LJMU Research Online

Fracarolli, L, Rodrigues, GB, Pereira, AC, Massola Júnior, NS, Silva-Junior, GJ, Bachmann, L, Wainwright, M, Bastos, JK and Braga, GUL

Inactivation of plant-pathogenic fungus Colletotrichum acutatum with natural plant-produced photosensitizers under solar radiation.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/5491/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Fracarolli, L, Rodrigues, GB, Pereira, AC, Massola Júnior, NS, Silva-Junior, GJ, Bachmann, L, Wainwright, M, Bastos, JK and Braga, GUL (2016) Inactivation of plant-pathogenic fungus Colletotrichum acutatum with natural plant-produced photosensitizers under solar radiation. Journal of

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1 **Inactivation of plant-pathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum acutatum* with natural**
2 **plant-produced photosensitizers under solar radiation**
3
4
5 Letícia Fracarolli[a], Gabriela B. Rodrigues[a], Ana C. Pereira[b], Nelson S. Massola Júnior[c],
6 Geraldo José Silva-Junior[d], Luciano Bachmann[e], Mark Wainwright[f], Jairo Kenupp
7 Bastos[b] and Gilberto U. L. Braga[a,*]
8
9 [a]Departamento de Análises Clínicas, Toxicológicas e Bromatológicas and
10 [b]Departamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas de
11 Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil
12 [c]Departamento de Fitopatologia e Nematologia, Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz
13 de Queiroz", Universidade de São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil
14 [d]Fundecitrus, Fundo de Defesa da citricultura, Araraquara, Brazil
15 [e]Departamento de Física, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto,
16 Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil
17 [f]School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University,
18 Liverpool L3 3AF, United Kingdom
19
20 * Corresponding author. Tel.: 55 16 36024425; fax: +55 16 36024725. *E-mail address*:
21 gbraga@fcfrp.usp.br (G.U.L. Braga).
22

ABSTRACT

The increasing tolerance to currently used fungicides and the need for environmentally friendly antimicrobial approaches have stimulated the development of novel strategies to control plant-pathogenic fungi such as antimicrobial phototreatment (APT). We investigated the *in vitro* APT of the plant-pathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum acutatum* with furocoumarins and coumarins and solar radiation. The compounds used were: furocoumarins 8-methoxypsoralen (8-MOP) and 5,8-dimethoxypsoralen (isopimpinellin), coumarins 2*H*-chromen-2-one (coumarin), 7-hydroxycoumarin, 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (citropten) and a mixture (3:1) of 7-methoxycoumarin and 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin. APT of conidia with crude extracts from 'Tahiti' acid lime, red and white grapefruit were also performed. Pure compounds were tested at 50 μM concentration and mixtures and extracts at 12.5 mg L^{-1}. The *C. acutatum* conidia suspension with or without the compounds was exposed to solar radiation for 1 h. In addition, the effects of APT on the leaves of the plant host *Citrus sinensis* were determined. APT with 8-MOP was the most effective treatment, killing 100% of the conidia followed by the mixture of two coumarins and isopimpinellin that killed 99% and 64% of the conidia, respectively. APT with the extracts killed from 20% to 70% of the conidia, and the extract from 'Tahiti' lime was the most effective. No damage to sweet orange leaves was observed after APT with any of the coumpounds or extracts.

Keywords: microbial photo inactivation; photoantimicrobial; fungal photodynamic inactivation; *Colletotrichum acutatum;* coumarins; furocoumarins.

1. Introduction

47 An important disease of citrus in the Americas is the postblom fruit drop (PFD)
48 or blossom blight [1,2] caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum sensu lato* and
49 *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides sensu lato* [3,4]. However, *C. acutatum* is much more
50 important than *C. gloeosporioides* in all citrus growing areas. Recent studies have
51 proposed several cryptic species within the *C. acutatum* complex based on multilocus
52 phylogeny [5,6]. Although a thorough study about the ethiology of PFD has not been
53 done yet, *C. abscissum* was recently identified by molecular data as a causal agent of
54 PFD within the *C. acutatum* complex [7]. Typical PFD symptoms are orange-brown
55 lesions on petals and small peach-brown to dark-brown necrotic spots on the stigma and
56 style [8,9]. Flower infection leads to hormonal changes and causes fruit abscission [10].
57 *C. acutatum* produces acervuli on both sites of the petals with abundant unicellular
58 hyaline conidia surrounded by a mucilaginous matrix [9]. It has been proposed that
59 conidia are dispersed by rain splash after the mucilage has been dissolved by water
60 [1,2]. However, recent results have shown that dispersal of the pathogen may be also
61 related to a mechanism other than splash dispersal [11]. The control of PFD is based on
62 fungicide sprays during the entire bloom period, particularly after rain events [12].
63 There are only two fungicides groups (strobilurins and triazoles) available for PFD
64 control in sweet orange commercial orchards to juice production in São Paulo state,
65 Brazil [12]. This limited availability of compounds has stimulated the development of
66 new strategies for control of fungal pathogens [13-15].

