

1

2 **The gastropod parasitic nematode**

3 ***Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* does not affect**
4 **non-target freshwater snails *Lymnaea stagnalis*,**
5 ***Bithynia tentaculata* and *Planorbarius corneus*.**

6

7 Whitaker, G. and Rae, R.*

8

9 Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Sciences and Psychology,
10 Byrom St., Liverpool, L33AF, UK.

11

12 * Corresponding author: e-mail: r.g.rae@ljmu.ac.uk

13

14 Tel: 01512312486

15

16

17

18

Abstract

Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita is a lethal parasite of several slug and snail species that has been formulated into a biological control agent. However, the complete host range of this nematode is poorly understood, in particular its potential to affect non-target aquatic snail species. Here we exposed three species of juvenile and adult freshwater snail (*Lymnaea stagnalis*, *Planorbis* *corneus* and *Bithynia tentaculata*) to 30 and 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm² and assessed survival, as well as differences in weight for 66 days. We show that *P. hermaphrodita* has no effect on the survival of *L. stagnalis*, *P. corneus* and *B. tentaculata* after 66 days of exposure. In summary, we found little evidence of *P. hermaphrodita* causing mortality to three freshwater snail species at two different life stages and believe that *P. hermaphrodita* would have little effect on non-target snail species in the wild.

Keywords

Slugs, aquatic snails, parasites, non-target organisms.

The gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* is a lethal parasite of several pest slugs and snails including *Deroceras reticulatum*

44 and *Arion ater* (Wilson et al., 1993; 2000) and has been formulated into a
45 biological control agent (Nemaslug®) for farmers and gardeners in Northern
46 Europe available from BASF-Becker Underwood (Rae et al., 2007). Once applied
47 nematodes seek out slugs and snails, responding to mucus and faeces, then
48 penetrate through the mantle and kill the host in between 4 and 21 days (Rae et
49 al., 2006; 2009a; Wilson et al., 1993; Tan and Grewal, 2001). *P. hermaphrodita*
50 has been used to protect many crops from slug damage including Chinese
51 cabbage (Rae et al., 2009b), winter wheat (Wilson et al., 1994) and oilseed rape
52 (Wilson et al., 1995).

53 The complete host range of *P. hermaphrodita* is poorly understood and
54 many slug and snail species have never been tested for their susceptibility
55 towards this nematode. One group of molluscs that have been neglected are
56 freshwater snails. There are only two studies that have focused on investigating
57 the effects of *P. hermaphrodita* on aquatic snails, which showed that under lab
58 conditions *P. hermaphrodita* can kill the non-target snail *Lymnaea stagnalis* but
59 not *Physa fontalis* (Wilson et al., 1993; Morley and Morritt, 2006). Here we
60 decided to investigate whether *P. hermaphrodita* could kill three common non-
61 target species of freshwater snail including the Great Pond snail (*L. stagnalis*),
62 the Great Ram's-horn snail (*Planorbis corneus*) and *Bithynia tentaculata*,
63 which are common, widely distributed snails which live in slow moving and
64 large ponds (Beedham, 1972). We also decided to examine whether the
65 susceptibility of snails to *P. hermaphrodita* could be due to differences in size as
66 previously it has been shown that *P. hermaphrodita* can kill juveniles of the snail
67 *Helix aspersa*, and the slugs *A. ater* and *A. lusitanicus* but adults remain resistant
68 (Glen et al., 1996; Grimm, 2002).

69

70 **Materials and Methods**

71

72 **Source of invertebrates**

73

74 *P. hermaphrodita* was purchased from BASF-Becker Underwood and was
75 stored at 10°C prior to use. Freshwater snails (*L. stagnalis*, *B. tentaculata* and *P.*
76 *corneus*) were supplied by Sciento, U.K. and collected from ponds at Calderstones
77 Park, Liverpool. Snails were kept in fresh water at 10°C prior to use.

78

79 **Infection assay with freshwater snails exposed to *P. hermaphrodita***

80

81 *P. hermaphrodita* were mixed with tap water and numbers of nematodes per 100 µl
82 were quantified. Non-airtight plastic boxes (10 x 9 x 6 cm) were filled with 120 ml of
83 fresh water. Evaporation of water was monitored by weighing boxes every 5 days
84 and adding fresh pond water if necessary to maintain approximately the same
85 volume throughout the experiment. To three boxes the recommended rate of *P.*
86 *hermaphrodita* was applied (30 nematodes per cm²) and to another three boxes five
87 times the recommended rate was applied (150 per cm²). Three boxes received no
88 nematodes and acted as the controls. For the first experiment, ten juvenile *L.*
89 *stagnalis* (mean weight = 0.48 ± 0.03 g, n = 90) were added to each box. To
90 investigate the difference in weight of snails when infected with *P. hermaphrodita*
91 we also exposed adult *L. stagnalis* to a high dose of 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm²
92 (mean weight = 4.03 ± 0.13 g, n = 60). This experimental set up was also repeated for

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

both sizes of *P. corneus* (juvenile mean weight = 0.118 ± 0.004 , n = 90; adult mean weight = 2.14 ± 0.12 , n = 60) and only one size of *B. tentaculata* (mean weight = 0.302 ± 0.006 , n = 90) was exposed to 0, 30 and 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm². All species of snails were weighed before and after the experiment to determine if the nematode caused any effect on weight gain and food consumption which has been documented in other molluscan species (Glen et al., 2000). Snails were provided with food including pond weed and cabbage *ad libitum*.

