1	Dissociation between exercise-induced reduction in liver fat and changes in hepatic and
2	peripheral glucose homeostasis in obese patients with Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
3	Running title: Exercise, liver fat and insulin sensitivity in obese patients with NAFLD
4	
5	Daniel J. Cuthbertson ^{1,2} *, Fariba Shojaee-Moradie ³ *, Victoria S. Sprung ^{1,2} , Helen Jones ⁴ , Christopher
6	J.A. Pugh ⁴ , Paul Richardson ⁵ , Graham J. Kemp ^{2,6} , Mark Barrett ³ , Nicola C. Jackson ³ , E. Louise
7	Thomas ⁷ , Jimmy D. Bell ⁷ , A. Margot Umpleby ³
8	¹ Obesity and Endocrinology Research Group, University Hospital Aintree, UK,
9	² Department of Musculoskeletal Biology and MRC – Arthritis Research UK Centre for Integrated
10	research into Musculoskeletal Ageing (CIMA), University of Liverpool, UK,
11	³ Diabetes and Metabolic Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Surrey,
12	UK,
13	⁴ Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Science, Liverpool John Moores University
14	⁵ Department of Hepatology, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, UK,
15	⁶ Magnetic Resonance and Image Analysis Research Centre (MARIARC), University of Liverpool,
16	UK,
17	⁷ Metabolic and Molecular Imaging Group, MRC Clinical Sciences Centre, Imperial College London,
18	London, UK.
19	*Both authors contributed equally to this work
20	
21	Corresponding author and address for reprints: Dr Daniel Cuthbertson,
22	² Department of Musculoskeletal Biology, Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease,
23	University of Liverpool, Liverpool L9 7AL
24	E-mail: daniel.cuthbertson@liv.ac.uk
25	Tel: +44 (0) 151 529 5911, Fax: +44 (0) 151 529 5888
26	
27	Key words: NAFLD, insulin resistance, exercise, liver fat and magnetic resonance spectroscopy.
28	Funding: Funding was provided by the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes, Rheindorfer
29	Weg 3, 40591 Dusseldorf, Germany
30	
31	
32	Word count: 3910(not including title page, abstract, references, tables or figures)
33	
34	

- 35 Abstract
- Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is associated with multi-organ (hepatic, skeletal muscle,
- 37 adipose tissue) insulin resistance (IR). Exercise is an effective treatment for lowering liver fat but its
- 38 effect on insulin resistance in NAFLD is unknown.
- We aimed to determine whether supervised exercise in NAFLD would reduce liver fat and improve
- 40 hepatic and peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin sensitivity. Sixty nine NAFLD
- 41 patients were randomised to 16 weeks exercise supervision (n=38) or counselling (n=31) without
- 42 dietary modification. All participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging/spectroscopy to assess
- 43 changes in body fat, and in liver and skeletal muscle triglyceride, before and following
- 44 exercise/counselling. To quantify changes in hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity, a pre-
- 45 determined subset (n=12 per group) underwent a two-stage hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp
- pre- and post-intervention. Results are shown as mean (95% CI).
- 47 Fifty participants (30 exercise, 20 counselling), 51 y (40, 56), BMI 31 kg/m² (29, 35) with baseline
- 48 liver fat/water % of 18.8 % (10.7, 34.6) completed the study (12/12 exercise and 7/12 counselling
- completed the clamp studies). Supervised exercise mediated a greater reduction in liver fat/water %
- than counselling [Δ mean change 4.7% (0.01, 9.4); P<0.05], which correlated with the change in
- 51 cardiorespiratory fitness (r = -0.34, P = 0.0173).
- With exercise, peripheral insulin sensitivity significant increased (following high-dose insulin) despite
- no significant change in hepatic glucose production (following low-dose insulin); no changes were
- observed in the control group.
- Although supervised exercise effectively reduced liver fat, improving peripheral IR in NAFLD, the
- reduction in liver fat was insufficient to improve hepatic IR.

57

Keywords: NAFLD, insulin resistance, exercise, liver fat and magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

59

60

- Summary statement
- In NAFLD, 16 weeks of supervised exercise effectively reduces liver fat and improve peripheral
- 62 insulin resistance and cardiorespiratory fitness. Greater reductions in liver fat are needed to improve
- 63 hepatic insulin resistance, requiring higher intensity or longer duration of exercise.

Introduction

65

- Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a spectrum of histopathological abnormalities which
- 67 increase the risk of chronic liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma and cardiovascular disease (1).
- NAFLD arises from accumulation of liver fat, frequently complicating obesity and other insulin-
- 69 resistant states, co-existing with the metabolic syndrome (2, 3). NAFLD is associated with multi-
- organ (hepatic, skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin resistance (IR) (4, 5).
- Although certain anti-diabetes agents reduce liver fat (6, 7), the cornerstone of therapy is lifestyle
- 72 modification through dietary intervention and/or physical activity (8, 9). Weight loss through dietary
- 73 intervention has been shown to normalise moderate hepatic steatosis (12-13%) and hepatic IR (10,
- 74 11). Considering that NAFLD patients tend to engage in less habitual leisure-time physical activity
- and be more sedentary, physical activity is also recommended (12, 13). Various modalities of exercise
- have been shown to be beneficial in reducing liver fat in NAFLD including aerobic (5, 14, 15) and
- 77 resistance exercise (13), even without weight loss. A recent study addressing the dose-response
- 78 relationship between aerobic exercise and reduction in liver fat suggests that even low volume, low
- 79 intensity aerobic exercise can reduce liver fat without clinically significant weight loss (16). It is
- 80 unclear to what extent reduction in liver fat following exercise is associated with improvements in
- 81 hepatic and peripheral IR. This is of particular importance considering the high rates of incident type
- 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in NAFLD patients.
- We set out to determine the efficacy of supervised exercise training in reducing liver fat, and the
- 84 relationship between reduction in liver fat and improvements in hepatic and peripheral IR using the
- 85 gold standard method for measuring insulin resistance, a 2-step euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic
- 86 clamp.

87

Experimental materials and Methods

- 88 Design
- 89 A 16-week randomised controlled trial of NAFLD patients, randomised to supervised moderate-
- 90 intensity aerobic exercise or conventional counselling (control group) (Clinical Trials.gov
- 91 NCT01834300).
- 92 Participants
- Patients were recruited through hepatology clinics where they were undergoing routine clinical care
- 94 from 4 teaching hospitals, and studied in 2 centres, in Guildford and Liverpool. NAFLD was
- 95 diagnosed clinically by a hepatologist after exclusion of (steatogenic) drug causes, viral or auto-
- 96 immune hepatitis (negative hepatitis B and C serology and auto-antibody screen), primary biliary
- 97 cirrhosis and metabolic disorders (α_1 -antitrypin deficiency, Wilson's disease).