67 The light-based approach antimicrobial phototreatment (APT) is a promising
68 antifungal alternative that can be used to control fungi that cause diseases in humans
69 and plants [14,15,16-22]. APT mode-of-action is based on the use of a photosensitizer
70 (PS) that preferentially accumulates in the target microbial cells [14,15,18,19,21,23].
71 Subsequent exposure of the PS to light of an appropriate wavelength starts a

72 photochemical process that may produce several reactive oxygen species (ROS) leading
73 to non-specific oxidative damage and causing the subsequent death of the microbial
74 cells without significant damage to host tissues [14,15,18,19,22,23].

75 When produced at the plant surface, either via natural plant-produced PS or via
76 applied PS, reactive species can interact with pathogens such as fungi and bacteria and
77 even with insect ovipositors [24,25]. The multiple targets of ROS reduce the chance of
78 selecting tolerant microorganisms. In addition, the PS used in APT are usually less toxic
79 to humans and animals and are less aggressive to the environment than most of the
80 currently used fungicides [14-16,22]. Also, unlike many conventional fungicides or
81 antibiotics that kill only metabolically active cells, APT is able to kill both
82 metabolically active and inactive dormant or quiescent structures such as fungal conidia
83 [14,15,20,23,26] and bacterial spores [27,28]. The disadvantage of APT compared to
84 conventional fungicides, the main strategy for control of fungal plant diseases, is that it
85 does not work at night.

86 Some PS such as coumarins and furocoumarins (psoralens) are naturally
87 produced as secondary metabolites in a variety of plant species, particularly in those
88 belonging to the Umbelliferae, Apiaceae and Rutaceae families [29]. Plants might
89 produce these metabolites to act either via light-dependent or -independent mechanisms,
90 as protectants against microorganisms and insects [30,31]. These compounds are
91 synthesized continuously at low levels and at much higher concentrations when plants
92 are stressed by environmental factors, including bacterial and fungal infections [32-34].

93 The stable covalent photoconjugation of furocoumarins with DNA was thought
94 to bear sole responsibility for the lethal effect of this group of PS. Psoralens are capable
95 of forming either monofunctional (single strand) or bifunctional adducts (interstrand
96 cross-links) with DNA [35,36]. The oxygen-dependent mechanism responsible for the

97 photodynamic action of psoralens was discovered later. Joshi and Pathak [37]
98 demonstrated the *in vitro* production of singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) and superoxide radical by
99 several linear and angular furocoumarins. It was postulated that both forms of active
100 oxygen contribute to the *in vitro* phototoxicity of the agents, possibly at the level of the
101 cell membrane. The photosensitizing action of furocoumarins on membrane
102 components was reviewed by Dall'acqua and Martelli [38]. It is currently accepted that
103 damage by furocoumarins might result from dual or even multiple processes [39,40].

104 Photoinactivation of plant pathogenic fungi were performed using different types
105 of PS against species of several genera [14,15,33,41-50]. So far little attention has been
106 paid to some important aspects of APT that are crucial for its commercial use under
107 field conditions. For example, most of the studies were performed *in vitro* and only a
108 few of them evaluated the effects of APT on the plant host or in the environment
109 [14,15,51]. We have demonstrated that APT under solar radiation with phenothiazinium
110 PS, such as methylene blue derivatives, coumarins and furocumarins eficently kill
111 conidia of *Colletotricum acutatum* without damaging the plant host *Citrus sinensis*
112 [14,15].

