

Fundamental movement skills in relation to weekday and weekend physical activity in preschool children

Lawrence Foweather^a, Zoe Knowles^a, Nicola D. Ridgers^b, Mareesa V. O'Dwyer^c, Jonathan D. Foulkes^a & Gareth Stratton^d,

^aPhysical Activity Exchange, Research Institute for Sport & Exercise Sciences, Liverpool

John Moores University, 62 Great Crosshall Street, Liverpool, UK. L3 2AT

^bCentre for Physical Activity and Nutrition Research (C-PAN), Deakin University, Australia

^cEarly Childhood Ireland, Dublin, Ireland

^dApplied Sports Technology Exercise and Medicine Research Centre College of Engineering, Swansea University, UK

Corresponding Author

Lawrence Foweather: Lawrence.foweather@edgehill.ac.uk

Word Count

Total: 3,230

Abstract: 219

Number of Tables: 3

Number of Figures: 0

Abstract

Objectives: To examine associations between fundamental movement skills and weekday and weekend physical activity among preschool children living in deprived communities.

Design: Cross-sectional observation study.

Methods: Six locomotor skills and 6 object-control skills were video-assessed using The Children's Activity and Movement in Preschool Study Motor Skills Protocol. Physical activity was measured via hip-mounted accelerometry. A total of 99 children (53% boys) aged 3-5 years (M 4.6, SD 0.5) completed all assessments. Multilevel mixed regression models were used to examine associations between fundamental movement skills and physical activity. Models were adjusted for clustering, age, sex, standardised body mass index and accelerometer wear time.

Results: Boys were more active than girls and had higher object-control skill competency. Total skill score was positively associated with weekend moderate-to-vigorous physical activity ($p=0.034$) but not weekday physical activity categories ($p>0.05$). When subdomains of skills were examined, object-control skills was positively associated with light physical activity on weekdays ($p=0.008$) and with light ($p=0.033$), moderate-to-vigorous ($p=0.028$) and light- and moderate-to-vigorous ($p=0.008$) physical activity at weekends. Locomotor skill competency was positively associated with moderate-to-vigorous physical activity on weekdays ($p=0.016$) and light physical activity during the weekend ($p=0.035$).

Conclusions: The findings suggest that developing competence in both locomotor and object-control skills may be an important element in promoting an active lifestyle in young children during weekdays and at weekends.

Keywords: Physical activity; Motor skills; Movement; Cross-sectional studies

1. Introduction

Recent guidelines from the United Kingdom (UK) recommend that preschool children (3-5 years) should participate in at least 180 minutes of physical activity (PA) of any intensity (i.e. light, moderate, vigorous) each day for maintenance of a healthy weight, as well as improved bone and cardiovascular health.¹ The early years are a critical period to promote and establish positive health behaviours, with levels of PA tracking from early to middle childhood.² Understanding factors that may influence the PA behaviours of young children is essential for the development of effective interventions.³

Distinct patterns of PA have been observed in young children on weekdays and weekends.⁴ Participation in PA at weekends is less variable, while the structured nature of weekdays - with young children waking up and going to bed earlier, attending preschool, and parent work commitments - impacts on engagement in PA.⁴⁻⁶ Preschool children participate in more PA during weekends than weekdays,⁵ yet both times have been suggested as important contexts for promoting PA in preschool children.³ The identification of factors that are associated with PA during these periods could therefore help to develop efficacious interventions.

The early years provide a window of opportunity for children to develop fundamental movement skills (FMS), including stability (e.g. balance), locomotor (e.g. hop, jump) and object-control (e.g. catch, throw) skills.⁷ These skills are considered the building blocks for more complex and specialised movements.⁷ A reciprocal and dynamic relationship, strengthening from early childhood to adolescence, has been proposed between motor skill competence and PA.^{8,9} According to Stodden et al.⁸ children with higher levels of FMS will seek to participate in PA and sports, whilst failure to master such skills will result in self-selected withdrawal from participation. A recent systematic review examined the health benefits associated with FMS competence and found strong evidence for a positive association between FMS competence and PA in children and adolescents, though studies using an objective measure of PA were notably lacking.¹⁰

