B.I., P.N. and P.dP. designed the study, collected and
265 analyzed data. H.L. and J.B. collected and processed photogrammetry and laser scan data. C.R.,
266 L.P. and T.M. collected and analyzed geochemical data. D.M-J. and C.R. provided assistance in

267 the field and D.M.-J. provided access to previously unpublished data and identified invertebrate
268 fossils. N.K. wrote the manuscript draft with help from N.P. and R.B.I. and all authors provided
269 input and edits.

270
271 **Declaration of Interests** The authors report no competing interests.

272
273 **Inclusion and Diversity** We support inclusive, diverse, and equitable conduct of research
274

275 **Main-text Figures and Table Legends**
276

277 **Figure 1. Geographic, geologic and stratigraphic context of ichthyosaur occurrences at**
278 **WUC. (A)** Paleogeography of the Late Triassic adapted from⁵⁴ indicating the distribution of
279 *Shonisaurus* and other marine reptiles. Pie charts denote major assemblages, squares mark
280 isolated occurrences; **(B)** geologic map of West Union Canyon (WUC) adapted from¹⁴, dotted
281 areas denote where *Shonisaurus* fossils have been found in WUC; **(C)** Summarized stratigraphy
282 of WUC based on refs. ¹⁴ and ¹⁵, indicating the occurrence of *Shonisaurus* and other vertebrate
283 fossils, stratigraphic position of Quarry 2 (Q2) and Quarry 5 (Q5) is approximate. ms =
284 mudstone, ss = sandstone, ls = limestone, cg = conglomerate; **(D)** and **(E)** stratigraphy and
285 geochemistry of key sites within WUC Quarry 2 (mass mortality) and Quarry 5 (type locality),
286 blue bars indicate ichthyosaur bearing horizons. See also Table 1, Figures S2, S3 and S4, Table
287 S2 and Methods S1.

288
289 **Figure 2. Taphonomic interpretation of Quarry 2. (A)** Orthographic view of mass mortality
290 layer (Quarry 2) generated by photogrammetry and laser scanning; **(B)** taphonomic state of
291 Quarry 2 skeletons by skeletal region, half-filled boxes <50% complete, filled boxes >50%
292 complete, sk=skull, pec=pectoral girdle, fl=forelimb, tr=trunk, hl=hindlimb, ta=tail; **(C)** rose
293 diagram indicating directional orientation of skeletal units; **(D)** interpretation of mass mortality
294 layer; Roman numerals designate discrete skeletons; **(E)** sequence showing successive stages of
295 disarticulation of vertebral columns, white rectangles on panel a indicate position of each view.
296 See also Figures S1 and S2 and Table S3.

297
298 **Figure 3. Select ichthyosaur fossils from WUC illustrating overall size distribution and**
299 **anatomy. (A)** Lower jaw (dentary) from adult *Shonisaurus* (UMNH VP 32539); **(B)** above:
300 embryo or neonate jaw (UCMP 290269), below: μ CT scan of same showing five alveoli and two
301 *in situ* infolded tooth-roots; **(C)** μ CT of adult *Shonisaurus* jaw (BISP 10); **(D)** Complete tooth
302 from UMNH VP 32539 showing characteristic features including deep, infolded root and
303 carinae; **(E)** Partial jaw (UMNH VP 32535) with *in situ* tooth missing distal tip of crown; **(F)**
304 dimensions of largest vertebral centra, colored specimens indicate Quarry 2 specimens (vertebrae
305 shown is from BISP Quarry 2 Specimen III) starred specimens embryo or neonatal material
306 (NVSM-LV VM-2014-057-FS-001 shown); **(G)** comparison of humerus and coracoid lengths,
307 colored specimens are Quarry 2 specimens following colors of Figure 2; **(H)** above: *in situ*
308 embryo vertebrae within posterior rib block of *Shonisaurus* holotype (NVSM-LV VM-2014-057-
309 FS-001), below: interpretive line drawing of *in situ* embryo remains, yellow bones were removed
310 for μ CT scanning (shown in panel f, and Supplemental Information), gray bones have not yet
311 been prepared or scanned, brown stippled regions shows area partially covered by matrix. See
312 also Figure S4, Table S1 and Methods S1.

313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358

Table 1. West Union Canyon vertebrate fossil specimens by ammonoid zone and taphonomic state. See also Table S3 and Methods S1.

STAR Methods

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Neil Kelley,
neil.p.kelley@vanderbilt.edu

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents

Data availability

Fossil locality data are not publicly available, but kept on file at UMNH along with geologic samples and thin sections. Fossils included in this manuscript are identified by specimen number and available for study with permission from their home institution (see below). The μ CT datasets generated by the current study are available at Morphosource: <https://www.morphosource.org/projects/000476372> and 3D surface data at: <https://3d.si.edu/object/3d/25748134-a3ec-4a4a-868b-8192ec73f6a6>. The 3D model is also catalogued in the collections of the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History: <http://n2t.net/ark:/65665/33ca0e041-4ae9-4b74-bfab-fd9885f2dffa>.

Code availability

This paper does not report original code.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Museum abbreviations

BISP – Berlin-Ichthyosaur State Park; NVSMLV – Nevada State Museum, Las Vegas; UMNH – Utah Museum of Natural History; UCMP – University of California Museum of Paleontology

Information about the specimens

Fossils in Quarry 2 were digitized but otherwise left undisturbed *in-situ*. Newly collected fossil material is repositated at UMNH under permit agreement with the United States Forest Service. Additional data was acquired from previously collected material repositated at NVSMLV, UCMP and BISP as well as from additional published and unpublished sources see details below and in supplemental materials. All diagnostic reptile fossils we examined are referable to *Shonisaurus*.

METHOD DETAILS

Capturing, processing and rendering 3D digital datasets

We documented *in situ* skeletal remains of *Shonisaurus* in Quarry 2 using three-dimensional digitization techniques. Photogrammetry datasets were captured using a prime 35mm Canon L series lens on a Canon 5D Mark III camera body. Scale was set for the photogrammetry data

359 using scale bars designed by the Bureau of Land Management and produced and calibrated by
360 Cultural Heritage Imaging. We also used a FARO Focus 3D X 330 medium range spherical laser
361 scanner to supplement the photogrammetry data sets and to verify the scale, topography, and
362 alignment of the 3D model derived from the photogrammetry data sets. We used X-Rite
363 ColorChecker targets for color calibration of the photogrammetry image sets and produced color
364 corrected images using the X-Rite ColorChecker software and Adobe Camera Raw. Agisoft
365 PhotoScan 1.2 was used for photogrammetry model creation; Geomagic Studio 2012 for model
366 cleanup and noise reduction along with alignment and comparison of the photogrammetry and
367 laser data; Zbrush v. 4R3 for further model cleanup and noise reduction.

368 Embryo remains were μ CT scanned using the North Star Imaging μ CT scanner of the
369 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences at Vanderbilt University (TN, USA). Voxel
370 size ranged from 20.8 to 32.5 μ m, beam voltage ranged from 77 to 121 kV. Volume was
371 reconstructed using EFX-CT (North Star Imaging, Minnesota, USA). Scans were segmented
372 using Object Research Systems application Dragonfly. We used R 4.0.5, Adobe Photoshop and
373 Adobe Illustrator for data analysis and visualization.

374

375 **Taphonomy and size distribution**

376 Standard measurements (e.g. humerus proximodistal length, vertebra anteroposterior length and
377 average diameter) were measured using measuring tapes or calipers, recorded to the nearest
378 millimeter. Skeleton orientations in Quarry 2 were measured using a Brunton compass to
379 determine the declination of the main body axis of each skeleton. When a skeleton was bent, or
380 partially disarticulated, separate declinations were recorded for each segment. Photographs and
381 3D models were used to verify and build upon direct observations in the field. Additional data
382 were compiled from published and unpublished sources including ref. 6 and original field notes
383 and drawings archived at UCMP.

384 The taphonomic state (completeness and articulation, following ref. 20) of each specimen
385 within Quarry 2 was assessed directly over the course of multiple visits to the site between 2014
386 and 2019. Additionally, a high-resolution digital model of the quarry was generated using laser
387 scanning with a Faro Focus 3D and photogrammetry using digital SLR cameras (supplemental
388 materials). This model was used to generate a high-resolution orthographic photomosaic of the
389 quarry (Figure 2, Figure S1a) which in turn was used to create a detailed quarry map (Figure
390 S1b-c). The digital model, orthographic photo and quarry map provided a complementary source
391 of data for scoring the completeness and articulation state of each specimen within the quarry.
392 Finally, these observations were compared with previous assessments^{6,7,17,26}.

393 In addition to scoring the seven specimens preserved *in situ* within Quarry 2, we
394 calculated approximate completeness and articulation scores for eleven additional specimens
395 from six additional localities within the Luning Formation at West Union Canyon (Table S3).
396 Ten of these specimens were specimens reported by Camp in his description of *Shonisaurus*⁶ or
397 his field notes, quarry maps and photographs obtained from the archives of the University of
398 California Museum of Paleontology. The published and unpublished descriptions, diagrams and
399 photographs were used to estimate completeness and articulation scores for these specimens
400 (Figure S2). Five of these specimens are in collections at the Nevada State Museum, Las Vegas
401 and one specimen is still visible in the park although it is exposed to the elements and its
402 condition has likely deteriorated since its initial discovery. The current status of the other five
403 specimens is unknown and they may have been lost or reburied. One additional new specimen
404 (UMNH VP 32545) discovered during the course of our investigations in 2015 was excavated

405 and collected in 2016–2017. Although this specimen awaits complete preparation and study, its
406 articulation and completeness were scored from photographs, field notes and quarry maps and
407 included in this analysis.

408

409 **Field investigation and Geological Methods**

410 In addition to examination of *in situ* fossils in Quarry 2, we relocated three additional quarries (3,
411 5 and 6) and determined the approximate location of other original localities. We systematically
412 searched all three members of the Luning Formation exposed in WUC for additional vertebrate
413 fossils and recorded their location with handheld GPS receivers. We used existing geologic maps
414 and stratigraphic sections as well as associated invertebrate fossils to determine the stratigraphic
415 positions of these localities.

416 Fresh, unweathered outcrop was exposed at quarries 2, 5, and 6 to measure detailed
417 stratigraphic sections and take lithologic samples. Each stratigraphic unit was measured using a
418 Jacob's staff, correcting for dip of the beds, and was described in hand sample; in the case of
419 carbonate layers, thin sections viewed in plane and cross-polarized light supplemented the field
420 description. Samples for geochemical analysis were powdered from freshly exposed faces on
421 these hand samples. To measure TOC and bulk $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$, powdered samples were acidified and
422 then analyzed using a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XL IRMS connected to a Carlo Erba
423 Elemental Analyzer (EA, model 1010) via a Thermo Finnigan Conflo III at the Stable Isotope
424 Ratio Facility for Environmental Research (SIRFER), University of Utah. To measure Hg
425 concentration, untreated powdered samples were analyzed using RA-915 Portable Mercury
426 Analyzer with PYRO-915 Pyrolyzer (Lumex) at the University of Oxford. A subset of untreated
427 powdered samples were measured for TOC using Rock Eval VI analysis, also at the University
428 of Oxford.

429 In the field, hand tools were used to expose (between ~30-150 cm below the surface) a
430 continuous vertical profile of fresh, unweathered strata at Quarries 2, 5, and 6. The strike and dip
431 of beds was measured using a Brunton Pocket Transit, and these values used to correct
432 stratigraphic unit thickness measurements taken with a Precision Jacob's Staff (ASC Scientific).
433 Each unit was described in fresh hand sample and characterized using a Munsell Rock Color
434 Chart⁵⁵. Carbonate layers were also described in thin section using a binocular petrographic
435 microscope in both plane and cross-polarized light. Carbonate classification follows refs. 56 and
436 57, and the densities of clasts and fossils were estimated using charts from ref. 58. Unweathered
437 samples were collected at 10-50 cm intervals from every unit for geochemical analysis.

438 Fresh surfaces on each sample were cleaned with deionized water, air-dried, and then
439 powdered using a shatter box. To acidify the samples prior to analysis of total organic carbon
440 content (TOC) and bulk $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ values, 10-15 ml was placed in a clean 100 ml beaker to which
441 60 ml of 1M HCl was added. These beakers were then placed in a 50°C water bath for 24 hours,
442 after which the samples were filtered with deionized water using a vacuum pump and oven dried.
443 Approximately 25 mg of each sample was weighed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ and TOC measurements made
444 using a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus XL IRMS connected to a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyzer
445 (EA, model 1010) via a Thermo Finnigan Conflo III at the Stable Isotope Ratio Facility for
446 Environmental Research (SIRFER), University of Utah. These analyses did not use He dilution,
447 and raw data were normalized using three sets of internal lab reference materials (PLRM-1,
448 PLRM-2, and SLRM) that are calibrated against USGS40 and USGS41.