- 98 Inclusion criteria were a diagnosis of NAFLD, being sedentary (<2 h/week low-intensity physical
- 99 activity, no moderate- or high-intensity activity), non-smokers, with alcohol consumption <14
- 100 (females) and <21 (males) units/week. Exclusion criteria were T2DM, ischaemic heart disease or
- 101 contraindications to exercise. Participants were excluded from follow-up assessment if they deviated
- from their habitual diet and lost excessive weight.
- The study conformed to the *Declaration of Helsinki* and was approved by the local research ethics
- 104 committees. All participants provided fully informed written consent.
- 105 Protocol
- 106 69 patients were randomly assigned on a 1:1 basis using a computer-generated sequence to 16 weeks
- 107 supervised exercise or conventional counselling (control group) using SAS v 9.1, PROC PLAN
- software (Statistical Analysis System Institute, NC, USA). Figure 1 shows the CONSORT diagram.
- 109 Supervised Exercise. After a familiarisation session, participants attended the university gymnasium
- weekly, wearing a heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Finland) and supervised by a trained exercise
- physiologist. Training intensity was based on individual heart rate reserve (HRR) ([Maximal HR
- during cardiorespiratory fitness testing] [Resting HR]). Participants performed 3/week 30 min
- moderate (30% HRR) aerobic exercise (treadmill, cross-trainer, bike ergometer, rower) progressing
- weekly based on HR responses (5/week 45 min at 60% HRR by week 12). Throughout, participants
- were monitored via the Wellness SystemTM (Technogym U.K. Ltd., Bracknell, UK), which tracks
- exercise activity within designated fitness facilities or by repeated telephone or e-mail contact.
- No dietary modifications were made, confirmed by standard 3-day food diaries collected immediately
- before and after the intervention and analysed for macronutrient intake.
- 119 Control Group. Participants were provided with advice about the health benefits of exercise in
- NAFLD but had no further contact with the research team. To minimise disturbance to behaviour, diet
- and physical activity were not monitored.
- 122 Measurements
- Measurements were performed before and immediately after the intervention period. After overnight
- fast, venous blood was taken for measurement of glucose, liver function, lipid profile, adiponectin and
- 125 leptin.
- After full medical history and physical examination, a single person at each centre measured body
- weight, blood pressure, height, waist (umbilical) and hip (greater trochanter) circumference and
- performed bioimpedance analysis (Tanita BC-420MA, Tokyo, Japan).
- 129 Magnetic resonance methods were as previously described (17). Volumetric analysis of abdominal
- subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and abdominal visceral adipose tissue (VAT) used whole-body
- axial T1-weighted fast spin echo scans (10 mm slice, 10 mm gap), the abdominal region being defined

from the slices between the femoral heads, top of liver and lung bases. Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H MRS) quantified intrahepatocellular lipid (IHCL) and intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) (17). In liver 3 voxels of interest were identified at standardised sites avoiding ducts and vasculature. In skeletal muscle a single voxel was identified in each of the tibialis anterior and soleus muscles, avoiding bone, fascia and neurovascular bundle. Single voxel spectroscopy was conducted at each of these five sites: voxel size was 20×20×20 mm, TE (echo time) 135 msec, TR (repetition time) 1500 msec, with 64 acquisitions. ¹H-MR spectra were quantified using the AMARES algorithm in the software package jMRUI-3.0 (18). Data were processed blind. Liver fat is expressed as the percentage of CH₂ lipid signal amplitude relative to water signal amplitude after correcting for T1 and T2 (19), and intramyocellular lipid (IMCL) is expressed as CH₂ lipid amplitude relative to total creatine amplitude after correcting for T1 and T2 (20). NAFLD was defined as mean IHCL > 5.3%, which corresponds in the present units (CH₂/H₂0) to the cut off of 5.5% by weight advocated on the basis of a large healthy-population ¹H MRS study (21) which took account of tissue density, water content and the relative proton densities of triglyceride and water to express IHCL as % by weight in terms more directly comparable with biochemical measurements. This cutoff is also in accordance with traditional definitions of fatty liver based on biochemical analysis (21). (Any IHCL value expressed here as x% CH_2/H_2O can be converted to y% by weight (i.e. $10 \times y \text{ mg/g}$) by using y% = 97.1/[1 + (89.1/x%)], based on assumptions and data detailed in (21, 22)) Clamp. Participants were instructed to avoid strenuous physical activity for 48 h. Upon arrival intravenous cannulae were inserted into both antecubital fossae for blood sampling and infusion of stable isotopes, insulin and glucose. After unenriched blood samples, a primed infusion of [6,6-2H₂] glucose (170 mg; 1.7 mg.min⁻¹) was started. 5 baseline samples were taken from 100-120 min, when a 2-step hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp commenced: insulin infusion at 0.3 mU.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ (lowdose) for 120 min to measure insulin sensitivity of hepatic glucose production (HGP), then at 1.5 mU.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹ (high-dose) for 180 min to measure insulin sensitivity of peripheral glucose uptake. Euglycaemia was maintained by adjusting a 20% glucose infusion, spiked with [6,6-2H₂] glucose (7 mg.g⁻¹ glucose for low-dose, 10 mg.g⁻¹ high dose) according to 5 min plasma glucose measurements using a glucose oxidase method (Yellow Springs Analyser). Blood samples were taken every 30 min, except for every 5 min from 210-240 min (low-dose steady-state) and 390-420 min (high-dose steadystate). Plasma glucose concentration and enrichment time-courses were smoothed using optimal segments analysis (23). HGP and glucose uptake (rate of disappearance, Rd) (µmol.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) were calculated using non-steady-state equations (24), assuming a volume of distribution of 22% body weight. HGP was calculated at steady-state basally (90-120 min) and following low-dose insulin (210-240 min), corrected for fat-free mass and (since HGP is inversely related to [insulin]) multiplied by mean steady-state [insulin] (pmol.ml⁻¹) at low-dose. Glucose Rd was calculated at steady-state following