Survival was monitored every 3-4 days for 66 days. Any dead snails were dissected to examine nematode penetrance.

Data analysis

Survival of snails was analysed using the log rank test carried out in OASIS (Yang et al., 2011) and the weight of snails before and after nematode treatment was compared using a Student t-test.

Results

The effect of *P. hermaphrodita* on the survival of juvenile and adult *L. stagnalis*, *P. corneus* and *B. tentaculata*.

P. hermaphrodita applied at both 30 and 150 nematodes per cm² had no significant effect on the survival of juvenile or adult *L. stagnalis* after 66 days exposure ($P > 0.05$) (Fig 1a, b). Similarly, *P. hermaphrodita* had no effect on the

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

survival of both juvenile and adult *P. corneus* at both doses (30 and 150 nematodes per cm²) ($P>0.05$) (Fig 2a, b). Also adult *B. tentaculata* were resistant to both doses of *P. hermaphrodita* as there were no significant differences in survival over 66 days ($P>0.05$) (Fig 3). Therefore, *P. hermaphrodita* had no effect on the survival of three species of aquatic snails when applied at two different doses for 66 days.

The effect of *P. hermaphrodita* on the weight of juvenile and adult *P. corneus* and adult *B. tentaculata*

There was no significant difference between the weight of juvenile or adult *P. corneus* on day 0 and day 66 when exposed to no nematodes, 30 and 150 *P. hermaphrodita* per cm² ($P>0.05$) (Fig 4a, b). However, there was a significant difference between the weight of *B. tentaculata* on day 0 and day 66 ($P<0.001$) (Fig 4c), but this was the case for the untreated and both doses of *P. hermaphrodita*, hence these snails lost weight in general throughout the experiment regardless of treatment. Therefore, *P. hermaphrodita* has no effect on the weight gain of aquatic snails.

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* may affect non-target aquatic molluscs including *L. stagnalis* (Wilson et al., 1993; Morley and Morritt, 2006). However, in our studies we have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* is unable to kill a selection of non-target freshwater snails including *L. stagnalis*, *B.*

tentaculata and *P. corneus* at two different doses of *P. hermaphrodita* (30 and 150 nematodes per cm²) after 66 days exposure. Ultimately, this study shows that *P. hermaphrodita* poses little risk to non-target fresh water snails.

The host range of *P. hermaphrodita* is best characterized in terrestrial slugs and snails. Pestiferous slugs such as *D. reticulatum* and *D. panormitanum* are highly susceptible to *P. hermaphrodita* (Wilson et al., 1993) but other species such as *Limax maximus* and *L. pseudoflavus* (Rae et al., 2008; Grewal et al., 2003) are resistant. Resistance in other species is dependent on size as adult *A. lusitanicus* and *A. ater* are resistant to *P. hermaphrodita* but juveniles are susceptible (Glen et al., 1996; Grimm, 2002). Similarly, in terrestrial snails, some species of terrestrial snails are resistant to *P. hermaphrodita* including *Cepaea nermoralis*, *Oxychilus helveticus*, *Pnentina ponentina*, *Discus rotundatus* and *Clausilia bidentata* (Wilson et al., 2000; Coupland, 1995; Iglesias et al., 2003). It is unknown why there are these differences in susceptibility to *P. hermaphrodita* but some terrestrial snails, such as the Giant African snail (*Achatina fulica*) have the ability to encapsulate and kill invading nematodes in their shell (Williams and Rae, 2015), which has also been shown in slugs (Rae et al., 2008). However, upon dissection of dead snails no encapsulated nematodes were observed so perhaps this defensive ability is only in terrestrial molluscs. Similarly, we rarely found *P. hermaphrodita* inside the snails, but this is not uncommon when *P. hermaphrodita* is exposed to other snails e.g. *H. aspersa* (Rae et al., 2009a). Either it is harder for *P. hermaphrodita* to penetrate into snails than slugs or our experimental assay is suppressive to nematode infection. However, this seems unlikely as two studies (Morley and Morritt, 2006 and Wilson et al., 1993) showed that *P. hermaphrodita* can kill *L. stagnalis* under similar conditions. One

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0 cm

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

168 important factor maybe the way snails were reared. Morley and Morritt (2006)
169 showed that laboratory reared *L. stagnalis* were susceptible to *P. hermaphrodita*
170 In our study we collected snails from the wild, which have been exposed to an
171 array of naturally occurring parasites and may potential have a stronger immune
172 system and are able to cope with *P. hermaphrodita*. Perhaps laboratory reared *L.*
173 *stagnalis* used in Morley and Morritt (2006) may potentially have unchallenged
174 and impaired immune systems, which made them more susceptible to *P.*
175 *hermaphrodita*?