449 A subset of untreated bulk powdered samples was also analyzed to determine TOC
450 without acidification and characterize kerogen type and maturity, using a Rock-Eval VI at the

451 University of Oxford. TOC values were very low for all measured samples, with only one
452 sample exceeding 0.2 wt%, with the low quantity of kerogen hindering robust interpretation of
453 the other measured Rock-Eval parameters. The mercury concentrations of untreated bulk
454 powdered samples were determined on a RA-915 Portable Mercury Analyzer with PYRO-915
455 Pyrolyzer (Lumex) at the University of Oxford, following the procedure outlined in ref. 60. Two
456 aliquots of 50 ± 2 mg were measured to check reproducibility, which was better than $\pm 10\%$, with
457 NIST/UOE/FM/001 – Inorganic Elements in Peat (169 ppb Hg) utilized as a reference material
458 to ensure machine accuracy. Hg concentrations show considerable variations throughout the
459 stratigraphy at Quarry 2, ranging 2.6 to 139.5 ppb. The lithology is not suggestive of a strongly
460 oxygen-depleted environment, nor do the Hg peaks show a clear correlation with lithological
461 variations, suggesting that deposited mercury was not influenced by redox or lithology changes,
462 and was primarily deposited with organic matter. However, interpretation of the Hg/TOC values
463 is hindered by most samples featuring a TOC content below that recommended for mercury
464 normalization⁶¹. Geochemical data are shown on Figure 1 and Figure S3 and reported in Table
465 S2.

466

467 **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

468 Quantitative data presented in Figures 1–3, S3, Tables 1 and S1–3 were compiled and calculated
469 in Microsoft Excel and R version 4.0.3. following the methods detailed above.

470

471 **Methods S1. Descriptive, Taxonomic, Stratigraphic and Taxonomic Notes, related to** 472 **Figures 1, 3, S3 and S4, Tables 1 and S2.**

473

474 **Supplementary Information** is available for this paper.

475

476 **Data availability statement**

477 The μ CT datasets generated by the current study are available at Morphosource
478 (<https://www.morphosource.org/>) and 3D surface data at SI FigShare
479 (<https://smithsonian.figshare.com/>). The 3D model is also catalogued in the collections of the
480 Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History: <http://n2t.net/ark:/65665/33ca0e041-4ae9-4b74-bfab-fd9885f2dff>. Fossil locality data are not publicly available, but kept on file at
481 UMNH.

482

483
484 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Neil Kelley,

485 neil.p.kelley@vanderbilt.edu

486

487 **References**

- 488 1. Veit, R.R., Silverman, E.D. and Everson, I. (1993). Aggregation patterns of pelagic predators
489 and their principal prey, Antarctic krill, near South Georgia. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 62, 551–564.
490 10.2307/5204
- 491 2. Benoit-Bird, K.J., Battaile, B.C. Heppell, S.A., Hoover, B., Irons, D., Jones, N., Kuletz, K.J.,
492 Nordstrom, C.A., Paredes, R., Suryan, R.M. et al. (2013). Prey patch patterns predict habitat
493 use by top marine predators with diverse foraging strategies. *PLoS ONE* 8, e53348.
494 10.1371/journal.pone.0053348

- 495 3. Cassini, M.H. (1999). The evolution of reproductive systems in pinnipeds. *Behav. Ecol.* *10*,
496 612–616. 10.1093/beheco/10.5.612
- 497 4. Stokes, D.L. and Boersma, P.D. (2000). Nesting density and reproductive success in a
498 colonial seabird, the Magellanic penguin. *Ecology* *81*, 2878–2891. 10.1890/0012-
499 9658(2000)081[2878:NDARSI]2.0.CO;2
- 500 5. Yen, P.P.W., Sydeman, W.J. and Hyrenbach, K.D. (2004). Marine bird and cetacean
501 associations with bathymetric habitats and shallow-water topographies: implications for
502 trophic transfer and conservation. *J. Mar. Syst.* *50*, 79–99. 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2003.09.015
- 503 6. Camp, C.L. (1980). Large ichthyosaurs from the Upper Triassic of Nevada.
504 *Palaeontographica Abt. A* *170*, 139–200.
- 505 7. Hogler, J.A. (1992). Taphonomy and paleoecology of *Shonisaurus popularis* (Reptilia:
506 Ichthyosauria). *Palaios* *7*, 108–117. 10.2307/3514800
- 507 8. Block, B.A., Jonsen, I.D., Jorgensen, S.J., Winship, A.J., Shaffer, S.A., Bograd, S.J., Hazen,
508 E.L., Foley, D.G., Breed, G.A., Harrison, A.L. and Ganong, J.E. (2011). Tracking apex
509 marine predator movements in a dynamic ocean. *Nature* *475*, 86–90. 10.1038/nature10082
- 510 9. Piatt, J. and Methven, D. (1992). Threshold foraging behavior of baleen whales. *Mar. Ecol.*
511 *Prog. Ser.* *84*, 205–210. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24829558>
- 512 10. Norris, R.D., Turner, S.K., Hull, P.M. and Ridgwell, A. (2013). Marine ecosystem responses
513 to Cenozoic global change. *Science* *341*, 492–498. 10.1126/science.1240543
- 514 11. Kidwell, S.M. (2015). Biology in the Anthropocene: challenges and insights from young
515 fossil records. *Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci.* *112*, 4922–4929. 10.1073/pnas.1403660112
- 516 12. Pyenson, N.D., Irmis, R.B., Lipps, J.H., Barnes, L.G., Mitchell, E.D. and McLeod S.A.
517 (2009). Origin of a widespread marine bonebed deposited during the middle Miocene
518 Climatic Optimum. *Geology* *37*, 519–522. 10.1130/G25509A.1
- 519 13. Delsett, L.L., Novis, L.K., Roberts, A.J., Koevoets, M.J., Hammer, Ø., Druckenmiller, P.S.
520 and Hurum, J. (2016). The Slottsmøya marine reptile Lagerstätte: depositional environments,
521 taphonomy and diagenesis. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Pub.* *434*, 165–188. 10.1144/SP434.2
- 522 14. Balini, M., Jenks, J.F., Martin, R., McRoberts, C.A., Orchard, M.J. and Silberling, N.J.
523 (2015). The Carnian/Norian boundary succession at Berlin-Ichthyosaur State Park (Upper
524 Triassic, central Nevada, USA). *Palaontol. Z.* *89*, 399–433. 10.1007/s12542-014-0244-2
- 525 15. Silberling, N.J. (1959). Pre-Tertiary stratigraphy and Upper Triassic paleontology of the
526 Union District, Shoshone Mountains, Nevada. *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.* *322*, 1–67.
- 527 16. Buffrénil, V. de and Mazin, J.-M. (1990). Bone histology of the ichthyosaurs: comparative
528 data and functional interpretation. *Paleobiology* *16*, 435–447.
529 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2400968>
- 530 17. McGowan, C. and Motani, R. (1999). A reinterpretation of the Upper Triassic ichthyosaur
531 *Shonisaurus*. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* *19*, 42–49. 10.1080/02724634.1999.10011121
- 532 18. Dal Corso, J., Ruffell, A. and Preto, N. (2018). The Carnian Pluvial Episode (Late Triassic):
533 new insights into this important time of global environmental and biological change. *J. Geol.*
534 *Soc. London* *175*, 986–988. 10.1144/jgs2018-185
- 535 19. Greene, A.R., Scoates, J.S., Weis, D., Katvala, E.C., Israel, S. and Nixon, G.T. (2010). The
536 architecture of oceanic plateaus revealed by the volcanic stratigraphy of the accreted
537 Wrangellia oceanic plateau. *Geosphere* *6*, 47–73. 10.1130/GES00212.1
- 538 20. Mazaheri-Johari, M., Gianolla, P., Mather, T.A., Frieling, J., Chu, D. and Dal Corso, J.
539 (2021). Mercury deposition in Western Tethys during the Carnian Pluvial Episode (Late
540 Triassic). *Sci. Rep.* *11*, 17339. doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96890-8






- 541 21. Dickens, G.R., O’Neil, J.R., Rea, D.K. and Owen, R.M. (1995). Dissociation of oceanic
542 methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene.
543 *Paleoceanography* 10, 965–971. 10.1029/95PA02087
- 544 22. Beardmore, S.R. and Furrer, H. (2016). Evidence of a preservational gradient in the skeletal
545 taphonomy of Ichthyopterygia (Reptilia) from Europe. *Palaeogeog. Palaeoclim. Palaeoecol.*
546 443, 131–144. 10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.11.049
- 547 23. Scheyer, T.M., Romano, C., Jenks, J. and Bucher, H. (2014). Early Triassic marine biotic
548 recovery: the predators’ perspective. *PLoS ONE* 9, e88987. 10.1371/journal.pone.0088987
- 549 24. Massare, J.A., Lomax, D.R. and Klein, A. (2015). A large forefin of *Ichthyosaurus* from the
550 U.K., and estimates of the size range of the genus. *Paludicola* 10, 119–135.
- 551 25. Klein, N., Schmitz, L., Wintrich, T. and Sander, P. M. (2020). A new cymbospondylid
552 ichthyosaur (Ichthyosauria) from the Middle Triassic (Anisian) of the Augusta Mountains,
553 Nevada, USA. *J. Syst. Palaeontol.* 18, 1167–1191. 10.1080/14772019.2020.1748132
- 554 26. Kosch, B.F. (1990). A revision of the skeletal reconstruction of *Shonisaurus popularis*
555 (Reptilia: Ichthyosauria). *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 10, 512–514. 10.1080/02724634.1990.10011833
- 556 27. Stinnesbeck, W., Frey, E., Rivas, L., Pardo Pérez, J., Cartes, M.L., Soto, C.Z. and Lobos,
557 P.Z. (2014). A Lower Cretaceous ichthyosaur graveyard in deep marine slope channel
558 deposits at Torres del Paine National Park, southern Chile. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 126, 1317–
559 1339. 10.1130/B30964.1
- 560 28. Kear, B.P. (2006). Marine reptiles from the Lower Cretaceous of South Australia: elements
561 of a high-latitude cold-water assemblage. *Palaeontology* 49, 837–856. 10.1111/j.1475-
562 4983.2006.00569.x
- 563 29. Maxwell, E.E. and Dececchi, T.A. (2013). Ontogenetic and stratigraphic influence on
564 observed phenotypic integration in the limb skeleton of a fossil tetrapod. *Paleobiology* 39,
565 123–134. 10.1666/0094-8373-39.1.123
- 566 30. Massare, J. A. (1987). Tooth morphology and prey preference of Mesozoic marine reptiles. *J.*
567 *of Vert. Paleontol.* 7, 121-137.
- 568 31. Nicholls, E.L. and Manabe, M. (2004). Giant ichthyosaurs of the Triassic—a new species of
569 *Shonisaurus* from the Pardonet Formation (Norian: Late Triassic) of British Columbia. *J.*
570 *Vert. Paleontol.* 24, 838–849. 10.1671/0272-4634(2004)024[0838:GIOTTN]2.0.CO;2
- 571 32. Sander, P.M., Chen, X., Cheng, L. and Wang, X. (2011). Short-snouted toothless ichthyosaur
572 from China suggests Late Triassic diversification of suction feeding ichthyosaurs. *PLoS ONE*
573 6, e19480. 10.1371/journal.pone.0019480
- 574 33. McGaughey, G., Noble, P., Kelley, N., Irmis, R. and dePolo, P. (2021). Hunting for the latest
575 large-bodied ichthyosaur in the upper Triassic Luning Formation, Mineral County, Nevada,
576 U.S.A. *Geol. Soc. Am. Abst. with Prog.* 53, 11-1.
- 577 34. Stanley, G.D.J. (1979). Paleoecology, structure, and distribution of Triassic coral buildups in
578 western North America. *Univ. Kans. Paleontol. Contrib.* 68, 1–58.
- 579 35. Callaway, J.M. and Massare, J.A. (1989). *Shastasaurus altispinus* (Ichthyosauria,
580 Shastasauridae) from the Upper Triassic of the El Antimonio district, northwestern Sonora,
581 Mexico. *J. Paleontol.* 63, 930–939.
- 582 36. McGowan, C. and Motani, R. *Handbook of Paleoherpetology: Vol. 8 Ichthyopterygia.* (2003)
583 (Verl. Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, Munich).
- 584 37. Adams, T.L. (2009). Deposition and taphonomy of the Hound Island Late Triassic vertebrate
585 fauna: fossil preservation within subaqueous gravity flows. *Palaios* 24, 603–615.
586 10.2110/palo.2009.p09-010r