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

166

- high-dose insulin (390-420 min) and metabolic clearance rate (MCR) (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) was calculated at
- basal and high-dose insulin steady-state (390-420 min) as (glucose Rd)/[glucose]. Glucose MCR and
- Rd were corrected for fat-free mass and (since they are directly related to [insulin]) divided by mean
- steady-state [insulin] (pmol.l⁻¹) at basal and high-dose.
- 172 Cardiorespiratory fitness assessment In Liverpool, cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed on a
- treadmill ergometer following the Bruce protocol (25). Following 2 min warm up at 2.2 km/h on the
- 174 flat, initial workload was set at 2.7 km/h at 5° grade, then speed and grade increased step-wise every
- minute. Heart rate and rate of perceived exertion were monitored throughout. VO_{2peak} was calculated
- from expired gas fractions (Oxycon Pro, Jaegar, Hochberg, Germany) as the highest consecutive 15 s
- 177 rate in the last minute before volitional exhaustion, or when heart rate and/or VO₂ reached a plateau
- 178 (21). In Guildford, VO_{2peak} was performed on an electronically-braked bicycle ergometer (Lode;
- Excaliber Sport, Groningen, the Netherlands) with breath analyser (Medical Graphics, St Paul, MN,
- 180 USA). Heart rate was measured throughout. After 2 min warm up at 50 W, resistance increased step-
- 181 wise at 20 W/min until volitional exhaustion (26). Cardiorespiratory fitness was defined as VO_{2peak}
- identically at each facility (despite the different exercise modalities), expressed per kg body weight.
- 183 Biochemistry. Baseline plasma samples were analysed using an Olympus AU2700 (Beckman Coulter,
- High Wycombe, UK) in Liverpool and an Advia 1800 Chemistry System (Siemens Healthcare
- Diagnostics, Frimley UK) in Guildford, with standard proprietary reagents and methods: glucose with
- hexokinase, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) with cholesterol esterase/oxidase,
- 187 triglyceride with glycerol kinase and liver enzymes including alanine aminotransferase (ALT),
- aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT) with International
- 189 Federation of Clinical Chemistry (IFCC) kinetic UV (without pyridoxal phosphate activation). Intra-
- and inter- assay coefficients of variation were ≤10%. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) was calculated
- using the Friedwald formula. At a single centre, serum insulin, plasma adiponectin and leptin were
- measured by RIA using commercial kits (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA; intra-assay CV 6%,
- 193 5%, 5% respectively), irisin by ELISA (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Burlingame, CA; intra-assay
- 194 CV 4.1%), fetuin-A by ELISA (Epitope Diagnostics, Inc. San Diego; intra-assay CV 4.8%) and serum
- NEFA (Wako Chemicals, Neuss, Germany; inter- assay CV 3.0%). Glucose isotopic enrichment was
- measured by GC-MS on a HP 5971A MSD (Agilent Technologies, Wokingham, Berks, UK)(27). IR
- was quantified using HOMA2-IR (28). Indices of hepatic insulin resistance (Hepatic-IR) and adipose
- 198 tissue insulin resistance (Adipose-IR) were calculated (29, 30).
- 199 Diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was based on the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult
- 200 Treatment Panel III criteria (31). Ten-year cardiovascular risk was calculated using the 10 year
- Framingham Risk Score (32).
- 202 Statistical Analysis

- 203 *Power calculation.* The primary outcome variable was IHCL (% fat/water). Based on mean IHCL of 204 20%, we considered 30% relative difference between groups to be clinically significant, implying 205 mean IHCL of 20% and 14% in the control and exercise groups respectively. Based on a 2-sample *t*-test, 5% 2-sided significance and standard deviation (SD) of 7.75% from previous studies, 56 patients (28 in each arm) were required to detect this 6% absolute IHCL difference with 80% power (27).
- 208 Statistical methods. For the primary comparison of supervised exercise vs. control, delta (Δ) change 209 from pre-intervention was calculated and analysed using linear regression (ANCOVA), with pre data 210 as a covariate (33). Linear regression assumptions were assessed using Q-Q plots and scatter plots of 211 studentised residuals versus fitted values. Where linear regression assumptions were not met these 212 were resolved using the natural logarithm transformation. For exploratory and comparison purposes 213 any continuous demographic variable within each group was also estimated using a paired t-test. 214 Correlations were quantified using Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient (r_s). Data for continuous 215 demographic variables are presented as median and inter-quartile range (IQR) and changes between 216 supervised exercise compared to control are presented as mean (95% CI). Statistical analyses used 217 Stata 13 (StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP). 218 Unless otherwise stated, exact P-values are cited (values of "0.000" are reported as "<0.001"). Results

Results

are shown as mean (95% CI).

219

- Baseline characteristics Fifty patients completed the study [n=30] exercise (23 males, 7 female) and
- n=20 control (16 males, 4 female)] (Figure 1). The age of the participants was similar in the exercise
- 223 [50y (46, 58), BMI 30.7 kg/m² (29.2,32.9)] vs. control groups [52y (46, 59), BMI 29.7kg/m²
- 224 (28.0,33.8)]. An equal number (n=15) completed the exercise in each centre (total exercise=30); 8
- controls completed in Liverpool and 12 controls completed in Guildford, Surrey (total controls n=20).
- 226 Pre-intervention characteristics of the groups were similar with respect to age, VO_{2peak}, biochemical
- and metabolic characteristics, and body composition (Tables 1 and 2).
- 228 Changes in dietary intake In the exercise group after 16 weeks, total energy intake and macronutrient
- composition remained unchanged compared with baseline: energy [0.4 MJ (-0.4, 1.2), P=0.40)],
- 230 protein [0.4 g (-11.6, 12.0), *P*=0.97], carbohydrate [6.4 g (-24.2, 37.0), *P*=0.34], sugars [-9.2 g (-27.2,
- 231 30.0), P=0.41] and fat [9.8 g (8.5, 22.0), P=0.44].
- 232 Changes in body composition and biochemistry The primary outcome measure of IHCL in the
- 233 exercise group was significantly reduced after 16 weeks: 19.4% (14.6, 36.1) vs. 10.1% (6.5, 27.1), but
- not in the control group: 16.0% (9.6, 32.5) % vs. 14.6 (8.8, 27.3). Supervised exercise mediated a
- greater IHCL reduction than in the controls [-4.7 % (-9.4, -0.01); P<0.05] (Table 2). Changes in ALT,
- AST and in GGT were not significant.

