Johnston, E, Kindermann, G, O Callaghan, J, Burke, D, McLaughlin, C, Horgan, S, Mc Donnell, R, Williams, CD and Gormally, M

 Monitoring the EU protected Geomalacus maculosus (Kerry Slug): what are the factors affecting catch returns in open and forested habitats?

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5416/

Article

1 **Monitoring the EU protected *Geomalacus maculosus* (Kerry Slug): what are the factors**

2 **affecting catch returns in open and forested habitats?**

3 Erin Johnston[1], Gesche Kindermann[1], Jack O'Callaghan[1], Daniel Burke[1], Cillian

4 McLaughlin[1], Sinéad Horgan[1], Rory Mc Donnell[2], Christopher Williams[3], Michael

5 Gormally[1]

6

7 [1] Applied Ecology Unit, Centre for Environmental Science, National University of Ireland

8 Galway, Galway, Ireland

9 [2] Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR 97331, USA

10 [3] School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool,

11 L3 3AF, United Kingdom

12

13 **Abstract**

14 *Geomalacus maculosus* is a slug species protected under EU law with a distribution limited to

15 the west of Ireland and north-west Iberia. The species, originally thought to be limited within

16 Ireland to deciduous woodland and peatland, has been found in a number of commercial

17 conifer plantations since 2010. While forest managers are now required to incorporate the

18 protection of the species where it is present, no clear species monitoring protocols are

19 currently available. This study examines the efficacy of De Sangosse refuge traps across three

20 habitats frequently found associated with commercial forest plantations in Ireland and

21 compares them with hand searching, a commonly used method for slug monitoring. Catch

22 data during different seasons and under different weather conditions are also presented.

23 Results indicate that autumn is the optimal time for sampling *G. maculosus* but avoiding

24 extremes of hot or cold weather. While refuge traps placed at 1.5m on trees in mature conifer

25 plantations and directly on exposed rock in blanket peatlands result in significantly greater

26 catches, hand searching is the most successful approach for clear-fell areas. Hand searches in

27 clear-fell preceded by rain are likely to result in greater numbers caught. The results of this

28 study form, for the first time, the basis for *G. maculosus* monitoring guidelines for forestry

29 managers

30 **Keywords:** Refuge traps, hand searching, sampling methods, Gastropods, protected species,

31 monitoring

Introduction

The phylum Mollusca, with 85,000 (approx.) described species across aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Chapman, 2009), is one of the most successful animal groups ranked after arthropods and vertebrates (South, 1992). Nevertheless, 42% of all animal extinctions since the 1500s have been molluscan species (Lydeard, 2004). The number of molluscan extinctions alone in the last 400 years outweighs that of birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians put together (Bouchet *et al.,* 1999). Within Ireland, 150 species of native non-marine molluscs have been evaluated for conservation status and *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman is one of six legally protected mollusc species under European legislation (Byrne *et al.,* 2009). Given the restricted distribution of the species to the west of Ireland and north-west Iberia, *G. maculosus* is protected under Appendix II of the Berne Convention, as well as Annex II and IV of the European Union Habitats Directive (92/43/EC). Irish populations are considered to be of international importance as the Iberian range of the species has been reported as severely threatened and declining (Platts & Speight, 1988; Byrne *et al.,* 2009) and *G. maculosus* is currently listed as vulnerable in Spain (Verdú & Galante, 2006).

Platts and Speight (1988) described *G. maculosus* in Ireland as a "handsome" crepuscular slug, coloured either brown with yellow spots, or grey/black with white spots. Brown specimens are commonly found in woodlands and grey/black specimens in the more open habitats such as blanket bog and heath (Rowson *et al.,* 2014), although some crossover has been found to occur (Platts & Speight, 1988). Originally discovered in Co. Kerry in the south-west of Ireland by Allman in 1842, the species was subsequently found in 1873 and in Portugal and in 1886 in Spain and (Platts & Speight, 1988) with recent research by Reich *et al.,* (2015) indicating that Irish populations are genetically close to those in northern Spain. Given that the species is not found in countries such as France and Britain which lie between north-west Iberia and Ireland (i.e. it has a disjunct distribution), *G. maculosus* is referred to as a Lusitanian species, Lusitania being originally a Roman province corresponding to Portugal and parts of Spain today. In Ireland, *G. maculosus* was traditionally considered to be restricted to the southwest of the country, and within this distribution only in areas of deciduous woodland, blanket bog, unimproved oligotrophic open moor and on lake shores (Anon, 2010). In 2010, however, it was found breeding in a commercial conifer plantation in Co. Galway (Kearney, 2010) 200km (approx.) north of its previously known distribution. Since then, *G. maculosus* has also been discovered in numerous conifer plantations in the south-west of Ireland (Mc Donnell *et al*., 2011; Reich *et al*., 2012).

2

The Republic of Ireland is one of the least forested countries in Europe, with just over 10% of the land under forest in 2012 of which 68% consists of commercial forestry (Department of Agriculture Food and Marine (DAFM, 2015). Over half of the national forest estate is owned by the state and of this 93% is owned by the state sponsored company Coillte (NFI, 2012). *Picea stichensis* (Bong.) Carr. is the predominant tree planted in commercial plantations in Ireland (DAFM, 2015) and the Forest Service aims to increase forest cover in Ireland to 17% by 2030, primarily through increases in commercial forestry cover (Forest Service 2008). Forestry management is now considered one of the main threats to *G. maculosus* along with invasive species, agricultural reclamation and habitat fragmentation (NPWS, 2013). Prior to 2011, the recommended method for surveying the species was through hand searching (National Roads Authority, 2009). No effective or repeatable trapping method for *G. maculosus* existed until Mc Donnell and Gormally (2011) trialled a range of refuge traps and established that De Sangosse refuge traps were the most effective for surveying *G. maculosus*. De Sangosse traps (0.25m x 0.25m) consist of a layer of absorbent material sandwiched between an upper reflective surface and a black plastic, perforated lower surface. In addition, while Reich *et al.* (2012) used these traps to determine the influence of environmental factors (e.g. temperature) on *G. maculosus* and successfully captured the species for the first time on tree stumps in a forest clear-fell, their data were sourced from a single commercial plantation only.

