

LJMU Research Online

Nagymihály, Z, caturello, NAMS, Takátsy, A, Aragay, G, Kollár, L, Queiroz De Albuquerque, R and Csok, Z

Palladium-Mediated Catalysis Leads to Intramolecular Narcissistic Self-Sorting on a Cavitand Platform

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5117/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Nagymihály, Z, caturello, NAMS, Takátsy, A, Aragay, G, Kollár, L, Queiroz De Albuquerque, R and Csok, Z (2016) Palladium-Mediated Catalysis Leads to Intramolecular Narcissistic Self-Sorting on a Cavitand Platform. Journal of Organic Chemistry. 82 (1). pp. 390-396. ISSN 0022-3263

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

Palladium-Mediated Catalysis Leads to Intramolecular Narcissistic Self-

Sorting on a Cavitand Platform

Zoltán Nagymihály,^a Naidel A. M. S. Caturello,^b Anikó Takátsy,^c Gemma Aragay,^d László Kollár, ^a Rodrigo Q. Albuquerque,^{b,e} and Zsolt Csók^{b,*} ^aDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry and MTA-PTE Research Group for Selective Chemical Syntheses, University of Pécs, Ifjúság 6, 7624 Pécs, Hungary ^bSão Carlos Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, Av. Trab. São-carlense 400, 13560-970 São Carlos (SP), Brazil ^cDepartment of Biochemistry and Medical Chemistry, Medical School, University of Pécs, Szigeti 12, 7624 Pécs, Hungary ^dInstitute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona, Spain ^eSchool of Pharmacy & Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 3AF, United Kingdom

· Corresponding author: Z. C.: <u>zscsok@iqsc.usp.br</u>

Table of Contents/Abstract Graphic



ABSTRACT

Palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylation reactions have been used to directly convert a tetraiodocavitand intermediate into the corresponding carboxamides and 2-ketocarboxamides. When complex mixtures of the amine reactants are employed in competition experiments, no 'mixed' products possessing structurally different amide fragments are detected either by ¹H or ¹³C NMR. Only highly symmetrical cavitands are sorted out of a large number of potentially feasible products, which represents a rare example of intramolecular, narcissistic self-sorting. The reactivity order of the amine reactants and the changes in the Gibbs energies calculated using the semiempirical PM6 model suggest that this self-sorting process is kinetically controlled.

INTRODUCTION

Molecular self-sorting represents the ability to distinguish "self" from "non-self" within complex mixtures.¹ In recent years, the rapid evolution of supramolecular chemistry brought the phenomenon of self-sorting into the limelight.^{2,3} Self-sorting plays a crucial role in the construction of intricate molecular architectures in complex biological systems.⁴ The most prominent example for molecular self-sorting is perhaps the formation of the DNA double helix, which requires orthogonal base-pairing of nitrogen-containing nucleobases through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the two separate polynucleotide strands (adenine-thymine and cytosine-guanine).⁵ By definition, *narcissistic* self-sorting arises between different species (self-discrimination).¹ Recently, Schalley *et al.* introduced the term *integrative* self-sorting, in which all elements in a multicomponent library selectively self-sort into one single complex assembly.^{6,7}

The overwhelming majority of self-sorting occurs between single molecular components, which are usually driven by various classes of non-covalent interactions. Complementary hydrogen bonding is the most commonly used structural motif for the construction of *intermolecularly* self-sorted (and self-assembled) multimeric systems. Efficient and clean self-sorting of modified calix[4]arenes or cavitands, that are capable of making hydrogen bonding, led to the spontaneous formation of well-defined, artificial self-assemblies.⁸⁻¹³ Metal coordination was also found useful for achieving high fidelity self-sorting of various cavitand ligands, which resulted in the selective self-assembly of coordination cages in competition experiments.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Furthermore, it was shown that the extent of self-sorting greatly depends on the guest size during the formation of water-soluble dimeric capsules driven by the hydrophobic effect.¹⁷ However, to the best of our knowledge, only two

studies have been recently reported on *intramolecular* self-sorting, which involved dynamic covalent chemistry in the syntheses of various peptido-cavitands.^{18,19}