In preschool children, positive but weak associations have typically been found between FMS competence and PA assessed using accelerometers,¹¹⁻¹⁵ perhaps supporting that this is an emerging, developmental relationship.⁸ These studies have predominantly focused on moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) averaged over the course of a week (i.e. habitual PA). To the authors' knowledge, no studies have explored the influence of FMS competence in relation to weekday and weekend PA in preschool children. Further, whilst the new UK PA guidelines for preschool children do not specify an activity intensity,¹ only one study using a small sample has considered FMS competence in relation to light PA (LPA) and light- and moderate-to-vigorous PA (LMVPA).¹⁵ Children living in areas of low socioeconomic status may be at greater risk of physical inactivity and other health inequalities.¹⁶ Whilst a recent study has examined associations between FMS competence and PA among 8-9 year old children living in low-income communities,¹⁶ little is known regarding preschool children from deprived areas. Therefore, this study aimed to examine associations between FMS competence and objectively measured PA during weekdays and weekend days among children living in deprived communities.

2. Methods

Baseline data from the Active Play Project was used for the current study. The project has been described in detail elsewhere.¹⁷ In summary, the project consisted of a 6-week educational programme involving staff and children from preschools within disadvantaged communities and targeting children's PA levels, FMS, fitness, and self-confidence. Baseline data collection was conducted in two phases during October 2009 and March 2010. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Liverpool John Moores University Research Ethics Committee (Reference 09/SPS/027).

Twelve preschools located in a large urban city in Northwest England and situated within neighbourhoods within the highest 10% for national deprivation¹⁸ were randomly selected and invited to participate in the study. All preschools provided written informed consent. Details on preschool recruitment and eligibility has been reported elsewhere.¹⁷ All children aged 3-5 at the study preschools were invited to participate and required to return informed written parental consent, demographic information (home postcode, child's ethnicity and date of birth, and mother's highest level of education) and medical assessment forms. From the 673 eligible children, parental consent was obtained for 240 children (35% response rate). No children had known medical conditions that could affect motor proficiency or participation in physical activity.

PA levels were measured every 5-seconds for 7 consecutive days using hip-mounted uniaxial accelerometers (GT1M ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL). Children were asked to wear the accelerometers during all waking hours except for water-based activities. Accelerometer data was reduced and analysed using ActiLife (Version 6). Valid wear time was defined as a minimum of three days, including a weekend day, with at least 9 hours of data recorded between 6am and 9pm (waking hours). Non-wear time was defined as twenty minutes of consecutive zeros. PA was classified into minutes per day spent in sedentary (≤ 100 counts), light (101-1679 counts), moderate (1680-3367 counts) and vigorous (≥ 3368 counts) intensities on weekdays and weekend days. These cut-points have recently been recommended for use in preschoolers.¹⁹ PA data was further categorised into average minutes of LMVPA and MVPA during for subsequent analysis. Habitual (weekly) PA $[(\text{average weekday value} \times 0.71) + (\text{average weekend value} \times 0.29)]$ was also calculated for descriptive purposes.

FMS measurement was administered by trained research assistants using The Test of Gross Motor Development-2 (TGMD-2) protocol.²⁰ The TGMD-2 is specifically designed for children aged 3-10 years and assesses six locomotor (run, broad jump, leap, hop, gallop and

slide) and six object-control (overarm throw, stationary strike, kick, catch, underhand roll and stationary dribble) skills. Children completed the TGMD-2 in small groups (2-4) in either school halls or on school playgrounds, dependent on available facilities. One research assistant provided a verbal description and single demonstration of the required skill, while a second took recordings of all participants using a video camera placed on a tripod. Each child performed each skill twice and skills were completed in a standardised order, taking approximately 35-40 minutes per group. Video recordings of the skills were assessed using The Children's Activity and Movement in Preschool Study Motor Skills Protocol (CMSP), which has established validity and reliability.²¹ The CMSP is a process-orientated assessment that evaluates each skill based on the demonstration of specific movement components.²¹ Whilst the CMSP uses an identical protocol to the TGMD-2,²⁰ it provides additional performance criteria and alternative scoring methods which offer improved assessment sensitivity.²¹ During the two trials for each skill, components were marked as being absent (scored 0) or present (1), with the exception of three skills. For the throw and strike hip/trunk rotation was scored as differentiated (2), block (1) or no rotation (0), whilst the catch identified a successful attempt as being caught cleanly with hands/fingers (2) or trapped against body/chest (1). The total number of skill components checked as present over two trials was summed to give a composite FMS score, whilst locomotor and object-control subtest scores were also created by summing the scores of skills within each subscale. All analyses were completed by a single trained assessor. Inter-rater reliability was established prior to assessment using pre-coded videotapes of 10 children, with 83.9% agreement across the twelve FMS (range 72.9-89.3%).