The presence of *G. maculosus* in commercial conifer plantations in Ireland means that forestry managers are legally obliged to protect the species while undertaking day-to-day forestry practices (e.g. clear-felling). In addition, managers seeking Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification (Principle 6) (2016) are required to conserve biodiversity. This requires the development of standardised monitoring protocols so that managers can determine: a) whether *G. maculosus* is present on site; and b) if present, incorporate appropriate management strategies to ensure its protection. However, standardised protocols are not currently available since the optimal positioning of De Sangosse refuge traps in forests and associated habitats such as clear-fell and unplanted areas has not yet been determined. In addition, no comparison to date has been undertaken to compare the effectiveness of using refuge traps with simple hand searching, another commonly used sampling method for *G. maculosus* (NRA, 2009) and other terrestrial gastropods (Hunter 1968). This provided the incentive for this study which for the first time, examines different trapping methods across a range of open and forested sites.

Aims

1. Assess the impact of De Sangosse refuge trap position in forested and open habitats on *G. maculosus* catches and compare these with hand searching.
2. Quantify the effects of seasonal variation on catches to determine the optimum sampling season for site assessment.
3. Determine the influence of temperature and rainfall in forested and open habitats on catches to inform optimum weather conditions during which to undertake sampling.

Materials and Methods

Study areas

Two studies, one carried out over twelve months (Long-term study) and one over four months (Short-term study) were undertaken in and near commercial conifer plantations within the range of *G. maculosus* in the south-west of Ireland. Four study sites (Fig. 1) were chosen within which were selected:

1. Compartments (forestry management unit) of mature commercial conifers (predominantly *P. stichensis*) planted on peatland in the early 1970s (Coillte, 2015).
2. A compartment, which was clear-felled in 2013 and, at the time of the study, was dominated by *P. sitchensis* tree stumps interspersed with *Digitalis purpurea* L., *Juncus effusus* L. and mosses.
3. An adjacent area of unplanted peatland containing predominantly *Molinia caerulea* (L.) Moench, and *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull.

While another slug species (*Lehmania marginata* Müller) was also found in conifer plantations during the study, catches were, on average, 96% lower than those for *G. maculosus,* the focal species for this investigation.

Long-term Study

The aim of the long-term study was to record catches of *G. maculosus* from a range of habitats over a full calendar year with a view to determining the optimum season for sampling using refuge traps (De Sangosse, Pont du Casse, France, hereafter referred to as "trap"). In each mature compartment, a stand of nine trees in a 3 x 3 grid was selected, at least 10m from the edge of the forest. As in Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011), a single trap

4

128 was fixed to the north side of each tree (using nails and string) at 1.5m above ground (Fig.

129 2a). Similarly, in each of the clear-fell compartments, individual traps (secured using nails

130 and string) were placed on the north side and top of 3 x 3 tree stumps (Fig. 2b) situated at

131 least 10m from the compartment edge. At each of the peatland sites, nine traps were placed

132 on rocks as per Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) using tec7 glue, nails and string (Fig 2c). In

133 addition, in each mature conifer and clear-fell compartment and in the peatland sections (at a

134 minimum distance of 45m from the tree, stump or rock traps respectively), nine (3 x 3) traps

135 (1.5m apart) were secured (using tent pegs) over vegetation/bare soil on the ground between

136 the traps on trees, tree stumps and rocks. These traps (hereafter referred to as "ground traps")

137 were deployed because McDonnell & Gormally (2011) have shown that *G. maculosus* can

138 move between trees.

139 Slug catches under the traps were recorded each day for five consecutive days every month

140 for 12 months from September 2014 to August 2015 and because of this, traps were not

141 baited as in Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) since bait degradation would occur between

142 sampling months, thereby influencing the catches on day 1 of each monthly sampling period.

143 The age (i.e. adult or juvenile) and location (i.e. on tree, stump, rock, or ground) of every *G.*

144 *maculosus* found under the traps were recorded. As the size of *G. maculosus* is difficult to

145 measure, and weighing individuals was problematic in the field, slugs greater than 1cm in

146 diameter when rolled into a defensive ball were deemed to be adults.

147 Short-term study

148 During the final four months of the long-term study, an additional investigation was

149 undertaken to compare the sampling protocols of Mc Donnell and Gormally (2011) with

150 previously untried sampling methods. This study was completed at additional locations

151 within each of the four field sites above but using the same protocol regarding distances

152 between traps. The aims were to compare:

153   a) Efficacy of traps placed on mature trees at 1.5m versus 0.2m above ground

154 For this study, two additional mature stands of nine trees (3 x 3) were selected within each of

155 the mature compartments included in the long-term study. Traps were placed on the north

156 side of the trees at a standard height of 1.5m (stand 1) and at a height of 0.2m from the base

157 of the tree (stand 2). To avoid any potential bias related to individual trees, traps placed at

158 0.2m were relocated to 1.5m on the same tree and vice-versa at the end of each sampling

159 week. Sampling regime followed that of the long-term study.

5

160   b) Efficacy of traps versus hand searching

161 Hand searches were also undertaken in the mature and clear-felled compartments, and in the

162 adjacent peatland at a distance of 45m from all other trapping locations. Hand searches were

163 completed on nine trees (3 x 3) in the mature compartment, nine stumps (3 x 3) in the clear-

164 fell compartment and over a marked area of similar size (5m x 5m), respectively on the

165 peatland outcrops. Hand searches for both adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* were carried out

166 by two people for five minutes per person in each of the designated areas giving a total of ten

167 minutes searching for each sampling day between June and September 2015. This is

168 equivalent to the minimum amount of time it took to check traps for catches within the

169 compartments. Searches involved examining primarily lichens and mosses on tree trunks (to

170 a maximum height of approximately 2m), stumps and rocks in addition to examining the

171 areas in between these features.