Carboxamidocavitands, obtained usually by the acylation of the corresponding aminocavitands,^{20,21} have strong tendency to form self-assembled dimeric capsules via intermolecular hydrogen bonds.²² In contrast, we used palladium-catalyzed carbonylative amidation (or aminocarbonylation) reactions to directly convert a versatile tetraiodocavitand intermediate²³ into the corresponding tetra(carboxamido)and tetra(2ketocarboxamido)cavitands.^{24,25} Interestingly, very high chemoselectivities have been observed towards these tetrafunctionalized cavitands as 1) no substantial formation of either mono-, di- or trifunctionalized products was obtained, and 2) no 'mixed' products possessing both carboxamide and 2-ketocarboxamide fragments were detected. Based on these observations, we wondered whether competition experiments between two or more amines as N-nucleophiles in palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reactions would produce such high selectivities. For this reason, we designed and synthesized novel tetra(carboxamido)cavitands as reference compounds, and performed palladium-mediated catalytic self-sorting experiments using up to four different amine reactants in various complex mixtures. Quantum chemical calculations at the semiempirical PM6 level were also used to gain insight on the thermodynamic versus kinetic reaction pathways.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Amidation

In fourfold palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylation, tetraiodocavitand (1) was reacted with *n*-propylamine (2) (or *n*-octylamine (3) or *t*-butylamine (4) or *D*-alanine methyl ester hydrochloride (5)) in the presence of an *in situ* palladium catalyst ($Pd(OAc)_2 + 2 PPh_3$) and Et₃N base under atmospheric carbon monoxide pressure at 80 °C for 48 h (Scheme 1). All reactions led to the simultaneous formations of the corresponding tetra(carboxamido)- (**6a-9a**) and tetrakis(2-ketocarboxamido)cavitands (**6b-9b**) by *single* (n=1) or *double* (n=2) carbon monoxide insertion, respectively (Table 1, run 1-8). Being away from the reaction centers, the ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the H_a - H_e protons in the cavitand skeleton are almost identical in all amide derivatives (for proton designations, see Scheme 1). In contrast, the ¹H NMR signals of the H_r - H_h protons can be used as diagnostic tools for the determination of the product compositions, as these resonances appear at different chemical shifts in the two differently carbonylated products (Supporting Information, Table S1). Particularly, the ¹H chemical shifts in the range of 0.52–0.87 ppm when compared to those of **6a-9a**.

Scheme 1. Fourfold Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Amidation of Tetra(iodo)cavitand (Proton Designations Are in Parentheses)



In full accordance with the references (24) and (25), no substantial formation of 'mixed' products possessing both carboxamide and 2-ketocarboxamide fragments were observed. A slight excess of the amine reactants (5 mol equiv with respect to 1) resulted in the preferential formation of **6a-9a**. Furthermore, we have also noticed that the molar equivalents of the Et₃N base have an influence on the product composition: the lower the molar equivalents of Et₃N (2 equiv *vs* 20 equiv), the higher the ratio of **6a-9a** in the product mixture. In spite of the

potential fine tuning of the reaction conditions, these carboxamides were accompanied by up to 20 % of 2-ketocarboxamides in these atmospheric carbonylation reactions (Table 1, run 2, 4, 6 and 8). Due to the very similar physicochemical properties of the mono- and the double-carbonylated derivatives, we failed to isolate compounds **6a-9a** in acceptable purities by column chromatography in carbonylative amidation reactions.

Table 1. Product Compositions in Palladium-Catalyzed Aminocarbonylations of Tetraiodocavitand^a

Run	Amine	Mol Equiv	<i>p</i> [CO]	Mol Equiv	Product composition ^b
		of Amine	(bar)	of Et ₃ N	(%)
1	2	5	1	20	15 (6a) / 85 (6b)
2	2	5	1	2	80 (6a) / 20 (6b)
3	3	5	1	20	35 (7 a) / 65 (7 b)
4	3	5	1	2	85 (7a) / 15 (7b)
5	4	5	1	20	45 (8a) / 55 (8b)
6	4	5	1	2	65 (8a) / 35 (8b)
7	5	5	1	20	85 (9a) / 15 (9b)
8	5	5	1	2	90 (9a) / 10 (9b)
9	2	20	60	20	15 (6a) / 85 (6b)
10	3	20	60	20	>95 (7b)
11	4	20	60	20	>95 (8b)
12	5	20	60	20	>95 (9b)

^aReaction conditions: 1/Pd(OAc)₂/PPh₃=1:0.15:0.3; 80 °C, 48 hours.

