ABSTRACT

This study examined the change in countermovement jump (CMJ) performance across a microcycle of training in professional soccer players during the in-season period. Nine elite youth soccer players performed a CMJ test pre and post four consecutive soccer training sessions of an in-season weekly microcycle. Training load was quantified using global positioning systems (GPS), heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE). Absolute change (pre to post training) in CMJ height across each training session was analysed using one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Magnitude of effects was reported with the effect size (ES) statistic. Correlation analyses assessed the relationships between training load measures and the absolute change in CMJ height. Training load remained similar on all training days apart from a significant decrease in training load (all variables except high speed distance) on the last training session ($P < 0.05$). No significant difference was found for CMJ height ($P = 0.23$) across the training microcycle (ES range -0.04 to -0.22). No correlations were found between training load variables and absolute change in CMJ height (range: r = -0.21 to 0.22, $P > 0.05$). This study revealed no significant change in CMJ performance across the in-season microcycle. This suggests that soccer players are able to maintain CMJ performance across an in-season training microcycle.

INTRODUCTION

The assessment of neuromuscular status following intense exercise is important in order to understand the physiological impact of a given training stimulus[1]. Measures of neuromuscular status in team sports in the applied setting have previously included various vertical jumps such as the countermovement jump (CMJ) and the squat jump (SJ)[2, 3, 4]. Previous studies using such protocols have reported impaired jump performance following competitive match-play in Australian football[3, 5], rugby league[4] and soccer[2, 6, 7]. Although it is important to quantify jump performance following an acute bout of activity (such as a competitive match), it is also of interest to investigate the response to multiple bouts of exercise (such as training regimens). Impairments in jump performance may develop when fatiguing or damaging exercise is repeated consecutively over several days compared to an acute activity bout[3]. Understanding the response to such patterns may be as important as one-off evaluations due to these protocols being more representative of the activity completed by athletes during weekly blocks of training.

In professional soccer, the microcycle is crucial for training load management in soccer teams. During the in-season period, soccer teams will typically employ microcycles that emphasise recovery due to external factors related to the demands of competition (e.g. fixture scheduling[8]). If such microcycles are repeated longitudinally across a competitive season then the physiological stimulus may be insufficient and lead to maladaptation[9]. Maladaptation may also occur if training loads are consistently above those that are able to be tolerated by individuals within a group of players within a given team. This situation may be more problematic than low intensity training programmes as it may lead to injury and an inability to take part in competitive fixtures. A detailed quantification of the impact of the

training loads associated with soccer training can therefore provide useful information relating to the loading pattern that is used by soccer teams in preparation for a competitive match. If such physiological responses are well understood it is more likely that players will go into training and matches better prepared to endure the demands of exercise. Previous research has examined the change in CMJ performance across different training microcycles in professional rugby league[10] in an attempt to provide a representation of individual player's readiness to perform during training and competition. That data in this study demonstrated that jump performance was significantly reduced during 4 days of the training microcycle. This highlights the potential use of CMJ for jump performance assessment during training microcycles. Currently such data are not available from a weekly microcycle of soccer training in professional soccer players.

Therefore the purpose of this study was to examine the change in CMJ performance across a microcycle of training in professional soccer players during the in-season period. In addition, training load variables across the microcycle were quantified and examined in relation to the change in CMJ performance. We hypothesized that there would be no significant change in CMJ performance due to the low training loads typically employed during an in-season microcycle period.

METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

This study was designed to examine CMJ performance across multiple training sessions within a typical weekly training cycle in professional soccer. A CMJ test was selected as the

measurement tool due to its functional nature and field-based capability. The absolute change in CMJ performance from pre-to-post session was determined for four consecutive soccer training sessions structured in a weekly microcycle in preparation for a competitive match. Each training session was classified in relation to the number of days prior to the competitive match (i.e. match day minus, MD-). Thus, the four training sessions were broken down into: MD-4 (4 days prior to match), MD-3 (3 days prior to match), MD-2 (2 days prior to match), and MD-1 (1 day prior to match). This design was employed to measure any potential changes in CMJ performance as a consequence of each training session and across the entire training microcycle.