172 Temperature and rainfall data collection

173 TinyTag Plus 2 (TGP-4500) environmental data loggers were used to collect temperature data

174 with readings taken every 20 minutes from 19th of September 2014 to the 31st of August

175 2015. Each data logger, placed 1m above ground in a Stephenson's Type Screen (ACS-5050,

176 TinyTag), was placed in each mature conifer and clear-fell compartment and in the peatland

177 sections between the groups of traps. The Screen protects TinyTag loggers from direct

178 sunlight and precipitation when monitoring outdoors (TinyTag, 2016). Hourly rainfall data

179 were obtained from the nearest Met Éireann (Irish National Meteorological Service) stations

180 in Cork Airport, Co. Cork, and Valentia, Co. Kerry to allow for an assessment of the

181 influence of rainfall on capture success. These weather stations were selected as Site 1 was

182 nearest (31 km) to Valencia and Sites 2 (53km), 3 (48km), and 4 (53km) were closest to Cork

183 airport.

184 Statistical analyses

185 All analyses were undertaken using SPSS version 21. Where the assumptions of normality

186 and homogeneity of variance were violated, Welch's T test or ANOVA was used followed by

187 a Games-Howell *post hoc* test to determine pair-wise differences where more than two

188 groups were examined. Where the assumption of normality was violated but the homogeneity

189 of variance was not, the Kruskal Wallis H test was used followed by a Dunn's *post hoc* test to

190 compare pair-wise differences. Curve estimation was also used to assess the relationship of

191 examined variables to each other. Where linear relationships were found two-tailed Spearman

6

192 rank correlations were performed. Mean temperature over seasons was calculated by
193 averaging readings taken every twenty minutes from data loggers over the course of the
194 investigation.

195

196 **Results**

197 Comparison of trap position and hand searching on *G. maculosus* catches in forested /open
198 habitats (Short-term Study)

199

200 Six hundred and fifty-six adult and 63 juvenile (8.8% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were
201 caught on 135 sampling occasions in the mature forest compartments with all individuals
202 caught by hand searching found on tree trunks only (Table 1). Adult / juvenile catches were
203 greatest using traps placed on tree trunks 1.5m above ground (412 / 39), followed by traps
204 placed at 0.2m above ground (219 / 21), hand searching (20 / 3) and traps placed directly on
205 the ground (5 / 0). For adults statistically significant differences were found between all
206 sampling methods ($P < 0.001$, Welch's ANOVA followed by Games-Howell *post-hoc*
207 analysis) except between traps placed directly on the ground and hand searches. For juveniles
208 statistically significant differences were found only between traps placed at 1.5m and hand
209 searching ($P = 0.020$, Welch's ANOVA with Games-Howell *post-hoc* analysis). No juveniles
210 were found beneath ground traps. Where juveniles were caught the percentage of the overall
211 catch consisting of juveniles for individual sampling methods was greatest for hand searching
212 (13% of total catch) compared to traps at 1.5m (8.6% of total catch) or 0.2m above ground
213 (9.5% of total catch).

214 One hundred and forty-four adult and 29 juvenile (16.8% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were
215 caught over 80 sampling occasions in the clear-felled compartments (Table 2). Adult /
216 juvenile catches were greatest using hand searching (99 / 27), followed by traps placed on
217 stumps (36 / 2), and traps placed directly on the ground (9 /0). For adults statistically
218 significant differences were found between all three methods ($P < 0.001$, Welch's ANOVA
219 with Games-Howell *post-hoc* analysis). For juveniles, statistically significant differences
220 were found between hand searches and traps placed on tree stumps ($P = 0.037$, Welch's T-
221 test with Games-Howell *post-hoc* analysis). No juveniles were found beneath ground traps
222 and all adults and juveniles (126 in total) caught by hand searching were found on tree

7

stumps only. Where juveniles were caught the percentage of the overall catch consisting of juveniles for individual sampling methods was greatest for hand searching (21.4% of total catch) compared to tree stump traps (5.3% of total catch).

Forty-four adult and 17 juvenile (27.9% of total catch) *G. maculosus* were caught over 80 sampling occasions on the rock outcrops on the peatland (Table 3). Adult and juvenile catches were greatest using rock traps (42 / 14), followed by hand searching (2 / 3), and none were captured under traps placed directly on the vegetation between the rocks (ground traps). Statistically significant differences were found between rock traps and hand searching for both adults and juveniles ($P = 0.029$, Welch's T-test). All adults and juveniles (5 individuals) caught by hand searching were found on rocks only. Where juveniles were caught the percentage of the overall catch consisting of juveniles for individual sampling methods was greatest by hand searching (60% of total catch) compared to rock traps (25% of total catch).

Seasonal variation in *G. maculosus* catches (Long-term study)

Catches are reported as mean number of *G. maculosus* caught per sampling occasion to allow for comparison across the seasons (Table 4). Mean number of adults caught using traps was greatest in the autumn (4.62), followed by spring (2.43) and summer (1.62), with lowest catches occurring in the winter (1.43). Mean number of juvenile caught was also greatest in autumn (0.38), followed by summer (0.36), spring (0.14) and winter (0.12). Autumn catches for both adults and juveniles were significantly greater ($P = 0.000$; $P = 0.001$ respectively) than winter and spring catches ($P < 0.001$; $P = 0.002$ respectively), Welch's ANOVA with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis. Additional significant differences in adult and juvenile catches between seasons can be seen in Table 4. The percentage of the total catch represented by juveniles was greatest in the summer (18.3%) followed by winter (7.9%), autumn (7.7%) and spring (5.4%).