^bDetermined on the crude reaction mixture by the integration of the corresponding ¹H NMR peaks, as indicated in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

Higher CO pressure (60 bar) and large excess (20 mol equiv with respect to 1) of the amine reactants (as well as the Et₃N base) afforded predominantly **6b-9b** (Table 1, run 9-12), as reported elsewhere for similar reactions,^{24,25} however, compound **6b** could only be identified in a mixture with **6a**. The formation of the novel double-carbonylated cavitands (**6b** and **7b**) was unequivocally confirmed by MALDI-TOF and by the appearance of an additional downfield carbonyl peak around 189 ppm in their ¹³C NMR spectra (Supporting Information, Figure S5 and S11).

Synthesis of the Reference Compounds

Full interpretation of molecular self-sorting experiments, in which numerous products may form with almost identical characteristics, requires reliable characterization of sufficiently pure reference compounds. Therefore, we looked for an alternative reaction pathway to access pure carboxamidocavitands before embarking on self-sorting studies. Carboxamide fragments were successfully introduced into similar cavitand scaffolds by amination of the corresponding acyl chlorides.^{26,27} Following this strategy, cavitands **6a-9a** were readily synthesized in one-pot reactions from a recently reported tetra(carboxyl)cavitand $(10)^{28}$ (Scheme 2). First, cavitand 10 was reacted with thionyl chloride in the presence of catalytic amount of DMF to afford the corresponding tetrakis(acyl-chloride)cavitand. Then, the *in situ* treatment of this non-isolated intermediate with the required amines (2-5) gave pure **6a-9a** in good yields (39-58 %). The ¹H NMR spectra of these compounds in CDCl₃ exhibited broad signals, which is indicative of the formation of ill-defined aggregates in this solvent. On the contrary, the ¹H NMR spectra of **6a-9a** displayed well-resolved, sharp proton signals in DMSO-d₆, a competitive solvent that can disrupt hydrogen bonds, and were consistent with C₄ symmetries (Figure 2(a)-(d)).



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Tetra(carboxamido)cavitands as Reference Compounds



Palladium-Catalyzed Self-Sorting Experiments

Palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reactions, including all possible binary amine combinations, were performed in the presence of 2 mol equiv of Et_3N base under atmospheric carbon monoxide pressure (Table 2, run 1-6). Accordingly, two-component amine mixtures of 2/3, 2/4, 2/5, 3/4, 3/5 and 4/5 (5 mol equiv each) were reacted with tetraiodocavitand (1) in these competition experiments. Statistically, a two-component mixture can combine to form six different products on a macrocyclic platform possessing four reaction sites (Figure 2).

Remarkably, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the products obtained in the carbonylation reactions involving these amine mixtures are the pairwise superpositions of those of the corresponding pure tetra(carboxamido)cavitands (Figure 1(e)-(g) and Figure S20-S24 in the Supporting Information). Curiously, in contrast to the 'non-scrambled' experiments described earlier, no formation of double-carbonylated cavitands was observed in any of these trials, with the exception of *run 4*, which afforded 32 % of 2-ketocarboxamide **7b**. If 'mixed' carboxamidocavitands possessing two structurally different amide fragments (and thus having less symmetrical structures) were formed, both the ¹H and the ¹³C NMR spectra would be much more complicated. Therefore, out of six possible combinations, this intramolecular self-sorting typically ended up in two highly symmetrical products. To quantitatively differentiate between various sorting processes, Schmittel *et al* defined the *degree of self-sorting* as $M = P_0/P$, where P_0 is the number of all possible combinations, whereas *P* is the number of all observed species in the experiment.³ Accordingly, M = 3 was calculated for all of these intramolecular self-sorting processes.

Run	Mixture of	Product composition ^b	
	Amines	(%)	
1	2/3	40 (6a) / 60 (7a)	
2	2/4	92 (6a) / 8 (8a)	
3	2/5	80 (6a) / 20 (9a)	
4	3/4	60 (7 a) / 8 (8a)	
		32 (7b)	
5	3/5	75 (7a) / 25 (9a)	
6	4/5	35 (8a) / 65 (9a)	
7	2/3/4/5	47 (6a) / 32 (7a)	
		5 (8a) / 1 (9a)	
		15 (6b / 7b)	

 Table 2. Product Compositions in Pd-Catalyzed Self-Sorting Experiments^a

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: $1/Et_3N/Pd(OAc)_2/PPh_3=1/2/0.15/0.3$; *p*[CO]=1 bar, 80 °C, 48 hours. The ratio of the amines was kept identical in the mixtures: 5 mol equiv each with respect to 1. ^{*b*}Determined on the crude reaction mixture by the integration of the corresponding ¹H NMR signals.



Figure 2. Statistical combinations of a two-component reactant mixture on a macrocyclic platform possessing four reaction sites (types A-F).