- SAT reduction with exercise was significantly greater than with control [-1.8L (= -3.0, -0.7);
- 238 P=0.003], but changes in VAT were not [-0.7L (-1.6, 0.2); P<0.109], and nor were changes in IMCL
- in soleus and tibialis anterior (Table 1).
- 240 The changes in fasting plasma insulin and HOMA2-IR [-0.5 (-1.0, 0.02; P=0.06] with exercise were
- 241 not significantly different compared with control, nor were those in adiponectin, leptin, irisin or fetuin
- 242 (Table 2).
- 243 Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness Cardiorespiratory fitness (expressed as ml/kg/min) significantly
- improved in the exercise group after 16 weeks: 23.7 ml/kg/min (21.7, 27.8) vs. 32.3 ml/kg/min (27.6,
- 38.0); there was no significant increase in the control group: 23.2 ml/kg/min (20.9, 25.6) vs. 23.1
- 246 ml/kg/min (20.9, 26.9). Exercise mediated a greater improvement compared to control [7.3 ml/kg/min
- 247 (5.0, 9.7); *P*<0.001].
- 248 Cardiorespiratory fitness (expressed as absolute values in l/min) significantly improved in the exercise
- 249 group after 16 weeks: 2.45 1/min (2.22, 2.69) vs. 3.05 1/min (2.77, 3.34); there was no significant
- 250 increase in the control group: 2.31 l/min (2.05, 2.63) vs. 2.30 l/min (2.04, 2.57). Exercise mediated a
- greater improvement compared to control [0.72 l/min (0.42, 1.02); *P*<0.001].
- 252 The greater fitness improvement was accompanied by greater reductions in total body weight [-2.5 kg
- 253 (-3.9, -1.1); P<0.001)], waist circumference [-3.0 cm (-5, -1); P<0.05] and percentage fat mass [-1.9%]
- 254 (-3.0, -0.7]; P<0.01) compared to control (Table 1). Changes in IHCL were significantly correlated
- 255 with improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness (absolute and relative), total body weight and with
- reductions in visceral and subcutaneous fat (Figure 2).
- 257 Changes in peripheral and hepatic insulin sensitivity In the subset of 24 patients that underwent the 2-
- stage hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp, 12 patients in the exercise group and 7 patients in the
- controls completed the full clamp measurements. The changes in this exercise and control subset were
- 260 similar to those seen in the whole group: [Liver fat, -9.3% (-18.1, 0.5) vs. 3.5% (-11.1, 3.9)] and
- 261 VO_{2peak} [7.7ml/kg/min (4.0, 11.1) vs. -1.4ml/kg/min (-4.4, 1.6)].
- Plasma glucose concentration at basal and during the clamp did not differ between interventions (data
- not shown). In the exercise group glucose infusion rate, corrected for [insulin], during the high-dose
- insulin infusion was higher post-exercise (P=0.009) (Figure 3a) but did not change in the control
- group. Following high-dose insulin infusion there was a significant increase in glucose Rd and MCR,
- 266 corrected for [insulin] in the exercise group (P=0.02, P=0.004 respectively) with no significant
- 267 change in the control group (Figure 3b and c). The change in glucose MCR was significantly different
- between groups (P=0.03).
- There was no significant difference with either intervention in HGP corrected for [insulin] at baseline
- or after low-dose insulin, (Figure 3d) or in the percentage decrease in HGP following low-dose insulin

- in either the exercise group (pre-exercise 50.9±5.3 %; post-exercise 55.3±6.4 %) or the control group
- 272 (pre 46.5±10.3 %; post 56.0±8.5 %).
- 273 Changes in glucose MCR, corrected for insulin, under basal conditions were significantly correlated
- with changes in fitness (r_s =0.48, P=0.04) but not in IHCL (r_s =0.26, P=0.28). After high-dose insulin,
- the correlation with IHCL did not reach statistical significance (r_s =0.43; P=0.18).

Discussion

- We have demonstrated in a randomised controlled study that 16 weeks of supervised moderate-
- 278 intensity aerobic exercise in NAFLD reduces liver fat and that this was correlated with an
- improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness. Using a 2-step euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp in
- 280 conjunction with quantification of liver fat, we showed, for the first time in patients with NAFLD,
- that the exercise-induced reduction in liver fat was accompanied by enhanced skeletal muscle and
- adipose tissue insulin sensitivity, with no improvement in hepatic glucose production.
- Various factors modulate liver fat, particularly regular physical activity (34, 35). Numerous studies
- have highlighted the therapeutic effects of endurance or resistance exercise in lowering liver fat in
- NAFLD, even without weight loss (15). However modest weight loss also has clinically significant
- effects on IHCL. In a study by Coker et al., measuring multi-organ insulin sensitivity in caloric
- restriction and exercise training (with and without weight loss), exercise with weight loss had the
- greatest effect both on visceral fat and hepatic glucose output suppression (36). However, liver fat
- was not measured, precluding direct comparison with the current study.
- 290 In the current study, exercising participants lost ~3% of body weight and this will have contributed to
- 291 the reduction in IHCL. In a 2-week dietary intervention in NAFLD, ~4% weight reduction was
- associated with 42% reduction in liver fat (37) while in the LOOK-AHEAD study, lifestyle
- intervention in T2DM resulting in 1-5% weight change produced 33% reduction in hepatic steatosis
- 294 (14). While there are clearly weight-dependent effects, the correlation between a reduction in liver fat
- and improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness in the supervised exercise group suggests that the latter
- also is a major driver of IHCL levels.
- 297 A significant improvement in *peripheral* (skeletal muscle and adipose) insulin sensitivity
- accompanied the reduction in liver fat following exercise. It is well documented that chronic exercise
- improves peripheral insulin sensitivity (38, 39). The improvement in peripheral insulin sensitivity
- 300 following exercise training occurred without any change in intramyocellular lipid as has been shown
- in a previous study of overweight men (23). Petersen et al. (40), proposed that skeletal muscle IR
- 302 promotes hepatic steatosis and metabolic syndrome, by altering post-prandial energy distribution,
- diverting glucose to the liver for *de novo* lipogenesis (DNL) and triglyceride synthesis. Furthermore,
- acute exercise through reversal of muscle IR, has been shown to reduce hepatic DNL by 30% and

hepatic triglyceride synthesis by 40% (41). In myostatin-null mice, increased muscle insulin sensitivity also protects against hepatic steatosis during high-fat feeding (42). Thus, skeletal muscle metabolism may influence hepatic triglyceride content and metabolism, with inter-organ 'cross-talk' between skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and liver (43). Although not measured here, myokines secreted by skeletal muscle after contraction appear to mediate this cross talk. Thus a plausible mechanism in our study for the reduction in liver fat is enhanced peripheral insulin sensitivity and increased skeletal muscle glucose uptake reducing the flux of plasma glucose to the liver for triglyceride synthesis. The critical role of adipose IR in the metabolic and histological changes in NAFLD, as well as its reversal using thiazolidinediones, has also been demonstrated (29, 44). In this study, we showed that adipose-IR could also be improved with exercise training.

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

The lack of effect of the exercise programme on hepatic insulin resistance was surprising given the assumed links between liver fat accumulation and defective insulin suppression of glucose production (4, 45). Other studies have reported reduced hepatic steatosis and improved hepatic insulin resistance with weight loss following low calorie diets in NAFLD (10,11). However, in these studies liver fat was lower than in the current study and was reduced to normal by weight loss, from 12 to 2.5% (10) and from 12.8 to 2.9% (11). Although in our study there was a comparable loss of liver fat in the exercise group (9.3%) because the group had much higher liver fat levels at baseline (median 19.4%) many patients remained above the normal range after 16 weeks exercise. This suggests that greater reductions in liver fat are needed to improve hepatic insulin resistance, possibly to within the normal range. It is likely that this could be achieved by increasing the period of exercise supervision or the intensity of the exercise, or by caloric restriction (46). Sullivan et al. noted a similar dissociation between (reduced) liver fat and (unchanged) VLDL triglyceride synthesis rate, a metabolic pathway that also exhibits resistance to insulin, after exercise training in patients with NAFLD. Interestingly in the latter study, % liver fat was similar at baseline to the current study (5). Recent animal data may help provide a mechanistic explanation for the phenomenon of improved peripheral insulin sensitivity, reduced liver fat but impaired hepatic insulin sensitivity of glucose metabolism. This data suggests that within the liver glucose production and de novo lipogenesis have different insulin sensitivities: the gluconeogenic pathway is insulin-resistant (thus insulin cannot inhibit hepatic glucose production through gluconeogenesis) while the lipogenic pathway remains insulin-sensitive (thus insulin retains its ability to stimulate fatty acid synthesis) (47). This selective insulin resistance is explained by a bifurcation of the hepatic insulin signalling pathway: control of the repression of gluconeogenesis occurs through FoxO1, while a separate pathway controlling lipogenesis involves SREBP-1c(48). Although this cannot be tested in the current study, this mechanism would provide a plausible explanation for the dissociation of the effects of exercise on hepatic liver fat and hepatic glucose production.