A single training microcycle in March was selected for data collection during the 2012-2013 in-season competitive period. The microcycle represented the 39th week of the annual competitive cycle, which occurred with 7 weeks remaining in the cycle until the off-season phase. An outline of the study design is represented in Figure 1. All players were familiarised to perform a CMJ without arm swing prior to data collection. Familiarisation was attained when a plateau (i.e. no significant change) in jump height was observed in consecutive trials. The coefficient of variation (CV%) was calculated from familiarisation data for each individual player, with a plateau determined when the CV% of the data was below 5%. The number of sessions required for jump familiarisation was 3 ± 1. The content of each training session was determined by the soccer coach and fitness coach of the team in line with the physical, tactical and technical objectives for each given session. The session content was not in any way influenced by the researchers. Training sessions included physical, technical and tactical practices. Training load was quantified using global positioning systems (GPS), heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE). All soccer training and testing was carried out at the soccer club's academy training facilities.

Figure 1 about here

Subjects

Nine elite professional male youth soccer players were recruited to participate in the study. All players were part of an U18 soccer team within an elite soccer academy. Of these players, 7 have represented their respective countries at international level consisting of common playing positions (three central defenders, three central midfielders and three wide midfielders). The physical characteristics of the players (mean ± SD) were as follows: age 16.4 ± 0.5 yr (range 16 – 17 yr); height 1.8 ± 0.6 m; body mass 71 ± 9 kg; Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 2 Score 29 ± 5 level. Written informed consent was given by each player, with the study being approved by the university ethics committee. Parental/guardian consent was given in line with the soccer team's policies.

Procedures

Countermovement jump performance

CMJ performance was performed both pre and post each individual training session across the microcycle. The CMJ test was carried out according to previously described methods[11] using a portable photoelectric cell system (Optojump, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). This equipment is both reliable and valid for vertical jump assessment[12, 13]. Results from these studies revealed CV% of < 6% and a standard error of the estimate (SEE) of < 1% compared to a biomechanical force plate. Jump height was calculated using the cell system software

(Optojump Next v1.7.9, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy) and used for CMJ performance assessment. The highest jump was selected from the 3 repetitions completed in each trial for analysis. Players completed the CMJ testing in an indoor gym facility that provided a consistent stable flooring in order to minimise the influence of external factors (e.g. weather, foot-surface interaction). All players performed pre-testing approximately 45-60 minutes prior to the outdoor training session. All players performed a standardised warm up consisting of dynamic stretching and three submaximal CMJ efforts. No players completed any activity that could influence the outcome of the CMJ assessment between the pre-test and the start of the training session. Post-testing was carried out approximately 5 minutes following the completion of each training session.

Training load quantification

For all training sessions, each player wore a 10Hz GPS device (Viper, Statsports, Ireland) inside a custom made vest supplied by the manufacturer positioned on the upper back. Similar devices have previously demonstrated improved validity and reliability compared to earlier devices (i.e. 5Hz devices)[13, 14]. For example, the reliability data revealed a lower CV% of <5% for low velocity movements in 10Hz devices compared to 5Hz devices. The number of satellites connected was 10 ± 1 during all outdoor training data collection. The antennas of each unit were exposed to allow clear satellite reception[15]. A custom built GPS receiver and software application (Viper, Statsports, Ireland) was used to time-code each individual training session to determine training duration. The investigator manually clicked a button of the software to 'stamp' the GPS data streaming at the beginning and end of each training session to obtain training duration. Player training data was subsequently downloaded using the manufacturer's software package and analysed for the following variables: total distance

covered, average speed (distance covered divided by training duration) and high speed distance (distance covered above 5.5 m/s). A technical fault with the GPS devices on one session resulted in the failure to collect data from 4 subjects. These subjects were excluded from the analysis of training load and jump performance for this session.