- 587 38. Slater, G.J., Goldbogen, J.A. and Pyenson, N.D. (2017). Independent evolution of baleen
588 whale gigantism linked to Plio-Pleistocene ocean dynamics. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* 284,
589 20170546. 10.1098/rspb.2017.0546
- 590 39. Jenks, J.F., Monnet, C., Balini, M., Brayard, A. and Meier, M. (2015) Biostratigraphy of
591 Triassic ammonoids. In *Ammonoid Paleobiology: From Macroevolution to Paleogeography*.
592 (Springer, Dordrecht), pp. 329–388.
- 593 40. Motani, R., Jiang, D. Y., Tintori, A., Rieppel, O. and Chen, G. B. (2014). Terrestrial origin of
594 viviparity in Mesozoic marine reptiles indicated by Early Triassic embryonic fossils. *PLoS*
595 *ONE* 9, e88640. 10.1371/journal.pone.0088640
- 596 41. Maxwell, E.E. and Caldwell, M.W. (2003). First record of live birth in Cretaceous
597 ichthyosaurs: closing an 80 million year gap. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* 270. 10.1098/rsbl.2003.0029
- 598 42. Deeming, D., Halstead, L., Manabe, M. and Unwin, D.M. (1993). An ichthyosaur embryo
599 from the Lower Lias (Jurassic: Hettngan) of Somerset, England, with comments on the
600 reproductive biology of ichthyosaurs. *Modern Geology* 18, 423–442.
- 601 43. Corkeron, P.J. and Connor, R.C. (1999). Why do baleen whales migrate? *Mar. Mamm. Sci.*
602 *15*, 1228–1245.
- 603 44. Clapham, P. (2001). Why do baleen whales migrate? *Mar. Mamm. Sci* 17, 432–436.
- 604 45. Vermeij, G.J. (1977). The Mesozoic marine revolution: evidence from snails, predators and
605 grazers. *Paleobiology* 3, 245–258.
- 606 46. Knoll, A.H. and Follows, M.J. (2016). A bottom-up perspective on ecosystem change in
607 Mesozoic oceans. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* 283, 20161755. 10.1098/rspb.2016.1755
- 608 47. Fröbisch, N.B., Fröbisch, J., Sander, P.M., Schmitz, L. and Rieppel, O. (2013).
609 Macropredatory ichthyosaur from the Middle Triassic and the origin of modern trophic
610 networks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci.* 110, 1393–1397. 10.1073/pnas.1216750110
- 611 48. Sander, P.M. et al. (2021). Early giant reveals faster evolution of large body size in
612 ichthyosaurs than in cetaceans. *Science* 374. 10.1126/science.abf5787
- 613 49. Kelley, N.P. and Pyenson, N.D. (2015). Evolutionary innovation and ecology in marine
614 tetrapods from the Triassic to the Anthropocene. *Science* 348. 10.1126/science.aaa3
- 615 50. Massare, J.A. (1988). Swimming capabilities of Mesozoic marine reptiles: implications for
616 method of predation. *Paleobiology* 14, 187–205.
- 617 51. Motani, R., Rothschild, B. M. and Wahl, W. (1999). Large eyeballs in diving ichthyosaurs.
618 *Nature* 402, 747.
- 619 52. Fischer, V., Arkhangelsky, M. S., Uspensky, G. N., Stenshin, I. M., and Godefroit, P. (2014).
620 A new Lower Cretaceous ichthyosaur from Russia reveals skull shape conservatism within
621 Ophthalmosaurinae. *Geol. Mag.* 151, 60-70. 10.1017/S0016756812000994
- 622 53. Oliver, J., Slattery, P., Silberstein, M. and O'Connor, E. (1983). A comparison of gray whale,
623 *Eschrichtius robustus*, feeding in the Bering Sea and Baja California. *Fish. Bull.* (Wash.
624 D.C.) 81, 513–522.
- 625 54. Martindale, R.C., Corsetti, F. A., James, N. P. and Bottjer, D. J. (2015). Paleogeographic
626 trends in Late Triassic reef ecology from northeastern Panthalassa. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 142, 18–
627 37. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.12.004
- 628 55. Geological Society of America (1991). *Rock-Color Chart*. (Geological Society of America,
629 Boulder)
- 630 56. Folk, R.L. (1959). Practical petrographic classification of limestones. *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol.*
631 *Bull.* 43, 1–38.







- 632 57. Dunham, R.J. Classification of carbonate rocks according to depositional texture. (1962)
633 AAPG Mem. *1*, 108–121.
- 634 58. Baccelle, L., and Bosellini, A. (1965). Diagrammi per la stima visiva della composizione
635 percentuale nelle rocce sedimentarie. *Annali dell'Università degli di Ferrara, Sezione IX,*
636 *Scienze Geologiche e Paleontologiche* *4*, 59-62.
- 637 59. Behar, F., Beaumont, V., and Penteadó, H.D.B. (2001). Rock-Eval 6 technology:
638 performances and developments. *Oil Gas Sci. Technol.* *56*, 111–134.
- 639 60. Percival, L. M., Ruhl, M., Hesselbo, S.P., Jenkyns, H.C., Mather, T.M. and Whiteside, J.H.
640 (2017). Mercury evidence for pulsed volcanism during the end-Triassic mass extinction.
641 *Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci.* *114*, 7929–7934. 10.1073/pnas.1705378114
- 642 61. Grasby, S.E., Beauchamp, B., Bond, D.P.G., Wignall, P. and Sanei, H., (2015). Mercury
643 anomalies associated with three extinction events (Capitanian crisis, latest Permian extinction
644 and the Smithian/Spathian extinction) in NW Pangea. *Geol. Mag.* *153*, 285–297.
645 10.1017/S0016756815000436
646

Table 1. West Union Canyon vertebrate fossil specimens by ammonoid zone and taphonomic state.

Taxon	Ammonoid horizon	Taphonomic State		
		Isolated	Associated	Semi-Articulated
<i>Shonisaurus sp.</i>	<i>S. kerri</i>	1	1	
	<i>K. macrolobatus</i>	8	59	9
	<i>K. schucherti</i>	2	1	
Ichthyosauria indet. (cf. <i>Shonisaurus sp.</i>)	<i>K. macrolobatus</i>	19	5	
	<i>K. schucherti</i>	3		
Other Vertebrates				
Chondrichthyes	<i>K. macrolobatus</i>	1		
Actinopterygii	<i>S. kerri</i>	1		
Vertebrata Indet.	<i>S. kerri</i> (or above)	2		







Methods S1

Description of *Shonisaurus* embryonic remains

The majority of *Shonisaurus* occurrences in WUC represent large, skeletally mature individuals, though we note three discrete occurrences representing embryonic or neonatal individuals. NSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-001 (Figures 3f, h; S5d, e) comprises a mass of small bones within the posterior abdomen of the type specimen. This material was alluded to by Camp (ref. S1 and unpublished field notes) but never figured or described in detail. The majority of elements are disarticulated vertebral centra, while others may represent limb or girdle elements as well as fragmentary ribs, however these latter are obscured by matrix and epoxy used to stabilize the fossil and require further preparation or μ CT scanning for confident identification. Camp's unpublished field notes also mention an "embryonic skull" but the current whereabouts of this material is unknown. Three vertebral centra, each approximately 2.5-3.5 cm in diameter and 1 cm in antero-posterior length, were removed for μ CT scanning. Despite being partially obscured by matrix, x-ray imagery revealed shape, proportion and bone texture consistent with the identification of these elements as extremely small *Shonisaurus* vertebra, showing the same high centrum diameter to length ratio of approximately three^{S8} (Table S1). Centra are deeply amphicoelous, with an open notochordal foramen. A distinctive cruciform ossification pattern resembles that reported for other embryonic ichthyosaur centra^{S9} and may reflect their early developmental stage. No evidence of maceration or etching by digestive acids is observed and given their close resemblance to the vertebral morphology of *Shonisaurus* and their placement in the pelvic region of the type specimen these remains are regarded as *in situ* embryos, rather than gut contents. We regard the disarticulation of the embryonic remains to reflect postmortem decomposition and collapse and compression of the adult skeleton before and during burial.

Another small centrum, VM-2014-057-FS-007, found loose in associated collections, was scanned and revealed identical morphology to the *in situ* specimens (Figure S5b).

A very small (approximately 2.25 cm diameter, 1 cm in antero-posterior length) surface-collected, isolated vertebral centrum, UMNH VP 32547 (Figure S5c), found in a different region of the canyon is a close match with those found *in situ* within the type specimen being similar in size and retaining an open notochordal canal. A surface-collected fragmentary jaw (UCMP 290269), from yet another location, was identified in a museum collection of invertebrate specimens collected during the 1990s. This specimen shows a close resemblance with the dentigerous jaw of *Shonisaurus*, bearing teeth with highly infolded roots set in distinct sockets, but is only 2.7 cm long and 0.6 cm in transverse width. This jaw fragment exhibits five alveoli averaging 0.4 cm in diameter separated by thin bony partitions. Two alveoli are occupied by partial teeth with heavily plicated roots (Figures 3, S5a). Although incomplete, both root shape and implantation in sockets closely resembles the condition observed in *Shonisaurus*^{S1}. A prominent neurovascular canal occupies twenty percent of the cross-sectional width of the jaw and contains a mineralized structure, likely a preserved remnant of the Meckelian cartilage (Figures 3, S4 A).

Taxonomic status of *Shonisaurus* and referred material.

Three species of *Shonisaurus* were originally described from WUC^{S1} namely, *S. popularis*, *S. silberlingi* and *S. mulleri*. However, these were later synonymized into a single species, *S. popularis*^{S8} Personal observation of the type material of the three species, along with the *in situ* specimens in Quarry 2 and additional material collected during the present study, confirms notable differences, primarily in humeral morphology, among specimens. Whether these differences represent distinct species, or individual, ontogenic or sexual variation is

beyond the scope of the current study. The original three nominal species occur on different horizons within Luning Formation exposures in WUC, raising the possibility that they represent chronospecies. Until a detailed comparison can be made, we provisionally accept that all *Shonisaurus* remains at WUC can be referred to a single species, *S. popularis*.

A second valid species referred to *Shonsiaurus* is *S. sikanniensis* from the Norian aged Pardonet Formation of British Columbia^{S10}. Although larger, this species resembles *Shonisaurus popularis* in several respects including vertebrae with a relatively high diameter to length ratio (though not as high as *S. popularis*), robust ribs with a pronounced distal expansion, proximally expanded coracoid and a robust subrectangular humerus. Juveniles of *S. sikanniensis* are reported to possess teeth set in individual sockets, as with *S. popularis*, although adults are reportedly edentulous—this is *not* the case for *S. popularis* contra ref. S10. While some phylogenetic analyses have found *S. sikanniensis* and *S. popularis* to be sister taxa^{S11}, consistent with their congeneric status, others do not find them to be closely related^{S12} and some have suggested *S. sikannensis* should be referred to the related but distinct genus *Shastasaurus*^{S13}.

The genus *Shonisaurus* has been reported from a number of additional Triassic-aged localities in western North America^{S1, S14–S16}, however much of this material is fragmentary and most of these remains have only been assigned to *Shonisaurus* sp. Fragmentary remains of large ichthyosaurs with some resemblance to *Shonisaurus* have also been reported from Europe^{S17, S18}, Tibet^{S19} and Australasia^{S20}.

Stratigraphic distribution of *Shonisaurus* at West Union Canyon.

Ichthyosaur fossils were first discovered in the Luning Formation of West Union Canyon (WUC), Nye County, Nevada in the 1930s but were not excavated until the 1950s^{S1}. The original excavations revealed more than thirty specimens all belonging to a single genus of giant

ichthyosaur, *Shonisaurus*. These specimens were distributed across at least ten separate localities spanning two kilometers of WUC (Figures 1, S2, Table S4). The most significant localities were designated quarry numbers by Camp including Quarry 2, now preserved as an *in situ* fossil display and Quarry 5 where the type material was collected. Ichthyosaur fossils are primarily concentrated within the shaly limestone member, in the *Klamathites macrolobatus* ammonoid zone¹⁵ although fragmentary remains have also been reported from the underlying *K. schucherti* zone in the lower portion of the shaly limestone member. Both of these ammonoid zones are regarded as late Carnian in age. Hogler (ref. S2) suggested that ichthyosaur fossils in WUC Luning Formation exposures extended over a broader stratigraphic interval, with a ‘scattered element’ taphofacies extending 200 meters below and 400 meters above a 200-meter-thick ‘articulated skeleton’ taphofacies, although this extension was recently questioned^{S21}. Our results confirm that *Shonisaurus* fossils are most abundant in the *K. macrolobatus* portion of the shaly limestone member but extend down into the *K. schucherti* zone of that member and into the *K. macrolobatus* zone of the overlying calcareous shale member and two specimens were found within the lowermost *S. kerri* zone of the calcareous shale member (Table 1). We also relocated an indeterminate vertebrate fossil within the carbonate member previously reported by one of the authors^{S22}. The spongy texture of this specimen is consistent with an ichthyosaurian identity but it is too incomplete for unambiguous identification.