The direct comparison of the product distributions in these two-component competition experiments allowed us to study the different reactivities of the amine reactants on this cavitand platform. The mixture of 2/3 gave rise to 6a and 7a in almost equal quantities (Table 2, run 1), whereas the scrambling of 2 with either 4 or 5 afforded predominantly 6a (Table 2, run 2, 3). Likewise, the mixing of 3 with either 4 or 5 provided essentially 7a, along with smaller quantities of 8a and 9a (Table 2, run 4, 5). Finally, the competition experiment between 4 and 5 resulted in the formation of 35 % of 8a and 65 % of 9a (Table 2, run 6). On these grounds, a clear reactivity order of the four amine reagents can be defined: 2 (*n*-propylamine) ≈ 3 (*n*-octylamine) > 5 (D-alanine methyl ester hydrochloride) > 4 (*t*-butylamine).

Next, we investigated the sorting of all four possible amine components (2/3/4/5, 5 eq. each) in one single competition experiment (Table 3, run 7). Taking into account redundancies arising from symmetry considerations, a quaternary mixture can statistically bring about 49 different products when combined on a four-branched macrocyclic skeleton. Again, the highly symmetrical ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra proved the simultaneous formation of 'non-mixed' carboxamidocavitands (6a/7a/8a/9a), and the integration of the corresponding NMR peaks indicated that 6a and 7a were the main components (Figure 1(h) and Figure S25, S26 in the Supporting Information). It has to be noted that about 15 % of double-carbonylated

products (**6b** and **7b**) could also be identified among the products, but we could not perform more precise quantitative NMR analysis due to excessive peak overlaps. Nevertheless, it can be stated that only four symmetrical 'non-mixed' carboxamide compounds are sorted out of a large numbers of potentially possible products (M = 12.25) taking into account monocarbonylation. This trial also confirmed the established reactivity order of the amine reactants obtained in the binary scrambling experiments, that is, $2 \approx 3 > 5 > 4$.

Molecular modeling

Self-sorting processes generally proceed along thermodynamic pathways, however, kinetic controls of self-sorting are also known.² In thermodynamically controlled self-sorting, the products reach a thermodynamic equilibrium corresponding to the lowest overall Gibbs energy. On the other hand, in a kinetically controlled process, the products can be regarded as trapped species under kinetic control that correspond to the lowest activation energies. By means of molecular modeling, we attempted to determine which reaction pathway (thermodynamic or kinetic) plays a decisive role in the final composition of the products in self-sorting experiments. It has to be noted, however, that performing solid reaction kinetics of palladium-catalyzed carbonylations would be a formidable task on this relatively sizeable cavitand platform. Therefore, we essentially aimed to obtain reliable thermodynamic data for the formation of both 'pure' and 'mixed' products.

Semiempirical calculations using the PM6 model²⁹ were carried out in vacuum for the 'pure' **6a-9a**, and also for a full set of 'mixed' compounds **11-14**, decorated with various combinations of *n*-propyl and *t*-butyl groups. According to Figure 2, exclusive *n*-propyl substitution represents **6a** (type A), while a full '*t*-butyl swap' gives **8a** (type F). If one of the *n*-propyl groups in **6a** is replaced by a *t*-butyl, cavitand **11** is obtained (type B). Cavitands **12** and **13**, bearing two *n*-propyl and two *t*-butyl moieties, are structural isomers, in which the same amido groups are situated at 1,2- (type C) or 1,3-positions (type D), respectively.

Finally, the conversion of three *n*-propyl groups in **6a** into *t*-butyl fragments affords cavitand 14 (type E). In another series of semiempirical calculations, the solvent effect was considered by the application of the COSMO model, which uses an implicit solvent layer represented by medium.³⁰ continuous dielectric The energy-minimized structures of the а tetra(carboxamido)cavitands 6a and 8a are shown in Figure 3. In addition to the geometry optimizations, thermodynamic calculations were also carried out to obtain the changes of enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy associated to reactions starting from the same compounds but forming different products at 298 K, both in vacuum and in DMF solvent (COSMO model), as shown in the Supporting Information (Tables S2 and S3).



