

# Vascular function and structure in veteran athletes following myocardial infarction

Short title: vasculature in post-MI athletes

Martijn F.H. Maessen MSc<sup>1</sup>

Thijs M.H. Eijsvogels PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

Bregina T.P. Hijmans-Kersten BSc<sup>1</sup>

Ayla Grotens BSc<sup>1</sup>

Tim H.A. Schreuder PhD<sup>3</sup>

Maria T.E. Hopman MD PhD<sup>1</sup>

Dick H.J. Thijssen PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

## **Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup> Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Department of Physiology, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Research Institute for Sports and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Department of Neurology, Radboud university medical center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Total word count: 3681

Word count abstract: 255

Total number of Figures: 1

Total number of Tables: 5

## **Corresponding author:**

Prof. Maria Hopman, Radboud university medical center, P.O. Box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands. E-mail: Maria.Hopman@radboudumc.nl. Tel. (+31) (0)24 36 14200 Fax. (+31) (0)24 36 68340

## 33 Abstract

34 **Purpose.** Despite athletes demonstrate a lower cardiovascular risk and superior vascular function  
35 compared to sedentary peers, they are not exempted from cardiac events (*i.e.*, myocardial infarction  
36 [MI]). The presence of a MI is associated with increased cardiovascular risk and impaired vascular  
37 function. We tested the hypothesis that lifelong exercise training in post-MI athletes, similar as in  
38 healthy controls, is associated with a superior peripheral vascular function and structure compared  
39 to a sedentary lifestyle in post-MI individuals.

40 **Methods.** We included 18 veteran (>20 years) athletes (ATH) and 18 sedentary controls (SED). To  
41 understand the impact of lifelong exercise training following MI, we included 20 veteran post-MI  
42 athletes (ATH+MI) and 19 sedentary post-MI controls (SED+MI). Participants underwent  
43 comprehensive assessment using vascular ultrasound (vascular stiffness, intima-media thickness  
44 (IMT), and endothelium (in)dependent mediated dilation). Lifetime Risk Score was calculated for a  
45 30-year risk prediction of cardiovascular disease mortality of the participants.

46 **Results.** ATH demonstrated a lower vascular stiffness, and smaller femoral IMT compared to SED.  
47 Vascular function and structure did not differ between ATH+MI and SED+MI. ATH ( $4.0\% \pm 5.1$ )  
48 and ATH+MI ( $6.1\% \pm 3.7$ ) had a significantly better lifetime risk score compared to their sedentary  
49 peers (SED:  $6.9\% \pm 3.7$  and SED+MI:  $9.3\% \pm 4.8$ ). ATH+MI had no secondary events *versus* two  
50 recurrent MI and six elective percutaneous coronary interventions within SED+MI ( $P < 0.05$ ).

51 **Conclusion.** Although veteran post-MI athletes did not have a superior peripheral vascular function  
52 and structure compared to their sedentary post-MI peers, benefits of lifelong exercise training in  
53 veteran post-MI athletes relate to a better cardiovascular risk profile and lower occurrence of  
54 secondary events.

55  
56 **Key Words:** physical activity; endothelial function; cardiovascular risk; secondary prevention;  
57 lifelong exercise training

## 58 INTRODUCTION

59 Exercise training is an effective strategy to lower the risk for cardiovascular diseases (21, 32, 36).  
60 The marked cardio-protective effects of exercise are in part explained via traditional risk factors,  
61 such as a lower cholesterol level, blood pressure, and body mass index (19, 24). Additional benefits  
62 of regular exercise training may relate to a direct effect on the arterial wall, leading to remodeling of  
63 the arteries and improvement of endothelial function (35). For example, exercise training exerts its  
64 benefits on the artery wall through repeated elevation in blood flow and vascular laminar shear  
65 stress, which results in increased nitric oxide bioavailability, promotion of an antioxidant state, and  
66 improvement in vascular function and structure (8, 18).

67  
68 Previous studies comparing athletes and sedentary controls consistently found that athletes have  
69 higher vascular compliance and better vascular wall structure compared to sedentary controls (33,  
70 35). Younger athletes also typically demonstrate outward remodelling, as evidenced by larger  
71 conduit artery diameters and a larger resistance artery vascular bed (17). Some controversy is  
72 present around the effects of regular exercise training on endothelial function of conduit arteries  
73 measured with the flow-mediated dilation (FMD) (6, 15, 16, 27, 40). Variation in FMD between  
74 these studies may, at least partly, relate to structural remodelling in athletes (*i.e.*, larger diameter in  
75 athletes), that may contribute to a lower FMD (16). Exercise training is a widely accepted powerful  
76 strategy to lower risk for future cardiovascular events, which is at least partly related to improved  
77 vascular function (12).

78  
79 Despite the vascular health benefits and reduction of cardiovascular risk with regular exercise (19,  
80 24, 32, 36), veteran athletes are not exempted from acute coronary syndromes or myocardial  
81 infarction (22, 38). Previous work demonstrated that post-myocardial infarction (post-MI) patients  
82 have an impaired vascular function and structure compared to healthy peers (1, 10). Whether  
83 lifelong exercise training in post-MI patients may be associated with a preserved vascular function

and structure is currently unknown. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that lifelong exercise training in post-MI athletes, similar as in healthy controls, is associated with a superior peripheral vascular function and structure compared to a sedentary lifestyle in post-MI individuals.

## **METHODS**

### **Participants**

In total, we included 75 middle-aged men. We included 36 healthy, asymptomatic men who were divided over two groups: a) 18 veteran (>20 years) athletes (ATH) and b) 18 sedentary controls (SED). To understand the role of a MI on the impact of lifelong exercise, we included 39 participants who were divided into: a) 20 veteran (>20 years) post-MI athletes (ATH+MI) and b) 19 sedentary post-MI controls (SED+MI). Athletes performed regular moderate or vigorous endurance exercise training (*e.g.*, running or cycling) for  $\geq 3.5$  hours per week for  $\geq 20$  years. Sedentary individuals performed habitual physical activities for  $\leq 2$  hours per week for  $\geq 20$  years. Smokers, participants with diabetes mellitus type 1 or 2, and those not able to perform an incremental maximal cycling test were excluded from participation in our study. The Local Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects of the region Arnhem and Nijmegen approved the study and the study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave their written informed consent.

