



LJMU Research Online

Gomboc, A, Bode, MF, Carter, D, Mundell, CG, Newsam, AM, Smith, RJ and Steele, IA

The Liverpool Telescope: Rapid follow-up observation of Targets of opportunity with a 2 m robotic telescope

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/4722/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Gomboc, A, Bode, MF, Carter, D, Mundell, CG, Newsam, AM, Smith, RJ and Steele, IA (2004) The Liverpool Telescope: Rapid follow-up observation of Targets of opportunity with a 2 m robotic telescope. Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements. 132. pp. 312-315. ISSN 0920-5632

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

The Liverpool Telescope: Rapid follow-up observation of Targets of Opportunity with a 2 m robotic telescope

Andreja Gomboc^{a, b}, Michael F. Bode^a, David Carter^a, Carole G. Mundell^a, Andrew M. Newsam^a, Robert J. Smith^a and Iain A. Steele^a

^aAstrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University,
12 Quays House, Egerton Wharf, Birkenhead, CH41 1LD, United Kingdom

^bDepartment of Physics, University in Ljubljana,
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

The Liverpool Telescope, situated at Roque de los Muchachos Observatory, La Palma, Canaries, is the first 2-m, fully instrumented robotic telescope. It recently began observations. Among Liverpool Telescope's primary scientific goals is to monitor variable objects on all timescales from seconds to years. An additional benefit of its robotic operation is rapid reaction to unpredictable phenomena and their systematic follow up, simultaneous or coordinated with other facilities. The Target of Opportunity Programme of the Liverpool Telescope includes the prompt search for and observation of GRB and XRF counterparts. A special over-ride mode implemented for GRB/XRF follow-up enables observations commencing less than a minute after the alert, including optical and near infrared imaging and spectroscopy. In particular, the moderate aperture and rapid automated response make the Liverpool Telescope excellently suited to help solving the mystery of optically dark GRBs and for the investigation of currently unstudied short bursts and XRFs.

1. Introduction - robotic telescopes

Robotic telescopes are, due to their efficiency and flexibility, opening up numerous possibilities in many important branches of astrophysics [1]. Distinct advantages of robotic telescopes are:

- the rapid response to Targets of Opportunity (ToO) alerts,
- the efficiency in the systematic monitoring of variable objects on all timescales from seconds to years,
- simultaneous and coordinated observations with other facilities, either ground or space based,
- conditions (seeing, photometricity, etc.) dependent observations, and
- effective small scale surveys and routine tasks.

They are invaluable in the study of variable astronomical objects of various types, including short

and unpredictable phenomena, such as GRBs, XRFs, supernovae, dwarf novae and gravitational lensing; all types of binary systems and variable stars; and reaching from distant sources such as active galactic nuclei to the study of comets and near-Earth asteroids in our Solar system.

With first light achieved at the end of July 2003, the Liverpool Telescope became the world's largest operational robotic telescope and joins the international efforts in the study of exciting new fields of research in time domain astrophysics.

2. The Liverpool Telescope

2.1. Characteristics and instrumentation

The Liverpool Telescope (LT) is the Altitude-Azimuth design telescope with a primary mirror diameter of 2 m and final focal ratio $f/10$ at the Cassegrain focus. Situated on La Palma it takes advantage of the excellent seeing on the site. Its enclosure is fully openable (Fig. 1), which minimises local thermal effects and the



Figure 1. The Liverpool Telescope at Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Canaries is a 2-m fully robotic altitude-azimuth design telescope with fully openable enclosure, which minimises the slewing time to the position of a ToO and enable observations starting less than a minute after the alert.

time to slew to new targets (with slewing rate of $>2^\circ/\text{s}$). It can have five permanently mounted instruments (on 4 folded ports and one straight-through), which are selected by a deployable, rotating mirror. As listed in Table 1, the LT instrumentation includes at present the RAT-Cam optical CCD camera, with additions of the SupIRCam infra-red camera, low resolution Prototype spectrograph and FRODOSpec Integral field double beam spectrograph in the near future. At present, the LT is still in the commissioning phase and for updated information please see <http://telescope.livjm.ac.uk/>.