In the mature conifer compartments lowest mean catch in winter corresponded with the lowest average temperatures and second lowest catch success in summer corresponded with the highest mean temperatures (Fig. 3). In both the clear-fell compartments and peatland

8

255 sections the lower catches generally occurred in winter and spring (peatland) and winter,
256 spring and summer (clear-fell) (Fig. 3).

257

258

259 *G. maculosus* catches in relation to temperature and rainfall (Long and short term studies)

260

261 Significant, but weak, quadratic relationships (Fig. 4) were found between total capture
262 success using refuge traps placed at 1.5m and average temperature during the 24 hour period
263 prior to sampling in mature conifer compartments ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.069$) and in clear-felled
264 compartments ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.053$) (Fig. 4). There was no significant relationship between
265 temperature and capture success in peatland areas ($P = 0.167$, $r_s = 0.020$). Significant, but
266 weak, quadratic relationships were also found between capture success and average
267 temperature over the twenty minutes it took to assess traps in mature conifer compartments
268 ($P < 0.001$, $r_s = 0.067$) and in clear-felled compartments ($P = 0.024$, $r_s = 0.029$) (Fig. 4).
269 There was no significant relationship between temperature and capture success in peatland
270 areas ($P = 0.072$, $r_s = 0.024$).

271

272 Significant, but weak, negative Spearman's rank-order correlations were found between
273 individuals caught using hand searches and both the average temperature during the 24 hour
274 period prior to sampling and the temperature during hand searching ($P = 0.038$, $r_s= -0.268$,
275 and $P = 0.012$, $r_s= -0.279$ respectively) in clear fell compartments. No significant correlations
276 were found between hand search catch success and average temperature during the 24 hour
277 period prior to sampling in either mature conifer compartments ($P = 0.689$, $r_s = 0.040$) or
278 peatland sections ($P = 0.651$, $r_s=0.060$). Furthermore, no significant correlations were found
279 between hand search catch success and temperature during hand searching in either mature
280 conifer compartments ($P = 0.689$, $r_s= 0.040$) or peatland sections ($P = 0.651$, $r_s= 0.060$).

281 A significant, moderate positive Spearman's rank-order correlation was found between
282 individuals caught using hand searches and the average rainfall during the 24 hour period
283 prior to sampling ($P = 0.001$, $r_s = 0.371$) in clear-fell compartments. No significant
284 correlations were found between hand search catch success and average rainfall during the 24
285 hour period prior to sampling in either mature conifer compartments ($P = 0.368$, $r_s = -0.078$)
286 or peatland sections ($P = 0.226$, $r_s = 0.137$). Additionally, no significant correlations were

9

287   found between hand search catch success and rainfall during hand searching in either mature

288   conifer compartments ($P = 0.242$, $r_s = -0.101$), clear-fell compartments ($P = 0.487$, $r_s= 0.079$),

289   or peatland sections ($P = 0.334$, $r_s= -0.109$).

Discussion

Trap position / hand searching and *G. maculosus* catches

Within the mature forest compartments, traps placed at a standard height of 1.5m had greater catch success for adults and juveniles combined (63% of total catch) compared to traps placed at 0.2m (33%), hand searching (3%) and ground traps (< 1%). While Platts & Speight (1988) list the forest floor in deciduous forests as a potential microhabitat for *G. maculosus*, a small study by Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) in a native oak-birch-holly woodland found more individuals under identical traps placed at 1.5m on tree trunks than under ground traps albeit made of a range of different materials. It is, therefore, likely that individual trees are an important microhabitat for *G. maculosus* with most slug activity in commercial conifer plantations occurring on trees rather than on the ground between trees. The fact that ground traps in mature plantations resulted in the least number of catches and no slugs were caught on the forest floor during hand searches further strengthens this conclusion. While lichens, the primary food plant of *G. maculosus* (Reich *et al.,* 2012), are more species rich in the upper third of trees in Sitka spruce plantations (Coote *et al.*, 2007), humidity also decreases with increasing elevation on trees (Hosokawa *et al.,* 1964). It is probable that while slugs may forage in the upper parts of the tree, they return to the more humid, shaded conditions found in the lower parts of the trees to avoid desiccation. This being the case, the first trap they would encounter as they move down the tree would be the trap placed at 1.5m where almost twice as many individuals were caught in comparison to catches under traps placed at 0.2m. The likely movement of individuals up and down the tree trunks may have contributed to the relatively poor efficacy of hand searching in the mature conifer compartments simply because, for practical reasons, counts of slugs on tree trunks were limited to a maximum height of 2m.

In clear-felled compartments, hand searching yielded the greatest catches of adults and juveniles combined (73% of total catch) compared to tree stump traps (22%) and ground traps (5%). Allowing for differences in numbers of traps employed and numbers of sampling occasions at the mature forest and clear-felled compartments, catches at the mature forest compartments overall were almost double those at the clear-felled compartments. While this is likely to be a reflection of the actual numbers in each habitat type, another possible reason for the relatively low capture rates using traps, in particular, is that the exposed nature of

11

322   clear-fell areas often resulted in the area immediately beneath the traps drying out, making
323   them less attractive to slugs wishing to use them as shelters. In comparison, traps deployed on
324   tree trunks in plantations tended to remain damp for longer possibly due to the flow of water
325   down the trunk of trees following rainfall (Ovington, 1954) in conjunction with the more
326   shaded conditions beneath the tree canopy. Given that only 23% of all individuals captured
327   on tree stumps were found beneath traps compared to 77% by hand searching also suggests
328   that traps did not function at an optimal level in this habitat. In addition, the total number of
329   captures using traps in the clear-fell (47) is close to that found beneath traps in the other
330   exposed habitat studied i.e. peatland (56) with exactly the same sampling effort. That no
331   slugs were found between stumps when hand searching could be the result, in some cases, of
332   the presence of *J. effusus* and *D. purpurea* making it difficult to see specimens. Indeed,
333   McDade and Maguire (2005) have noted that when surface conditions are more structurally
334   complex it becomes more difficult to detect slugs using hand searching.