Players were also instructed to wear a portable HR belt (Polar T31, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). The percentage of maximum heart rate (% HRmax) was calculated for each player across each entire individual training session. Following each training session players were also asked to report their RPE score using a previously established numerical scale[16]. All players had regularly used this scale in the 6 months preceding the data collection period. The RPE score was collected within 15 minutes of the end of each training session for all players.

Statistical Analysis

The primary research question in this study was to examine if the change in CMJ performance (i.e. from pre-to-post training) is affected by the training load completed by players across a microcycle of training. With this in mind, a one-way repeated measures ANOVA was selected to determine any differences in the change in CMJ performance (i.e. pre-to-post) across the training microcycle. CMJ jump height was selected as the dependent variable, with training day (MD-4, MD-3, MD-2 and MD-1) set as the independent variable. In order to determine the differences for each training load variable (duration, total distance, average speed, high speed distance, %HRmax and RPE) across the microcycle, a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also employed. Each training load variable was set as the dependent variable, with training day set as the independent variable.

In the event of a significant F-ratio value being revealed, a Bonferroni *post hoc* test was used to determine the significance between levels. The relationship between the training load variables and change in pre-to-post CMJ jump height was analysed for all training sessions using Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r). The effect size (ES) statistic was calculated to determine the magnitude of effects and was assessed using the following criteria: < 0.2 = trivial, 0.2-0.6 = small effect, 0.6-1.2 = moderate effect, 1.2-2.0 = large effect, and > 2.0 = very large[17]. The 90% confidence intervals (CI) were also calculated using a statistical spreadsheet calculation[18]. The normality of distribution of the data was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$ for all tests used. Data are represented as mean ± SD. Analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Training load quantification

The training load during the weekly microcycle is presented in Table 1. There were significantly higher values observed for duration on MD-4 compared to MD-2 (CI = 19.7 ± 33.9 mins; ES = 4.47, very large; $P = 0.005$) and MD-1 (CI = 29.1 ± 38.1; ES = 8.85, very large; $P = 0.001$). Significant differences were also found for total distance values on MD-1 compared to both MD-4 (CI = 1207 ± 2302 m; ES = 3.77, very large; $P = 0.008$) and MD-3 (CI = 999 ± 2049 m; ES = 3.77, very large; $P = 0.008$). Significantly higher values were observed for average speed on MD-3 in comparison to both MD-4 (CI = 7.0 ± 17.8 m/min; ES = 2.68, very large; $P = 0.001$) and MD-1 (CI = 6.8 ± 17.0 m/min; ES = 2.77, very large; P

= 0.004). Similar observations were found also for RPE values, with MD-3 significantly higher in comparison to MD-4 (CI = 0.6 ± 1.8 au; ES = 2.40, very large; P = 0.023) and MD-1 (CI = 1.2 ± 2.4 au; ES = 3.29, very large; P = 0.005). There were significantly higher values found for % HRmax on MD-3 compared with MD-1 (CI = 5 ± 11 %; ES = 3.58, very large; P = 0.027). There were no differences observed between training sessions for high speed distance ($P > 0.05$).

Table 1 about here

CMJ performance

The differences in CMJ jump height from pre to post each individual training session are represented in Figure 2. There was no significant difference between pre and post jump height values on MD-4 (CI = -4.0 ± 3.6 cm; ES = -0.04, trivial), MD-3 (CI = -4.9 ± 2.9 cm; ES = -0.21, small), MD-2 (CI = -6.5 ± 3.7 cm; ES = -0.22, small) and MD-1 (CI = -3.7 ± 4.7 cm; ES = 0.10, trivial) (all $P > 0.05$).

Figure 2 about here

CMJ performance and training load relationship

The relationship between the absolute change in pre-to-post CMJ jump height and each training load variable is detailed in Table 2. There was no significant correlations found between absolute change in jump height and any of the training load variables ($P > 0.05$).