Body mass (to the nearest 0.1 kg) and stature (to the nearest 0.1 cm) were measured by trained researchers using digital scales and a portable stadiometer, respectively. Body mass index (BMI: kg/m²) was calculated and converted to BMI-z scores using the 'LMS' method for analysis.²²

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21 (IBM Corporation, New York) with statistical significance set at $p < 0.05$. Prior to analysis, data was explored and checked for normality. Descriptive statistics were calculated by group and sex and reported as means (\pm SD). Sex differences in age, BMI, BMI-z score, PA and FMS summary variables were examined using independent t-tests. Multilevel mixed linear regression models were used to assess associations between FMS and PA on weekdays and at weekends, with LPA, MVPA, or LMVPA entered as the outcome variables, FMS (i.e. total, locomotor or object-control skill score) as the predictor variable(s), and preschool centre as a random factor. Interactions between respective predictors and sex were explored if these variables were both significantly associated with the outcome variable. All models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI-z and minutes of accelerometer wear time.

3. Results

A total of 99 (41%) children aged 3-5 years (M 4.6, SD 0.5; 55% boys) completed all assessments and were therefore included in the final analysis. There was no significant differences in age, ethnicity, deprivation, and BMI-z score between those included in the analysis and those excluded due to either not meeting the PA inclusion criteria ($n=103$; 43%) or missing/incomplete FMS data ($n=72$; 30%). Descriptive statistics and sex differences for the study sample are presented in Table 1. Nine out of ten participating children lived in an area ranked within the top 30% for deprivation in England, with 76% of these children ranked within the highest decile for deprivation.¹⁸ Most children were of White British descent (81%), with the remaining participants of another White descent (5%), Mixed Race (3%), Asian (3%), Black African (5%) or other (1%). Almost a quarter of children were overweight (17%) or obese (7%). On average, children engaged in LMVPA for a total of 266.6 ± 65.2 (36.7%) minutes each day, including 180.2 ± 40.8 (24.8%) minutes of LPA and 86.4 ± 28 (11.9%) minutes of MVPA; 86% of children met the recommended PA guidelines.¹ Children

participated in more vigorous PA at weekends than on weekdays. No other differences were found between weekday and weekend PA. Compared to girls, boys engaged in more MVPA and LMVPA on weekdays, and more MVPA at weekends. Boys had significantly higher object-control skill scores than girls, though there was a trend for girls to have better locomotor skills. No other sex differences were found.

Table 2 shows the associations between FMS and weekday PA. Total skill score was not associated with LPA ($p=0.238$), MVPA ($p=0.059$) or LMVPA ($p=0.057$). Object-control skills was positively associated with LPA ($p=0.008$) but not with either MVPA ($p=0.966$) or LMVPA ($p=0.111$). Locomotor skills was positively associated with MVPA ($p=0.016$) but not with LPA ($p=0.165$), nor LMVPA ($p=0.518$).

Table 3 shows the associations between FMS and weekend PA. Total skill score was positively associated with MVPA ($p=0.034$) but not LPA ($p=0.884$) or LMVPA ($p=0.198$). Object-control skills was positively associated with LPA ($p=0.033$), MVPA ($p=0.028$) and LMVPA ($p=0.008$), whilst locomotor skills was positively associated with LPA ($p=0.035$) but not MVPA ($p=0.926$) or LMVPA ($p=0.211$). For model 5, the interaction between sex and object-control skills was not significant ($p>0.05$).

4. Discussion

This unique study explored associations between FMS competence and weekday and weekend PA in preschool children living in deprived communities. Children with higher levels of FMS, as expressed by a total skills composite score, engaged in more MVPA during weekends. When subdomains of FMS were examined, differential associations were observed for weekday and weekend time periods. Specifically, locomotor skill competency was positively associated with MVPA on weekdays, and LPA at weekends. Object-control

skill competency was positively associated with LPA on weekdays and with LPA, MVPA and LMVPA at weekends. Boys had better object-control skills than girls, and spent more time in MVPA and LMVPA on weekdays, and MVPA at weekends.