### **Lifelong exercise history**

We asked the participants about their lifelong exercise history over five age-periods: I) 20-29 years, II) 30-39 years, III) 40-49 years, IV) 50-59 years, and V) >60 years. Three queries were asked per period: 1) type of activity (*e.g.*, running, cycling, etc., or nothing), 2) exercise time (hours) per activity per week, and (3) self-perceived exercise intensity (light, moderate, or vigorous) per activity. Based on Ainsworth's compendium of physical activities (2), we determined the corresponding metabolic equivalent of task (MET) score per activity. Based on the ACSM position

stand (13), we defined moderate intensity activities between 3 and 5.9 MET, and vigorous intensity activities as  $\geq 6$  MET. Weekly exercise time was defined as the amount of time (in hours) spent on moderate and/or vigorous intensity exercise activities per week. The average weekly exercise time and intensity (*i.e.*, percentage of time spent on light, moderate, and vigorous intensity) were calculated over the last 20 years before study participation.

## **Experimental design**

Participants visited our laboratory on two separate days during this cross-sectional study. On Day 1, participants were medically screened for eligibility, followed by an incremental maximal cycling test. On Day 2, participants underwent a comprehensive assessment of vascular function and structure using non-invasive echo-Doppler ultrasound techniques.

## ***Experimental measures***

### ***Day 1: Screening + Incremental maximal cycling test***

***Screening.*** A physician medically screened participants by taking a detailed medical history, physical examination, and 12-lead electrocardiogram. Cardiac medical history of the post-MI participants was retrieved from their medical history reports, which encompassed the clinical diagnosis of the MI, details of the size and location of the MI, and treatment strategy. To gain insight in the cardiovascular risk profile of the participants, we calculated the Lifetime Risk Score (LRS) for a 30-year risk prediction of cardiovascular disease mortality (4). Parameters taken into account for the LRS were age, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, physical fitness level, and body mass index.

***Incremental maximal cycling test.*** Peak oxygen uptake ( $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ ,  $\text{mLO}_2/\text{min}/\text{kg}$ ) of the participants was determined via an incremental maximal cycling test. Heart rate was continuously measured via a 12 lead-electrocardiogram. Oxygen uptake ( $\text{VO}_2$  [ $\text{ml}/\text{min}$ ]), carbon dioxide output ( $\text{VCO}_2$

136 [ml/min]), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were measured via a gas analyser (CPET, Cosmed  
137 v9.1b, Rome, Italy). Lactate concentration (mmol/L) was measured via a capillary blood sample  
138 taken one-and-a-half minute after cessation of the test with the *Lactate Pro*<sup>TM</sup> 2 (Arkray, type LT-  
139 1730, Kyoto, Japan).

140

#### 141 *Day 2: Vascular function and structure*

142 All measurements were performed according to recent guidelines for vascular assessment and in a  
143 temperature-controlled room (34) using a T3000 ultrasound system (Terason Teratech Corporation,  
144 Boston, United States) equipped with a 10-MHz 12L5 linear transducer. Continuous Doppler  
145 velocity was obtained using a position insonification angle of  $<60^\circ$  (6). Participants followed a  $\geq 6$ h  
146 fasting period,  $\geq 18$ h abstinence from caffeine, alcohol, vitamin supplements, and performed no  
147 vigorous physical activity at least 24h before the test. Measurements began after a resting period in  
148 the supine position for at least 15 minutes (34). Subsequently, heart rate and blood pressure were  
149 manually assessed using a sphygmomanometer. Blood samples were obtained after the vascular  
150 measurements from the antecubital vein for the analysis of blood glucose, and traditional  
151 cardiovascular risk markers (cholesterol, HDL, LDL, triglycerides, and glycated hemoglobin  
152 [HbA1c]).

153

154 *Brachial artery endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilatation (FMD)*. The FMD (an index of  
155 endothelial function) of the brachial artery was measured by positioning the Echo-Doppler probe on  
156 the brachial artery. A pneumatic cuff (E20 rapid cuff inflator, Hokanson, Bellevue, United States)  
157 was placed on the right forearm, distally from the imaged artery. Diameter and flow velocity were  
158 recorded at the baseline during one-minute, followed by 5 minutes of ischemia by inflating the  
159 pneumatic cuff at 220 mmHg. Diameter and blood velocity recordings resumed 30 seconds before  
160 deflating the cuff and continued for 3 minutes thereafter, during the reperfusion (34).

161

162 *Brachial artery conduit artery vasodilatory capacity (CADC).* After a 20-minute resting period, the  
163 CADC (an index of arterial structure) was measured using the same equipment. The pneumatic cuff  
164 was inflated to 220 mmHg on the right upper arm, proximal from the imaged artery, for 5 minutes.  
165 Participants performed handgrip exercise from minute one until minute four (one-second  
166 contraction/one-second relaxation) at ~30 newton during the ischemic period. Diameter and blood  
167 velocity recordings resumed 30 seconds before deflating the cuff and continued for 3 minutes  
168 thereafter, to detect peak flow and peak diameter (26).

169

170 *Brachial artery endothelium-independent dilatation.* After another 20-minute rest, the vasodilator  
171 response to glyceryl trinitrate mediated vasodilatation (GTN; an index of vascular smooth muscle  
172 function) was measured. After recording baseline brachial artery diameter across 1-min, a single  
173 dose (400 µg) sublingual GTN (nitric oxide donor) was administered. Recording of diameter and  
174 blood velocity of the artery continuous 8 minute thereafter (14).