Additional specimens referred to *Shonisaurus* have been reported from Luning Formation exposures about 70 km to the southwest, in the Pilot Mountain Range of Mineral County, Nevada^{S1}. Recent field work by the authors confirms these reports.

Estimated duration of the *Klamathites macrolobatus* ammonoid zone

The *K. macrolobatus* zone correlates with the Tethyan *Anatropites spinosus* ammonoid biozone^{S21,S23}; though no precise radioisotopic ages are available for this interval, there are magnetostratigraphic records from a number of sites^{S24–SS7}. The *A. spinosus* biozone corresponds to the magnetic polarity zones PM1-PM4 at Pizzo Mondello in Sicily^{S26,S29}, and SB1-SB3 at Silická Brezová in Slovakia^{S25}. These polarity zones correlate to E5n.1r-E7 of the astronomically-tuned Newark-Hartford astrochronostratigraphic polarity time-scale^{S28}, which is 230.1 to 226.8 Ma in age. This age range is also consistent with a high-resolution U-Pb zircon age of 230.91 ± 0.33 Ma from strata in southern Italy underlying the *spinosus* zone^{S23–S30}. Thus, a maximum age duration estimate for the *macrolobatus* zone at BISP is ~3.3 million years.

No geochemical evidence for environmental perturbations

Mercury concentrations have been used by several studies to document increases in large igneous province volcanic activity (see reviews in refs. S31 and S32). However, it cannot be unambiguously stated whether the sedimentary Hg enrichments recorded at Quarry 2 document volcanic eruptions or not. Radioisotopic dating of rocks from the Wrangellian LIP, and osmium-isotope evidence of enhanced magmatism indicate that it formed during the Carnian Stage^{S33,S34}. It should be noted that the main pulse of volcanic activity likely began during the latest Ladinian–earliest Carnian and concluded during the early Carnian^{S35}, significantly prior to the time interval when the *Shonisaurus*-rich strata formed, although the destruction of almost all Triassic oceanic crust means that a continuation of Wrangellian volcanism into the later Carnian cannot be completely ruled out. Crucially however, the main *Shonisaurus* bed at Quarry 2 features one of the lowest Hg concentrations of any stratigraphic layer measured from that site, suggesting that the ichthyosaur deaths did not coincide with a major influx of mercury to that area, whether volcanically sourced or otherwise. It should be noted that Wrangellia LIP was an

oceanic plateau, and studies of similar Cretaceous LIPs have highlighted that submarine volcanism on such provinces can have a limited influence on global mercury cycling^{S36-38}. However, it is known that there were subaerial eruptions associated with the Wrangellia LIP, and Carnian mercury enrichments have been documented from Tethyan sites^{S39}, which were significantly further from Wrangellian volcanism than Quarry 2, although distribution of any submarine Hg emissions may have been influenced by the direction of oceanic currents rather than mere distance from source.

Moreover, the *Shonisaurus* bed at Quarry 2 does not correlate with the most prominent $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursion recorded at that site, which is significantly stratigraphically lower, and may be associated with a change in the type of organic matter being deposited. Alternatively, the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values could reflect disrupted primary productivity or a flux of isotopically light carbon to the ocean-atmosphere system^{S40,S41}. However, there is no evidence for a local perturbation in productivity, and global-scale $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursions that might support increased carbon emissions to the ocean/atmosphere are not known from late Carnian records. Thus, there is no positive geochemical evidence from either carbon isotopes or mercury concentrations that the abrupt burial and preservation of *Shonisaurus* was the result of volcanism, carbon-cycle perturbations, or environmental degradation.

Stratigraphic sections

Written descriptions of stratigraphic sections shown on Figure 1 and Figure S3. Representative thin sections are shown in Figure S4.

Quarry 2

At this outcrop, strata dip 10° to the east; strike of 160° .

Unit 1: 1.65 m – greyish pink (5R 8/2) crystalline rock with granular texture and oxide veins and zones of pale reddish brown (10R 5/4). In hand sample, black opaque cubic crystals are also visible (.5-1 mm diameter). Unit is massive with many diagonal fractures.

Thin section: Dominated by feathery crystalline quartz, with patches of variably-sized crystals of sparry calcite. Common but localized blotchy patches of dark brown opaque mineral. Widespread cubic to rhombohedral opaque (black) mineral that looks very dark red at high magnification. Sometimes these crystals have thin rims of small monocrystalline quartz crystals. Occasional long laminar crystals of ?mica. Occasional thin fractures lined by the blotchy opaque mineral and filled by sparry calcite.

Note: this unit was excluded from the figured stratigraphic column because it appears to be highly altered and the original lithology is not clear.

Unit 2: 1.60 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) mudstone with zones of light grey (N7). Occasional flattened oval dolomitic concretions that are dark grey (N7). Some small oxidized burrows (~1 mm diameter) and poorly preserved molds of unidentified bivalves ~.6 m above the base of the unit.

Unit 3: 0.15 m – moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) dolomite. Pervasive thin (0.5 mm) fractures filled by calcite spar.

Thin section: Approximately 50% siliciclastic mud, 50% small ?dolomite spar crystals, but proportion does vary throughout the section (stratigraphically up and down). Widespread small round opaque crystals similar to the blotchy mineral in Unit 1, but smaller and not as patchy. Rare rounded quartz fine sand grains (<1%) and very rare (<<1%) fossils that are completely recrystallized into monocrystalline calcite spar.

Abundant fractures sub-parallel and sub-perpendicular to bedding; these are filled by sparry calcite.

Unit 4: 0.60 m – olive grey (5Y 3/2) mudstone, not calcareous. Some small (~1 mm) unidentified bivalve fossils.

Unit 5: 0.25 m – highly weathered unit, probably same as Unit 4? Moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) mudstone.

Unit 6: 0.80 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with white (N9) veins of dolomite spar, 1-5 mm thick. This unit is massive with no bedding planes observed, and also fractured. Unidentified bivalves present in the upper 10 cm of the unit. Bivalve specimen preserved as UMNH IP 7003.

Thin section: Micrite matrix that has been recrystallized into microspar, with 25-30% skeletal grains (fossils). Most skeletal grains are recrystallized into spar, and some have dark brown mud fill. A couple skeletal grains are the core of peloids, and there are a number of skeletal grains that form the core of recrystallized oncoids; both have clear thin dark boundaries. Skeletal grains are poorly sorted; bivalves are disarticulated, often broken, and often at a high angle to bedding (both convex up and convex down). Skeletal grains include gastropods, bivalves, brachiopods, and echinoderms. A single ornamented shell might be a brachiopod. There are a few ~.5 mm multi-chambered skeletal grains that might be foraminifera, but its difficult to tell; they could also be oblique views of small gastropods. Occasional very small crystals of an opaque mineral. Pervasive spar-filled fractures at a high angle to bedding. A few highly recrystallized areas have stylolitic borders that are sub-perpendicular to bedding. Sparse biomicrite/packstone.

Unit 7: 0.35 m – olive grey (5Y 3/2) slightly calcareous mudstone. Deeply weathered and modern roots are found throughout.

Unit 8: 0.40 m – dark grey (N3) limestone, less dolomitized than other carbonate units. White dolomite spar veins similar to Unit 6.

Thin section: Micrite matrix recrystallized into microspar; these spar crystals are a little larger than in Unit 6. 10-15% skeletal grains, some of which are recrystallized, and some of which retain original structure. Poorly sorted skeletal grains; bivalves are disarticulated and tend to be broken, and oriented at various angles to bedding. Occasional dark brown mud-filled gastropods and rounded clasts of mud that contains a few spar crystals (peloids?). Skeletal grains include bivalves, gastropods, and echinoderms. Very pervasive fractures, mostly close to perpendicular to bedding, some have stylolitic borders. Fossiliferous to sparse micrite/mudstone to wackestone.

Unit 9: 0.45 m – dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2) mudstone. Pervasive modern roots.

Unit 10: 0.25 m – medium dark grey (N4) dolomite. Massive; no visible bedding. Specimen of *cf. Tropites* sp. (UMNH IP 7004) found in trench is from either this horizon or Unit 12.

Thin section: Micrite matrix that is recrystallized into very small microspar crystals (like Unit 6), with ~15-20% skeletal grains. Most skeletal grains are recrystallized into spar, and include bivalves, gastropods, and echinoderms. Skeletal grains often infilled by even smaller microspar than matrix. Poorly sorted skeletal grains, but dominated by grains smaller than .5 mm. Bivalves are disarticulated and tend to be broken, but are generally sub-parallel to bedding. Abundant very small crystals of opaque minerals that are sometimes slightly dark red; shape varies from rounded to sub-

rectangular. Fractures still present throughout and sub-perpendicular to bedding, but less common than in Units 3, 6, 8. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 11: 0.32 m – greyish orange (10YR 7/4) to pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) calcareous mudstone.

Unit 12: 0.05 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with white spar-filled fractures. Specimen of cf. *Tropites* sp. (UMNH IP 7004) found in trench is from either this horizon or Unit 10.

Unit 13: 0.40 m – mottled moderate orange pink (5YR 8/4) and yellowish grey (5Y 7/2) moderately calcareous mudstone with faint wispy laminations. Small fragments of shell are visible with hand lens.

Unit 14: 0.05 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with white spar filling fractures. Appears to be altered and contain possible dissolution features.

Unit 15: 0.80 m – light olive grey (5Y 5/2) moderately calcareous mudstone.

Unit 16: 2.93 m – COVERED. [NW wall of quarry shelter]

Unit 17: 0.20 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) slightly calcareous mudstone, breaks into tabular pieces, but no visible laminations or clear bedding planes.

Unit 18*: 0.20 to 0.30 m – Dark grey (N3) dolomite, massive with no clear bedding. Contains fractures 1-2 cm wide filled by white dolomite spar. Sparse invertebrate fossils visible in hand sample. Bones are very large but appear to be in the middle and upper part of the unit. *Bonebed*.

Thin section: ~40% skeletal grains; some layers preserve original dark brown micrite, whereas others are recrystallized into variously-sized spar. Most skeletal grains are *not* recrystallized - some are infilled with microspar, whereas others are infilled with dark brown micrite mud. Overall it is poorly sorted, but most bivalves are in a similar

size class (2-3 mm long). Skeletal grains dominated by bivalves (mostly sub-parallel to bedding), but also include abundant gastropods and some echinoderms. Bivalves are disarticulated, some are complete, some broken, and both convex up and convex down. Just a few spar-filled fractures both sub-parallel and sub-perpendicular to bedding. Sparse biomicrite/packstone.

Exposed bonebed expresses numerous small faults with 10-50 cm of offset (see main text Figure 2), so this should be taken into consideration when assessing the accuracy of this measured section.

Unit 19: 1.0 m – COVERED. [SE wall of quarry shelter]

Unit 20: 0.10 m – Pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) moderately calcareous siltstone, breaks into tabular pieces, but no visible bedding planes.

Unit 21: 0.20 m – very pale orange (10YR 8/2) moderately calcareous mudstone, weakly laminated.

Unit 22: 0.20 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with 1-2 mm wide fractures filled by dolomite spar.

In situ specimen of Ammonoidea indet. (UMNH IP 7005) 10 cm above base of unit.

Thin section: Spar matrix, probably originally micrite, but a bit larger crystals than in previous units. ~10-20% skeletal grains, mostly recrystallized disarticulated bivalves, though there are a few echinoderm elements. Most shell pieces are broken and sub-perpendicular to bedding. Poorly sorted skeletal grains. There appears to be a vertical burrow-like structure that is filled with micrite towards the bottom, and spar towards the top. The structure also includes small bivalve shells, which are recrystallized in the spar, but it's difficult to tell their condition in the micrite. A few spar-filled cracks both sub-perpendicular and sub-parallel to bedding, one of which has stylolitic borders

for part of its length. Folk classification depends on whether the spar matrix is primary; it is either a sparse biomicrite or unsorted biosparite. If original matrix was micrite, Dunham classification is wackestone.

Unit 23: 0.27 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) siltstone, not calcareous. Highly fractured with veins of dolomite spar.