Weekdays are deemed typically less flexible than weekends as young children follow a structured routine of daily activities and spend most of their waking hours at preschool, whilst parents work commitments may restrict the time that they can spend with their child.⁴⁻⁶ As children in preschools with PA promoting practices and policies generally participate in more PA,^{3,23} the preschool centre has been identified as an important setting for early years PA promotion.³ The current study found that locomotor skill competency was positively associated with MVPA on weekdays, suggesting that children with higher competence at locomotor skills participated in more MVPA. Alternatively, participation in PA may improve acquisition of FMS, for example through increased neuromotor development.^{8,11} This finding may be indicative of the nature of weekday PA for young children, with the majority of MVPA likely accrued at preschool through unstructured, informal play-like activities such as dancing, running and chasing, which require a high level of locomotor rather than object-control skill competence. In support of this notion, a recent study²⁴ observed that preschool children in the highest locomotor tertile engaged in a higher percentage of intervals of dancing than children in the lowest locomotor tertile, with a trend for similar differences found for jumping/skipping activity types. However, no differences were observed between tertiles in intervals of walking or running activities.²⁴ In the current study, object-control skill competency was positively associated with LPA on weekdays, suggesting that preschool children with better object-control skills may engage in more LPA. Again, it is also possible that participation in LPA on weekdays may improve object-control skills. These findings open up the possibility that different types of FMS may be required for the promotion of activity of different intensities or vice versa, though longitudinal and experimental research is needed. In addition, whilst the current study examined associations between FMS and PA across the entire weekday, future research could explore relationships during key weekday time periods

for PA, such as recess, lunchtime and afterschool periods. Research conducted in primary school children suggests that both locomotor and object-control skills contribute to MVPA during these discrete time periods.¹⁶

At weekends, preschool children spend the majority of their time in or around the home environment, where parents have additional flexibility and more consistent engagement with their children.⁴⁻⁶ Despite differences in preschool children's weekday and weekend environments, and in contrast to previous research,⁵ no differences were found between weekday and weekend PA. However, differential associations were found in relation to FMS and PA. Contrary to weekdays, FMS competency was associated with weekend MVPA, moreover, object-control skill competency was associated with all activity intensities. This finding suggests that preschool children who have more competent object-control skills, participate in more PA at weekends. On the other hand, greater participation in weekend PA may improve object-control skill competency. This may be indicative of preschool children participating in more structured and organised sport activities at weekends, which have a larger object-control skill component. In addition, locomotor skill competency was found to be related to LPA at weekends, suggesting that children with better locomotor skills may participate in more light intensity PA or that, though perhaps unlikely, low intensity PA can potentially foster improvements in locomotor skills. Again, this suggests that associations between FMS and PA move beyond MVPA and therefore it is important to consider different intensities as well as different time periods. Weekends provide an opportunity for preschool children to spend more time with their parents, who can directly (e.g. provision of equipment, access to outdoors) and indirectly (e.g. role modelling, encouragement) influence their young child's PA behaviours.^{23,25} Parents have a reasonably accurate perception of their preschool child's motor skill abilities,²⁴ thus it is possible that parents of preschool children with higher skill competency may provide more support for PA (e.g. encouragement, access to facilities, spaces and equipment) than parents of preschool children with lower levels of skill competency, who may offer more sedentary alternatives. Interventions aiming to increase

young children's PA during weekends could be achieved by encouraging parents to be active as a family through a variety of means including natural environments, provision of equipment and active play/games with their children to develop their ball skills. In addition, parents could be encouraged to enrol their child into organised activities to give children more opportunities to practice and nurture FMS.