We acknowledge limitations to the study. We used a per protocol analysis. The drop-out rate (19/69, 28%) was higher than the anticipated 15-20%, 15 controls and 4 in the exercise group, apparently mainly for practical reasons (e.g. time constraints, excessive research burden) but we believe the disproportionately higher dropout rate in the control group reflects many participants' underlying desire to be randomised to the exercise program. The higher dropout rate in the control group is, we cautiously argue, unlikely to bias our conclusion, and will of course not affect assessment of the effect of the exercise intervention per se. A further imitation is that cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed at study sites using two different modalities, treadmill vs. cycle ergometer. Whilst cardiorespiratory fitness may be lower using cycle ergometry, the primary comparison was the change in fitness with intervention, thus this is unlikely to bias our findings. This is likely due to the greater spread of VO_{2peak} results given the improvements post exercise training. While we believe our cohort is representative of the general NAFLD population, there may be a selection bias with only the most motivated patients consenting to participate in an exercise intervention study: this may underlie the high dropout rate of controls. Accepting these limitations, the noteworthy strengths are the application of whole body MRI and ¹H-MRS, the most sensitive, non-invasive method to quantitate liver fat, and measurement of corresponding changes in organ-specific insulin sensitivity. Using these gold standard techniques we provide important insight into the mechanism by which exercise mediates reduction in liver fat by enhanced peripheral (skeletal muscle) insulin sensitivity, without this reduction in liver fat being paralleled by improved hepatic insulin sensitivity.

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

In summary, in patients with NAFLD exercise-induced reduction in liver fat is related to the improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness and accompanied by an improvement of *peripheral* (muscle and adipose) but not *hepatic* IR. The greatest benefit in normalising liver fat, improving both peripheral and hepatic IR and potentially providing the greatest protection against incident T2DM, may require increasing the duration and/or intensity of the exercise supervision, in conjunction with caloric restriction.

366

367

368

369

Acknowledgements

The European Federation for the Study of Diabetes (EFSD) funded this research (Clinical Research Grant) to investigate the effects of supervised exercise on hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity and lipoprotein metabolism in patients with NAFLD.

370371

372

Declaration of interest

The authors have nothing to declare.

373374

Funding information

377 This research work was funded by the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes (EFSD).

378

379

376

- **Author contribution statement**
- 380 DC, FSM, AMU and GJK conceived and designed the study protocol, obtained funding, were
- involved in collection and analysis of data and wrote the manuscript. VSS, CJP, HJ, MB, PR, MB,
- NCJ, ELT and JDB were involved in collection and analysis of data and contributed to the editing of
- 383 the manuscript.

384 385

386

387

Clinical Perspectives

- NAFLD represents a common obesity-related complication, increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and chronic liver disease. Exercise interventions are effective in
- reducing liver fat, even without significant weight loss.
- We demonstrate exercise supervision is effective at reducing liver fat and this was related to an
- improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness. As expected exercise was associated with significant
- improvements in peripheral (skeletal muscle and adipose tissue) insulin resistance.
- Surprisingly, despite significant reductions in liver fat with exercise, we did not observe an
- improvement in hepatic insulin resistance. We speculate that persisting elevated liver fat even after
- exercise training, means undiminished hepatic insulin resistance. Exercise training needs to be
- more prolonged or more intense to achieve a greater reduction in liver fat. These results have
- 396 potential public health implications considering the associated long-term metabolic, hepatic and
- 397 cardiovascular complications.

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405 406

407

408

409

411 References

- 412 1. Ludwig J, Viggiano TR, McGill DB, Oh BJ. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Mayo Clinic
- 413 experiences with a hitherto unnamed disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 1980;55(7):434-8.
- 414 2. Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G, Tomassetti S, Bugianesi E, Lenzi M, et al. Nonalcoholic
- fatty liver disease: a feature of the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes. 2001;50(8):1844-50.
- 416 3. Targher G, Day CP, Bonora E. Risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with nonalcoholic
- 417 fatty liver disease. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(14):1341-50.
- 418 4. Seppala-Lindroos A, Vehkavaara S, Hakkinen AM, Goto T, Westerbacka J, Sovijarvi A, et al.
- Fat accumulation in the liver is associated with defects in insulin suppression of glucose
- 420 production and serum free fatty acids independent of obesity in normal men. Journal of Clinical
- 421 Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2002;87(7):3023-8.
- 5. Sullivan S, Kirk EP, Mittendorfer B, Patterson BW, Klein S. Randomized trial of exercise
- 423 effect on intrahepatic triglyceride content and lipid kinetics in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
- 424 Hepatol. 2012;55(6):1738-45.
- 425 6. Belfort R, Harrison SA, Brown K, Darland C, Finch J, Hardies J, et al. A placebo-controlled
- 426 trial of pioglitazone in subjects with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. N Engl J Med.
- 427 2006;355(22):2297-307.
- 428 7. Cuthbertson DJ, Irwin A, Gardner CJ, Daousi C, Purewal T, Furlong N, et al. Improved
- glycaemia correlates with liver fat reduction in obese, type 2 diabetes, patients given Glucagon-
- Like Peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists. PloS One. 2012;7(12).
- 431 8. Thoma C, Day CP, Trenell MI. Lifestyle interventions for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty
- 432 liver disease in adults: A systematic review. J Hepatol. 2012;56(1):255-66.
- 433 9. Harrison SA, Day CP. Benefits of lifestyle modification in NAFLD. Gut. 2007;56(12):1760-9.
- 434 10. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Befroy D, Lehrke M, Hendler RE, Shulman GI. Reversal of
- 435 nonalcoholic hepatic steatosis, hepatic insulin resistance, and hyperglycemia by moderate
- weight reduction in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2005;54(3):603-8.
- 437 11. Lim EL, Hollingsworth KG, Aribisala BS, Chen MJ, Mathers JC, Taylor R. Reversal of type 2
- diabetes: normalisation of beta cell function in association with decreased pancreas and liver
- triacylglycerol. Diabetologia. 2011;54(10):2506-14.
- 440 12. Zelber-Sagi S, Nitzan-Kaluski D, Goldsmith R, Webb M, Zvibel I, Goldiner I, et al. Role of
- 441 leisure-time physical activity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based study.
- 442 Hepatol. 2008;48(6):1791-8.
- 443 13. Hallsworth K, Fattakhova G, Hollingsworth KG, Thoma C, Moore S, Taylor R, et al.
- Resistance exercise reduces liver fat and its mediators in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
- independent of weight loss. Gut. 2011;60(9):1278-83.