Unit 24: 0.15 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite; spar-filled fractures are present, but less apparent than in other units.

Thin section: Microspar matrix with some interstitial original micrite. Skeletal grains very rare (<<1%), with only 2-3 recrystallized small disarticulated broken bivalves that are sub-perpendicular to bedding. Pervasive fine spar-filled fractures that are mostly sub-perpendicular to or 45-60° to bedding. Micrite/mudstone.

Unit 25: 0.20 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) moderately calcareous mudstone, weakly laminated. Unit is deeply weathered.

Unit 26: 0.35 m – dark grey (N3) limestone; dolomite spar-filled fractures from .1 mm to 1 cm wide are present, but not common.

Thin section: Microspar matrix with some interstitial original micrite (similar to Unit 24). Skeletal grains are rare (1-5%; high end of that is localized), all are small broken disarticulated bivalves that are sub-perpendicular to bedding or otherwise at a high angle to bedding. There appear to be a few elliptically-shaped peloids, but they have irregular borders – possibly from the recrystallized spar crystals surrounding them. Very pervasive extremely fine spar-filled fractures, most of which are sub-parallel to bedding. Fossiliferous micrite/mudstone.

Unit 27: 1.65 m – Pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) mudstone, weakly laminated. Slightly concave bedding planes are weakly expressed. 2-5 cm carbonate-rich, more resistant beds present in unit. At ~ 1.1 m above the base of the unit, there is a sub-horizontal 2-3 cm thick dolomite spar vein.

Unit 28: 0.15 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with many moderate red (5R 5/4) zones that appear to be altered and contain fracture planes.

Thin section: Matrix is ~50% micrite and ~50% very small microspar, with slightly higher proportions of micrite in the darker areas of the rock. ~20-30% skeletal grains, nearly all of which are recrystallized; they are sub-parallel to bedding and mostly concave up. Bivalves are most abundant, but gastropods and echinoderms are not uncommon. Skeletal grains are poorly sorted. Bivalves are disarticulated and mostly broken. A few cubic opaque minerals that are dark red at high magnification. Very pervasive spar-filled fractures that are mostly sub-perpendicular and sub-parallel to bedding, but also at other angles. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Quarry 5

At this outcrop, strata dip 21° to the east; strike of 38°.

Unit 1: 0.67 m – greyish black (N2) dolomite with very fine (<1 mm) spar-filled fractures. Many of these fractures imitate bedding planes, but are actually perpendicular to bedding. Unit is massive, with no fossils visible in hand sample.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with wispy dark zones, and ~5% skeletal grains (fossils), except the top tenth of the thin sections where skeletal grain abundance is 10-

15% (Baccelle & Bosellini 1965). Most retain their original internal structure, though some larger bivalves are recrystallized. Skeletal grains include mollusc bivalves and echinoderms. Broken single valves of mollusc bivalves parallel/sub-parallel to bedding are the most common skeletal grain, though there are a few articulated bivalves. Some parts of the thin section have wispy/cloudy zones of spar that gradually merge into the micrite, and sometimes almost have a stylolitic shape, but at high angle to bedding. Occasional very thin vertical to sub-vertical spar-filled fractures. Fossiliferous micrite/mudstone.

Unit 2: 0.62 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) calcareous mudstone. No apparent bedding planes, and breaks into blocky chunks.

Unit 3: 0.03 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with angled fractures but none appear to be spar-filled (at least in hand sample).

Thin section: The entire sample shows variation from micrite to tiny microspar. The upper quarter and very bottom of the thin section contain 20-30% skeletal grains. About half of the skeletal grains are recrystallized, and about half of the small gastropods are filled by dark brown mud (no spar). Skeletal grains include mollusc bivalves, gastropods, and echinoderms, with the bivalves and gastropods by far the most common. Some smaller bivalves are articulated, but single valves of all sizes are more abundant. Skeletal grains show no preferred orientation. The grain size distribution is bimodal, with some large mollusc bivalves, but the gastropods, echinoderms and smaller bivalves in a single size class. This part of the thin section and the zone immediately below it contain abundant crystals of an opaque/very dark red mineral that are sometimes cubic/rhombic. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone. The rest of the thin section contains <1% skeletal grains,

comprising both bivalve fragments and echinoderms. Dismicrite/mudstone. The thin section sample contains just a couple very thin spar-filled fractures at various angles to bedding.

Unit 4: 0.85 m – moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) calcareous mudstone. No bedding visible, occasional wispy laminations, and breaks into blocky pieces 2-3 mm wide dark grey shell fragments are present.

Unit 5: 0.83 m – greyish black (N2) dolomite with many spar-filled fractures sub-perpendicular to bedding; these range in size from <1 mm to .5 cm.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with ~5-30% skeletal grains. Grains show both original and recrystallized internal structure. Most are mollusc bivalves and echinoderms, with just a few gastropods. The skeletal grains are moderately to poorly sorted, with some bivalves subparallel to bedding but most other grains show no preferred orientation. Most molluscs are broken single valves. A moderate number of thin, sub-vertical spar-filled fractures are present. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 6: 0.50 m – medium yellowish brown (10YR 5/2) calcareous mudstone; no apparent bedding planes. 2-4 mm wide dark grey shell fragments present.

Unit 7: 0.27 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite, massive. No spar-filled fractures apparent in hand sample, but some un-filled fractures sub-perpendicular to bedding. Contains *Shonisaurus* specimen UMNH VP 32545, and associated in situ ammonoids *Tropites subquadratus* (UMNH IP 7017), *Tropites crassicosatus* (UMNH IP 7018, 7019, 7020, 7023, 7024, 7026), *Tropites* sp. (UMNH IP 7021, 7022), and cf. *Tropithisbites densicosatus* (UMNH IP 7025).

Thin section: Micrite matrix with 30-40% skeletal grains. Most grains retain internal structure, though some larger molluscs show partial recrystallization (with sparry matrix along some edges). Skeletal grain include mollusc bivalves, gastropods, rarer echinoderms, and one big ammonoid. Small (~1 mm) gastropods and bivalves are particular abundant. Larger bivalves are disarticulated with often broken edges, but a third to half of the small bivalves are articulated. Skeletal grains at the top of the thin section show some sub-parallel orientation (bivalve pieces), but the rest of the sample shows no preferred orientation. Some of the small molluscs are infilled with a much darker micrite mud than the main matrix. Peloids are fairly abundant infilling the large ammonoid, but occasionally present throughout the thin section. Spar-filled fractures are rare, and when present, are sub-vertical. There is one dark, interdigitating sub-vertical fracture that almost looks stylolitic. Sparse biomicrite/packstone.

Unit 8: 0.20 m – dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2) calcareous mudstone. Some wispy laminations and small shell fragments. Deeply weathered with abundant modern roots.

Unit 9: 0.10 m – dark grey (N3) silty dolomite. No apparent bedding.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with pervasive patches of microspar, 10-25% skeletal grains. Skeletal grains dominated by mollusc bivalves and echinoderms, with rare gastropods. Most bivalves are disarticulated, but there are small (<1 mm) articulated bivalves. Larger but thin-shelled mollusc bivalves are prevalent with broken edges. Most skeletal grains show some degree of recrystallization, but some of the larger bivalves preserve original textures, whereas the small articulated bivalves are often completely recrystallized (including infilling matrix). Skeletal grains mostly display sub-parallel to

low angle orientation with bedding. Occasional peloids. Some thin, spar-filled fractures at ~30 degrees from vertical. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 10: 0.47 m – pale red (10R 6/2) calcareous mudstone slowly grading up into pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2). Some wispy laminations and very small (<1 mm) dark grey shell fragments.

Unit 11: 0.20 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with wispy mottles of dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6). Some shells visible in hand sample cross-section on freshly-broken surfaces.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with many zones recrystallized into spar. 20-30% skeletal grains. Abundant mollusc bivalves of varying sizes, small gastropods (<2 mm), and echinoderms. Small bivalves and gastropods are typically recrystallized, but most echinoderms and larger bivalves display original structure, but often with adjacent recrystallized matrix. Larger bivalves are always disarticulated and often broken, but small bivalves (<1 mm) are articulated. The small bivalves and gastropods are often infilled by a very dark brown micrite mud that stands out in plain light. Most elongate skeletal grains are oriented sub-parallel with bedding. A few thin, vertical spar-filled cracks. Sparse biomicrite/packstone.

Unit 12: 1.40 m – dusky yellowish brown (10YR 2/2) calcareous mudstone with wispy laminations of dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6). Some layers contain 1-2 mm shell fragments. *Shonisaurus* specimen NVSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-002 is from approximately 1.1 m above the base of this unit.

Unit 13: 0.50 m – moderate olive brown (5Y 4/4) calcareous siltstone with no apparent bedding; breaks into block pieces. Basal 5 cm is more carbonate rich, is dark yellowish orange (10YR 6/6) and contains shells up to 6-7 mm wide (<1 mm thick). *Shonisaurus*

specimens NVSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-001 and NVSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-003 are most likely from near the top of this unit, but could also have been found in Unit 14.

Unit 14: 0.20 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with black shell fragments visible both on fresh broken surfaces and orange-colored weathered surfaces. Contains abundant ichthyosaur bone fragments, a chondrichthyan fin spine (UMNH VP 32541), and ammonoids including *Tropites* sp. (UMNH IP 7006, 7007), cf. *Discophyllites ebneri* (UMNH IP 7008), and *Arcestes* sp. (UMNH IP 7009).

Thin section: Micrite matrix with pervasive patches of microspar and a few zones of larger spar, 30-45% skeletal grains. Dominated by disarticulated & broken elongate, thin mollusc bivalves of varying sizes with subordinate small (<2 mm) articulated bivalves and rare echinoderms and small gastropods. A few disarticulated valves of ornamented bivalves as well. Possibly one brachiopod valve (hard to tell because of recrystallization). Grain size distribution trends towards being bimodal, though there is quite a bit of variation with the size of the larger thin mollusc bivalves. Most skeletal grains are partially or completely recrystallized. Elongate skeletal grains are largely sub-parallel to bedding, but there are zones where they show a more chaotic orientation. Some globular crystals of opaque/very dark red mineral, both isolated and in groups. Some very thin sub-vertical spar-filled fractures. Sparse biomicrite/packstone.

Unit 15: 0.85 m – moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) slightly calcareous mudstone. No apparent bedding and breaks into tabular blocky pieces. Contains variably-sized (2 mm to 1 cm) black shell fragments.

Unit 16: 0.10 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite, massive, with occasional very thin (<1 mm) spar-filled fractures that are both sub-parallel and sub-perpendicular to bedding. No invertebrate fossils visible in hand sample.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with wispy dark zones and 1-5% skeletal grains. Isolated small zones of microspar. Skeletal grains dominated by very small (<1 mm) articulated mollusc bivalves, isolated echinoderm elements, and a few broken disarticulated thin bivalves. No apparent preferred orientation. Most skeletal grains except some echinoderms are recrystallized. Some very thin spar-filled fractures both sub-parallel to bedding and ~45° from bedding. Fossiliferous micrite/mudstone.

Unit 17: 0.50 m – pale yellowish brown (10YR 6/2) calcareous mudstone. Massive, and harder than most other mudstones in section.

Unit 18: 0.25 m – moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) mudstone with carbonate in matrix. Occasional 1-2 mm shell fragments.

Unit 19: 0.10 m – medium dark grey (N4) dolomite; massive, with no visible bedding planes. Rare spar-filled fractures perpendicular to bedding. Abundant greyish black (N2) shells visible on freshly-broken surfaces and ammonoids. Collected ammonoid specimens UMNH VP 7012, 7013, 7014, and 7015 come from either this unit or Unit 21.

Thin section: Was originally micrite matrix, but now so heavily recrystallized in microspar that it can be difficult to distinguish even skeletal grains. 10-30% skeletal grains, almost all recrystallized, including variously-sized disarticulated and broken mollusc bivalves, small gastropods and articulated bivalves, and echinoderms. Some zones with skeletal grains sub-parallel to bedding whereas others show a high angle to bedding or no preferred orientation. Opaque/very dark red mineral pervasive throughout,

whether as very small crystals dispersed in matrix, blobs replacing parts of skeletal grains, larger cubic/rhombic crystals, and lining/filling sub-vertical fractures. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 20: 0.15 m – dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2) calcareous mudstone. No apparent bedding planes. Thin (<1 mm) and wide (2-5 mm) shells are abundant.

Unit 21: 0.40 m – greyish black (N2) dolomite with some white (N9) spar-filled fractures that are 2 mm to 1 cm wide and sub-perpendicular to bedding. Weathered top surfaces of this unit display abundant shells. Collected ammonoid specimens UMNH VP 7012, 7013, 7014, and 7015 come from either this unit or Unit 19.