175

176 FMD, CADC, and GTN dilation were analyzed by custom-designed edge-detection and wall  
177 tracking software written in LabVIEW (LabVIEW 6.02, National Instruments, Austin, United  
178 States) as described elsewhere (5). Briefly, from B-mode a region of interest (ROI) was drawn to  
179 calibrate the artery diameter. Within this ROI a pixel-density algorithm automatically identified the  
180 vessel wall. For the calibration of the blood flow velocity another ROI was drawn around the  
181 Doppler waveform. Baseline diameter was calculated as the mean of data acquired during one-  
182 minute baseline recording, preceding cuff inflation. Peak diameter and peak of blood flow velocity  
183 was detected during three minutes of reperfusion. Brachial artery FMD, CADC, and GTN response  
184 were calculated as the relative difference in peak diameter and baseline diameter.

185

186

187

188 *Pulse wave velocity: vascular stiffness*

189 As an index for vascular stiffness, central and peripheral pulse wave velocity were measured using a  
190 three-lead electrocardiogram and an Echo-Doppler ultrasound machine (Waki Doppler, Atys  
191 Medical, Soucieu en Jarrest, France) at the left carotid artery, right common femoral artery, and  
192 radial artery. The distances were measured between sternal notch and site of measurement for the  
193 carotid artery and between radial artery and common femoral artery via the umbilicus (20). At least  
194 10 cardiac cycles were recorded for analyses. Based on the interval between the R-wave on the  
195 electrocardiogram and onset of the Doppler waveform, central and peripheral pulse wave velocities  
196 were calculated in Matlab (MATLAB and Statistics Toolbox Release R2014, The MathWorks, Inc.,  
197 Natick, United States).

198

199 *Conduit artery intima-media thickness*

200 Intima-media thickness (IMT) of the left common carotid, brachial, and superficial femoral artery  
201 were recorded using the same ultrasound machine. Image sequences of  $\geq 10$  seconds were recorded  
202 1.5 to 2.5 cm distally of the bifurcation of the common carotid and superficial artery, while having  
203 the vessel in a longitudinal imaging plane. Diameter and wall thickness were collected from two  
204 distinct angles. Analysis was performed using custom-designed off-line edge-detection and wall-  
205 tracking software written in LabVIEW (LabVIEW 6.02, National Instruments, Austin, United  
206 States). This DICOM-based software is largely independent of investigator bias and has been  
207 previously described in detail (28, 29). Briefly, each recording was converted to a DICOM file at a  
208 frame rate of 30 Hz. Detection of the far wall media-adventitia interface was performed on every  
209 frame selected. The mean diameter and wall thickness were calculated by using the formula:  $(1/3 \times$   
210  $\text{systolic diameter or wall thickness}) + (2/3 \times \text{diastolic diameter or wall thickness})$ . Additionally, to  
211 correct for differences in vascular tone between measurements wall:lumen-ratio was calculated. All  
212 files were analyzed blinded by an independent researcher.

213



## 214 ***Power calculation and statistical analysis***

215 Based on anticipated difference in %FMD between study groups of 3.5% with a SD of 2.4 (6, 10), a  
216 power of 90% and alpha 5% significance level, we calculated that 18 subjects per group should be  
217 included. To correct for possible drop-out, we included 20 subjects per study group.

218

219 Characteristics of the participants and vascular function and vascular structure were summarized  
220 with means and standard deviations or median and interquartile range (IQR), when appropriate.  
221 Categorical data were analysed using the *Fisher's exact* test. Parameters were checked for normality  
222 using a *Shapiro-Wilk* test. Non-normal data were Ln-transformed before the statistical analysis.  
223 Data that could not be transformed into Gaussian distribution were analysed using nonparametric  
224 tests. For aim 1 and 2, differences between veteran athletes and sedentary peers, either with or  
225 without a history of MI, were assessed using an independent *Student's t* or *Mann-Whitney U* test,  
226 when appropriate. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 software (IBM Corp.  
227 Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).  
228 Statistical significance was assumed at  $p < 0.05$  (two-sided).

229

## 230 **RESULTS**

### 231 **Veteran athletes vs. sedentary controls**

232 ATH had a lower body weight and body mass index compared to SED, whilst no differences were  
233 present for age, height, and mean arterial pressure (Table 1). ATH performed significantly more  
234 exercise per week compared to SED (7.1 hours/weeks [5.8-11.9] vs. 0.5 hours/weeks [0.0-1.4],  
235  $P < 0.01$ ), respectively. ATH performed most of their activities at a moderate intensity (66%),  
236 followed by vigorous (33%) and light intensity (1%). ATH reached a higher  $VO_{2peak}$  and power  
237 output during the incremental exercise test compared to SED (Table 1). ATH showed higher HDL  
238 and lower LDL and triglyceride levels compared to SED, whilst no differences were found for  
239 HbA1c and cholesterol (Table 1). As a consequence of these differences, ATH demonstrated a

240 lower lifetime risk score compared to SED (Table 1). Participants with a positive family history of  
241 cardiovascular diseases did not differ between ATH (n=8, 44%) and SED (n=6, 33%), P=0.73.

242

243 *Vascular function + structure.* Whilst ATH and SED did not differ in brachial diameter and SR<sub>AUC</sub>,  
244 the FMD was lower in ATH compared to SED (Table 2). We found no differences between ATH  
245 and SED for CADC or GTN response, whereas FMD/GTN ratio was significantly lower in ATH  
246 compared to SED (Table 2). ATH demonstrated a lower central and peripheral pulse wave velocity  
247 (*i.e.*, higher vascular compliance) compared to SED (Figure 1). No differences between groups  
248 were found for IMT, diameter, and wall:lumen-ratio of the carotid and brachial artery, whilst  
249 femoral artery IMT and wall:-lumen-ratio was smaller in ATH compared to SED (Table 2).

250

#### 251 **Veteran post-MI athletes vs. sedentary post-MI controls**

252 ATH+MI had a lower body weight and body mass index compared to SED+MI (Table 3). ATH+MI  
253 performed significantly more exercise per week compared to SED+MI (5.7 hours/week [4.9-9.4] *vs.*  
254 0.2 hours/week [0.0-1.2], P<0.01), respectively. ATH+MI performed most of their activities at a  
255 moderate intensity (63%), followed by vigorous (34%) and light intensity (3%). Intensity patterns  
256 did not differ between ATH and ATH+MI. ATH+MI reached a higher VO<sub>2peak</sub> and power output  
257 during the incremental exercise test compared to SED+MI (Table 3). Cholesterol, HDL, and LDL  
258 levels did not differ between ATH+MI and SED+MI, but ATH+MI had lower triglyceride levels  
259 compared to SED+MI (Table 3). ATH+MI demonstrated a lower lifetime risk score compared to  
260 SED+MI (Table 3). Participants with a positive family history of cardiovascular diseases did not  
261 differ between ATH+MI (n=15, 75%) and SED+MI (n=15, 79%), P=1.00.