113 The aim of the current study was to evaluate the efficacy of APT under solar
114 radiation with pure furocoumarins and coumarins and also with extracts rich in these
115 coumpounds obtained from 'Tahiti' acid lime (*Citrus latifolia*) and grapefruit (*Citrus*
116 *paradisi*) on conidia of *C. acutatum*. All the extracts and the coumarins 7-
117 hydroxycoumarin and 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin were obtained in the present study. The
118 furocoumarins 5,8-dimethoxypsoralen and the mixture of the two coumarins were
119 obtained and identified previously and their photoxicity to fungal conidia was already
120 demonstrated [15]. The stability of commercial furocoumarin 8-MOP under solar

121 radiation was evaluated. The effects of APT with the pure compounds and extracts on
122 the leaves of the plant host *C. sinensis* were also determined.

123

124 **2. Material and methods**
125

126 *2.1. Mass spectrometry*

127

128 For identification of coumarins and furocoumarins, gas chromatography-mass
129 spectrometry (GCMS) analyses were performed using a Shimadzu QP2010 Plus
130 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) system equipped with a AOC-20i autosampler
131 under the following conditions: Restek Rtx-5MS fused silica capillary column (30 m ×
132 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μm film thickness), composed of 5%-phenyl–95%-
133 methylpolysiloxane operating in the electron ionization mode at 70 eV. Helium
134 (99.99%) was used as carrier gas at a constant flow of 1 mL min^{-1}. The injection volume
135 was 0.1 μL (split ratio of 1:20), the injector temperature was 240 °C, and the ion-source
136 temperature was 280 °C. The oven temperature was programmed to increase from 60 °C
137 to 240 °C at 3 °C min^{-1}. Mass spectra were taken with mass range from 40 to 600 Da.
138 Identification of coumarins and furocoumarins was performed by comparing the
139 obtained mass spectra with Wiley 7, NIST 08 and FFNSC 1.2 spectra databases, as well
140 as by comparison of their mass spectra with those reported in the literature. Percentage
141 content was estimated by internal normalization.

142

143 *2.2. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy*

144

145 ^{1}H NMR spectra were recorded in $CDCl_3$ and CD_3OD at 500 MHz on a Bruker
146 Advanced DRX-500 spectrometer (Bruker, Darmstadt, Germany). ^{13}C NMR spectra

147 were acquired at 125 MHz on a Bruker Advanced DRX-400 spectrometer (Bruker,
148 Darmstadt, Germany).
149

150 *2.3. Collection of crude extracts from 'Tahiti' lime peel and its essential oil; collection*
151 *of grapefruit essential oil*
152

153 'Tahiti' lime peel essential oil, red and white grapefruit essential oils are
154 produced during the industrial processing of *Citrus latifolia* and *C. paradisi*,
155 respectively. The 'Tahiti' lime oils were kindly provided by Citrosuco Company
156 (Fischer Group, Matão, SP, Brazil). The red and white grapefruit essential oils were
157 obtained from Dierberger (Dierberger Óleos Essenciais, S.A., Barra Bonita, SP, Brazil).
158 In order to isolate coumarins and furocoumarins, 100 mL of 'Tahiti' lime peel oil were
159 basified to pH 13 with a 5 mol L^{-1} NH_4OH solution. Then, the mixture was partitioned
160 three times with 1.8 L of ethyl acetate, and the remaining alkaline aqueous phase was
161 acidified to pH 1 with a 5 mol L^{-1} H_2SO_4 solution, followed by three times partition
162 with 1.8 L of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate fractions from the acidified water fraction
163 were combined and concentrated under vacuum to afford 79.37 g of crude extract
164 (named crude extract 1). To verify the presence of coumarin compounds, thin layer
165 chromatographic (TLC) analysis (Kieselgel 60 F_{254} 20 × 20 cm, Merck, Germany) was
166 undertaken with a mobile phase of hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:3 v/v) and hexanes/acetone
167 (7:3 v/v). TLC spots were visualized under a 254 and 366 nm UV lamp before and after
168 sprinkling the plates with a hydroalcoholic solution of KOH 1 M. Then, an aliquot of
169 61.5 g of the crude ethyl acetate extract was submitted to classical open column liquid
170 chromatography (silica gel 0.060 × 0.200 mm, 60 A, Merck, Germany) using a mobile
171 phase of hexanes/ethyl acetate at increasing gradient of polarity. All fractions obtained