335   In peatland sections traps placed on rocky outcrops had the greatest catches of adults and
336   juveniles combined (92% of total catch) compared to hand searching (8%), with no
337   individuals found beneath traps placed directly on the ground between rocks. This mirrors the
338   findings by Mc Donnell & Gormally (2011) who successfully captured *G. maculosus* with
339   traps placed on rocky outcrops in peatland. Individuals captured using hand searching were
340   also found only on rocky outcrops within the hand searching area. It is likely that successful
341   capture of slugs was limited to rocks because of the presence of an abundant source of lichens
342   on which *G. maculosus* feeds (NRA, 2009). The absence of individuals found either by hand
343   searching and under traps placed on the ground between rocks indicates the importance of
344   outcrops as a habitat feature for the species in peatland habitats. Having said that, dense
345   vegetation in peatlands, particularly the presence of *M. caerulea*, may reduce the
346   effectiveness of hand searching. In addition, the absence of catches under ground traps placed
347   between rocks in this study may reflect genuinely low abundances in that *G. maculosus* has
348   only been rarely seen on open peatland vegetation (Mc Donnell, *pers.comm.*). It is, however,
349   possible that higher levels of moisture in peatland vegetation may reduce the attractiveness of
350   the traps as a refuge from desiccation unlike those in the drier conifer compartments.

351   In terms of juvenile capture success, while overall numbers caught were lower than those of
352   the adults, trends observed followed those of the adults in each of the three habitats. Although
353   greatest numbers of juveniles were caught using traps (excepting ground traps) in both
354   mature conifer compartments and peatland, the proportion of juveniles caught in each of the

12

three habitats was consistently greater using hand searching compared to using traps. Rollo and Wellington (1979) found that adults of *Deroceras reticulatum* Müller*,* four *Arion* species and *Limax maximus* L. tended to be more aggressive than juveniles which resulted in juveniles being unable to compete with the larger adult slugs for shelters. In addition, Rollo (1982) in a later study found that juvenile slugs (*Deroceras* species, *Arion species* and *L. maximus*) spent a larger portion of their active period foraging. It is, therefore, possible that a combination of competition for shelter and more time spent foraging resulted in lower proportions of juveniles found under traps. Where there are time constraints and simply presence or absence data are required, initial hand-searching under appropriate weather conditions and during the appropriate season is probably sufficient. Hand searching at night (using torches) could yield interesting results and the effect on catches of searching at different times of the day is worth further investigation. If no specimens are found by hand-searching, traps could be placed subsequently to confirm the presence or absence of the species. Traps are also useful in instances where personnel undertaking hand-searching are inexperienced and in cases where long term monitoring is required. Weighing of slugs in the field (time permitting) would permit researchers to separate with more precision the different age stages and further our understanding of *G maculosus* population dynamics in the field.

Geomalacus maculosus catches – in relation to temperature and rainfall

While *G. maculosus* was collected year round, results of the long-term study indicate that capture success varies across the seasons. Capture success for both adults and juveniles was greatest during the autumn months and least in winter. After autumn, spring and summer catches were the next highest for adults and juveniles respectively. The results suggest that *G. maculosus* monitoring surveys and / or relocation prior to clear-felling should be undertaken during autumn to ensure optimal catch success. The second peak in juvenile catches in summer is likely to be the result of egg laying by adults in the spring (Wisniewski, 2000). Summer surveys would therefore provide useful information on the health of the population by indicating the extent of breeding and recruitment by juveniles. Further research whereby populations are monitored over a number of years (ideally with different weather patterns) would further refine optimum sampling seasons for the species. Significant but weak quadratic relationships were detected between temperatures during the 24-hour period prior to and at the time of sampling with capture success using traps in both mature conifer and clear-felled compartments. No significant relationship was found in the peatland sections where trap catches were overall substantially less. The quadratic nature of the relationships

13

suggests that both low and high temperatures have a negative effect on numbers of individuals found beneath traps in mature conifer/ clear-felled compartments. It is likely that the oceanic nature of climate in Ireland with its relatively small temperature range (Met Éireann, 2016) may have contributed to the weak relationship between temperatures and slug catches. Nevertheless, catches at each of the three sites were lowest in winter (corresponding to the lowest mean temperatures) and although catches were next lowest in summer (highest mean temperatures) at the mature conifer plantations, this was not the case for the clear-fell and peatland habitats where numbers of catches were substantially lower. It is interesting to note that in clear-fell compartments, where hand searching was most successful, there was a negative correlation between numbers of individuals caught by hand searching and average temperatures prior to and during sampling. Coupled with this was the positive correlation between individuals caught using hand searches in clear-fells and the average rainfall during the 24 hour period prior to sampling. Given that hand searching has been reported as being highly dependent on weather (Bruelheide & Scheidel, 1999), there are clearly a number of factors at play relating to the attractiveness of traps coupled with levels of slug activity under different weather conditions.