262 No differences were observed in extent and location of the MI between groups (Table 4). Treatment  
263 strategy (surgical and rehabilitation) did not differ between groups (Table 4). Six SED+MI needed  
264 an elective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and two reported a recurrent MI, whereas  
265 none of the ATH+MI needed an elective PCI or reported a recurrent MI. The use of anticoagulants,

lipid lowering and antihypertensive agents did not differ between groups, whilst fewer ATH+MI used ACE-inhibitors (Table 4).

*Vascular function + structure.* We found no significant differences between ATH+MI and SED+MI for brachial artery diameter, FMD, CADC, GTN, or GTN/FMD ratio (Table 5). We also found no differences between groups for central or peripheral pulse wave velocity (Figure 1). We found no significant differences in carotid, brachial and femoral artery IMT, diameter and wall:lumen-ratio between groups.

## DISCUSSION

We present the following findings. First, in line with our hypothesis, some markers of vascular function (*i.e.*, pulse wave velocity) and structure (*i.e.*, femoral IMT and wall:lumen-ratio) were significantly better in asymptomatic veteran athletes compared to their sedentary peers, potentially contributing to the benefits of lifelong exercise. Second, in contrast with our hypothesis, we found no differences in vascular function or structure between veteran post-MI athletes and sedentary post-MI controls, which may be a consequence of pharmaceutical strategies. Third, veteran athletes with or without a history of MI had a significantly better cardiovascular risk profile compared to their sedentary peers. Furthermore, veteran post-MI athletes reported no secondary events, which contrasts the 8 events that occurred in the sedentary post-MI controls. Taken together, our findings indicate that veteran post-MI athletes do not have a superior vascular function and structure compared to their sedentary peers, whilst benefits of lifelong exercise relate to better cardiovascular risk profile and a lower occurrence in secondary events.

### **Impact of lifelong exercise on vascular function and structure: asymptomatic individuals**

Our results support the hypothesis that benefits of lifelong exercise training go beyond traditional risk factors (19) and improves functional and structural aspects of the vascular system. For example,

292 femoral IMT was significantly smaller in veteran athletes compared to sedentary controls, which is  
293 in line with previous studies that report that regular exercise training is associated with a smaller  
294 conduit artery wall thickness (25, 30). Related to functional characteristics of the vasculature, we  
295 found that veteran athletes have a higher central and peripheral vascular compliance compared to  
296 sedentary controls. This observation confirms previous studies which demonstrated that exercise  
297 training improves arterial compliance (3, 33).

298

299 Somewhat conflicting with the observations related to central and peripheral compliance, we  
300 observed a significantly lower FMD in veteran athletes compared to their sedentary peers. A  
301 previous study demonstrated that young healthy athletes had a lower FMD compared to their  
302 sedentary peers (5.1% vs. 6.0% respectively) (16). The authors suggested that the lower FMD in  
303 young athletes might relate to inherent structural changes in the artery and the interaction between  
304 artery structure and function (16). In the present study, however, baseline diameter did not differ  
305 between athletes and sedentary controls. It is therefore unlikely that structural differences explain  
306 the lower FMD responses among athletes. Also differences in smooth muscle cell sensitivity for  
307 nitric oxide cannot explain our results, since the GTN response did not differ between groups  
308 (ATH:  $17.1\% \pm 6.7$  vs. SED:  $15.1 \pm 5.4$ ,  $P=0.33$ ). Alternatively, an interaction between vasodilator  
309 mechanisms and the autonomic sympathetic nervous system may contribute to a lower FMD in  
310 athletes (16). Athletes typically exhibit altered autonomic balance, which may contribute to  
311 attenuated conduit artery endothelium-dependent responses to elevation in shear (16). However,  
312 future studies are necessary to explore this hypothesis. Our findings indicate that endothelial flow-  
313 mediated vasodilation is lower in veteran athletes compared to their sedentary peers, whereas  
314 differences are not simply related to structural differences or smooth muscle sensitivity between  
315 groups.

316

317

## 318 **Impact of lifelong exercise on vascular function and structure: post-MI individuals**

319 Although a history of MI is associated with impairment in cardiovascular risk and vascular  
320 function, regular exercise training is known to improve cardiovascular risk and vascular function.  
321 However, in the present study no differences in vascular function and structure were found between  
322 veteran post-MI athletes and sedentary post-MI peers. A possible explanation for these unexpected  
323 observations may relate to the extent of the MI. However, we observed no differences in cardiac  
324 enzyme markers, location of the MI and duration since MI between ATH-MI and SED-MI.  
325 Alternatively, previous studies that revealed an impaired endothelial function in post-MI patients  
326 observed these effects within 1-12 months following MI (1, 10), whereas we measured the  
327 endothelial function after  $7\pm 5$  years following MI. Since the endothelium recovers during the first  
328 months post-MI (39), our results may be partly explained by the long time since MI and/or bias in  
329 selecting 'healthy' post-MI patients given the long time since MI. Finally, prescription of  
330 medication after MI may contribute to our observations, especially since several cardiac  
331 medications directly improve endothelial function (23, 41). Antihypertensive agents most likely  
332 decrease in oxidative stress and increase nitric oxide bioavailability (23), whereas statins are  
333 associated with an improvement in endothelial function and FMD (41). Therefore, the combination  
334 of the prolonged post-MI period and use of cardiac medications may ameliorate endothelial  
335 function and structure in both post-MI groups. This might explain absence of differences in vascular  
336 function and structure between post-MI athletes and sedentary peers.