Thin section: Almost completely microspar matrix with just a few areas of micrite. 10-20% skeletal grains, dominated by elongate, thin, broken, disarticulated mollusc bivalves and rarer gastropods, though recrystallization makes identification difficult. A single large recrystallized ammonoid filled by large spar crystals. Skeletal grains are mostly sub-parallel to bedding, but a zone infilling the ammonoid displays sub-vertical bivalve pieces. Somewhat abundant small globular crystals of opaque/very dark red mineral. Abundant thin sub-vertical spar-filled fractures. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 22: 0.27 m – olive grey (5Y 3/2) calcareous mudstone with no apparent bedding planes. Contains 2-3 mm fragments of dark grey shell.

Unit 23: 0.12 m – brownish grey (5Y4 4/1) mudstone with weak laminations, not calcareous.

Unit 24: 0.58 m – very dusky red (10R 2/2) calcareous mudstone with no bedding and breaks into block pieces. Preserves large, complete black bivalve shells.

Unit 25: 0.10 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite. No observable bedding or spar-filled fractures.

Thin section: Similar to unit 19. Almost completely microspar matrix with just one zone of original micrite. Hard to distinguish skeletal grains in some areas because of recrystallization, but concentration appears to vary between 5-20%. Predominantly elongate thin-shelled broken disarticulated mollusc bivalves, but also echinoderms, gastropods, and small articulated bivalve. Some zones show some weak preferred orientation sub-parallel to bedding whereas others show no apparent preferred orientation. Abundant opaque/very dark red mineral throughout as small crystals dispersed in matrix and blobs replacing parts of skeletal grains, but particularly pervasive in uppermost layer where it also forms larger blobs and subhedral crystals. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 26: 0.50 m – pale red (10R 6/2) very calcareous mudstone. No apparent bedding and breaks into blocky pieces. Some horizons contain small (2-3 mm) shell fragments.

Quarry 6

At this outcrop, strata dip 40° to the east; strike of 56°.

Unit 1: 0.34 m – moderate olive brown (5Y 4/4) calcareous mudstone with occasional wispy laminations.

Unit 2: 0.10 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with wisps of very pale orange (10YR 8/2) to pale yellowish orange (10YR 8/6) and ~1 to 8 mm wide bluish white (5B 9/1) spar-filled fractures sub-perpendicular to bedding. Unit breaks into flaggy pieces, but no observed bedding planes. Weather top-surfaces of bed display abundant black shell fragments.

Thin section: Micrite matrix, with a majority having been recrystallized into microspar, and 30-35% skeletal grains. Most skeletal grains are recrystallized, but some

retain original internal structure, and the small gastropods are filled by dark brown mud (no spar). Skeletal grains include mollusc bivalves, echinoderms, and gastropods, with bivalves being most abundant and largest in size. The skeletal grains are poorly sorted, but bivalves are typically parallel to sub-parallel to bedding, with a few zones where they are at higher angle because they have been “crunched” together prior to lithification. Occasional very small crystals of an opaque/very dark red mineral. A number of nearly vertical spar-filled fractures. Sparse biomicrite (though not too far from packed biomicrite)/packstone.

Unit 3: 1.49 m – olive grey (5Y 3/2) calcareous mudstone with very weak occasional laminations. Black shell fragments (1-3 mm) present in some horizons. This is the most likely unit to have produced the *Shonisaurus* specimens NVSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-009-001 and UMNH VP 32538.

Unit 4: 0.25 m – greyish black (N2) dolomite with bluish white (5B 9/1) spar-filled fractures at various angles. No bedding planes visible. Ichthyosaur bone fragments present.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with dark wispy laminations, isolated small microspar crystals, and 10-20% skeletal grains (varies throughout section). The skeletal grains are moderately to poorly sorted, and at a moderate to high angle to bedding; all are recrystallized. Skeletal grains are dominated by bivalves, with some gastropods and possibly a few echinoderms (hard to tell because of recrystallization). About half of the bivalves are small articulated specimens, and the other half are disarticulated larger valves. Moderate to pervasive very thin spar-filled fractures at a high angle to bedding, with occasional large spar-filled fractures parallel to bedding. Sparse biomicrite/wackestone.

Unit 5: 0.38 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with some horizontal bedding and breaks into flat 1-2 cm thick pieces.

Thin section: Middle third of thin section is almost identical to Unit 4, except that the skeletal grains are parallel/sub-parallel to bedding, fining upwards, with an abrupt lower boundary. The rest of the thin section is micrite matrix with abundant but isolated small microspar crystals, and 3-5% recrystallized skeletal grains. These skeletal grains are almost exclusively bivalves, mostly parallel to bedding, and often broken. This part of the thin section is a fossiliferous micrite/mudstone. Occasional spar-filled fractures at high angle to bedding cross-cut the entire thin section.

Unit 6: 0.54 m – olive grey (5Y 4/2) slightly calcareous mudstone. No visible laminations or bedding planes, but breaks into blocky tabular pieces.

Unit 7: 0.01 m – olive grey (5Y 3/2) calcareous mudstone with large (~5 mm thick) spar-filled fracture that is very light grey (N8). Harder than underlying and overlying unit.

Unit 8: 1.25 m – moderate olive grey (5Y 4/2) very calcareous mudstone. No visible bedding, but breaks into blocky/tabular pieces.

Unit 9: 0.05 m – medium dark grey (N4) dolomite with very light grey (N8) spar-filled fractures up to 7 mm wide. No apparent bedding or fossils visible in hand sample.

Thin section: Micrite matrix with 50% small microspar crystals (?dolomite) and less than 1% skeletal grains (except at very top of thin section, where skeletal grains are a bit more abundant). All skeletal grains are heavily recrystallized, making identification difficult; those that can be ID'd are small articulated mollusc bivalves at various angles to

bedding. Moderate number of spar-filled fractures at high angle to bedding.

Dismicrite/mudstone.

Unit 10: 0.80 m – moderate yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very calcareous mudstone. No apparent bedding but breaks into blocky/tabular pieces. Small (2-3 mm) dark grey shell fragments sometimes present.


Unit 11: 0.15 m – dark grey (N3) dolomite with blotches of pale yellowish orange (10YR 8/6), and extensive bluish white (5B 9/1) spar-filled fractures that are often sub-perpendicular to bedding.

Thin section: Nearly completely recrystallized into microspar, with less than 10% micrite. Skeletal grains appear as recrystallized “ghosts”; abundance estimate and identification is thus very difficult, but probably between 5-20% skeletal grains that include bivalves and other unidentified fossils. Stylolites are present in a couple of zones. Very pervasive spar-filled fractures at both low and high angle to bedding. If the original matrix was micrite, then would have been a sparse biomicrite/wackestone.


A



B



C



D




Figure S1. Interpretation of Quarry 2, related to Figure 2 and S2. (A) Raw orthographic composite photo of Quarry 2; (B) Detailed map of Quarry 2 based on 3D scanning data and detailed observations of the site. Colors follow Figures 2 and 3. Dashed lines depict faults. Abbreviations: cau. vert. – caudal vertebrae; cerv. vert. – cervical vertebrae; cor – coracoid; dor. vert – dorsal vertebrae; fe – femur; fib – fibula; hum – humerus; il – ilium; isch – ischium; pub – pubis; rad – radius; rle – radiale; sac. vert. – sacral vertebrae; scap – scapula; tib – tibia; ul – ulna; (C) Quarry map made during the original excavations adapted from ref. S1. Note that not all skeletons had been fully excavated when this map was made; (D) Diagrammatic quarry map adapted from ref. S2.




Figure S2. Additional WUC Quarries, related to Figures 1, 2 and S1. (A) Map of Quarry 3 specimens scattered across a broad area in the vicinity of Quarry 2, grid is 10 m by 10 m; **(B)** Map of Quarry 5, including type series ('Specimens A-C') note and one additional specimen UMNH VP 32545 partially excavated during recent field seasons. Note that not all specimens had been fully excavated with this map was made; **(C)** Block diagram of type specimen, VM-2014-057-FS-00, created during excavation, arrow indicates where embryonic remains were observed in block 11. Blue shading is same element in panels b. and c. to assist the reader in registering these two maps with each other. All maps adapted from unpublished documents housed in the archives of the University of California Museum of Paleontology.







Figure S3. Additional geochemical data from WUC, related to Figure 1. (A) Cross-plot of total organic carbon content (TOC) and organic $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ isotopic values for bulk samples from Quarry 2 and Quarry 5 (see main text Figure 1); (B) Box plots of the range of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ values from different depositional environments in Carnian strata, re-drafted and modified from Jin et al.^{S3} Grey dots are individual outlier values. Data sources are 1: Williford et al.^{S4}, 2: Jin et al.^{S3}, 3: Sun et al.^{S5}, 4: this paper, 5: Dal Corso et al.^{S6}, and 6: Dal Corso et al.^{S7}; (C) Measured stratigraphic section for Quarry 6; (D) Mercury (Hg) concentration data for Quarry 2 (see main text figures 1–2). Note that the different TOC values for ‘%TOC’ and ‘%TOC RockEval’ are because the latter were measured prior to acid digestion of the sample, whereas the former were measured after digestion. Importantly, both sets of measurements show the same trends when used to normalize Hg concentrations.

A 2 mm




base of tooth showing thecodont attachment and infolded dentine (picidentine)




Meckelian canal and preserved remnant Meckelian cartilage

B 2 mm




open notochordal canal

C 2 mm



E



2 mm

2 mm

F



G



H



2 mm

Figure S4. Photographs and μ CT scans of additional *Shonisaurus* embryo specimens and petrographic thin sections, related to Figures 1 and 3. (A) Partial jaw UCMP 290269 identified in collections; (B) Isolated vertebra VM-2014-057-FS-007 identified in collections; (C) isolated vertebra UMNH VP 32547 collected in the field; (D) Closeup of *in situ* embryonic centra from *Shonisaurus* type specimen, VM-2014-057-FS-001, “Specimen A” of Camp, removed for CT scanning (compare Figure 3h); (E) μ CT scans of centra shown in panel D; (F) Main bonebed horizon in Quarry 2; (G) UMNH VP 32545 horizon in Quarry 5 (see main text Figure 1); (H) NVSMLV VM-2014-057-FS-001 (*Shonisaurus popularis* holotype) and VM-2014-057-FS-003 horizon in Quarry 5 (see main text Figure 1). Left side of each thin section is in cross-polarized light, right side is in plain polarized light. Arrow indicates stratigraphic up.

Locality	Specimen	Centrum width	Centrum Length	Humerus Length	Coracoid Length
Quarry 2 (Display Quarry)	Specimen I	28.8	7.1	44.5	50.85
	Specimen II	28.8	7.2		
	Specimen III	21	6.5	31.5	36.4
	Specimen IV	21.3	7	31.1	31.8
	Specimen Vb	21.4	6.8	37.8	35.7
	Specimen VIc	23.4	9.6		
	Specimen VII	24.4	8.2		
Quarry 5 (Type Locality)	Specimen A*	22	7.5	40	47
	Specimen B*	18	6	37	39
	UMNH VP 32545	20	6		
	VM-2014-057-FS-010-001**	3	1		
	VM-2014-057-FS-001**	3.5	1.25		
Other Locality	Vertebra from Quarry 6*	27.5	9		
	UMNH VP 32539			41	50
	UMNH VP 32547**	2.25	1		
	VM-2014-057-FS-006-001*			36	
	UMNH VP 32546	21	6.5		
	UMNH VP 32544	21	6.8		

* Measurement taken from ref 6.

** Denotes embryo/neonate

Table S1. Measurements of selected specimens, related to Figure 3. All units are cm.