172 were concentrated under vacuum and analyzed by TLC using hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:3
173 v/v) and hexane/acetone (7:3 v/v) as previously described. The fraction of 0.97 g eluted
174 in hexanes/ethyl acetate (75:25 v/v) showed the characteristic fluorescence emission of
175 the furocoumarins and coumarins. For the other essential oils, 50 mL of each one were
176 basified to pH 13 with a 5 mol L^{-1} KOH hydroalcoholic solution (70% of KOH solution
177 and 30% of ethanol). The mixtures were partitioned three times with 900 mL of ethyl
178 acetate, and the remaining alkaline aqueous phases were acidified to pH 1 with a 5 mol
179 L^{-1} H_2SO_4 solution, followed by three times partition with 900 mL of ethyl acetate. The
180 ethyl acetate fractions of the acidified fraction were combined and dried under vacuum
181 to afford 23.11 g, 16.77 g, and 11.89 g of crude extracts of 'Tahiti' lime (crude extract
182 2), red grapefruit (crude extract 3) and white grapefruit (crude extract 4) essential oils,
183 respectively. To verify the presence of coumarin compounds, thin layer
184 chromatographic (TLC) analysis (Kieselgel 60 F_{254} 20 × 20 cm, Merck, Germany) was
185 undertaken with a mobile phase of hexanes/ethyl acetate (7:3 v/v) and hexanes/acetone
186 (7:3 v/v). TLC spots were visualized under a 254 and 366 nm UV lamp before and after
187 sprinkling the plates with a hydroalcoholic solution of KOH 1 M. The crude ethyl
188 acetate extract obtained from red grapefruit essential oil was submitted to liquid
189 chromatography (silica gel 0.040 × 0.063 mm, 60 A, Merck, Germany) using mobile
190 phase of hexanes/ethyl acetate at increasing gradient of polarity. All fractions obtained
191 were concentrated under vacuum and analyzed by TLC as previously reported. Four
192 fractions eluted with hexanes/ethyl acetate (70:30, 65:35, 60:40 and 55:45 v/v) showed
193 the same characteristic fluorescence emission of furocoumarins and coumarins. Then,
194 they were combined to afford 0.34 g, and submitted to preparative HPLC.
195
196 *2.4. Collection of crude extract of 'Tahiti' lime peel*

197

198 For comparison with the oils obtained from the citrus industry, an extract from
199 'Tahiti' lime peel was obtained. The limes were peeled and the peels were dried in an
200 oven at 45 °C with circulating air for 3 h. After drying, the peels were milled in a small
201 coffee mill (Cadence MDR 301, Brazil), resulting in a powdered biomass of 20.44 g of
202 powder. Then, the powder was macerated three times with 200 mL of hexanes for 48 h,
203 the extract was filtered through filter paper and the solvent was concentrated under
204 vacuum furnishing 5 mL of the oily extract. This was then basified to pH 13 with a 5
205 mol L^{-1} KOH hydroalcoholic solution (70% of KOH solution and 30% of ethanol). The
206 mixture was partitioned three times with 90 mL of ethyl acetate, and the remaining
207 alkaline aqueous phase was acidified to pH 1 with a 5 mol L^{-1} H_2SO_4 solution, followed
208 by three times partitions with 90 mL of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate fractions of the
209 acidified fraction were combined and dried under vacuum to afford 0.172 g of crude
210 extract (crude extract 5). To verify the presence of coumarin compounds, thin layer
211 chromatographic (TLC) analysis was carried out as previously described.

212

213 *2.5. Isolation and identification of coumarins and furocoumarins*

214

215 The sample obtained from the crude extract of 'Tahiti' lime peel oil (fraction 1)
216 and from the crude extract of red grapefruit essential oil (fraction 2) were diluted in
217 methanol/acetonitrile 1:1 (chromatographic grade, Merck, Germany) and subjected to
218 HPLC analysis in a Shimadzu HPLC (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) equipped
219 with an autosampler (SIL-10AD), diode array detector (DAD) (SPD-M10CA), ternary
220 solvent system (LC-10AD) and degasser (DGU-14A). The analysis was undertaken with
221 the help of the Software Class-VP. The column used was an analytical column Polar-RP