The current study found that boys were more active than girls, and had higher object-control skills, which is consistent with existing literature.^{4-6, 26,27} The observed positive associations between total skill score and weekend MVPA, and object-control skill subdomain with weekday LPA and weekend LPA, MVPA and LMVPA is also broadly consistent with other studies in young children.¹¹⁻¹³ In contrast, a recent study²⁷ found that object-control skill competence was not associated with MVPA, although this finding approached significance ($p=0.092$). Further, Iovene and colleagues¹⁵ found that whilst a throwing and catching combination skill was positively associated with MVPA and LMVPA, ability to kick or throw at a target was not. In addition, two of the three locomotor skills assessed (sliding and galloping) were positively associated with MVPA but jumping was not. In the present study, positive associations were found between a locomotor skill composite score and weekday MVPA and weekend LPA, supporting the results of a previous study that used similar methods.¹² Conversely, Cliff and colleagues¹³ found a negative association between locomotor skills and MVPA in young girls. The divergent findings may be explained by differences in sampling (e.g. sample size, age, demographics), as well as the methods used to assess FMS (product or process-based measure, number of performance criteria) and PA (epoch length, cut-off points used). Moreover, it is possible that differing findings can be explained by the fact that associations between FMS and PA are potentially influenced by a range of individual, social and environmental factors.^{3,23,25,28,29} In particular, perceptions of competence may play an important role.^{27,30} In addition, preschool children's FMS are somewhat rudimentary and consequently a relationship with PA is weak but emerging.⁸ Thus, a broad approach should be taken with motor skill interventions that encourages young

children to develop a repertoire of diverse FMS rather than explicitly targeting either object-control or locomotor skills.

The strengths of this study include the use of a sensitive process-based measure of 12 FMS, objective measurement of PA, and adjustments in all analyses for potential confounders. This study is limited by the cross-sectional design, which means that causality cannot be inferred. Whilst a recent longitudinal study¹⁴ lends support to the notion of a bi-directional relationship between FMS and PA,^{8,9} further research in young children using prospective designs is needed. A further limitation is that only 42% of recruited children completed all assessments. This reflects the practical challenges of achieving compliance with PA monitoring and measuring FMS in young children. Finally, accelerometers cannot capture water-based or non-ambulatory activity and so may underestimate PA, whilst a lack of consensus amongst researchers for the employed methodologies with this instrument hampers the ability to draw comparisons across studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study found positive associations between FMS and weekday and weekend PA outcomes among young children living in deprived areas. Preschool children with better locomotor skills participated in more MVPA on weekdays and more LPA on weekends; those with higher object-control skill competency participated in more LPA on weekdays and more LPA, MVPA and LMVPA at weekends. These findings open up the possibility that different types of FMS may be required for the promotion of activity of different intensities and at different time periods or vice versa. However, longitudinal research is needed to better understand the nature of the relationships between FMS competence and PA. Findings from the current study can be used to inform the design of developmentally-appropriate interventions targeting both physical activity and FMS.

Practical Implications

- The performance of adequate FMS may be an important element in promoting an active lifestyle in preschool children during weekdays and at weekends.
- Interventions with preschool children should simultaneously target both increasing FMS and greater participation in PA.
- Preschool children, but in particular girls, should be provided with plentiful opportunities for practice and instruction to develop FMS competence and foster greater participation in physical activity.

Acknowledgements

- Funding for the Active Play Project was provided by Liverpool Area Based Grants and the SportsLinx Programme and Liverpool John Moores University. We would like to thank our partners from Liverpool City Council/SportsLinx (Liz Lamb), the active play management (Pam Stevenson) and delivery team (Richard Jones, Adam Tinsley and Julie Walker), the Liverpool Early Years Team and the LJMU Physical Activity, Exercise and Health research group work bank volunteers who assisted with data collection.
- Nicola Ridgers is supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE120101173).

References

- 361 1. Department of Health. *Start Active, Stay Active: A report on physical activity for*
362 *health from the four home countries' Chief Medical Officers*. Available at:
363 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/21637](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_128210.pdf)
364 [0/dh_128210.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_128210.pdf) Accessed 14 August 2014.
- 365 2. Jones RA, Hinkley T, Okely AD et al. Tracking physical activity and sedentary
366 behavior: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2013; 44(6):651-658.
- 367 3. Hodges EA, Smith C, Tidwell S et al. Promoting physical activity in preschoolers to
368 prevent obesity: a review of the literature. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2013; 28:3-19.
- 369 4. O'Dwyer MV, Fairclough SJ, Ridgers ND et al. Patterns of objectively measured
370 moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in preschool children. *J Phys Act Health* [in
371 press].
- 372 5. Van Cauwenberghe E, Jones RA, Hinkley T et al. Patterns of physical activity and
373 sedentary behaviour in preschool children. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2012; 9:138 doi:
374 10.1186/1479-5868-9-138.
- 375 6. Hesketh KR, McMinn AM, Ekelund U et al. Objectively measured physical activity in
376 four-year-old British children: a cross-sectional analysis of activity patterns
377 segmented across the day. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014; 11:1 doi:10.1186/1479-
378 5868-11-1.
- 379 7. Gallahue DL, Donnelly FC. *Developmental physical education for all children*, 4th ed,
380 Champaign, IL, Human Kinetics; 2003.
- 381 8. Stodden DF, Goodway JD, Langendorfer SJ, et al. A Developmental Perspective on
382 the Role of Motor Skill Competence in Physical Activity: An Emergent Relationship.
383 *Quest* 2008; 60(2):290-306.