Figure 3. Energy-minimized structures of tetra(carboxamido)cavitands **6a** and **8a**. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

The changes in the Gibbs energies ($\Delta_f G$) for the carbonylation reactions affording 'pure' (**6a**, **8a**) and 'mixed' (**11-14**) products are shown in Figure 4 (see also Table S4). The variations in the Gibbs energies associated to the formation of these carboxamidocavitands were calculated according to equations (1-4):

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{cavitand}(1) + 4 \cdot \text{CO} + n \cdot 2 + (4\text{-}n) \cdot 4 \rightarrow \text{ amidocavitand} + 4 \cdot \text{HI (eq 1)}, \\ & \Delta_f G = \Delta_f \text{H} - \text{T} \cdot \Delta_f \text{S (eq 2)}, \\ & \Delta_f \text{H} = \Delta \text{H}_{(\text{amidocavitand})} + 4 \cdot \Delta \text{H}_{(\text{HI})} - \Delta \text{H}_{(1)} - 4 \cdot \Delta \text{H}_{(\text{CO})} - n \cdot \Delta \text{H}_{(2)} - (4\text{-}n) \cdot \Delta \text{H}_{(4)} (\text{eq 3}), \\ & \Delta_f \text{S} = \Delta \text{S}_{(\text{amidocavitand})} + 4 \cdot \Delta \text{S}_{(\text{HI})} - \Delta \text{S}_{(1)} - 4 \cdot \Delta \text{S}_{(\text{CO})} - n \cdot \Delta \text{S}_{(2)} - (4\text{-}n) \cdot \Delta \text{S}_{(4)} (\text{eq 4}), \end{aligned}$

where the quantities of $\Delta H_{(x)}$ and $\Delta S_{(x)}$ were calculated relative to the elements in their standard state. The values shown in Fig. 4 reveal that the lowest changes in Gibbs energies belong to the formation of **8a** and to those of the 'mixed' compounds (**11-14**). In contrast, **6a** was predominantly formed in the competition experiment involving the mixture of **2** and **4**, and no 'mixed' products were experimentally detected (Table 2, run 2). Consequently, our thermodynamic calculations propose that these Pd-mediated carbonylations supposedly do not proceed along thermodynamic pathways. In addition, the reactivity order of the amines, established in the competition experiments, corresponds to the steric hindrance around the carbon directly attached to the NH₂ group: **2** \approx **3** (primary carbon) > **5** (secondary carbon) > **4** (tertiary carbon). Steric crowding is well-known for enhancing reaction rates by decreasing the activation energies of most chemical reactions.³¹ Therefore, our experimental and theoretical results suggest that these chemical processes are kinetically-controlled.



Figure 4. The changes in the Gibbs energies for the carbonylation reactions shown in (eq 1) using the semiempirical PM6 model in DMF (COSMO model) at 298 K. Red circles and yellow triangles represent *n*-propyl and *t*-butyl groups, respectively.

CONCLUSION

In sum, fourfold palladium-catalyzed carbonylative amination gave direct access to cavitands featuring one and two carbonyl groups in their amide functionalities along the upper corresponding tetra(acyl-chloride)cavitand rim. Amination of the led to pure carboxamidocavitands (6a-9a), which were used as reference compounds in subsequent scrambling experiments. The competition experiments involving equimolar binary and quaternary mixtures of the amine reactants in Pd-catalyzed carbonylation reactions typically ended up in the exclusive formation of the 'pure' carboxamidocavitands. No 'mixed' products possessing structurally different amide fragments were detected either by ¹H or ¹³C NMR. Therefore, only highly symmetrical carboxamide compounds are sorted out of a large number of potentially possible products, which leads to novel selectivities in palladium-mediated catalysis. The observed intramolecular, narcissistic self-sorting is presumably credited to kinetic control, as suggested by the reactivity order of the amine reactants as well as by thermodynamic data calculated using the semiempirical PM6 model.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Methods. Palladium(II) acetate (98 %) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and used without further purifications. The synthesis of tetra(carboxyl)cavitand (10) has been described in reference (28). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively. The NMR chemical shifts (δ), reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield, are referenced to the residual signals of DMSO-d₆ (2.50 ppm for ¹H and 39.51 ppm for ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively). ¹H-¹H COSY techniques were used to establish atom connectivities and peak assignments. Mass spectra were obtained by MALDI-TOF using 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) as matrix. Full characterization of compounds **8b** and **9b** has been previously reported.²⁴

Computational Studies. The geometries of **6a-9a** and **11-14** were fully optimized by performing semiempirical calculations using the PM6 model,²⁹ as implemented in the MOPAC2012 program suite.³² The solvent effect was also considered in the semiempirical calculations by the application of the COSMO model.³⁰ Vibrational frequencies were calculated to check the reliability of the geometry optimizations by the absence of negative frequencies. The enthalpies and entropies were calculated relative to the elements in their standard state. The enthalpy values at 298 K were directly obtained from the output of the MOPAC program in the geometry optimization step. For the entropies at 298 K, thermodynamic calculations based on the molecular partition function were carried out using the same program via the keyword "thermo".