222 (Sinergy, Phenomenex Inc., CA, USA) with pore sizes 4 µm, 150 × 4.60 mm
223 dimension. The solvents used as mobile phase were methanol/water chromatographic
224 grade (Merck, Germany) in gradient starting with 31% of methanol, linearly increasing
225 to 100% of methanol at 40 min of analyses, keeping 100% till 45 min, and linearly
226 down to 31% at 47 min and keeping 31% till 52 min. The detection was undertaken at
227 270 and 360 nm. The volume of injection was 20 µL (1 mg mL^{-1}), and the flow rate was
228 1 mL min^{-1}. After HPLC analyses, the separation of the compounds was performed in a
229 Shimadzu preparative HPLC (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with manual injector,
230 UV-Vis detector (SPD-20A), binary solvent system (LC-6AD), degasser (DGU-20A5)
231 and an automatic sample collector (FRC-10A). The column used for the separation was
232 a preparative column Polar-RP (Synergi, Phenomenex Inc., CA, USA) with pore sizes 4
233 µm, 250 × 21.20 mm dimension. The samples were diluted in methanol/acetonitrile
234 (1:1) chromatographic grade (Merck, Germany) and 500 µL of a – 60 mg mL^{-1} solution
235 was injected several times. The mobile phase used was the same described above for
236 HPLC analyses and the flow rate was 15 mL min $^{-1}$. After separation, the samples were
237 analyzed by HPLC under the same conditions described above and four fractions were
238 obtained. The fractions were named as fractions 1.1, 1.2, 2.1 and 2.2, and were analysed
239 by GC-MS, ^{1}H RNM and ^{13}C RMN.
240
241 *2.6. Photosensitizers*
242
243 The compound 8-Methoxypsoralen (8-MOP; cat # M3501-1G) was purchased
244 from Sigma–Aldrich, Inc. (St. Louis, MO, USA) (Fig. 1C). Coumarin (2*H*-chromen-2-
245 one; cat # 00C1067.06.AF) was purchased from Synth (Synth, SP, Brazil) (Fig. 1D).
246 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin ($C_{11}H_{10}O_4$) (Fig. 1A) and 7-hydroxycoumarin ($C_9H_6O_3$) (Fig.

247 1F) were extracted and purified from ‘Tahiti’ lime peel oil and red grapefruit essential
248 oil, respectively. Isopimpinellin (5,8-dimethoxypsoralen, $C_{13}H_{10}O_5$) (Fig. 1E), and a 3:1
249 mixture of 7-methoxycoumarin ($C_{10}H_8O_3$) (Fig. 1F) and citropten (5,7-
250 dimethoxycoumarin, $C_{11}H_{10}O_4$) (Fig. 1A) were obtained previously [15].

251 The absorption spectra of the pure compounds and crude extracts (1 to 5) were
252 measured using an Ultrospec™ 2100 pro UV–visible spectrophotometer (GE
253 Healthcare) (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The fluorescence emission spectra of the
254 compounds were measured using a Hitachi Fluorescence Spectrometer (Hitachi,
255 Hitachi, Japan). The excitation wavelengths were 303, 312, 324, 328, 318, 320, 317,
256 319 and 336 nm for 8-MOP, coumarin, 7-hydroxycoumarin, 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin
257 and crude extracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Solutions
258 of the compounds were prepared just prior to use. All compounds were dissolved in
259 dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma), and appropriate dilutions were prepared with
260 0.01% (v/v) Tween 80 solution (Sigma–Aldrich).

261

262 *2.7. Fungal isolate, colony growth and conidia production*

263

264 *Colletotrichum acutatum sensu lato* isolated CA 142 was obtained from blossom
265 blight symptoms of sweet orange petal collected in commercial orchard in Santa Cruz
266 do Rio Pardo, São Paulo, Brazil. Monosporic culture was made and preserved on filter
267 paper. This isolate is stored at the Plant Pathogenic Fungi Collection of the Department
268 of Plant Pathology and Nematology (Escola Superior de Agricultura ‘‘Luiz de
269 Queiroz’’, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil).

270 The fungus was grown on 25 mL Acumedia™ Potato Dextrose Agar (Acumedia
271 Manufacturers, Inc. Lansing, MI, EUA) supplemented with 1 g L^{-1} Bacto™ Yeast
272 Extract (BD) (PDAY) in Petri dishes (90 × 10 mm) at 28 °C for 5 days with 12 h
273 (dark/light) photoperiods. Conidia were carefully scraped from the colonies and
274 suspended in a 0.01% (v/v) Tween 80 solution. Conidia concentration was determined
275 with a hemocytometer (Improved Neubauer, Boeco, Germany) and appropriate dilutions
276 were made with the same solution.