2.2. Robotic control and operating system

As a fully robotic telescope with no night time supervision, the Liverpool Telescope requires a Robotic Control System (RCS), which is designed to act as a replacement for the duty astronomer. It is also required to be robust, reliable and adaptable to future instrument configurations and varying operational objectives [2]. The RCS must include procedures such as telescope run-up, close down, focusing, scheduling

and observing. In addition it must ensure that the telescope and any equipment is not damaged, therefore it must constantly monitor weather conditions and have the ability to respond to any fault and error in appropriate ways. It also needs to monitor the quality of the observations, i.e. the sky and seeing changes and conditions, since they influence the schedule of observing programme [3]. The LT possesses such a RCS.

2.3. Automated scheduling

Proposed observations are stored in a database and are selected from it with the scheduler, which (i) must be sensible, so that observations which are impossible in current conditions should not be attempted, (ii) efficient, so that observations are well matched to observing conditions and the number of observations done is as high as possible, and (iii) is fair to all partners according to the agreed percentage of telescope time.

For the LT, a relatively simple dispatch scheduler is chosen [3], which does not attempt to make an optimum schedule for the whole night in advance but merely looks for the best observation to do at a given time. The scheduler's decision is based on the current telescope state, Moon phase, observing conditions, object height, available time, scientific priority, urgency and fairness.

3. ToO with the LT

In general, a ToO proposal may be included in the database of the observations on a timescale of 1 day, but for extremely time-critical objects such as for example GRBs, there is the possibility to use an Over-Ride mode built-in to the LT's RCS. Upon receiving the ToO alert, the RCS automatically decides whether it is sensible to interrupt current observations and start the ToO follow-up. In the case of a positive outcome, it selects the appropriate instrument, begins automatic slew and starts observing the ToO region. Time elapsed between the alert and start of observation depends on the distance between the current telescope and ToO position, but should be on average within 30s since the receipt of the ToO alert. The instrumentation used and automated procedures can be suited to different characteris-

Table 1
The Liverpool Telescope instrumentation

<i>RATCam</i> Optical CCD Camera -	2048×2048 pixels, 0.135"/pixel, FOV 4.6'×4.6', 8 filter selections (u', g', r', i', z', B, V, ND2.0) - from LT first light, July 2003
<i>SupIRCam</i> 1 - 2.5 micron Camera -	256×256 pixels, 0.4"/pixel, FOV 1.7'×1.7', Z, J, H, K' filters - from Autumn 2003
<i>Prototype Spectrograph</i> -	49, 1.7" fibres, 512×512 pixels, R=1000; 3500 < λ < 7000 Å- from Autumn 2003
<i>FRODOSpec</i> Integral field double beam spectrograph -	R=4000, 8000; 4000 < λ < 9500 Å- from Summer 2004

tics and scientific points of interest regarding the type of the ToO.

4. GRBs, XRFs and the LT

High-priority ToO for the LT are GRBs and XRFs. Following the GRB/XRF alert from the GCN network and HETE-2, INTEGRAL and Swift (after its launch) we employ the Over-Ride mode and commence a search for and observation of GRB or XRF counterparts:

(a) In the case of an alert with object error box larger than the FoV, we mosaic the entire error box (provided it is <30') with the Optical RATCam, while in parallel, the automatic data processing and comparison with the catalogue (USNO-B1.0) is running to detect new objects in the field and to identify possible candidates for the GRB or XRF afterglow.

(b) In alerts with error box smaller than the FoV we begin observations with optical multi-band imaging of the field and search for the afterglow.

After the successful commissioning of the SupIRCam and the spectrographs, we will include near-infrared imaging in the first phases of observing, and, following the positive identification of the counterpart, continue observations with spectroscopy (provided that the afterglow magnitude is above the limiting magnitude, which is $V \sim 16$ in 10 min for prototype and $V \sim 19$ for FRODOSpec spectrographs respectively). With this routine as the starting point, automated pro-

cedures can be optimised with experience and adapted regarding scientific imperatives.

4.1. GRB and XRF science with the LT

The discoveries of X-ray [4] and optical transient [5] sources associated with GRBs have revolutionised our understanding of the GRB phenomenon. While X-ray counterparts are now observed for essentially all GRBs, optical afterglows are detected in about half of them. Whether these missing OAs are inherently dark, dust absorbed, highly redshifted or just observationally overlooked is an open question [6]. So far, there are only 3 GRBs (GRB990123, GRB021004 and GRB021211) with optical afterglows detected within the first ten minutes after the GRB initial event. Their peak magnitudes lie between $R \sim 9$ and ~ 14 and they show rapid decay rates of 3-5 magnitudes in 10 min. Given this rapid decline, it is easy to imagine that roughly 50% of the bursts currently considered optically 'dark', may be detected in these early moments by a larger rapid response telescope such as the LT.