As previously mentioned, it is possible that at higher air temperatures the surface beneath the traps dries out, particularly in clear-felled compartments, making them less attractive to *G. maculosus* thereby resulting in lower catches. Terrestrial slugs are known to be extremely susceptible to dehydration (Cameron, 1970), and seek to avoid exposure to unfavourable conditions as a means of protecting themselves (Rollo, 1982). Additionally, slugs in general are known to move down through the soil profile to avoid freezing temperatures (Cook, 2004). In support of this the authors have observed *G. maculosus* sheltering below ground and under the moss cover at the bases of trees, stumps and rocks during warm and dry weather, as well as during cold weather. With regard to rainfall, it is interesting to note that no significant relationship was found between rainfall at the time of sampling and hand search capture success in any of the habitats. This finding is somewhat surprising given that *G. maculosus* is reported to be only diurnally active during or after rain (Taylor 1906; Platts & Speight, 1988). Given that rainfall data were sourced from weather stations more than 30km from the sites, they may not have reflected local variation in rainfall accurately. In addition, Ovington (1954) found that duration and intensity of rainfall are the most important factors dictating the amount of rainfall that reaches the ground in conifer plantations. It has been widely reported that temperature and rainfall are important factors influencing slug

14

activity in general (Barnes & Weill, 1945; Webley *et al.,* 1964; Young, 1991; Shirley *et al.,* 2001; Choi *et al,.* 2006) and this is, to some extent, reflects the results of this study. Although logistics in this study did not permit the recording of weekly catch data, future studies incorporating on-site weather data, particularly rainfall measurements in addition to weekly catch data would further refine the relationship between weather conditions and catch success.

The results of this study clearly indicate for the first time that approaches to monitoring *G. maculosus* needs to take into account the habitat under investigation. Of the sampling strategies investigated in this study, traps placed at a height of 1.5m on trees in mature conifer plantations will likely result in optimal numbers of catches of *G. maculosus*. In clear-fell areas, hand searching under suitable weather conditions, preferably when rain has fallen in the previous twenty-four hours, is recommended. For peatlands, traps should be placed on exposed rock. Overall, autumn is the preferred time of sampling for adult slugs, while summer sampling is recommended if breeding and recruitment studies are required. Sampling during extremes of hot and cold weather should be avoided as results are likely to give an underestimation of slug densities, which could lead to the implementation of poor management decisions. While the results of this study form the basis for guidelines to forestry managers who are legally obliged to protect *G. maculosus* when undertaking routine forestry practices, further work regarding the presence of the species in the upper canopy is required. In addition, measuring humidity and temperature beneath traps using probes in conjunction with numbers of slug catches will further refine how best to maximise the use of trap data for the protection of *G. maculosus* in the future.

15

457 **References**

458 ANON (2010). Threat Response Plan Kerry Slug Geomalacus maculosus. National Parks
459 & Wildlife Service.

460 Barnes HF, Weil JW (1945). Slugs in gardens: their numbers, activity and distribution.
461 Part II. J. Anita. Ecol. 14: 71-105. DOI: 10.2307/1386

462 Bouchet P, Falkner G, Seddon MB (1999). Lists of protected land and freshwater
463 molluscs in the Bern Convention and European Habitats Directive: Are they relevant to
464 conservation? Biol Cons 90(1): 21–31. DOI: 10.1016/S0006-3207(99)00009-9

465 Bruelheide H, Scheidel U (1999). Slug herbivory as a limiting factor for the geographical
466 range of *Arnica montana*. J Ecol 87(5): 839–848. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-
467 2745.1999.00403.x

468 Byrne A, Moorkens EA, Anderson R, Killeen IJ, Regan EC (2009). Ireland Red List No.
469 2 – Non- Marine Molluscs. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the
470 Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

471 Cameron RAD, (1970). The effect of temperature on the activity of three species of
472 Helicid snail (Mollusca: Gastropoda). J Zool (London), 162(3): 303–315.
473 DOI:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1970.tb01267.x

474 Chapman AD, (2009). Numbers of living species in Australia and the world.
475 Toowoomba, Australia: 2nd edition. Australian Biodiversity Information Services.

476 Cook RT (2004). The tolerance of the field slug *Deroceras reticulatum* to freezing
477 temperatures. CryoLetters 25(3): 187–194. PMID:15216383

478 Choi Y H, Bohan DA, Powers SJ, Wiltshire CW, Glen DM, Semenov MA, (2004).
479 Modelling *Deroceras reticulatum* (Gastropoda) population dynamics based on daily

16

temperature and rainfall. Agric Ecosyst Environ, 103(3): 519-525. DOI:10.1016/j.agee.2003.11.012

Coillte (2015). Inventory list for fieldwork surveys (full). Coillte Teoranta.

Coote L, Smith GF, Kelly DL, O'Donoghue S, Dowding P, Iremonger S, Mitchell FJG (2007). Epiphytes of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) plantations in Ireland and the effects of open spaces. Biodivers Conserv 16(14): 4009–4024. DOI:10.1007/s10531-007-9203-5

DAFM (2015) Ireland's Forests – Annual Statistics. Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

Douglas MR, Tooker JF (2012). Slug (Mollusca: Agriolimacidae, Arionidae) ecology and management in no-till field crops, with an emphasis on the mid-Atlantic region. JIPM 3(1): 1–9. DOI: 10.1603/IPM11023

Forest Service (2008). Irish Forests – A Brief History. Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.

FSC (2016). The 10 Principles. Ten rules for responsible forest management. Retrieved from: https://ic.fsc.org/en/certification/principles-and-criteria/the-10-principles. Last accessed: 15/05/2016

Hill MO (1979). The development of a flora in even-aged plantations. In: Ford ED, Malcolm DC, Atterson J (eds.). The ecology of even-aged forest plantations. Cambridge, Institute of Terrestrial Ecology 175-192. ISBN 0 904282 33 3

Hosokawa T, Odani N, Tagawa H (1964). Causality of the Distribution of Corticolous Species in Forests with Special Reference to the Physio-Ecological Approach. ABLS 67(4): 396–411. DOI: 10.2307/3240764

Hommay G, Kienlen JC, Gertz C, Hill A (2001). Growth and Reproduction of the Slug Limax Valentianus Firussac in Experimental Conditions. J Mollusc Stud 67(2):191–207. DOI: 0.1093/mollus/67.2.191

Hunter P (1968). Studies on slugs of arable ground: I. Sampling methods. Malacologia 6: 369-377

17

507   Kearney J (2010). Kerry slug (*Geomalacus maculosus* Allman, 1843) recorded at
508   Lettercraffroe , Co . Galway. Ir Nat J *31*(1): 68–69.