176 In conclusion we have shown that *P. hermaphrodita* has little
177 pathogenicity towards wild caught freshwater snails and therefore poses little
178 threat to non-target aquatic snails.

179

180 **Acknowledgements**

181

182 We are very grateful to Beverly McGrath for maintenance of snails.

183

184

References

- Beedham, G.E. (1972). Identification of the British Mollusca. Hulton Group Keys. Hulton Educational Publications Ltd, Bath, UK.
- Coupland, J.B. (1995). Susceptibility of helioid snails to isolates of the nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* from southern France. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*. 66, 207-208.
- Glen, D.M., Wilson, M.J., Hughes L., Cargeeg, P. & Hajjar, A. (1996). Exploring and exploiting the potential of the rhabditid nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* as a biocontrol agent for slugs. In: Slugs and Snails: Agricultural, Veterinary and Environmental Perspectives, BCPC Symposium Proceeding, No 66, ed. By Henderson, I.F., British Crop Protection Council, Alton, Hants, UK, 271-280.
- Glen, D.M., Wilson, M.J., Brain, P. & Stroud, G. (2000). Feeding activity and survival of slugs *Deroceras reticulatum*, exposed to the rhabditid nematode, *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*, a model of dose response. *Biological Control* 17, 73-81.
- Grewal, S.K., Grewal, P.S. & Hammond R.B. (2003). Susceptibility of North American native and non-native slugs (Mollusca: Gastropoda) to

208 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda: Rhabditidae). *Biocontrol, Science*
209 *and Technology* 13, 119-125.

210 Grimm, B. (2002). Effect of the nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on
211 young stages of the pest slug *Arion lusitanicus*. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 68,
212 25-28.

213

214 Iglesias, J., Castillejo, J. & Castro, R. (2003). The effects of repeated applications of
215 the molluscicide metaldehyde and the biocontrol nematode *Phasmarhabditis*
216 *hermaphrodita* on molluscs, earthworms, nematodes, acarids and collembolans:
217 a two year study in North West Spain. *Pest Management Science* 59, 1217-1224.

218

219 Morley, N.J. & Morritt, D. (2006). The effects of the slug biocontrol agent,
220 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda), on non-target aquatic molluscs.
221 *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 92, 112-114.

222

223 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2006). The chemotactic response of
224 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Nematoda: Rhabditida) to cues of *Deroceras*
225 *reticulatum* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Nematology* 8, 197-200.

226

227 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2007). Biological control of terrestrial
228 molluscs using *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*- progress and prospects. *Pest*
229 *Management Science* 63, 1153-1164.

230

231 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2008). Susceptibility and immune
232 response of *Deroceras reticulatum*, *Milax gagates* and *Limax pseudoflavus*

233 exposed to the slug parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Journal*
 234 *of Invertebrate Pathology* 97, 61-69.
 235
 236 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2009a). Chemoattraction and host
 237 preference of the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*.
 238 *Journal of Parasitology* 95, 517-526.
 239
 240 Rae, R.G., Robertson, J.F. & Wilson, M.J. (2009b). Optimization of biological
 241 (*Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*) and chemical (iron phosphate and
 242 metaldehyde) slug control. *Crop Protection* 28, 765-773.
 243
 244 Williams, A.J. & Rae, R. [2015](#). Susceptibility of the Giant African Snail (*Achatina*
 245 *fulica*) exposed to the gastropod parasitic nematode *Phasmarhabditis*
 246 *hermaphrodita*. [Submitted Journal of Invertebrate Pathology. in press.](#)
 247
 248 Wilson, M.J., Glen, D.M. & Pearce, J.D. (1993). Biological control of molluscs.
 249 World Intellectual Property Organisation, International Patent Publication
 250 Number WO 93/00816.
 251
 252 Wilson, M.J., Glen, D.M., George, S.K., Pearce, J.D. & Wiltshire, C.W. (1994).
 253 Biological control of slugs in winter wheat using the rhabditid nematode
 254 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Annals of Applied Biology* 125, 377-390.
 255
 256 Wilson, M.J., Hughes, L.A. & Glen, D.M. (1995). Developing strategies for the
 257 nematode, *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*, as a biological control agent of slugs

258 in Integrated Crop Management Systems. Integrated Crop Protection: Towards
259 Sustainability? British Crop Protection Council (BCPC), 33-40.
260
261 Wilson, M.J., Hughes, L.A., Hamacher, G.M. & Glen, D.M. (2000). Effects of
262 *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on non-target molluscs. *Pest Management*
263 *Science* 56, 711-716.
264
265 Yang, J-S., Nam H-J, Seo, M., Han, S.K., Choi, Y., Nam, H.G., Lee, S.J. & Kim, S. (2011).
266 OASIS: online application for the survival analysis assays performed in aging
267 research. *PLoS ONE* 6, e23525