Table 2 about here

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to examine the change in CMJ performance across a microcycle of training in professional soccer players during the in-season period. In addition, training load variables across the microcycle were quantified and examined in relation to the change in CMJ performance. We hypothesized that there would be no observed change in CMJ performance due to the focus of training during the in-season phase on the maintenance of players physical capacities. Our results are in agreement with the original hypothesis, with no significant differences observed in CMJ performance across the training microcycle. There were significant differences observed in training load variables across the microcycle, with MD-1 reporting lower values for duration, total distance, average speed, %HRmax and RPE in comparison to MD-4 and MD-3. There was also no relationship found between training load and CMJ performance. This would suggest that the training load employed did not influence the change in CMJ performance across the training microcycle. Our results suggests that CMJ performance remains unaffected during an in-season microcycle in professional soccer, possibly due to the training load patterns employed by the soccer coaches.

An analysis of the representativeness of the training loads completed by the players in the present study is important. The participants in the study were elite youth academy players, and as such it is acknowledged that the training carried out may be different to that completed by other soccer playing populations. Such limitations may influence the ability to generalise the findings from this research to other soccer players. The overall training schedule and training session duration in our research was similar to those observed in Danish, Korean and English professional soccer teams during the in-season periods[19, 20, 21, 22]. In terms of external load measures, the average speed values in the present study averaged 58.6 m/min across the microcycle. Such values were 12% lower than those reported in an elite senior English soccer team, with average values of 66.6 m/min across the in-season period[20]. The amount of high speed distance covered was also 46% lower in the present study (mean 64.3m) in comparison to elite senior English soccer players (mean 118.8m)[20] using the same velocity banding. In terms of internal load measures, the weekly combined RPE based load (RPE x duration) in the present study was 1041 au which was 39% lower than those reported in elite senior Korean soccer players (mean 1703 au)[21]. The mean %HRmax across the microcycle in the present study was 71%, which was 13% lower than the values reported in elite senior Korean players (mean 58%)[21]. When taken together, it appears that the training loads employed in elite youth soccer is significantly lower than that of elite senior soccer teams. This may be due to the emphasis on coaching points which result in multiple stoppages during training and reduce the overall training intensity. Therefore the findings of the present study may be limited to elite youth soccer populations, with further data being required to quantify such training loads.

There was no observed change in CMJ performance across the microcycle of training analysed in the current investigation. The mean difference in jump height between pre and

post values across the training microcycle was only 0.5cm, which equated to a 2% difference in values. One key factor that may determine the change in CMJ performance in soccer players is the specific training prescription used to develop the session completed by the players. During the in-season period, the physical focus of training will predominantly relate to the maintenance of fitness levels as well as ensuring that players are fully recovered in between competitive matches[8]. Soccer coaches therefore appear to typically employ training loads that preserve fitness (i.e. external training load that maximises recovery whilst maintains current physiological status[23]) and have a tactical focus as opposed to designing training stresses that attempt to physiologically overload the players for fitness improvement. It could be argued, therefore, that CMJ performance was not altered in this study as the players were accustomed to the completion of such loading patterns through the repeated exposure to similar patterns of volume and intensity throughout the in-season phase. If repetitive loading patterns are repeated longitudinally during the in-season phase then the chance of training monotony and subsequent risk of injury/maladaptation may increase[9]. Therefore it is important that soccer practitioners quantify training load longitudinally whilst also monitoring the physiological performance response across each individual player.