509   South A (2012). Terrestrial slugs: biology, ecology and control. Springer Science &
510   Business Media. ISBN 978-94-011-2380-8

511   Lydeard C, Cowie RH, Ponder WF, Bogan AE, Bouchet P, Clark SA, Cummings KS,
512   Frest TJ, Gargominy O, Herbert DG, Hershler R, Perez KE, Roth B, Seddon M, Strong
513   EE, Thompson FG (2004). The global decline of non-marine Mollusks. BioScience 54(4):
514   321-330. DOI: 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0321:TGDONM]2.0.CO;2

515   Macdonald N (2009). Factsheet 02/09: Slug control in field vegetables. Retrieved from
516   http://www.ahdb.org.uk/slugcontrol/HDC 05 Aug 2010

517   Met   Éireann   (2016)   Climate   of   Ireland.   Retrieved   from:
518   http://www.met.ie/climate/climate-of-ireland.asp. Last accessed 10/05/2015.

519   McDade KA, Maguire CC (2000). Comparative effectiveness of three techniques for
520   salamander   and   gastropod   land   surveys.   Am   Midl   Nat   153(2):   309–320.
521   DOI:10.1674/0003-0031(2005)153[0309:CEOTTF]2.0.CO;2

522   Mc Donnell R, Gormally M (2011). A live trapping method for the protected european
523   slug, *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman 1843 (Arionidae). J Conchol 1843: 483–485.

524   NFI (2012). The second national forest inventory, Republic of Ireland, Main Findings.
525   Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

526   NPWS (2013). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Species
527   Assessments Volume 3. Version 1.0. National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of
528   Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

529   NRA (2009). Ecological surveying techniques for protected flora and fauna during the
530   planning of National Road Schemes. National Roads Authority, Dublin

531   Ovington JD (1954). A comparison of rainfall in different woodlands. Forestry 27(1):41-
532   53. DOI: 10.1093/forestry/27.1.41

533   Platts E A, Speight MCD (1988). The taxonomy and Distribution of the Kerry Slug
534   *Geomalacus maculosus* Allman, 1843 (Mollusca: Arionidae) with a Discussion of Its

18

535   Status as a Threatened Species. Ir Nat J 22(10): 417–430.
536   http://www.jstor.org/stable/25539243

537   Reich I, O'Meara K, Mc Donnell RJ, Gormally MJ, (2012). An assessment of the use of
538   conifer plantations by the Kerry Slug (*Geomalacus maculosus*) with reference to the
539   impact of forestry operations. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 64. National Parks and Wildlife
540   Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

541   Reich I, Gormally M, McDonnell R, Allcock AL, Castillejo J, Iglesias J, Quinteiro J,
542   Smith CJ (2015). Genetic study reveals close link between Irish and Northern Spanish
543   specimens of the protected Lusitanian slug *Geomalacus maculosus*. Biol J Linn Soc
544   116:156-168. DOI: 10.1111/bij.12568

545   Rollo CD (1982). The regulation of activity in populations of the terrestrial slug *Limax*
546   *maximus* (Gastropods: Limacidae). Res Popul Ecol (Kyoto) 24: 1–32. DOI:
547   10.1007/BF02515586

548   Rollo CD, Wellington WG (1979). Intra-and inter-specific agonistic behavior among
549   terrestrial slugs (Pulmonata: Stylommatophora). Can J Zool 57(4): 846-855. DOI:
550   10.1139/z79-104

551   Rowson B, Turner J, Anderson R, Symondson B (2014). Slugs of Britain and Ireland.
552   Identification, understanding and control. Field Studies Council, Telford

553   Shirley MDF, Rushton SP, Young AG, Port GR (2001). Simulating the long-term
554   dynamics of slug populations: a process-based modelling approach for pest control. J
555   Appl Ecol 38:401-411. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00606.x

556   South A (1989). A Comparison of the life cycles of the slugs *Deroceras Reticulatum*
557   (Müller) and *Arion Intermedius* Normand on permanent pasture. J Mollusc Stud, 55(1),
558   9–22. Doi:10.1093/mollus/55.1.9

559   Speight MCD (1995). Geomalacus maculosus Allman, 1843. Background information on
560   invertebrates of the Habitats Directive and the Bern Convention. Part III—Mollusca and
561   Echinodermata. Nature and environment, 81, 433-437.

562   Taylor JW (1906). Monograph of the land and freshwater Mollusca of the British Isles. 2.
563   Taylor Brothers, Leeds.

19

564   TinyTag   (2016).   Accessories,   ACS-5050.   Retrieved   from:
565   http://www.geminidataloggers.com/accessories/other/acs-5050   Last   Accessed:
566   10/05/2016

567   Verdú JR, Galante E (2005). *Libro Rojo De Los Invertebrados De Espana. Madrid*.

568   Webley D (1964). Slug activity in relation to weather. Ann App Biol 53:407-414. DOI:
569   DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1964.tb07254.x

570   Wisniewski PJ (2000). Husbandry and breeding of Kerry spotted slug *Geomalacus*
571   *maculosus* at the Endangered Species Breeding Unit, Martin Mere. Int Zoo Yearb 37:
572   319–321. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-1090.2000.tb00736.x

573   Young AG, Port GR, Emmett BJ, Green DI (1991). Development of a forecast of slug
574   activity: models to relate slug activity to meteorological conditions. Crop Prot 10(5):
575   413–415. DOI:10.1016/S0261-2194(06)80034-7