- 446 14. Lazo M, Solga SF, Horska A, Bonekamp S, Diehl AM, Brancati FL, et al. Effects of a 12-
- 447 month intensive lifestyle intervention on hepatic steatosis in adults with type 2 diabetes.
- 448 Diabetes Care. 2010;33(10):2156-63.
- 449 15. Johnson NA, Sachinwalla T, Walton DW, Smith K, Armstrong A, Thompson MW, et al.
- Aerobic exercise training reduces hepatic and visceral lipids in obese individuals without
- 451 weight loss. Hepatol. 2009;50(4):1105-12.
- 452 16. Keating SE, Hackett DA, Parker HM, O'Connor HT, Gerofi JA, Sainsbury A, et al. Effect of
- 453 aerobic exercise training dose on liver fat and visceral adiposity. J Hepatol. 2015.
- 454 17. Jones H, Sprung VS, Pugh CJ, Daousi C, Irwin A, Aziz N, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome
- with hyperandrogenism is characterized by an increased risk of hepatic steatosis compared to
- nonhyperandrogenic PCOS phenotypes and healthy controls, independent of obesity and insulin
- resistance. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2012;97(10):3709-16.
- 458 18. Vanhamme L, van den Boogaart A, Van Huffel S. Improved method for accurate and efficient
- 459 quantification of MRS data with use of prior knowledge. Journal of Magnetic Resonance.
- 460 1997;129(1):35-43.
- 461 19. Thomas EL, Hamilton G, Patel N, O'Dwyer R, Dore CJ, Goldin RD, et al. Hepatic triglyceride
- 462 content and its relation to body adiposity: a magnetic resonance imaging and proton magnetic
- resonance spectroscopy study. Gut. 2005;54(1):122-7.
- 464 20. Rico-Sanz J, Thomas EL, Jenkinson G, Mierisova S, Iles R, Bell JD. Diversity in levels of
- intracellular total creatine and triglycerides in human skeletal muscles observed by ¹H-MRS.
- 466 Journal of Applied Physiology. 1999;87(6):2068-72.
- 467 21. Szczepaniak LS, Nurenberg P, Leonard D, Browning JD, Reingold JS, Grundy S, et al.
- Magnetic resonance spectroscopy to measure hepatic triglyceride content: prevalence of hepatic
- steatosis in the general population. American Journal of Physiology (Endocrinolology and
- 470 Metabolism). 2005;288(2):E462-8.
- 471 22. Szczepaniak LS, Babcock EE, Schick F, Dobbins RL, Garg A, Burns DK, et al. Measurement
- of intracellular triglyceride stores by H spectroscopy: validation in vivo. American Journal of
- 473 Physiology. 1999;276(5 Pt 1):E977-89.
- 474 23. Finegood DT, Bergman RN. Optimal segments a method for smoothing tracer data to
- 475 calculate metabolic fluxes. American Journal of Physiology. 1983;244(5):E472-E9.
- 476 24. Steele R, Bishop JS, Dunn A, Altszule N, Rathgeb I, Debodo RC. Inhibition by insulin of
- hepatic glucose production in normal dog. American Journal of Physiology. 1965;208(2):301-
- 478 &.
- 479 25. Bruce RA, Kusumi F, Hosmer D. Maximal oxygen intake and nomographic assessment of
- functional aerobic impairment in cardiovascular disease. Am Heart J. 1973;85(4):546-62.
- 481 26. Borg G, Linderholm H. Perceived exertion and pulse rate during graded exercise in various age
- groups. Acta Medica Scandinavica. 1967;S472:194-206.

- 483 27. Shojaee-Moradie F, Baynes KC, Pentecost C, Bell JD, Thomas EL, Jackson NC, et al. Exercise
- 484 training reduces fatty acid availability and improves the insulin sensitivity of glucose
- 485 metabolism. Diabetologia. 2007;50(2):404-13.
- 486 28. Levy JC, Matthews DR, Hermans MP. Correct homeostasis model assessment (HOMA)
- evaluation uses the computer program. Diabetes Care. 1998;21(12):2191-2.
- 488 29. Gastaldelli A, Harrison SA, Belfort-Aguilar R, Hardies LJ, Balas B, Schenker S, et al.
- Importance of changes in adipose tissue insulin resistance to histological response during
- 490 thiazolidinedione treatment of patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatol.
- 491 2009;50(4):1087-93.
- 492 30. Gastaldelli A, Cusi K, Pettiti M, Hardies J, Miyazaki Y, Berria R, et al. Relationship between
- hepatic/visceral fat and hepatic insulin resistance in nondiabetic and type 2 diabetic subjects.
- 494 Gastroenterology. 2007;133(2):496-506.
- 495 31. Expert Panel on Detection Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults.
- Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP)
- 497 expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult
- 498 treatment panel III). JAMA. 2001;285(19):2486-97.
- 499 32. D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, et al. General
- cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation.
- 501 2008;117(6):743-53.
- 502 33. Vickers AJ, Altman DG. Analysing controlled trials with baseline and follow up measurements.
- 503 BMJ. 2001;323(7321):1123-4.
- 504 34. Perseghin G, Lattuada G, De Cobelli F, Ragogna F, Ntali G, Esposito A, et al. Habitual
- 505 physical activity is associated with intrahepatic fat content in humans. Diabetes Care.
- 506 2007;30(3):683-8.
- 35. Bae JC, Suh S, Park SE, Rhee EJ, Park CY, Oh KW, et al. Regular exercise Is associated with a
- reduction in the risk of NAFLD and decreased liver enzymes in individuals with NAFLD
- independent of obesity in Korean adults. PloS One. 2012;7(10).
- 510 36. Coker RH, Williams RH, Yeo SE, Kortebein PM, Bodenner DL, Kern PA, et al. The impact of
- exercise training compared to caloric restriction on hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance in
- 512 obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009;94(11):4258-66.
- 513 37. Browning JD, Baker JA, Rogers T, Davis J, Satapati S, Burgess SC. Short-term weight loss and
- hepatic triglyceride reduction: evidence of a metabolic advantage with dietary carbohydrate
- 515 restriction. Ame J ClinNutr. 2011;93(5):1048-52.
- 516 38. Bojsen-Moller KN, Dirksen C, Jorgensen NB, Jacobsen SH, Serup AK, Albers PH, et al. Early
- enhancements of hepatic and later of peripheral insulin sensitivity combined with increased
- 518 postprandial insulin secretion contribute to improved glycemic control after Roux-en-Y gastric
- 519 bypass. Diabetes. 2014;63(5):1725-37.