337

338 Despite the absence of differences in vascular function and marginal differences in cardiovascular  
339 risk factors, veteran post-MI athletes showed a better cardiovascular lifetime risk score compared to  
340 their sedentary post-MI peers. The higher cardiorespiratory fitness in athletes was the major  
341 contributor to the better risk score among athletes. Cardiorespiratory fitness is strongly related with  
342 reduced risk for morbidity and mortality as well it mitigates the risk of a second cardiac event (7, 9).  
343 Although, our study was not powered to investigate the relation between lifelong exercise and

secondary events following MI, our results indicated that post-MI athletes reported fewer complications (elective PCI or recurrent MI) after the MI compared to their sedentary post-MI peers. Alternative benefits of regular exercise training may relate to an improvement in circulating hormones, endothelial progenitor cells, and/or (exercise) preconditioning of the vasculature (11, 31, 37). Future research is warranted to elucidate benefits of exercise training in more detail to close the ‘risk factor gap’ in cardiovascular disease (19).

350

## 351 **LIMITATIONS**

This study was inherent to some limitations. First, the cross-sectional design of our study makes it difficult to give detailed view of the development of vascular function and structure across time and study the impact of a MI. Second, post-MI participants were allowed to take their medication before the measurements due to ethical considerations. Medication usage might influence the results of the vasculature. However, since both post-MI groups took their medication, we believe it likely that the medication effect on the vasculature did not influenced our major observations regarding the comparison between post-MI groups. Finally, most of our veteran athletes performed primarily lower limb endurance exercises, such as running and cycling. Therefore, it is difficult to translate our results to other types of exercise training, especially since resistance exercise training may be of special interest in older populations.

362

## 363 **CONCLUSION**

The present study indicates that some markers of vascular function (*i.e.*, compliance) and structure (*i.e.*, femoral IMT and wall:lumen-ratio) were significantly better in asymptomatic veteran athletes compared to their sedentary peers. Whilst these observations are in line with previous reports and emphasise the benefits of regular exercise, we unexpectedly found no differences in vascular function and structure between veteran post-MI athletes and sedentary post-MI controls. Whilst medication use may contribute to these findings, regular exercise training in veteran post-MI

athletes was still associated with significantly better cardiovascular risk profile and lower occurrence of secondary events compared to sedentary post-MI controls.

### **Acknowledgments**

### **Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding**

TMHE is financially supported by a European Commission Horizon 2020 grant [Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship 655502]. DHJT is financially supported by the Netherlands Heart Foundation (2009T064). The remaining authors report no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this manuscript.

The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM. The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation.

## 385 REFERENCES

- 386 1. Agewall S, Henareh L, Kublickiene K. Endothelial function in conduit and resistance  
387 arteries in men with coronary disease. *Atherosclerosis*. 2006;184(1):130-6.
- 388 2. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical Activities:  
389 a second update of codes and MET values. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*.  
390 2011;43(8):1575-81.
- 391 3. Ashor AW, Lara J, Siervo M, Celis-Morales C, Mathers JC. Effects of exercise modalities  
392 on arterial stiffness and wave reflection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of  
393 randomized controlled trials. *PloS one*. 2014;9(10):e110034.
- 394 4. Berry JD, Dyer A, Cai X et al. Lifetime risks of cardiovascular disease. *The New England*  
395 *journal of medicine*. 2012;366(4):321-9.
- 396 5. Black MA, Cable NT, Thijssen DH, Green DJ. Importance of measuring the time course of  
397 flow-mediated dilatation in humans. *Hypertension*. 2008;51(2):203-10.
- 398 6. Black MA, Cable NT, Thijssen DH, Green DJ. Impact of age, sex, and exercise on brachial  
399 artery flow-mediated dilatation. *American journal of physiology. Heart and circulatory*  
400 *physiology*. 2009;297(3):H1109-16.
- 401 7. Blair SN, Kampert JB, Kohl HW, 3rd et al. Influences of cardiorespiratory fitness and other  
402 precursors on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women. *JAMA*.  
403 1996;276(3):205-10.
- 404 8. Brockow T, Conradi E, Ebenbichler G, Michalsen A, Resch KL. The role of mild systemic  
405 heat and physical activity on endothelial function in patients with increased cardiovascular  
406 risk: results from a systematic review. *Forschende Komplementarmedizin*. 2011;18(1):24-  
407 30.
- 408 9. Corra U, Piepoli MF, Carre F et al. Secondary prevention through cardiac rehabilitation:  
409 physical activity counselling and exercise training: key components of the position paper



- 410 from the Cardiac Rehabilitation Section of the European Association of Cardiovascular  
411 Prevention and Rehabilitation. *European heart journal*. 2010;31(16):1967-74.
- 412 10. Dalli E, Segarra L, Ruvira J et al. Brachial artery flow-mediated dilation in healthy men,  
413 men with risk factors, and men with acute myocardial infarction. Importance of occlusion-  
414 cuff position. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2002;55(9):928-35.
- 415 11. DeVan AE, Umpierre D, Lin HF et al. Habitual resistance exercise and endothelial  
416 ischemia-reperfusion injury in young adults. *Atherosclerosis*. 2011;219(1):191-3.
- 417 12. Di Francescomarino S, Sciartilli A, Di Valerio V, Di Baldassarre A, Gallina S. The effect of  
418 physical exercise on endothelial function. *Sports medicine*. 2009;39(10):797-812.
- 419 13. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR et al. American College of Sports Medicine position  
420 stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory,  
421 musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for  
422 prescribing exercise. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2011;43(7):1334-59.
- 423 14. Gilbert SE, Tew GA, Bourke L, Winter EM, Rosario DJ. Assessment of endothelial  
424 dysfunction by flow-mediated dilatation in men on long-term androgen deprivation therapy  
425 for prostate cancer. *Experimental physiology*. 2013;98(9):1401-10.
- 426 15. Goto C, Higashi Y, Kimura M et al. Effect of different intensities of exercise on  
427 endothelium-dependent vasodilation in humans: role of endothelium-dependent nitric oxide  
428 and oxidative stress. *Circulation*. 2003;108(5):530-5.
- 429 16. Green DJ, Rowley N, Spence A et al. Why isn't flow-mediated dilation enhanced in  
430 athletes? *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2013;45(1):75-82.
- 431 17. Green DJ, Spence A, Rowley N, Thijssen DH, Naylor LH. Vascular adaptation in athletes:  
432 is there an 'athlete's artery'? *Experimental physiology*. 2012;97(3):295-304.
- 433 18. Haram PM, Kemi OJ, Wisloff U. Adaptation of endothelium to exercise training: insights  
434 from experimental studies. *Frontiers in bioscience : a journal and virtual library*.  
435 2008;13:336-46.