Locality	Sample	Stratigraphic Position (m)	TOC (Wt%)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$ (‰) (VPDB)	TOC _{RockEval} (Wt%)	Hg (ppb)	Hg/TOC	Hg/TOC _{RockEval}
Quarry 2	BISP-02-01	0.15	0.2	-24.3	0.1	116	629.1	1160.0
	BISP-02-02	0.6	0.8	-26.0		24	29.0	
	BISP-03-01	1.68	0.4	-25.4	0.16	37	99.2	231.3
	BISP-04-01	2.05	0.2	-24.8	0.16	122	720.9	762.5
	BISP-06-01	3	3.7	-27.4	0.16	2.6	0.7	16.3
	BISP-06-02	3.3	2.1	-28.1	0.11	6.4	3.1	58.2
	BISP-08-01	3.95	2.1	-26.3	0.13	16	7.8	123.1
	BISP-10-01	4.73	0.9	-25.1		22	25.9	
	BISP-11-01	4.9	0.4	-25.3		84	207.2	
	BISP-13-01	5.42	0.3	-24.7	0.11	67	240.8	609.1
	BISP-15-01	5.87	0.3	-24.5		69	239.3	
	BISP-15-02	6.17	0.3	-24.6		83.5	246.7	
	BISP-17-01	9.45	0.3	-25.2	0.1	92	361.0	920.0
	BISP-18-01	9.7	0.5	-26.7		15.5	28.5	
	BISP-20-01	10.9	0.6	-26.0		74.5	128.0	
	BISP-21-01	11.05	0.6	-26.8		139.5	222.8	
	BISP-22-01	11.2	1.8	-26.1	0.16	54	29.4	337.5
	BISP-24-01	11.67	3.5	-27.0		22.5	6.4	
	BISP-26-01	12.07	3.9	-26.7		26.5	6.8	
	BISP-27-01	12.82	0.3	-25.6	0.24	101	297.0	420.8
BISP-27-02	13.62	0.4	-25.7		86.5	231.2		
Quarry 5	BISP-16-11	0.57	2.0	-24.8				
	BISP-16-12	1.07	0.3	-24.2				
	BISP-16-14	1.62	0.3	-23.5				
	BISP-16-15	2.52	1.7	-24.9				
	BISP-16-16	3.25	0.3	-25.2				
	BISP-16-17	3.55	2.8	-26.1				
	BISP-16-19	4.17	0.3	-26.2				
	BISP-16-20	4.69	0.9	-24.8				
	BISP-16-21	5.74	0.3	-25.3				
	BISP-16-22	6.34	0.2	-24.9				
	BISP-16-23	6.74	0.4	-26.0				
	BISP-16-24	7.24	1.2	-25.4				
	BISP-16-25	7.74	1.0	-24.7				
	BISP-16-26	8.19	0.4	-25.3				
	BISP-16-27	8.39	0.3	-25.4				
	BISP-16-28	8.59	0.4	-25.0				
	BISP-16-29	8.99	4.4	-26.7				
	BISP-16-30	9.29	0.8	-25.1				
	BISP-16-31	9.87	1.0	-25.8				
	BISP-16-32	10.21	0.8	-26.3				

Table S2. Quarries 2 and 5 bulk total organic carbon (TOC), organic carbon stable isotope ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{org}}$), and, for Quarry 2 only, mercury (Hg) concentration data, related to Figure 1 and Figure S3.

	SkU	Camp Spec. ID	Ref. S2 ID#	Ref. S1 Spec.#	Description & comments	Status & Current Specimen #s
Quarry 1	*	-	-		Crushed but largely complete skull and associated limb elements, excavated by Camp in 1953. Formerly on display in Visitor's quarry. Current status unknown.	Unknown
Quarry 2 (Fossil Shelter)	I*	Specs. A (part) & D	1		Largest and most complete specimen in Visitor's quarry including posterior skull, girdles and proximal limbs, most of axial skeleton except distal tail, ribs. Orientation originally misinterpreted by Camp. Anterior skull may continue below quarry wall.	On display at BISP
	II*	"front paddle"	-		Cluster of large, fully disarticulated but tightly packed vertebrae, apparently not derived from nearby SU I. Originally misinterpreted by Camp as front paddle bones.	On display at BISP
	III*	Spec. C	2		Smallest individual on display based on femoral length & vertebral diameter. Largely complete and associated but disarticulated. Anterior skull terminates at quarry boundary and distalmost portion missing. Tail may extend below quarry wall. Jaw preserves at least four alveoli.	On display at BISP
	IV*	Spec. A (part)	3		Anterior half of skeleton. Moderately disarticulated. Terminates mid-torso at quarry boundary and posterior half is missing. Originally interpreted by Camp as connected to SU I.	On display at BISP
	Va*	-	4		Posterior torso and pelvic girdle, moderately disarticulated but associated. Absent from Camp's published quarry map (Camp 1980, Figure 2) but seems to be in situ and revealed by later excavation.	On display at BISP
	Vb*	-	5		Anterior torso (including cervical vertebrae) and pectoral girdle, moderately disarticulated but associated. Absent from Camp's published quarry map (Camp 1980, Figure 2) but seems to be in situ and revealed by later excavation	On display at BISP
	Vc*	-	7		Anterior skull, crushed. Absent from Camp's published quarry map (Camp 1980, Figure 2). excavated later by Sam Welles? On display at Visitor's quarry. Aligned with Vb but cut by fault, posterior skull missing. Faulted upward & weathered away before discovery?	On display at BISP
	Via*	Spec. F	8		Semi-articulated dorsal vertebrae and ribs, as well as a portion of a proximal forelimb sheared by a fault. This specimen was considered by Camp to be "18 inches" (~46 cm) higher than the main quarry level. This specimen has been extensively shorn up with cement along a highly faulted and fractured portion of the quarry.	On display at BISP
	Vlb*	Spec. B	9		Disarticulated dorsal vertebrae and ribs on a lower, down faulted level. Several vertebrae are aligned in a two tightly packed parallel rows. Some vertebrae are bisected by faults. This lower portion of the quarry floods on occasion and some vertebrae are partially buried in mud.	On display at BISP
	Vic*	Spec. B	9		Semi-articulated posterior dorsal and sacral and disarticulated anterior caudal vertebrae along with associated pelvic girdle elements and an associated right hindlimb—the most complete paddle in the quarry.	On display at BISP
	Vid*	-	9		A short string of nine articulated distal caudal vertebrae. Camp's original quarry diagram indicates that this string may have been moved and rotated, and other short strings of semi-articulated and disarticulated distal caudal vertebrae figured by Camp in this area of the quarry are now seemingly missing.	On display at BISP
	VII*	-	6		String of approximately 32 articulated and semi-articulated caudal vertebrae. This specimen does not appear on Camp's original quarry diagram but seems to be in situ and revealed by later excavation.	On display at BISP
		-	Spec. E	-		"A few vertebrae at extreme northeast of quarry" – Camp field notes August 29, 1954. Current status unknown, based on field map outside of limits of present fossil shelter display.
Quarry 3	-	Spec. G	-		"Vertebrae and ribs 4' above level [of Quarry 2]" – Camp field notes August 29, 1954. Current status unknown, based on field map outside of limits of present fossil	Unknown

				shelter display. Closer to Quarry 2 than other 'Quarry 3' specimens, but evidently from a distinct horizon unless faulted.	
	*	Spec. H	-	"Vertebra (13.5") and limb bones 16 feet(?) up" – Camp field notes August 29, 1954. Scattered bone was found by Camp in abundance on a higher stratigraphic level upslope from Quarry 2, but in situ specimens were lacking despite extensive prospecting. Recent field work by the authors confirms scattered bone fragments in this vicinity.	Unknown
	*	Spec. J	-	Skull and limb fragments partially excavated by Camp in 1954 & 1955 based on field notes and maps. Approximately 20 meters southwest of fossil shelter corresponding to parking lot today.	Unknown reburied?
	*	Spec. K	-	Semi articulated tail, associated pelvic girdle and hind limb elements. Approximately 20 meters south of specimen J and about 33 meters southwest of fossil shelter. Also referred to as 'big hip' and 'big hips' in Camp field notes. A portion of this specimen is still visible in exposed tail adjacent to parking lot of fossil shelter. More of the specimen may be buried. One femur stolen per Camp field notes May 27, 1957.	Exposed outside of fossil shelter at BISP
	*	Spec. L	-	"a large femur, tibia, vertebrae and hind paddle bones, fragments of ribs" – Camp field notes October 23, 1954. Current status unknown. Also referred to as 'Stewart's tail' and 'Stewart-Keeler tail' in Camp field notes (or this reflects confusion with Specimen K?). Camp describes the location as '50 feet (~15 m) south' of Specimen K.	Unknown reburied?
	-	Spec. M	-	Little information available about this specimen, Camp mentions working on it but cannot find a specific description in field notes. In one place Camp also indicates "big hips" as an alternate name but that is more typically associated with Specimen K in his notes. Field map indicates ~7 scattered vertebrae, about 20 m southwest of Specimen J?	Unknown
	-	Spec. N	-	Fragmentary specimen only mentioned in passing in Camp notes. "60 feet south of the big hips [Specimen K or M]"	Unknown
Quarry 4	*	'S. mulleri' holotype	-	FZVE-5 "well preserved pelvis, femur, part of a hind paddle and a rib" – Camp 1980. Camp regarded this locality as ~120 meters lower in stratigraphic section than Quarries 2 (fossil shelter) and 5 (type locality) in the lower macrolobatus ammonoid zone. An associated forelimb was also reported from this vicinity and the authors located additional scattered bones in this area. Now in Nevada State Museum, Las Vegas collections.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-008
Quarry 5 (Type Locality)	*	A-5	-	FZVE-1 Most complete specimen and holotype of <i>Shonisaurus popularis</i> . Skull, limbs, girdles, vertebrae, ribs & gastralia all largely preserved. Extensively described and figured by Camp 1980. Contains in situ embryo. Now in Nevada State Museum, Las Vegas collections.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-001
	*	B-5	-	FZVE-2 "Specimen B-5 lay about 3 m north of and about 0.90 m below the much more complete Specimen A-5.... scapula, coracoid, humerus, radius and ulna, carpals, and proximal phalanges together with a series of anterior ribs, separate rib ends, cervical vertebrae and skull fragments." – Camp 1980 Paratype of <i>Shonisaurus popularis</i> . Extensively described and figured by Camp 1980.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-002
	*	C-5	-	FZVE-3 "A more complete series of caudal vertebrae, 68 in all, ... This one lay parallel to Specimen A, apparently on the same level and only a few feet to the east of A. Specimen C, a smaller individual, consisted of the tail, the pelvis, femora, tibiae, a fibula, a few paddle bones, fragments of ribs and weathered dorsal vertebrae and a skull eight feet in length. In this skull the elements of the lower jaw had been macerated apart and lay separated in the matrix. The rear elements were badly eroded. The rostrum was complete and still bore one complete remaining tooth." – Camp 1980. Paratype of <i>Shonisaurus popularis</i> . Extensively described and figured by Camp 1980.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-003

	-	"lowermost"	-		"A single skeletal section with dorsal vertebrae, ribs, femur and a tibia" Camp 1980. ~1.8 meters below Specimen B-5 per Camp 1980. Left uncollected by Camp. Dorsal vertebrae disarticulated in fashion similar to some Quarry 2 (fossil shelter) specimens. Further excavated in 2016–2019 by UNMH.	Partially collected UMNH VP 32545
	-	Unnamed Q5	-		"Less than 30 cm above ["lowermost" specimen]...a series of 38 anterior caudal vertebrae lying parallel to the skeleton below and evidently not a part of that skeleton."	Unknown
	-	Additional fragmentary specimens	-		"Scattered parts of at least four individuals lie from 8 to 30 m east and northeast of Specimen C, and two large, isolated centra lay a foot or so immediately above its tail." – Camp 1980. Abundant scattered bone was observed by the authors in this quarry, some of it in situ, most weathered out on the surface.	Unknown
Quarry 6	-	Unnamed Q6 specimen	-		"About 300 m to the east of C, near the hilltop at Quarry 6, parts of a larger skeleton appeared in a steeply dipping layer of hard limey shale. We failed to excavate this skeleton owing to the steep dip of the beds." –Camp 1980. Large vertebrae, limb and girdle elements and skull fragments reported by Camp in field notes (at least the vertebrae were collected & now in Las Vegas collections). Camp tried to excavate but proved too difficult. Authors relocated this quarry and found abundant scattered bone as well as some in situ. One large humerus from this quarry was collected by NHMU but unclear if it represents the same or a different specimen.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-009 Humerus: UMNH VP 32538
Quarries 7–9	-	Unnamed Q7-9 specimens	-		"To the south and southwest of Quarry 6, for a distance of nearly 800 m, isolated and eroded parts of skeletons lie on the surface and extend to shallow depths along steeply dipping beds. None of these was collected." –Camp 1980. The authors relocated this general area and confirmed the presence of abundant bone weathering out on the surface at multiple horizons.	Uncollected
Quarry X	*	'S. <i>silberlingi</i> ' holotype	-	FZVE-7	Left humerus, left radius, ulnare, and large neural spine. Regarded by Camp as stratigraphically ~150 meters below Quarries 2 & 5 and 30 meters below Quarry 4 in the schucherti ammonoid zone. Now in Nevada State Museum, Las Vegas collections.	NVSM-LV collections VM-2014-057-FS-006-001
NHMU Quarry	*	-	-		New specimen discovered in 2014 by UNMH—Smithsonian—UNR team. Specimen includes partial skull, dorsal vertebrae, ribs, partial forelimb, pectoral girdle, hindlimb, and pelvic girdle elements. In NHMU collections under study by the authors.	Collected by UNMH UMNH VP 32539

* Denotes specimen included in taphonomic analysis.