General Procedure for the Aminocarbonylation Experiments. Tetraiodocavitand (1) (250 mg, 0.164 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol) and PPh₃ (13.1 mg, 0.05 mmol) were weighed and placed under an inert atmosphere into a 100 mL 3-necked Schlenk tube (or into a 100 mL autoclave for high pressure experiments). Dry DMF (20 mL), 0.82 mmol of the corresponding amine (2-5), and finally, 46 μ L of Et₃N were added to the reaction vessel. The reaction mixture was then placed under 1 bar (or 60 bar) CO pressure, and stirred at 80 °C for 48 h. The precipitate that separated was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was treated with MeOH (5 mL), the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, and thereafter dried under vacuum.

Self-sorting Pd-catalyzed Aminocarbonylation Experiments. The same procedure was followed. The mixture of the corresponding amines (see Table 3) was prepared in DMF (5 mL), and then this mixture was added to the reaction vessel to ensure full competition.

Synthesis of the Reference Compounds (6a-9a). Tetra(carboxyl)cavitand (**10**) (250 mg, 0.21 mmol) was dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), to which thionyl chloride (1.0 mL, 13.8 mmol) and catalytic amount of DMF was added under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was

then heated to reflux under argon for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to rt, the solvent was evaporated to dryness, and the tetra(acyl-chloride)cavitand intermediate was then dissolved in dry THF (10 mL). The reaction vessel and its contents were cooled to 0 °C before the addition of 1.05 mmol of the corresponding amine (2-5) and dry Et₃N (100 μ L) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h, the precipitate that separated was filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was triturated with MeOH (5 mL), the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration and dried in vacuo.

Cavitand **6a**. White solid, 58 % (165 mg). Mp. 181–184 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₈₀H₈₄N₄O₁₆: C, 70.78; H, 6.24; N, 4.13. Found: C, 71.09; H, 6.26, N, 4.11. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₂CH₃), 1.51 (sext, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 8H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.90 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 12H, CH₃CH), 3.19 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 8H, NH-CH₂CH₂), 4.48 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H, inner of OCH₂O), 4.85–4.94 (br s, 12H, ArCH₂O overlapping with CH₃CH), 5.80 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H, outer of OCH₂O), 6.95 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.77 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.91 (s, 4H, Ar), 8.27 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H, N-H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 11.4, 16.0, 22.4, 31.2, 40.9, 60.4, 99.3, 113.9, 122.40, 122.43, 127.3, 128.9, 139.0, 153.0, 160.4, 165.5 (Ar*C*=O). IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 970, 1239, 1499, 1606, 1634, 2964. MALDI-TOF *m*/*z*: 1379.52 [M+Na]⁺, 1357.51 [M+H]⁺.

Cavitand **6b** (identified in a mixture: **6b/6a**=85/15). Pale yellow solid, 65 % (157 mg). Mp. 172–177 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 0.88 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₂CH₃), 1.51 (sext, J = 7.3 Hz, 8H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.90 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₃CH), 3.18 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 8H, NH-CH₂CH₂), 4.47 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, inner of OCH₂O), 4.85–4.98 (br s, 12H, ArCH₂O overlapping with CH₃CH), 5.82 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, outer of OCH₂O), 7.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.92 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.94 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 8H, Ar), 8.80 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 4H, N-H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 11.3, 16.0, 22.0, 31.3, 40.1, 60.7, 99.4, 114.7, 122.0, 122.6,

126.0, 132.2, 139.0, 153.1, 163.2, 165.1, 188.8 (Ar*C*=O). IR (KBr): *v* (cm⁻¹) 968, 1252, 1598, 1658, 2929. MALDI-TOF *m/z*: 1491.44 [M+Na]⁺.