- 436 19. Joyner MJ, Green DJ. Exercise protects the cardiovascular system: effects beyond  
437 traditional risk factors. *J Physiol.* 2009;587(Pt 23):5551-8.
- 438 20. Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L et al. Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness:  
439 methodological issues and clinical applications. *European heart journal.* 2006;27(21):2588-  
440 605.
- 441 21. Maessen MF, Verbeek AL, Bakker EA, Thompson PD, Hopman MT, Eijssvogels TM.  
442 Lifelong Exercise Patterns and Cardiovascular Health. *Mayo Clinic proceedings.*  
443 2016;91(6):745-54.
- 444 22. Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Sherwood JB, Goldberg RJ, Muller JE. Triggering  
445 of acute myocardial infarction by heavy physical exertion. Protection against triggering by  
446 regular exertion. Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study Investigators. *The New*  
447 *England journal of medicine.* 1993;329(23):1677-83.
- 448 23. Miyamoto M, Kotani K, Ishibashi S, Taniguchi N. The effect of antihypertensive drugs on  
449 endothelial function as assessed by flow-mediated vasodilation in hypertensive patients.  
450 *International journal of vascular medicine.* 2012;2012:453264.
- 451 24. Mora S, Cook N, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Lee IM. Physical activity and reduced risk of  
452 cardiovascular events: potential mediating mechanisms. *Circulation.* 2007;116(19):2110-8.
- 453 25. Moreau KL, Donato AJ, Seals DR et al. Arterial intima-media thickness: site-specific  
454 associations with HRT and habitual exercise. *American journal of physiology. Heart and*  
455 *circulatory physiology.* 2002;283(4):H1409-17.
- 456 26. Naylor LH, Weisbrod CJ, O'Driscoll G, Green DJ. Measuring peripheral resistance and  
457 conduit arterial structure in humans using Doppler ultrasound. *Journal of applied*  
458 *physiology.* 2005;98(6):2311-5.
- 459 27. Nualnim N, Barnes JN, Tarumi T, Renzi CP, Tanaka H. Comparison of central artery  
460 elasticity in swimmers, runners, and the sedentary. *Am J Cardiol.* 2011;107(5):783-7.

- 461 28. Potter K, Green DJ, Reed CJ et al. Carotid intima-medial thickness measured on multiple  
462 ultrasound frames: evaluation of a DICOM-based software system. *Cardiovascular*  
463 *ultrasound*. 2007;5:29.
- 464 29. Potter K, Reed CJ, Green DJ, Hankey GJ, Arnolda LF. Ultrasound settings significantly  
465 alter arterial lumen and wall thickness measurements. *Cardiovascular ultrasound*. 2008;6:6.
- 466 30. Seals DR, Walker AE, Pierce GL, Lesniewski LA. Habitual exercise and vascular ageing. *J*  
467 *Physiol*. 2009;587(Pt 23):5541-9.
- 468 31. Seeger JP, Lenting CJ, Schreuder TH et al. Interval exercise, but not endurance exercise,  
469 prevents endothelial ischemia-reperfusion injury in healthy subjects. *American journal of*  
470 *physiology. Heart and circulatory physiology*. 2015;308(4):H351-7.
- 471 32. Sofi F, Capalbo A, Cesari F, Abbate R, Gensini GF. Physical activity during leisure time  
472 and primary prevention of coronary heart disease: an updated meta-analysis of cohort  
473 studies. *European journal of cardiovascular prevention and rehabilitation : official journal*  
474 *of the European Society of Cardiology, Working Groups on Epidemiology & Prevention and*  
475 *Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology*. 2008;15(3):247-57.
- 476 33. Tanaka H, Dinunno FA, Monahan KD, Clevenger CM, DeSouza CA, Seals DR. Aging,  
477 habitual exercise, and dynamic arterial compliance. *Circulation*. 2000;102(11):1270-5.
- 478 34. Thijssen DH, Black MA, Pyke KE et al. Assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans: a  
479 methodological and physiological guideline. *American journal of physiology. Heart and*  
480 *circulatory physiology*. 2011;300(1):H2-12.
- 481 35. Thijssen DH, Cable NT, Green DJ. Impact of exercise training on arterial wall thickness in  
482 humans. *Clinical science*. 2012;122(7):311-22.
- 483 36. Thijssen DH, Maiorana AJ, O'Driscoll G, Cable NT, Hopman MT, Green DJ. Impact of  
484 inactivity and exercise on the vasculature in humans. *European journal of applied*  
485 *physiology*. 2010;108(5):845-75.

- 486 37. Thijssen DH, Vos JB, Verseyden C et al. Haematopoietic stem cells and endothelial  
487 progenitor cells in healthy men: effect of aging and training. *Aging Cell*. 2006;5(6):495-503.
- 488 38. Thompson PD, Stern MP, Williams P, Duncan K, Haskell WL, Wood PD. Death during  
489 jogging or running. A study of 18 cases. *JAMA*. 1979;242(12):1265-7.
- 490 39. Vona M, Codeluppi GM, Iannino T, Ferrari E, Bogousslavsky J, von Segesser LK. Effects  
491 of different types of exercise training followed by detraining on endothelium-dependent  
492 dilation in patients with recent myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2009;119(12):1601-8.
- 493 40. Walther G, Nottin S, Karpoff L, Perez-Martin A, Dauzat M, Obert P. Flow-mediated  
494 dilation and exercise-induced hyperaemia in highly trained athletes: comparison of the upper  
495 and lower limb vasculature. *Acta physiologica*. 2008;193(2):139-50.
- 496 41. Zhang L, Gong D, Li S, Zhou X. Meta-analysis of the effects of statin therapy on endothelial  
497 function in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;223(1):78-85.