277

278 *2.8. Evaluation of the effect of APT on conidial survival*

279

280 In two-milliliters microtubes (Polyropilen, Axygen Scientific, CA, USA) was
281 added 1.3 mL of the conidial suspension and solution of: (1) 8-MOP; (2) coumarin; (3)
282 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin; (4) 7-hydroxycoumarin; (5) isopimpinellin; (6) mixture of two
283 coumarins; (7) crude extract 1; (8) crude extract 2; (9) crude extract 3; (10) crude
284 extract 4; (11) crude extract 5. Final concentration of conidia in the mixtures was 2 ×
285 10^6 conidia mL^{-1}. Final concentrations of pure compounds were 50 µM. Concentrations
286 in mg L^{-1} of coumarin, 8-MOP, isopimpinellin, 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin and 7-
287 hydroxycoumarin were 7.31, 10.8, 12.3, 10.3 and 5.7, respectively. Final concentration
288 of the mixture of coumarins and extracts was 12.5 mg L^{-1}. Final concentration of
289 DMSO was 1 % in all the mixtures. The tubes were held in the dark for 30 min at 25 °C
290 and 1 mL of each suspension were trasnferred to a 24-well flat-bottomed microtitre
291 plates (Polystyrene, TPP, Switzerland). Plates were covered with a 0.13-mm-thick
292 cellulose diacetate film (JCS Industries, Le Mirada, CA) to avoid contamination and
293 exposed to solar radiation for 1 h floating in water at 25 ± 2 °C. Three different types of
294 control-plates were prepared in parallel in all the experiments: (1) control-plates in

295 which conidia were exposed to solar radiation but not treated with the PS; (2) control-
296 plates in which conidia were treated with the PS and protected from solar radiation
297 during the exposure (plates were wrapped in aluminum foil) and (3) control-plates in
298 which conidia were not treated with the PS and were protected from solar radiation.
299 Temperatures of the conidial suspensions were recorded during the experiments. After
300 light exposure, conidial suspensions were collected and serially diluted 10-fold in a
301 0.01% (v/v) Tween 80 solution to provide dilutions of 10^{-1} to 10^{-2} times the original
302 concentration, and 50 μL were spread on the surface of 5 mL of PDAY medium
303 containing 0.08 g L^{-1} of deoxycholic acid sodium salt (Fluka, Italy) in Petri dishes (60 ×
304 15 mm). Three replicate dishes were prepared for each treatment in each experiment.
305 The dishes were incubated in the dark at 25 °C. After 24 h, colony-forming units (CFU)
306 were counted daily at 8× magnification for up to 7 days. Solar radiation effect and PS
307 effect (dark toxicity) were expressed as a ratio of CFU of conidia treated only with light
308 and only with PS to CFU of conidia treated with neither. APT effects were expressed as
309 a ratio of CFU of conidia treated with light and PS to CFU of conidia treated with
310 neither. As we know that exposure only to light reduces conidial survival, the
311 significance of APT with each photosensitizer was calculated in relation to conidia
312 exposed only to solar radiation. All the light exposures were carried out between 11 and
313 12 h under clear sky. Pure compounds were evaluated on October 14th, 15th and 29th of
314 2014, crude extracts were evaluated on September 8th, 9th and 10th of 2014, and 8-MOP
315 and coumarin were evaluated on all the six days. Experiments were undertaken in
316 Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil (21° 10′ 39″ S latitude, 546 m altitude).
317
318 *2.9. Evaluation of 8-MOP stability under solar radiation*
319

320 The exposure of PS to high irradiances can reduce their activity. We evaluated
321 the effects of exposures to full-spectrum solar radiation on 8-MOP absorption spectra
322 and on its efficacy in killing *C. acutatum* conidia in APT. The efficacy of APT with 8-
323 MOP was evaluated after PS had been previously exposed to solar radiation for up to 12
324 h. Ten mL of a 50 μM 8-MOP solution was placed into Petri dishes (60 × 15 mm)
325 whose lids were replaced by a 0.13-mm-thick premium cellulose triacetate film (Liard
326 Plastics, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). Plates were exposed to solar radiation for 0, 0.5, 1,
327 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 h floating in water at 25 ± 2 °C. Experiments were performed under
328 clear sky on March 24th, April 17th and July 13th 2015. At the end of the exposures, the
329 volumes of the solutions were readjusted to 10 mL with distilled water. In 2 mL-
330 microtubes, conidia were suspended in 50 μM solutions of 8-MOP not exposed to solar
331 radiation, exposed for 6 h and exposed for 12 h. Tubes were held in the dark for 30 min
332 at 25 °C. Next, 1 mL of the suspensions were placed into a 24-well flat-bottoned plate
333 and exposed to solar radiation for 1 h. The effect of APT on conidial survival was
334 determined as described previously. Experiments were performed on April 29, July 20
335 and 22th with the solution that were exposed on March 24th, April 17th and July 13th
336 2015, respectively.