The lack of change in CMJ performance across the training microcycle could alternatively be a consequence of the inability of the methodology used to track small, yet potentially important, changes in the force-generating capabilities of muscle. This may be a direct consequence of the "noise" associated with such field-based assessment strategies. In experimental designs in which the external loading is higher than that observed in the soccer training analysed in the current manuscript, i.e. a soccer match, CMJ performance is significantly reduced[2, 7, 24]. Such reductions in CMJ jump height are around -8.2% from pre to post assessments (a reduction in peak power of around -15.5%). CMJ jump height, in the

present study, was only reduced on MD-1 by -1.4%. Such small differences in CMJ performance are below the test-re-test values of such procedures in our laboratory (jump flight time = 3.2 CV%; jump height = 5.6 CV%). This makes it very difficult to accurately determine if such changes are meaningful to the performance of an athlete. This information suggests that although the CMJ test is able to detect significant alterations in CMJ performance following a soccer match, the subtle alterations in physiological status observed with soccer training may remain undetected. This suggests that future research should attempt to develop protocols that are able to establish the meaningfulness of such small changes in performance in the field.

When interpreting the findings of the present study, several limitations exist due to the applied nature of the research study. Firstly, only a small sample size (n = 9) was used for the present study which may affect the statistical significance for some of the findings. This was due to a lack of availability of elite level subjects for testing, something which is regularly encountered in applied research projects. Secondly, only one weekly microcycle was selected for data collection and analysis, limiting the findings to one particular week during a 40 week in-season period. This research design was selected as it deemed that it represented a 'typical' in-season weekly microcycle. However future work should look to examine the training load and CMJ performance response over a longer period characterised by different levels of training load in order to understand the physiological response in more detail. Future research could also look to establish the differences between players who do not play in competitive matches (i.e. non-starters) compared to players who regularly play (i.e. starters). This would provide further detail regarding the individual training load management of players and the subsequent physiological response.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The findings of the present study may have several practical implications for the planning of training structure in soccer teams. The lack of change in CMJ performance across the training microcycle suggested that the soccer players are not physiological taxed by the training sessions delivered within the in-season period. This may indicate that coaches are aware of the potential problems of delivering repeated bouts of intense training when preparing for competitive fixtures and subsequently employ maintenance-type loading. Another possible implication from the present findings is the inability of field based jumping protocols to detect meaningful alterations in CMJ performance in response to soccer training. This may have implications for the strategies used within the applied environment to monitor the readiness of players to complete either training sessions or competitive matches. For the strength and conditioning professional, it is important to use tools in the field that adequately monitor training load and the subsequent physiological response in order to maximise athlete potential.

References

1. Halson, SL, and Jeukendrup, AE. Does overtraining exist? An analysis of overreaching and overtraining research. *Sports Med* 34: 967-981, 2004.

2. Anderson, H, Raastad, T, Nilsson, J, Paulsen, G, Garthe, I, and Kadi, F. Neuromuscular fatigue and recovery in elite female soccer: effects of active recovery. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 40: 372-380, 2008.

3. Cormack, SJ, Newton, RU, McGuigan, MR, and Cormie, P. Neuromuscular and endocrine responses of elite players during an Australian rules football season. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 3: 439-453, 2008.

4. Twist, C, Waldron, M, Highton, J, Burt, D, and Daniels, M. Neuromuscular, biochemical and perceptual post-match fatigue in professional rugby league forwards and backs. *J Sports Sci* 30: 359-367, 2012.

5. Cormack, SJ, Newton, RU, and McGuigan, MR. Neuromuscular and endocrine responses of elite players to an Australian rules football match. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 3: 359-374, 2008.

6. Magalhães, J, Rebelo, A, Oliveira, E, Silva, JR, Marques, F, and Ascensão, A. Impact of Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test versus soccer match on physiological, biochemical and neuromuscular parameters. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 108: 39-48, 2010.

7. Mohr, M, Mujika, I, Santisteban, J, Randers, MB, Bischoff, R, Solano, R, Hewitt, A, Zubillaga, A, Peltola, E, and Krustrup, P. Examination of fatigue development in elite soccer in a hot environment: a multi-experiment approach. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 20: 125-132, 2010.

8. Reilly, T. The training process. In: *The Science of Training – Soccer: A Scientific Approach to Developing Strength, Speed and Endurance*. T. Reilly, eds. London, UK: Routledge, 2007. pp. 1-19.