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

20

	1.5m traps	**0.2m traps**	**Ground traps**	**Hand search**
Adult				
Total catch	412	219	5	20
Mean ± SD	3.05 ± 5.00	1.62 ± 3.41	0.05 ± 0.23	0.15 ± 0.38
1.5m trap	-	-	-	-
0.2m trap	**0.033**	-	-	-
Ground traps	**0.000**	**0.000**	-	-
Hand search	**0.000**	**0.000**	0.080	-
Juvenile				
Total catch	39	21	0	3
Mean ± SD	0.34 ± 1.24	0.16 ± 0.67	0	0.02 ± 0.15
1.5m trap	-	-	-	-
0.2m trap	0.081	-	-	-
Ground trap	-	-	-	-
Hand search	**0.020**	0.296	-	-

592 **Adult:** Test statistic = 26.635; df = 3; $P < 0.001$, Welch's ANOVA. *P* values given in bold
593 indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell multiple
594 comparison test; **Juvenile:** Test statistic = 4.696; df = 2; $P = 0.010$, Welch's ANOVA. *P*
595 values given in bold indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-
596 Howell multiple comparison test.
597

598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614

21

615 **Table 2** Clear-felled compartments: Total and mean (±SD) catch of adult and juvenile *G.*
616 *maculosus* using traps placed on tree stumps, on the ground and using ten minute hand
617 searches from June to September 2015 (N=80 sampling occasions)

	Tree stump traps	Ground traps	Hand search
Adult			
Total catch	36	9	99
Mean ± SD	0.45 ± 0.81	0.11 ± 0.36	1.82 ± 2.82
Tree stump traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	**0.003**	-	-
Hand search	**0.011**	**0.000**	-
Juveniles			
Total catch	2	0	27
Mean ± SD	0.03 ± 0.16	0	0.34 ± 1.31
Tree stump traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	-	-	-
Hand search	**0.037**	-	-

618
619 **Adult:** Test statistic = 14.690; df = 2; *P* < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. *P* values given in bold
620 indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell multiple
621 comparison test; **Juvenile:** Test statistic = 4.478; df = 1; *P* = 0.037, Welch's T-test. *P* values
622 given in bold indicate significant differences between trapping methods, Games-Howell
623 multiple comparison test.
624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

22

634 **Table 3** Peatland: Total and mean (±SD) catch of adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* using
635 refuge traps placed on rocks (rock traps, on vegetation among rocks (ground traps) and using
636 ten minute hand searches from June to September 2015 (N = 80 sampling occasions)

	Rock traps	Ground traps	Hand search
Adult			
Total catch	42	0	2
Mean ± SD	0.53 ± 0.84	0 ± 0	0.03 ± 0.16
Rock traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	**-**	-	-
Hand search	**0.000**	**-**	-
Juveniles			
Total catch	14	0	3
Mean ± SD	0.18 ± 0.50	0 ± 0	0.38 ± 0.25
Rock traps	-	-	-
Ground traps	-	-	-
Hand search	**0.029**	-	-

637 **Adults:** Test statistic = 27.288; df = 1 $P < 0.001$ Welch's T test. *P* values given in bold
638 indicate significant differences between trapping methods; **Juveniles:** Test statistic = 4.890;
639 df = 1 $P = 0.029$ Welch's T test. *P* values given in bold indicate significant differences
640 between trapping methods.

641
642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

23

Table 4 Seasonal variation: Adult and juvenile *G. maculosus* catches in autumn (N=230), winter (N=245), spring (N=245), and summer (N= 225) across all three habitats using refuge traps (mature forest, clear-fell and peatland).

	Autumn 14	Winter 14/15	Spring 15	Summer 15
Adult				
Total catch	1062	349	596	358
Mean ± SD	4.62 ± 6.53	1.43 ± 2.70	2.43 ± 3.95	1.64 ± 2.97
Autumn	-	-	-	-
Winter	**0.000**	-	-	-
Spring	**0.000**	**0.006**	-	-
Summer	**0.000**	0.0837	0.068	-
Juvenile				
Total catch	88	30	34	80
Mean ± SD	0.38 ± 0.96	0.12 ± 0.44	0.14 ± 0.42	0.36 ± 0.95
Autumn	-	-	-	-
Winter	**0.001**	-	-	-
Spring	**0.002**	0.975	-	**-**
Summer	0.997	**0.003**	**0.006**	-

Adults: Test statistic = 17.813; df = 3; *P* < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. *P* values given in bold indicate significant differences between seasons Games-Howell multiple comparison test.
Juveniles: Test statistic = 9.280; df = 3; *P* < 0.001, Welch's ANOVA. *P* values given in bold indicate significant differences between seasons Games-Howell multiple comparison test

24

Fig. 1 Location of the four study sites in the south-west of Ireland: Site 1 (Tooreenafersha), Site 2 (Derrynasaggert), Site 3 (Rathgaskig/Coomlibane) and Site 4 (Barnagowlane) (G. Kindermann, 2016)

Fig. 2 Traps placed on a tree (a), a tree stump (b), and a rock (c) (G. Kindermann, 2016)

25

680

Fig. 3 Mean (±SE) *G. maculosus* individuals (bar graph) caught (using traps) in mature conifer compartments (a), clear-felled compartments (b), and peatland compartments (c) with mean temperature (∘C ± SE) (line graph) for each season, from September 2014 to November 2014 (autumn), December 2014 to February 2015 (winter), March to May 2015 (spring) and June to August 2015 (summer).

686

687

688

689

690

26

Fig 4 Quadratic relationship (line) between *G. maculosus* individuals caught (using traps) and average temperature (°C) 24 hours prior to sampling in mature conifer compartments (a), and in clear-fell compartments (b). Quadratic relationship (line) between *G. maculosus* individuals caught (using traps) and temperature (°C) during sampling in mature conifer compartments (c), and in clear-fell compartments (d) between October 2014 and August 2015.