498

499



**Figure 1.** Arterial stiffness of (A) athletes (circles) vs. sedentary controls (squares) and (B) athletes+MI (triangles) and sedentary controls+MI (diamonds) of the central pulse wave velocity and peripheral pulse wave velocity. Athletes had lower central and peripheral pulse wave velocity, indicating that athletes had decreased vascular stiffness (*i.e.*, higher vascular compliance) compared to sedentary controls. No differences were observed in vascular stiffness between post-MI groups. Data is presented as median and interquartile range.

cPWV: central pulse wave velocity, pPWV: peripheral pulse wave velocity

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the athletes (ATH) vs. sedentary (SED) controls. Data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. P-value refers to an *independent Student's t* test or *Mann-Whitney U* test.

	ATH <i>n</i> =18	SED <i>n</i> =18	<i>p</i> value
<b>CHARACTERISTICS</b>			
Age (years)	61±7	58±7	0.29
Height (cm)	179±8	181±6	0.31
Weight (kg)	74±8	87±10	<0.01
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.6 (21.1-24.9)	26.7 (25.0-27.4)	<0.01
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)	98 (90-106)	103 (93-107)	0.70
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	134 (122-142)	136 (124-146)	0.53
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	84±10	84±10	0.92
Resting Heart Rate (beats/min)	52±6	64±11	<0.01
Exercise time (hours/week)	7.1 (5.8-11.9)	0.5 (0.0-1.4)	<0.01
<b>INCREMENTAL EXERCISE TEST</b>			
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (mL/min/kg)	48.0±8.5	32.8±5.2	<0.01
Maximal heart rate (beats/min)	165±13	171±15	0.29
RER (ratio: VCO <sub>2</sub> / VO <sub>2</sub> )	1.13 (1.06-1.17)	1.08 (1.05-1.14)	0.020
Lactate (mmol/L)	8.9 (11.6-12.3)	11.1 (9.4-12.8)	0.77
Power Output (W)	319±58	209±46	<0.01
<b>CARDIOVASCULAR RISK PROFILE</b>			
Lifetime risk score	4.0 (1.7-7.0)	6.9 (4.4-10.2)	<0.05
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.6 (4.4-5.0)	4.7 (4.4-4.9)	0.66
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	35.5 (34.4-38.3)	35.5 (35.5-38.3)	0.53
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.4±0.8	5.9±0.9	0.07
HDL (mmol/L)	1.8±0.3	1.4±0.3	<0.01
LDL (mmol/L)	3.3±0.8	4.0±0.8	<0.05
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.8 (0.7-1.2)	1.3 (1.0-2.4)	<0.01

RER: Respiratory Exchange Ratio; HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

503

504

**Table 2.** Vascular function and structure of the athletes (ATH) and sedentary (SED) controls. Data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). P-value refers to an *independent Student's t* test or *Mann-Whitney U* test.

	ATH <i>n</i> =18	SED <i>n</i> =18	<i>p</i> value
<b>VASCULAR FUNCTION</b>			
<b>FLOW MEDIATED DILATION</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.3 (3.9-4.9)	4.4 (4.3-4.5)	0.65
Peak dilation (%)	3.8±1.7	6.4±2.8	<0.01
Shear Rate (AUC)	21177 (14041-31869)	18251 (12830-23160)	0.34
<b>CONDUIT ARTERY VASODILATORY CAPACITY</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.4±0.6	4.3±0.6	0.84
Peak dilation (%)	16.0±4.9	15.2±8.9	0.75
Shear Rate (AUC)	32380±10375	34566±13973	0.61
<b>GLYCERYL TRINITRATE DILATATION</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.2±0.6	4.4±0.8	0.43
Peak dilation (%)	17.1±6.7	15.1±5.4	0.33
FMD / GTN ratio	0.23 (0.15-0.30)	0.40 (0.25-0.69)	<0.01
<b>VASCULAR STRUCTURE</b>			
<b>CAROTID ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.69 (0.58-0.81)	0.71 (0.65-0.86)	0.16
Diameter (mm)	6.4 (5.8-6.7)	6.8 (6.4-7.3)	0.07
wall:lumen-ratio	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	0.79
<b>BRACHIAL ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.44±0.11	0.47±0.11	0.41
Diameter (mm)	4.0 (3.8-4.3)	4.4 (3.8-4.8)	0.13
wall:lumen-ratio	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.10 (0.09-0.11)	0.84
<b>FEMORAL ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.59 (0.52-0.65)	0.64 (0.58-0.71)	<0.05
Diameter (mm)	7.3±1.4	6.9±0.7	0.23
wall:lumen-ratio	0.08 (0.06-0.09)	0.09 (0.08-0.11)	0.01

AUC: area under the curve; IMT: Intima-media thickness

505

506

**Table 3.** Characteristics of the post-MI athletes (ATH+MI) vs. sedentary post-MI (SED+MI) controls. Data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. P-value refers to an independent Student's *t* test, Mann-Whitney *U* test, or Fisher's exact test.