9. Foster, C. Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 30: 1164-1168, 1998.

10. McLean, BD, Coutts, AJ, Kelly, V, McGuigan, MR, and Cormack, SJ. Neuromuscular, endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length between-match microcycles in professional rugby league players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 5: 367-383, 2010.

11. Oliver, J, Armstrong, N, and Williams, C. Changes in jump performance and muscle activity following soccer-specific exercise. *J Sports Sci* 26: 141-148, 2008.

12. Glatthorn, J, Gouge, S, Nussbaumer, S, Stauffacher, S, Impellizzeri, FM, and Maffiuletti, NA. Validity and reliability of Optojump photoelectric cells for estimating vertical jump height. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 556-560, 2011.

13. Malone, JJ. *An Examination of the Training Loads within Elite Professional Football.* PhD thesis, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, 2013.

14. Varley, M, Fairweather, I, and Aughey, R. Validity and reliability of GPS for measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration, deceleration, and constant motion. *J Sports Sci* 30: 121-127, 2012.

15. Jennings, D, Cormack, S, Coutts, A, Boyd, L, and Aughey, RJ. The validity and reliability of GPS units for measuring distance in team sport specific running patterns. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 5: 328-341, 2010.

16. Foster, C, Florhaug, JA, Franklin, J, Gottschall, L, Hrovatin, LA, Parker, S, Doleshal, P, and Dodge, C. A new approach to monitoring exercise training. *J Strength Cond Res* 15: 109-115, 2001.

17. Hopkins, WG. Applications of reliability. In: A new view of statistics, 2011. Available: sportsci.org/resource/stats/xvalid.xls. Accessed July 2nd 2013.

18. Hopkins, WG. A spreadsheet for confidence limits. In: A new view of statistics, 2009. Available: sportsci.org/resource/stats/xcl.xls. Accessed July 4th 2013.

19. Bangsbo, J, Mohr, M, and Krustrup, P. Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play in the elite football player. *J Sports Sci* 24: 665-674, 2006.

20. Gaudino, P, Iaia, FM, Alberti, G, Strudwick, AJ, Atkinson, G, and Gregson, W. Monitoring Training in Elite Soccer Players: Systematic Bias between Running Speed and Metabolic Power Data. *Int J Sports Med* 34: 963-968, 2013.

21. Jeong, TS, Reilly, T, Morton, J, Bae, SW, and Drust, B. Quantification of the physiological loading of one week of "pre-season" and one week of "in-season" training in professional soccer players. *J Sports Sci* 29: 1161-1166, 2011.

22. Svensson, JM. *The Development of a Soccer-Specific High- Intensity Intermittent Running Protocol*. PhD thesis, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, 2007.

23. Viru, A, and Viru, M. *Biochemical Monitoring of Sport Training*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 2001.

24. Hoffman, JR, Nusse, V, and Kang, J. The effect of an intercollegiate soccer game on maximal power performance. *Can J Appl Physiol* 28: 807-817, 2003.

Figures and Tables Legends

Figure 1. Outline of the experiment design. The weekly microcycle consisted of 4 individual training sessions structured in respect to the number of days prior to a competitive soccer match. CMJ was assessed both pre and post each individual training session. T = Training day; G = Team gym session; M = Competitive match; O = Day off; MD = Match day; X = CMJ assessment

Table 1. Training load data for individual soccer training sessions observed during a planned microcycle. Data are presented in relation to the distance of the session from the next competitive match * denotes sig. difference between MD -2 and MD -4; # denotes sig. difference between MD -1 and MD -4; $ denotes sig. difference between MD -1 and MD -3; £ denotes sig. difference between MD -4 and MD -3.

Figure 2. The differences in CMJ jump height during a microcycle represented by training sessions days prior to a competitive match.

Table 2. Relationship between training load variables and absolute change in pre to post values of jump parameters during a planned microcycle of soccer training.