- 384 9. Barnett LM, Morgan PJ, Van Beurden E et al. A reverse pathway? Actual and
385 perceived skill proficiency and physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;
386 43(5):898-904.
- 387 10. Lubans DR, Morgan PJ, Cliff DP et al. Fundamental movement skills in children and
388 adolescents: review of associated health benefits. *Sports Med* 2010; 40(12):1019-
389 1035.
- 390 11. Fisher A, Reilly JJ, Kelly LA et al. Fundamental movement skills and habitual
391 physical activity in young children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005; 37(4):684-688.
- 392 12. Williams HG, Pfeiffer KA, O'Neill JR et al. Motor skill performance and physical
393 activity in preschool children. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008; 16(6):1421-1426.
- 394 13. Cliff DP, Okely AD, Smith LM et al. Relationships between fundamental movement
395 skills and objectively measured physical activity in preschool children. *Pediatr Exerc
396 Sci* 2009; 21(4):436-449.
- 397 14. Bürgi F, Meyer U, Granacher U et al. Relationship of physical activity with motor skills,
398 aerobic fitness and body fat in preschool children: a cross-sectional and longitudinal
399 study (Ballabeina). *Int J Obes* 2011; 35:937-944.
- 400 15. Iivonen KS, Sääkslahti K, Mehtälä A et al. Relationship between fundamental motor
401 skills and physical activity in 4-year-old preschool children. *Percept Mot Skills* 2013;
402 117(2):627-646.
- 403 16. Cohen KE, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff RC et al. Fundamental movement skills and
404 physical activity among children living in low-income communities: a cross sectional
405 study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014; 11(1):49. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-11-49.

- 406 17. O'Dwyer MV, Fairclough SJ, Ridgers ND, et al. Effect of a school-based active play
407 intervention on sedentary time and physical activity in preschool children. *Health*
408 *Educ Res.* 2013; 28(6):931-942.
- 409 18. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of
410 Deprivation 2010. Available at:
411 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6320/1](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6320/1870718.pdf)
412 [870718.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6320/1870718.pdf) Accessed 14 August 2014
- 413 19. Janssen X, Cliff DP, Reilly JJ et al. Predictive validity and classification accuracy of
414 Actigraph energy expenditure equations and cut-points in young children. *PLoS One*
415 2013; 8(11):e79124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079124.
- 416 20. Ulrich DA. *Test of Gross Motor Development: Examiner's Manual* 2nd ed, Austin,
417 Texas, PRO-ED; 2000.
- 418 21. Williams HG, Pfeiffer KA, Dowda M et al. A field-based testing protocol for assessing
419 gross motor skills in preschool children: The CHAMPS motor skills protocol (CMSP).
420 *Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci* 2009; 13(3):151-165.
- 421 22. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM et al. Establishing a standard definition for child
422 overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ.* 2000; 320(7244):1240.
- 423 23. Hinkley T, Crawford D, Salmon J et al. Preschool Children and Physical Activity: A
424 Review of Correlates. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008; 34(5):435-441.e437.
- 425 24. O'Neill JR, Williams HG, Pfeiffer KA et al. Young children's motor skill performance:
426 Relationships with activity types and parent perception of athletic competence. *J Sci*
427 *Med Sport* 2013; doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2013.10.253.