	ATH+MI <i>n</i> =20	SED+MI <i>n</i> =19	<i>p</i> value
<b>CHARACTERISTICS</b>			
Age (years)	60±6	61±5	0.44
Height (cm)	176±5	176±6	0.72
Weight (kg)	77±7	84±13	<0.05
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.5 (23.9-26.0)	26.8 (24.3-28.6)	<0.05
Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)	95 (93-100)	92 (88-101)	0.17
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	131 (126-142)	124 (114-136)	0.051
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	79±9	77±11	0.49
Resting Heart rate (beats/min)	57±7	60±9	0.26
Exercise time (hours/week)	5.7 (4.9-9.4)	0.2 (0.0-1.2)	<0.01
Post-MI time before study participation (years)	5 (3-10)	7 (4-10)	0.73
<b>INCREMENTAL EXERCISE TEST</b>			
VO <sub>2</sub> peak (mL/min/kg)	40.9±5.5	29.7±6.0	<0.01
Maximal heart rate (beats/min)	164±15	146±18	<0.01
RER (ratio: VCO <sub>2</sub> / VO <sub>2</sub> )	1.10 (1.07-1.15)	1.08 (1.05-1.14)	0.31
Lactate (mmol/L)	10.5 (9.2-11.2)	11.5 (9.4-12.4)	0.19
Power Output (W)	274±40	190±49	<0.01
<b>CARDIOVASCULAR RISK PROFILE</b>			
Lifetime risk score	5.4 (3.3-8.6)	8.6 (6.2-12.8)	<0.05
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.6 (4.5-5.0)	4.8 (4.4-5.0)	0.95
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	36.6 (35.5-37.7)	37.7 (37.4-40.2)	<0.01
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.5±0.9	4.2±0.9	0.25
HDL (mmol/L)	1.6±0.4	1.4±0.3	0.08
LDL (mmol/L)	2.6±0.8	2.3±0.7	0.22
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	1.2 (1.0-2.0)	<0.05

RER: Respiratory Exchange Ratio; HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein.

507

508



**Table 4.** Cardiac medical history data of post-MI athletes (ATH+MI) vs. sedentary post-MI (SED+MI) controls. P-value refers to an independent *Student's t*, *Mann-Whitney U*, or *Fisher's exact test* (two-sided). Data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range.

		ATH+MI		SED+MI	p-value
Post-MI time before study participation (years)		5 (3-10)		7 (4-10)	0.73
ENZYME MARKERS*					
CK (u/L)	n=17	775 (251-2029)	n=17	871 (422-2467)	0.45
CREAT (umol/L)	n=14	87 (78-103)	n=16	89 (77-93)	0.70
AST (u/L)	n=14	38 (26-135)	n=15	84 (36-208)	0.22
LDH (u/L)	n=13	407 (335-638)	n=14	422 (178-537)	0.52
INFARCT LOCATION					
Anterior (n)		7 (35%)		10 (53%)	0.34
Inferior (n)		7 (35%)		8 (42%)	0.75
Non-STEMI (n)		6 (30%)		1 (5%)	0.09
TREATMENT*					
PCI (n [%])		18 (95%)		16 (94%)	1.00
Thrombolytic therapy (n [%])		1 (5%)		1 (6%)	
CARDIAC REHABILITATION					
Cardiac rehabilitation (n [%])		13 (65%)		11 (79%)	0.47
SECONDARY EVENTS					
Elective PCI (n)		0 (0%)		6 (32%)	<0.01
Recurrent MI (n)		0 (0%)		2 (11%)	0.23
MEDICATION					
Anticoagulant (n)		19 (95%)		19 (100%)	1.00
Anti-platelet (n)		18 (90%)		17 (89%)	1.00
Vitamin K antagonist (n)		1 (5%)		2 (11%)	0.61
Antihypertensive agents (n)		14 (70%)		18 (95%)	0.09
ACE-inhibitor (n)		5 (25%)		14 (74%)	<0.01
AT1-antagonist (n)		3 (15%)		3 (16%)	1.00
Beta-blocker (n)		8 (40%)		14 (74%)	0.05
Diuretic (n)		1 (5%)		4 (21%)	0.18
Calcium channel blockers (n)		1 (5%)		0 (0%)	1.00
Lipid lowering agents (n)		16 (80%)		19 (100%)	0.11
Statins (n)		16 (80%)		18 (95%)	0.34

\*Based on a sub sample; hospital data not available

MI: myocardial infarction; PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention; CK: Creatine kinase; CREAT: Creatinine; ASAT: Aspartate transaminase; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; Non-STEMI: non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome; ACE: angiotensin converting enzyme; AT: angiotensin.

**Table 5.** Vascular function and structure of the post-MI athletes (ATH+MI) and sedentary post-MI (SED+MI) controls. Data is presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). P-value refers to an *independent Student's t* test or *Mann-Whitney U* test.

	ATH+MI <i>n</i> =20	SED+MI <i>n</i> =19	<i>p</i> value
<b>VASCULAR FUNCTION</b>			
<b>FLOW MEDIATED DILATION</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.5 (4.1-4.8)	4.2 (3.7-4.6)	0.42
Peak dilation (%)	4.0±1.9	5.3±3.3	0.16
Shear Rate (AUC)	16646 (9987-23701)	16837 (12395-19196)	0.89
<b>CONDUIT ARTERY VASODILATORY CAPACITY</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.4±0.5	4.3±0.6	0.77
Peak dilation (%)	14.0±6.2	13.1±5.2	0.65
Shear Rate (AUC)	31593±9054	31394±9546	0.95
<b>GLYCERYL TRINITRATE DILATATION</b>			
Baseline diameter (mm)	4.3±0.6	4.4±0.6	0.82
Peak dilation (%)	16.0±6.2	13.8±4.8	0.23
FMD / GTN ratio	0.23 (0.18-0.41)	0.35 (0.19-0.49)	0.19
<b>VASCULAR STRUCTURE</b>			
<b>CAROTID ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.79 (0.64-0.86)	0.77 (0.69-0.80)	0.64
Diameter (mm)	6.9 (6.5-7.2)	6.5 (6.2-7.1)	0.17
wall:lumen-ratio	0.11 (0.09-0.13)	0.12 (0.10-0.13)	0.26
<b>BRACHIAL ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.48±0.1	0.46±0.11	0.47
Diameter (mm)	4.2 (3.6-5.1)	4.2 (3.8-4.6)	0.69
wall:lumen-ratio	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	0.71
<b>FEMORAL ARTERY</b>			
IMT (mm)	0.70 (0.65-0.82)	0.65 (0.56-0.71)	0.05
Diameter (mm)	7.4±0.8	6.9±0.9	0.10
wall:lumen-ratio	0.10 (0.09-0.11)	0.10 (0.08-0.11)	0.60

AUC: area under the curve; IMT: Intima-media thickness

510

511