A vital clue to solve the dark burst problem probably lies in the infrared waveband, whether the bursts are dark due to high absorption by the dust in the close vicinity of a progenitor or further out in the host galaxy, or whether they are dark due to high redshift ($z > 10$), which shifts the Ly α break to the infrared wavelengths. Currently redshifts of about 40 GRBs are known [7], with the maximum of $z=4.5$ detected for GRB 000131 [8], but the selection is probably biased towards

bright and/or slowly decaying objects. In addition to GRBs redshift, spectra of GRB afterglows, especially in the early phases, hold a potential treasure of valuable information about the environment and origin of the burst and will help distinguish among competing afterglow models for rebrightenings and color changes in the afterglows [6], [9].

Of all the GRB afterglows so far observed, only one single epoch observation [10] of a possible optical counterpart to a short GRB was reported. It has been predicted that the afterglows of short GRBs may be much fainter than the afterglows of long GRBs [11]. With an expected magnitude of less than 21 in the optical about 1 hour after the burst a 2-m or even larger telescope is required for their rapid follow-up. Detection of the afterglows would be a break through in the study of short GRBs about which, currently, very little is known. In the case of a non-detection, these observation will place more stringent limits on the afterglow magnitude immediately following the short GRB.

XRFs are recently identified class of phenomena with many characteristics of their prompt emission similar to long GRBs. They seem to be a natural extension to properties of GRBs and physical circumstances in which the explosion occurs [12]. In the optical, several afterglows (XRF020903, XRF030723 [13], [14]) and host galaxies [15], [16] were detected. Detection of more optical afterglows and their early spectra will help unravel the origin of these events and their connection to GRBs.

5. Conclusions

The unique rôle that the robotic telescopes can play in the study of variable and transient sources has long been recognized. With the start of observations, the LT has great potential for contributing to many interesting areas of time variable astrophysics. The short response time, moderate aperture, excellent site and LT's instrumentation including optical camera, infrared imager and spectrograph, promise an interesting and valuable scientific harvest from the ToO follow-up observations, especially afterglows of GRBs and XRFs.

In collaboration with satellites (Swift, HETE-2, INTEGRAL) and other ground-based facilities, including the Faulkes Telescopes (clones of the LT), we expect to be able to follow-up around 1 in 6 GRB/XRF immediately after the alert and we plan to monitor scientifically interesting afterglows also into their later stages.

Acknowledgments

The Liverpool Telescope is funded via EU, PPARC, JMU grants and the benefaction of Mr. A. E. Robarts. A.G. acknowledges the receipt of the Marie Curie Fellowship from the EU.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Bode (ed.), *Robotic Observatories*, Wiley-Praxis series in astronomy and astrophysics, Chichester, New York, 1995.
2. S. N. Fraser and I. A. Steele, *Advanced Telescope and Instrumentation Control Software II*, H. Lewis (ed.), Proc. SPIE Vol. 4848 (2002) 443.
3. I. A. Steele and D. Carter, *Telescope Control Systems II*, H. Lewis (ed.) Proc. SPIE Vol. 3112 (1997) 222.
4. E. Costa et al., *Nature* 387 (1997) 783.
5. J. van Paradijs et al., *Nature* 386 (1997) 686.
6. D. Lazzati et al., *A&A* 396 (2002) L5.
7. J. Greiner, <http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~jcg/grbgen.html>
8. M. I. Andersen et al., *A&A* 364 (2000) L54.
9. D. Bersier et al., *ApJ* 584 (2003) L43.
10. A. J. Castro-Tirado et al., *A&A* 393 (2002) L55.
11. A. Panaitescu et al., *ApJ* 561 (2001) L171.
12. J. Heise et al., *Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era*, E. Costa, F. Frontera, J. Hjorth (eds.), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, (2001) 16.
13. Soderberg et al., *GCN Notice* 1554 (2002).
14. D. B. Fox et al., *GCN Notice* 2323 (2003).
15. A. J. Castro-Tirado et al., *GCN Notice* 1439 (2002).
16. A. Fruchter et al., *GCN Notice* 1268 (2002).