Cavitand 7a. White solid, 55 % (190 mg). Mp. 218–220 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{100}H_{124}N_4O_{16}$: C, 73.32; H, 7.63; N, 3.42. Found: C, 73.59; H, 7.64; N, 3.40. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd₆): δ 0.84 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 12H, CH₂CH₃), 1.19–1.32 (br m, 40H, (CH₂)₅), 1.50 (quint, J = 7.0Hz, 8H, CH₂CH₂CH₂)₅), 1.90 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₃CH), 3.22 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 8H, NH-CH₂CH₂), 4.49 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, inner of OCH₂O), 4.85–4.94 (br s, 12H, ArCH₂O overlapping with CH₃CH), 5.79 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, outer of OCH₂O), 6.95 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.77 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.92 (s, 4H, Ar), 8.26 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 4H, N-H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 13.8, 16.0, 22.0, 26.5, 28.6, 28.7, 29.1, 31.2, 31.3, 39.1, 60.4, 99.3, 113.9, 122.41, 122.44, 127.3, 128.9, 139.0, 153.1, 160.4, 165.4 (ArC=O). IR (KBr): v(cm⁻¹) 968, 1250, 1505, 1608, 1635, 2926. MALDI-TOF m/z: 1659.98 [M+Na]⁺, 1637.89 [M+H]⁺.

Cavitand 7b. White solid, 57 % (163 mg). Mp. 191–196 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₄H₁₂₄N₄O₂₀: C, 71.37; H, 7.14; N, 3.20. Found: C, 71.62; H, 7.18; N, 3.22. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd₆): δ 0.84 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 12H, CH₂CH₃), 1.17–1.34 (br m, 40H, (CH₂)₅), 1.50 (quint, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 8H, CH₂CH₂CH₂)₅), 1.90 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₃CH), 3.20 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 8H, NH-CH₂CH₂), 4.47 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H, inner of OCH₂O), 4.85–4.99 (br s, 12H, ArCH₂O overlapping with CH₃CH), 5.81 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H, outer of OCH₂O), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.90-7.96 (m, 12H, Ar), 8.78 (br s, 4H, N-H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 13.9, 16.0, 22.0, 26.3, 28.56, 28.57, 28.7, 31.1, 31.3, 38.3, 60.7, 99.4, 114.6, 122.0, 122.7, 126.0, 132.2, 139.0, 153.1, 163.2, 165.0 (N(H)C=O), 188.7 (ArC=O). IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 969, 1169, 1252, 1598, 1658, 2927. MALDI-TOF *m/z*: 1771.54 [M+Na]⁺.

Cavitand **8a**. White solid, 52 % (155 mg). Mp. > 195 °C (dec.). Anal. Calcd for $C_{84}H_{92}N_4O_{16}$: C, 71.37; H, 6.56; N, 3.96. Found: C, 71.58; H, 6.53, N, 3.98. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d₆): δ 1.36 (s, 36H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.90 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 12H, CH₃CH), 4.49 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, inner of OCH₂O), 4.83–4.93 (br s, 12H, ArCH₂O overlapping with CH₃CH), 5.79 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 4H, outer of OCH₂O), 6.93 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.53 (s, 4H, N-H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.91 (s, 4H, Ar). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 16.0, 28.6, 31.2, 50.6, 60.5, 99.3, 113.7, 122.4, 122.5, 128.4, 129.1, 139.0, 153.0, 160.3, 165.6 (Ar*C*=O). IR (KBr): v (cm⁻¹) 970, 1240, 1500, 1606, 1655, 2970. MALDI-TOF *m*/*z*: 1435.49 [M+Na]⁺.

Cavitand **9a**. White solid, 39 % (124 mg). Mp. > 188 °C (dec.). Anal. Calcd for C₈₄H₈₄N₄O₂₄: C, 65.79; H, 5.52; N, 3.65. Found: C, 66.10; H, 5.52; N, 3.67. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSOd₆): δ 1.38 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 12H, CHC*H*₃COOMe), 1.90 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 12H, C*H*₃CH), 3.62 (s, 12H, COOC*H3*), 4.41–4.54 (m, 8H, inner of OC*H*₂O overlapping with C*H*CH₃COOMe), 4.85–4.95 (br s, 12H, ArC*H*₂O overlapping with CH₃C*H*), 5.82 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H, outer of OC*H*₂O), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 8H, Ar), 7.91 (s, 4H, Ar), 8.60 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H, N-H). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 16.0, 16.7, 31.3, 48.1, 51.7, 60.5, 99.3, 114.0, 122.4, 122.5, 126.2, 129.3, 139.0, 153.1, 160.8, 165.6, 173.2. IR (KBr): *v* (cm⁻¹) 974, 1249, 1502, 1606, 1653, 1738, 2949. MALDI-TOF *m/z*: 1555.28 [M+Na]⁺.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support from Brazilian Agencies CNPq (400112/2014-0), CAPES (A061_2013), FAPESP (2014/02071-5) and the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA K113177) are gratefully acknowledged.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The NMR spectra of the reference compounds, those of the products of the Pdcatalyzed self-sorting experiments, the Cartesian coordinates of the optimized geometries and the obtained thermodynamic quantities are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