- 520 39. Thankamony A, Tossavainen PH, Sleigh A, Acerini C, Elleri D, Dalton RN, et al. Short-term
- administration of pegvisomant improves hepatic insulin sensitivity and reduces soleus muscle
- intramyocellular lipid content in young adults with type 1 diabetes. Journal of Clinical
- 523 Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2014;99(2):639-47.
- 524 40. Petersen KF, Dufour S, Savage DB, Bilz S, Solomon G, Yonemitsu S, et al. The role of skeletal
- muscle insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome. Proc Natl Acad Sci
- 526 USA. 2007;104(31):12587-94.
- 527 41. Rabol R, Petersen KF, Dufour S, Flannery C, Shulman GI. Reversal of muscle insulin
- resistance with exercise reduces postprandial hepatic de novo lipogenesis in insulin resistant
- 529 individuals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011;108(33):13705-9.
- 530 42. Guo T, Jou W, Chanturiya T, Portas J, Gavrilova O, McPherron AC. Myostatin inhibition in
- muscle, but not adipose tissue, decreases fat mass and improves insulin sensitivity. PLoS One.
- 532 2009;4(3):e4937.
- 533 43. Pedersen BK, Febbraio MA. Muscles, exercise and obesity: skeletal muscle as a secretory
- organ. Nature Reviews Endocrinology. 2012;8(8):457-65.
- 535 44. Lomonaco R, Ortiz-Lopez C, Orsak B, Webb A, Hardies J, Darland C, et al. Effect of adipose
- tissue insulin resistance on metabolic parameters and liver histology in obese patients with
- nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol. 2012;55(5):1389-97.
- 538 45. Fabbrini E, Magkos F, Mohammed BS, Pietka T, Abumrad NA, Patterson BW, et al.
- Intrahepatic fat, not visceral fat, is linked with metabolic complications of obesity. Proc Natl
- 540 Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(36):15430-5.
- 541 46. Lim EL, Hollingsworth KG, Aribisala BS, Chen MJ, Mathers JC, Taylor R. Reversal of type 2
- diabetes: normalisation of beta cell function in association with decreased pancreas and liver
- 543 triacylglycerol. Diabetologia. 2011;54(10):2506-14.
- 544 47. Cook JR, Langlet F, Kido Y, Accili D. On the pathogenesis of selective insulin resistance in
- isolated hepatocytes. J Biol Chem. 2015.
- 546 48. Li S, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Bifurcation of insulin signaling pathway in rat liver: mTORC1
- required for stimulation of lipogenesis, but not inhibition of gluconeogenesis. Proc Natl Acad
- 548 Sci USA. 2010;107(8):3441-6.

550 Figure legends

551

- Figure 1. CONSORT diagram showing flow of participants through the study.
- Figure 2. Black circles indicate individuals in the exercise group; open circles indicate individuals in
- the control group.
- 555 A) Relationship between reduction in liver fat (IHCL) and improvement in cardiorespiratory
- 556 fitness (VO_{2peak} ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) (r=-0.34; P=0.02)
- Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in body weight (r=0.48; P<0.001)
- 558 C) Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in visceral adipose tissue volume
- 559 (VAT) (*r*=0.37; *P*=0.008).
- 560 **D**) Relationship between reduction in IHCL and reduction in subcutaneous adipose tissue
- 561 volume (SAT) (*r*=0.61; *P*<0.001).
- Figure 3. Rates of a) glucose infusion (GINF) during high dose insulin, b) glucose uptake (Rd) during
- high dose insulin, c) glucose metabolic clearance (MCR) during high dose insulin and d) hepatic
- glucose production (HGP) during low dose insulin expressed relative to insulin, before (grey bars)
- and after (black bars) exercise or controls.

Table 1. Clinical, biochemical and MRI-measured body composition in 50 patients before and after supervised exercise intervention (Ex; n=30) and control (Con; n=20) (reported as *median* and *interquartile range* as within group comparison). *Mean delta changes* with 95% confidence intervals (with significance values) are shown for each intervention and the delta changes are compared (between group comparison). *P<0.05; **P<0.001