- 428 25. Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD et al. Correlates of preschool children's physical
429 activity. *Am J Prev Med* 2012; 43(2):159-167.
- 430 26. Hardy LL, King L, Farrell L et al. Fundamental movement skills among Australian
431 preschool children. *J Sci Med Sport* 2010; 13(5):503-508.
- 432 27. Barnett LM, Ridgers ND, Salmon J. Associations between young children's perceived
433 and actual ball skill competence and physical activity. *J Sci Med Sport* 2014. doi:
434 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.03.001.
- 435 28. Cools W, De Martelaer K, Samaey C et al. Fundamental movement skill performance
436 of preschool children in relation to family context. *J Sport Sci.* 2011; 29(7):649-660.
- 437 29. Barnett L, Hinkley T, Okely AD et al. Child, family and environmental correlates of
438 children's motor skill proficiency. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2013; 16(4):332-336.
- 439 30. Barnett LM, Morgan PJ, van Beurden E et al. Perceived sports competence mediates
440 the relationship between childhood motor skill proficiency and adolescent physical
441 activity and fitness: a longitudinal assessment. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2008; 5: 40.
442 doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-5-40

443

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for age, anthropometry, physical activity and fundamental movement skills, and sex differences

	Group (n = 99)		Boys (n = 52)		Girls (n = 47)		Sex diff.
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p value
Age (yrs)	4.6	0.5	4.7	0.6	4.6	0.5	0.522
BMI (kg/m²)	16.6	1.7	16.8	1.8	16.3	1.4	0.167
BMI-z (IOTF)	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.1	0.6	0.9	0.419
Weekday PA							
Sedentary (min./day)	458.9	73.3	450.4	71.8	468.3	74.6	0.228
Light PA (min./day)	180.8	42.3	186.4	43.0	174.5	41.8	0.165
Moderate PA (min./day)	52.7	17.4	57.4	17.8	47.6	15.6	0.005
Vigorous PA (min./day)	32.4	14.4	35.5	14.7	29.1	13.3	0.026
MVPA (min./day)	85.2	29.8	92.8	30.7	76.7	26.7	0.007
LMVPA (min./day)	265.9	68.1	279.3	69.1	251.2	64.5	0.040
Wear time (min./day)	724.6	47.6	729.6	45.2	719.4	49.3	0.284
Weekend PA							
Sedentary (min./day)	459.7	95.3	452.4	99.3	467.8	91.0	0.428
Light PA (min./day)	178.7	42.0	182.0	38.3	175.2	45.9	0.425

Moderate PA (min./day)	53.8	29.5	58.6	20.4	48.6	17.1	0.010
Vigorous PA (min./day)	35.6	15.9	38.8	14.9	32.0	16.3	0.034
MVPA (min./day)	89.4	33.3	97.3	33.8	80.6	30.9	0.012
LMVPA (min./day)	268.1	69.3	279.3	68.1	255.8	69.2	0.092
Wear time (min./day)	727.9	75.1	731.8	73.1	723.5	77.9	0.485

Fundamental Movement

Skills

Total skill score (0-138)	63.2	10.8	63.8	11.8	62.6	9.6	0.572
Locomotor skills (0-70)	33.4	6.0	32.4	6.4	34.6	5.4	0.068
Object control skills (0-	29.9	7.3	31.5	8.1	28.0	5.8	0.018

68)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; IOTF, International Obesity Task Force age- and sex-specific weight for height z-scores; LMVPA, Light- and moderate-to-vigorous PA; MVPA, Moderate-to-vigorous PA; PA, physical activity assessed by accelerometry; Wear time, accelerometer wear time.

Table 2. Summary of mixed regression analyses for fundamental movement skills and weekday physical activity^a

	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	p value
FMS composite score & weekday PA					
<i>Model 1: Light PA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-6.4	4.3	-14.9	2.1	0.136
Sex ^b	-6.5	4.2	-14.8	1.9	0.127
BMI-z	1.3	2.1	-2.9	5.5	0.547
Total skill score	0.3	0.2	-0.2	0.7	0.238
<i>Model 2: MVPA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-0.4	4.1	-8.5	7.7	0.916
Sex ^b	-11.2	1.0	-19.1	-3.2	0.007
BMI-z	1.0	2.0	-3.0	5.0	0.616
Total skill score	0.4	0.2	-0.01	0.8	0.059
<i>Model 3: LMVPA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-7.4	6.8	-21.0	6.2	0.281
Sex ^b	-17.4	6.7	-30.8	-4.1	0.011
BMI-z	2.6	3.4	-4.1	9.3	0.447
Total skill score	0.7	0.3	-0.01	1.4	0.057
FMS subdomains & weekday PA					
<i>Model 4: Light PA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-7.1	4.2	-15.3	1.2	0.091