REFERENCES

- (1) Wu, A. X.; Isaacs, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4831–4835.
- (2) Safont-Sempere, M. M.; Fernández, G.; Würthner, F. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 5784– 5814.
- (3) Saha, M. L.; Schmittel, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4651-4684.
- (4) Nelson, D. L.; Cox, M. M: Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, 5th ed.; W. H.Freeman and Company: New York, 2008.
- (5) Watson, J. D.; Crick, F. H. C. *Nature* **1953**, *171*, 737–738.
- (6) Jiang, W.; Winkler, H. D. F.; Schalley, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13852–13853.
- (7) He, Z.; Jiang, W.; Schalley, C. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 779–789.
- (8) Jolliffe, K. A.; Timmerman, P.; Reinhoudt, D. N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 933–937.
- (9) Braekers, D.; Peters, C.; Bogdan, A.; Rudzevich, Y.; Böhmer, V.; Desreux, J. F. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 701–706.
- (10) Rudzevich, Y.; Rudzevich, V.; Klautzsch, F.; Schalley, C. A.; Böhmer, V. Angew.
 Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3867–3871.
- (11) Ajami, D.; Schramm, M. P.; Volonterio, A.; Rebek, J. Jr. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 242–244.
- (12) Ajami, D.; Hou, J.-L.; Dale, T. J.; Barrett, E.; Rebek, J. Jr. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
 A. 2009, 106, 10430–10434.
- (13) Chas, M.; Ramírez, G. G.; Ballester, P. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3402-3405.

- (14) Pinalli, R.; Cristini, V.; Sottili, V.; Geremia, S.; Campagnolo, M.; Caneschi, A.;
 Dalcanale, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6516–6517.
- (15) Kobayashi, K.; Yamada, Y.; Yamanaka, M.; Sei, Y. Yamaguchi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2004, 126, 13896–13897.
- (16) Yamanaka, M.; Yamada, Y.; Sei, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kobayashi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2006, 128, 1531–1539.
- (17) Gan, H.; Gibb, B. C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1656–1658.
- (18) Jedrzejewska, H.; Wierzbicki, M.; Cmoch, P.; Rissanen, K.; Szumna, A. Angew. Chem.
 Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13760–13764.
- (19) Szymanski, M.; Wierzbicki, M.; Gilski, M.; Jedrzejewska, H.; Sztylko, M.; Cmoch, P.;
 Shkurenko, A.; Jaskólski, M.; Szumna, A. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 3148–3155.
- (20) Ma, S.; Rudkevich, D. M.; Rebek, J. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4977-4981.
- (21) Renslo, A. R.; Tucci; F. C., Rudkevich, D. M., Rebek, J. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4573–4582.
- (22) Aakeröy, C. B.; Rajbanshi, A.; Desper, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11411–11413.
- (23) Csók, Z.; Kégl, T.; Párkányi, L.; Varga, Á.; Kunsági-Máté, S.; Kollár, L. Supramol.
 Chem. 2011, 23, 710–719.
- (24) Csók, Z.; Takátsy, A.; Kollár, L. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 2657–2661.
- (25) Nagymihály, Z.; Kollár, L. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 2555–2560.
- (26) Park, Y. S.; Paek, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4867–4870.
- (27) Park, Y. S.; Seo. S.; Kim. E.-H.; Paek, K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5904–5907.
- (28) Czibulya, Z.; Horváth, É.; Nagymihály, Z.; Kollár, L.; Kunsági-Máté, S. Supramol.
 Chem. 2016, 28, 582–588.
- (29) Stewart, J. J. P. J. Mol. Model. 2007, 13, 1173–1213.

- (30) Klamt, A.; Schüürmann (really two u's??), G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1993, 2, 799–805.
- (31) Clayden, J; Greeves, N, Warren, S. Organic Chemistry, 2nd ed; Oxford University Press:
 Oxford, 2012.
- (32) (a) MOPAC2012, Stewart, J. P. Computational Chemistry, Version 15.180 m,

http://openmopac.net; (b) Maia, J. D. C.; Carvalho, G. A. U. Jr.; Mangueira, C. P.; Santana, S.

R.; Cabral, L. A. F.; Rocha, G. B. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2012, 8, 3072-3081.