Table S3. Individual descriptions of WUC *Shonisaurus* specimens, related to Figures 2, S1 and S2 and Table 1. Quotes from ref. S1 and unpublished field notes kept in the archives of the University of California Museum of Paleontology.

Supplementary References

- S1. Camp, C.L. (1980). Large ichthyosaurs from the Upper Triassic of Nevada. *Palaeontographica Abt. A* 170, 139–200.
- S2. Hogler, J.A. (1992). Taphonomy and paleoecology of *Shonisaurus popularis* (Reptilia: Ichthyosauria). *Palaios* 7, 108–117. 10.2307/3514800
- S3. Jin, X., McRoberts, C.A., Shi, Z., Mietto, P., Rigo, M., Roghi, G., Manfrin, S., Franceschi, M. and Preto, N. (2019). The aftermath of the CPE and the Carnian-Norian transition in northwestern Sichuan Basin, South China. *J. Geol. Soc.* 176, 179–196. 10.1144/jgs2018-104
- S4. Williford, K. H., Orchard, M. J., Zonneveld, J.-P., McRoberts, C. and Beatty, T.W. (2007). A record of stable organic carbon isotopes from the Carnian-Norian boundary section at Black Bear Ridge, Williston Lake, British Columbia, Canada. *Albertiana* 36, 146–148.
- S5. Sun, Y.D., Wignall, P.B., Joachimski, M.M., Bond, D.P., Grasby, S.E., Lai, X.L., Wang, L.N., Zhang, Z.T. and Sun, S. (2016). Climate warming, euxinia and carbon isotope perturbations during the Carnian (Triassic) crisis in South China. *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.* 444, 88–100. 10.1016/j.epsl.2016.03.037
- S6. Dal Corso, J., Gianolla, P., Rigo, M., Franceschi, M., Roghi, G., Mietto, P., Manfrin, S., Raucsik, B., Budai, T., Jenkyns, H.C. *et al.* (2018). Multiple negative carbon-isotope excursions during the Carnian Pluvial Episode (Late Triassic). *Earth Sci. Rev.* 185, 732–750. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.07.004
- S7. Dal Corso, J., Mietto, P., Newton, R. J., Pancost, R. D., Preto, N., Roghi, G., and Wignall, P. B. (2012). Discovery of a major negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ spike in the Carnian (Late Triassic) linked to the eruption of Wrangellia flood basalts. *Geology* 40, 79–82. 10.1130/G32473.1
- S8. McGowan, C. and Motani, R. (1999). A reinterpretation of the Upper Triassic ichthyosaur *Shonisaurus*. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 19, 42–49. 10.1080/02724634.1999.10011121
- S9. Houssaye, A., Nakajima, Y., & Sander, P. M. (2018). Structural, functional, and physiological signals in ichthyosaur vertebral centrum microanatomy and histology. *Geodiversitas* 40 161–170.
- S10. Nicholls, E.L. and Manabe, M. (2004). Giant ichthyosaurs of the Triassic—a new species of *Shonisaurus* from the Pardonet Formation (Norian: Late Triassic) of British Columbia. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 36 e1025956. 10.1080/02724634.2015.1025956
- S11. Ji, C., Jiang, D. Y., Motani, R., Rieppel, O., Hao, W. C., and Sun, Z. Y. (2016). Phylogeny of the Ichthyopterygia incorporating recent discoveries from South China. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* 36 e1025956. 10.1080/02724634.2015.1025956
- S12. Moon, B. C. (2019). A new phylogeny of ichthyosaurs (Reptilia: Diapsida). *J. Syst. Palaeontol.* 17, 129–155. 10.1080/14772019.2017.1394922
- S13. Sander, P.M., Chen, X., Cheng, L. and Wang, X. (2011). Short-snouted toothless ichthyosaur from China suggests Late Triassic diversification of suction feeding ichthyosaurs. *PLoS ONE* 6, e19480. 10.1371/journal.pone.0019480
- S14. Callaway, J.M. and Massare, J.A. (1989). *Shastasaurus altispinus* (Ichthyosauria, Shastasauridae) from the Upper Triassic of the El Antimonio district, northwestern Sonora, Mexico. *J. Paleontol.* 63, 930–939.
- S15. McGowan, C. and Motani, R. *Handbook of Paleoherpétology: Vol. 8 Ichthyopterygia.* (2003) (Verl. Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, Munich).
- S16. Adams, T.L. (2009). Deposition and taphonomy of the Hound Island Late Triassic vertebrate fauna: fossil preservation within subaqueous gravity flows. *Palaios* 24, 603–615. 10.2110/palo.2009.p09-010r

- S17. Dalla Vecchia, F. M. and Avanzini M. (2002). New findings of isolated remains of Triassic reptiles from Northeastern Italy. *Boll. Soc. Paleontol. Ital.* 41, 215–235.
- S18. Martin Sander, P., Pérez de Villar, P. R., Furrer, H., & Wintrich, T. (2021). Giant Late Triassic ichthyosaurs from the Kössen Formation of the Swiss Alps and their paleobiological implications. *J. Vert. Paleontol.* e2046017. 10.1080/02724634.2021.2046017
- S19. Motani, R., Manabe, M., and Dong, Z. M. (1999). The status of *Himalayasaurus tibetensis* (Ichthyopterygia). *Paludicola*, 2, 174–181.
- S20. Kear, B. P., Fordyce, R. E., Hiller, N., and Siversson, M. (2018). A palaeobiogeographical synthesis of Australasian Mesozoic marine tetrapods. *Alcheringa* 42, 461–486. 10.1080/03115518.2017.1397428
- S21. Balini, M., Jenks, J.F., Martin, R., McRoberts, C.A., Orchard, M.J. and Silberling, N.J. (2015). The Carnian/Norian boundary succession at Berlin-Ichthyosaur State Park (Upper Triassic, central Nevada, USA). *Palaontol. Z.* 89, 399–433. 10.1007/s12542-014-0244-2
- S22. Montague-Judd, D. (1999) Paleo-upwelling and the distribution of Mesozoic marine reptiles. University of Arizona, PhD dissertation. <http://hdl.handle.net/10150/283980>
- S23. Balini, M., Lucas, S.G., Jenks, J.F. and Spielmann J.A. (2010). Triassic ammonoid biostratigraphy: an overview. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 334, 221–262. 10.1144/SP334.10
- S24. Krystyn, L., Gallet, Y., Besse, J. and Marcoux., J. (2002). Integrated upper Carnian to lower Norian biochronology and implications for the Upper Triassic magnetic polarity time scale. *Earth Planet. Sci. Let.* 203, 343–351. 10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00858-0
- S25. Channell, J.E.T., Kozur, H.W., Sievers, T., Mock, R., Aubrecht, R., and Sykora, M. (2003). Carnian-Norian biomagnetostratigraphy at Silická Brezová (Slovakia): correlation to other Tethyan sections and to the Newark Basin. *Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol.* 191, 65–109. 10.1016/S0031-0182(02)006545
- S26. Muttoni, G., Kent, D.V., Olsen, P.E., Di Stefano, P., Lowrie, W., Bernasconi, S.M., and Hernandez, F.M. (2004). Tethyan magnetostratigraphy from Pizzo Mondello (Sicily) and correlation to the Late Triassic Newark astrochronological polarity time scale. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 116, 1043–1058. 10.1130/B25326.1
- S27. Muttoni, G., Meço, S., and Gaetani, M. (2005). Magnetostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Late Triassic Guri Zi section, Albania: constraint on the age of the Carnian-Norian boundary. *Riv. Ital. Paleontol. Stratigr.* 111, 233–245. 10.13130/2039-4942/6307
- S28. Kent, D.V., Olsen, P.E., and Muttoni, G. (2017). Astrochronostratigraphic polarity time scale (APTS) for the Late Triassic and Early Jurassic from continental sediments and correlation with standard marine stages. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 166, 153–180. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.12.014
- S29. Furin, S., Preto, N., Rigo, M., Roghi, G., Gianolla, P., Crowley, J.L., and Bowring, S.A. (2006). High-precision U-Pb zircon age from the Triassic of Italy: implications for the Triassic time scale and the Carnian origin of calcareous nannoplankton and dinosaurs. *Geology* 34, 1009–1012. 10.1130/G22967A.1
- S30. Maron, M., Muttoni, G., Dekkers, M.J., Mazza, M., Roghi, G., Breda, A., Krijgsman, W., and Rigo, M. (2017). Contribution to the magnetostratigraphy of the Carnian: new magneto-biostratigraphic constraints from Pignola-2 and Dibona marine sections, Italy. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* 50, 187–203. 10.1127/nos/2017/0291
- S31. Grasby, S.E., Them II, T.R., Chen, Z., Yin, R. and Ardakani, O.H. (2019). Mercury as a proxy for volcanic emissions in the geologic record. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 196, 102880. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102880

- S32. Percival, L.M.E., Bergquist, B.A., Mather, T.A. and Sanei, H. (2021). Sedimentary mercury enrichments as a tracer of large igneous province volcanism. In *Environmental Change and Large Igneous Provinces: The Deadly Kiss of LIPs*, R.E. Ernst, A.J. Dickson, A. Bekker, eds. AGU Geophysical Monograph 255, 247–262. 10.1002/9781119507444.ch11
- S33. Greene, A.R., Scoates, J.S., Weis, D., Katvala, E.C., Israel, S. and Nixon, G.T. (2010). The architecture of oceanic plateaus revealed by the volcanic stratigraphy of the accreted Wrangellia oceanic plateau. *Geosphere* 6, 47–73. 10.1130/GES00212.1
- S34. Tomimatsu, Y., Nozaki, T., Sato, H., Takaya, Y., Kimura, J.I., Chang, Q., Naraoka, H., Rigo, M. and Onoue, T. (2021). Marine osmium isotope record during the Carnian “Pluvial Episode” (Late Triassic) in the pelagic Panthalassa Ocean. *Glob. Planet. Change* 197, 103387. 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2020.103387
- S35. Dal Corso, J., Bernardi, M., Sun, Y., Song, H., Seyfullah, L.J., Preto, N., Gianolla, P., Ruffell, A., Kustatscher, E., Roghi, G. *et al.* (2020). Extinction and dawn of the modern world in the Carnian (Late Triassic). *Sci. Adv.* 6, eaba0099. 10.1126/sciadv.aba0099
- S36. Scaife, J.D., Ruhl, M., Dickson, A.J., Mather, T.A., Jenkyns, H.C., Percival, L.M.E., Hesselbo, S.P., Cartwright, J., Eldrett, J.S., Bergman, S.C. *et al.* (2017). Sedimentary mercury enrichments as a marker for submarine large igneous province volcanism? Evidence from the mid-Cenomanian event and Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 (Late Cretaceous). *Geochem. Geophys. Geosys.* 18, 4253–4275. 10.1002/2017GC007153
- S37. Percival, L.M.E., Jenkyns, H.C., Mather, T.A., Dickson, A.J., Batenburg, S.J., Ruhl, M., Hesselbo, S.P., Barclay, R., Jarvis, I., Robinson, S.A. *et al.* (2018). Does large igneous province volcanism always perturb the mercury cycle? Comparing the records of Oceanic Anoxic Event 2 and the end-Cretaceous to other Mesozoic events. *Am. J. Sci.*, 318, 799–860. 10.2475/08.2018.01
- S38. Percival, L.M.E., Tedeschi, L.R., Creaser, R.A., Bottini, C., Erba, E., Giraud, F., Svensen, H., Savian, J., Trindade, R., Coccioni, R. *et al.* (2021). Determining the style and provenance of magmatic activity during the early Aptian oceanic anoxic event (OAE 1A). *Global and Planetary Change* 200, 103461. 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2021.103461
- S39. Mazaheri-Johari, M., Gianolla, P., Mather, T.A., Frieling, J., Chu, D. and Dal Corso, J. (2021). Mercury deposition in Western Tethys during the Carnian Pluvial Episode (Late Triassic). *Sci. Rep.* 11, 17339. doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96890-8
- S40. Dickens, G.R., O’Neil, J.R., Rea, D.K. and Owen, R.M. (1995). Dissociation of oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene. *Paleoceanography* 10, 965–971. 10.1029/95PA02087
- S41. Zachos, J. C., Arthur, M. A. and Dean, W. E. (1989). Geochemical evidence for suppression of pelagic marine productivity at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary. *Nature* 337, 61–64. <https://doi.org/10.1038/337061a0>