	Within-group comparison				Between-group comparison				
	Pre Ex Median (IQR)	Post Ex Median (IQR)	Pre Con Median (IQR)	Post Con Median (IQR)	Ex Λ Change Mean (95 % CI)	Con Δ Change Mean (95% CI)	Δ Mean (95% CI)	P	
Weight (kg)	95.6 (83.8-104)	90.7 (80.1-101.5)	90.4 (86.5-107.5)	90.7 (86.4-108.5)	-2.5 (-3.5, -1.4)**	0.2 (-0.8, 1.1)	-2.5 (-3.9, -1.1)	0.001	
BMI (kg/m^2)	30.6 (29.0-32.9)	30.0 (27.9-32.0)	29.7 (28.0-33.8)	29.9 (28.0-33.0)	-0.9 (-1.4, -0.5)**	0.02 (-0.5, 0.6)	-1 (-1.3, -0.3)	0.007	
Waist (cm)	106 (101-112)	103 (95-109)	102 (99-114)	101 (98-114)	-4.1 (-5.8, -2.4)**	-1.01 (-2.45, 0.34)	-3 (-5, -1)	0.013	
% fat mass	30.4 (25.9-32.1)	28.0 (24.3-29.8)	31.0 (26.5-37.7)	30.7 (25.8-37.0)	-1.6 (-2.4, -0.7)**	0.2 (-0.6, 1.1)	-1.9 (-3.0, -0.7)	0.002	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	135 (125-142)	129 (121-137)	125 (118-142)	132 (123-143)	-5 (-9, -1)*	1 (-5, 7)	-4. (-10, 1.0)	0.111	
Diastolic BP	83 (75-87)	78 (74-82)	82 (72-92)	83 (72-90)	-4 (-7, -0.3)*	-3 (-9, 3)	-2 (-5, 3)	0.456	
VO2peak(ml/kg/min)^	23.7 (21.7-27.8)	32.3 (27.6-38.0)	23.2 (20.9-25.6)	23.1 (20.9-26.9)	7.2 (5.3, 9.1)**	-0.2 (-1.7, 1.3)	7.3 (5.0,9.7)	< 0.001	
ALT^ (U/l)	45 (36-66)	32 (25-44)	47 (29-63)	34 (24-51)	-14 (-23, 5)**	-12(-19, -4)**	0.99 (0.78, 1.20)	0.760	
AST^ (U/l)	33 (25-47)	29 (22-35)	31 (23-41)	27 (23-36)	-8 (-12, -3)**	-4 (-8,1)	0.92 (0.79, 1.07)	0.268	
GGT^ (U/l)	47 (35-62)	34 (22-48)	42 (28-66)	41 (26-68)	-18 (-29, -7)**	-8(-18, 2)	0.87 (0.74, 1.02)	0.089	
Cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.1 (4.7-5.7)	4.8 (4.4-5.3)	5.2 (4.60-5.49)	5.1 (4.53)	-0.19 (-0.38, 0.01)	0.02 (-0.18, 0.22)	-0.20 (-0.49, 0.09)	0.169	
Triglycerides	1.9 (1.4-2.63)	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	1.5 (1.2-2.7)	1.6 (1.4-2.7)	-0.16 (-0.37, 0.04)	0.05 (-0.40, 0.50)	-0.24 (-0.54, 0.07)	0.123	
(mmol/l)									
HDL (mmol/l)	1.2 (0.9-1.4)	1.2 (0.9-1.4)	1.2 (0.9-1.3)	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)	0.03 (-0.04, 0.09)	0.443	
LDL (mmol/l)	3.5 (3.0-3.9)	3.2 (2.8-3.5)	3.4 (2.6-3.7)	3.1 (2.5-3.5)	-0.29 (-0.5, -0.1)*	-0.26 (-0.56, 0.03)	0.06 (-0.29, 0.40)	0.745	
Chol:HDL ratio	4.6 (4.0-5.1)	4.0 (3.3-5.0)	4.7 (4.0-5.6)	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	0.3 (-0.0-0.5)*	-0.09 (-0.44, 0.27)	-0.21 (-0.61, 0.18)	0.279	
Liver fat (%	19.4 (14.6-36.1)	10.1 (6.5-27.1)	16.0 (9.6-32.5)	14.6 (8.8-27.3)	-9.3 (-13.1, -5.3)*	-2.5 (-6.2, 1.2)	-4.7 (-9.4, 0.01)	0.05	
CH ₂ /water)									
VAT (l)	9.8 (8.0-11.7)	8.6 (7.8-9.6)	7.8 (6.9-9.2)	8.0 (6.9-9.1)	-1.0 (-1.6, -0.4)*	-0.2 (-0.8, 0.5)	-0.7 (-1.6, 0.2)	0.109	
SAT (1)	23.1 (19.4-32.0)	20.7 (17.5-28.3)	21.7 (19.6-29.1)	23.1 (19.1-29.3)	-1.4 (-2.6, -1.0)*	0.01 (-0.8, 0.9)	-1.8 (-3.0, -0.7)	0.003	
Abdominal fat (l)	33.2 (29.1-41.0)	29.9 (26.7-37.2)	30.0 (27.5-38.2)	31.9 (27.1-37.5)	-2.8 (-4.0, -1.6)*	-0.15 (-1.6, 1.3)	-2.7 (-4.6, -0.8)	0.006	
VAT:SAT ratio	0.4 (0.3-0.6)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.00)	-0.01 (-0.02, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.03, 0.02)	0.853	
IMCL Soleus	12.3 (9.0-16.8)	12.8 (9.2-15.6)	15.5 (11.7-21.8)	15.0 (12.9-21.4)	-0.8 (-2.7, 1.2)	-1.1 (-1.8, 4.1)	-1.9 (-5.0, 1.3)	0.237	
(CH ₂ /creatine)									
IMCL Tibialis Ant.	9.0 (5.6-11.2)	8.6 (6.8-11.6)	7.3 (5.3-9.5)	8.7 (7.1-11.7)	0.2 (-2.3, 2.8)	-0.9 (-9.3, 7.6)	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	0.848	

Within-group comparisons use paired t-tests, p < 0.05 being taken as evidence of a significant change pre- to post-intervention: a negative change indicates reduction pre- to post. Between-group comparisons (final two columns) use linear regression (ANCOVA) comparing post-scores between groups correcting for pre-scores, Δ therefore indicates

the difference between post-intervention means after correcting for pre-intervention scores: a negative difference indicates a lower mean for the exercise group compared with control. $^{\wedge}$ indicates that a log transformation was necessary to meet the assumptions of linear regression; here, Δ is the ratio of geometric means post-intervention after correcting for pre-intervention scores, a ratio <1 indicating a lower mean in exercise group relative to control.

Table 2. Metabolic measurements in 50 patients before and after supervised exercise intervention (Ex; n=30) and control (Con; n=20) (reported as *median* and *interquartile range* as within group comparison). *Mean delta changes* with 95% confidence intervals (with significance values) are shown for each intervention and the delta changes are compared (between group comparison). *P<0.05.

		Within-group	comparison	Between-group comparison			
	Pre Ex Median (IQR)	Post Ex Median (IQR)	Pre Con Median (IQR)	Post Con Median (IQR)	Ex Δ Change Mean (95 % CI)	Con Δ Change Mean (95% CI)	
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.4 (4.8-6.1)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)*	5.6 (4.8-6.1)	5.5 (5.0-5.8)*	-0.15 (-0.30, 0.00)	-0.2 (-0.3, 0.0)	0.0 (-0.2, 0.2)
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)	131 (96-162)	115 (72-158)*	119(96-193)	130 (95-195)	-22 (-43, -1)	2 (-19, 23)	-26 (-55, 2)
HOMA2-IR	2.5 (1.8-3.0)	2.1 (1.3-2.9)*	2.2 (1.8-3.6)	2.5 (1.8-3.7)	-0.43 (-0.81, -0.05)	0.03 (-0.3, 0.4)	-0.5 (-0.1.0, 0.02)
Fasting FFA (mmol/l)	0.52 (0.45-0.60)	0.42 (0.35-0.59)	0.56 (0.39-0.71)	0.54 (0.42-0.65)	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.03)	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.03)	-0.03 (-0.1, 0.1)
Adipose–IR (mmol/l.pmol/l)	61 (48-88)	50 (30-86)*	55. (47-87)	60 (44-84)	-15 (-27, -2)	-0.5 (-17, 16)	-18 (-36, 0.5)*
Adiponectin (ng/ml)	5950 (3700-8100)	5450 (3550-7650)	6300 (5200-7950)	6650 (4950-9750)	-260 (-790, 269)	259(-543, 1060)	-630(-1497, 238)
Leptin (ng/ml)	9.2 (6.5-12.6)	7.1 (4.3-11.9)*	11.8 (7.0-18.5)	11.8 (6.9-19.0)	-1.7 (-3.0, -0.4)*	-0.3 (-1.5, 1.0)	-1.7 (-3.5, 0.1)
Irisin (ng/ml)	140 (128-171)	129 (121-173)*	140 (128-179)	145 (123-156)	-10.5 (-18.9, -2.1)	-5.4 (-16, 5.1)	-4.7 (-17, 8)
Fetuin-A *(µg/ml)	483 (412-518)	470(397-506)	424 (393.8 - 4780.0)	428 (394-477)	-1.9 (-15.5, 11.6)	-4.0 (27, 19)	-2. (-28, 24)

Within-group comparisons use paired t-tests, P<0.05 being taken as evidence of a change pre- to post-intervention: a negative change indicates reduction pre- to post. Between-group comparisons use linear regression (ANCOVA) comparing post scores between groups whilst correcting for pre-scores, therefore indicates the difference between post intervention means after correcting for pre-intervention scores: a negative difference indicates a lower mean for the exercise group compared with control group.