Sex ^b	-2.7	4.3	-11.3	5.9	0.537
BMI-z	1.4	2.1	-2.7	5.5	0.507
Locomotor skills	-0.5	0.4	-1.3	0.2	0.165
Object-control skills	0.9	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.008

Model 5: MVPA (min./day)

Age	-0.1	4.0	-8.1	7.9	0.987
Sex ^b	-13.6	4.2	-21.9	-5.2	0.002
BMI-z	1.0	2.0	-3.0	4.9	0.621
Locomotor skills	0.9	0.4	0.2	1.6	0.016
Object-control skills	-0.01	0.3	-0.7	0.6	0.966

Model 6: LMVPA (min./day)

Age	-7.6	6.9	-21.2	6.0	0.269
Sex ^b	-16.2	7.2	-30.4	-2.0	0.026
BMI-z	2.6	3.4	-4.1	9.3	0.443
Locomotor skills	0.4	0.6	-0.8	1.7	0.518
Object-control skills	0.9	0.5	-0.2	2.0	0.111

Note. B, beta; SE B, standard error beta; 95% CI, confidence interval; L, lower; U, upper; BMI-z, IOTF age- and sex-specific weight for height z scores; Light PA, time spent in light intensity PA, LMVPA, time spent in light- and moderate-to-vigorous PA; MVPA, time spent in moderate-to-vigorous PA.

^a All models adjusted for potential clustering of preschools and accelerometer wear time

^b Reference category is boy

Table 3. Summary of mixed regression analyses for fundamental movement skills and weekend physical activity^a

	B	SE B	LCI	UCI	p value
FMS composite score & weekend PA					
<i>Model 1: Light PA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-5.0	6.2	-17.3	7.3	0.424
Sex ^b	-3.5	6.1	-15.6	8.6	0.565
BMI-z	-1.8	3.1	-7.9	4.3	0.560
Total skill score	0.05	0.3	-0.6	0.7	0.884
<i>Model 2: MVPA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-8.0	5.6	-19.1	3.1	0.154
Sex ^b	-16.1	5.5	-27.0	-5.1	0.004
BMI-z	-2.1	2.8	-7.6	3.4	0.449
Total skill score	0.6	0.3	0.04	1.2	0.034
<i>Model 3: LMVPA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-13.8	10.2	-34.0	6.4	0.177
Sex ^b	-19.5	10.0	-39.3	0.4	0.055
BMI-z	-3.5	5.0	-13.5	6.5	0.489
Total skill score	0.7	0.5	0.2	1.7	0.198
FMS subdomains & weekend PA					
<i>Model 4: Light PA (min./day)</i>					
Age	-6.5	6.0	-18.4	5.5	0.284

Sex ^b	2.4	6.3	-10.1	14.8	0.710
BMI-z	-1.6	3.0	-7.5	4.3	0.585
Locomotor skills	-1.2	0.5	-2.3	-0.1	0.035
Object-control skills	1.0	0.5	0.1	2.0	0.033

Model 5: MVPA (min./day)

Age	-9.0	5.7	-20.2	2.3	0.117
Sex ^b	-17.3	24.7	-66.3	31.8	0.486
BMI-z	-2.0	2.8	-7.5	3.5	0.475
Locomotor skills	-0.05	0.5	-1.1	1.0	0.926
Object-control skills	1.1	0.5	0.1	2.1	0.028

Model 6: LMVPA (min./day)

Age	-16.0	9.9	-35.7	3.7	0.110
Sex ^b	-10.8	10.4	-31.4	9.9	0.303
BMI-z	-3.3	4.9	-13.0	6.5	0.507
Locomotor skills	-1.1	0.9	-2.9	0.7	0.211
Object-control skills	2.1	0.8	0.6	3.7	0.008

Note. B, beta; SE B, standard error beta; 95% CI, confidence interval; L, lower; U, upper; BMI-z, IOTF age- and sex-specific weight for height z scores; Light PA, time spent in light intensity PA, LMVPA, time spent in light- and moderate-to-vigorous PA; MVPA, time spent in moderate-to-vigorous PA.

^a All models adjusted for potential clustering of preschools and accelerometer wear time

^b Reference category is boy