337

338 *2.10. Solar radiation measurements*

339

340 Both solar spectral irradiance and solar UV (290–400 nm) irradiance were
341 measured using a cosine-corrected irradiance probe (CC-3-UV, Ocean Optics, Dunedin,
342 FL, USA) screwed onto the end of an optical fiber coupled to an USB4000
343 spectroradiometer (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, FL) as previously described [14,15].

344

345 *2.11. Evaluation of the effects of APT on leaves of* Citrus sinensis

346

347 The three *C. sinensis* plants employed in the study were approximately 1.7 m
348 tall. Five µL of 8-MOP (50 µM; 10.8 mg L^{-1}), 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (50 µM; 10.3 mg
349 L^{-1}), crude extract 1, crude extract 5 and the mixture of coumarins (all at 12.5 mg L^{-1})
350 were spotted every three days for 21 days on the adaxial surface of three leaves of each
351 plant. After application of the compounds, plants were kept outdoors under a natural
352 sunlight regime. Plants were visually evaluated daily up to 21 days for damage to the
353 leaves. Experiments were conducted in November and December 2014 in Ribeirão
354 Preto, São Paulo state, Brazil.

355

356 *2.12. Statistical analysis*

357

358 Comparisons between the different treatments were made by analysis of
359 variance (ANOVA). Data from each day were analyzed separately. Tukey's test for
360 multiple comparisons was used and *P* values of <0.05 were considered significant. All
361 analyses were carried out using PROC GLM in SAS/STAT version 9.2 (SAS Institute,
362 2011. Version 9.2. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

363

364 **3. Results**

365

366 *3.1. Isolation and characterization of the coumarins and furocoumarins*

367

368 The compounds present in fractions 1.1 and 2.1, which were obtained from
369 crude extract of ‘Tahiti’ lime peel oil (fraction 1) and from the crude extract of red

370 grapefruit essential oil (fraction 2) were elucidate, as following: Fraction 1.1 was
371 identified as 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (Fig 1A), the ^{1}H RMN data was obtained in 500
372 MHz using $CDCl_3$ as solvent. The data showed doublets at 6.2 ppm (*d*, *J*= 9.5) and 7.9
373 ppm (*d*, *J*= 9.5), corresponding to H-3 and H-4, respectively, wich are hydrogens of a
374 pyrone moiety in Z configuration. Chemical shifts corresponding to aromatic hydrogens
375 were detected at 6.3 (*d*, *J* = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.3 (*d*, *J* = 2.1 Hz, H-7). The chemical shifts at
376 3.8 and 3.9 ppm showed the presence of two methoxyl groups. The ^{13}C RMN data was
377 obtained in 125 MHz also using $CDCl_3$ as solvent and the chemical shifts showed
378 signals at 161.9 ppm, 111.2 ppm, 139.2 ppm, 104.4 ppm, 157.4 ppm, 95.2 ppm, 164.1
379 ppm, 93.1 ppm, 157.2 ppm, 55.8 ppm and 55.9 ppm. These chemical shifts correspond
380 to C-2, C-3, C-4, C-4a, C-5, C-6, C-7, C-8, C-8a, OCH_3 and OCH_3, respectively. EI-
381 MS/*z* calculated for the fraction 1.1 was 206 ($C_{11}H_{10}O_4$). Fraction 2.1 was identified as
382 7-hydroxycoumarin (Fig.1B), and the ^{1}H NMR data was obtained in 500 MHz using
383 CD_3OD as solvent. The data showed doublets at 6.2 ppm (*d*, *J*= 9.5) and 7.8 ppm (*d*, *J*=
384 9.5), corresponding to H-3 and H-4, respectively, wich are hydrogens of a pyrone
385 moiety. Chemical shifts corresponding to aromatic hydrogens were detected at 6.7 ppm
386 (*d*, *J* = 2.3 Hz, H-8), 7.3 ppm (*d*, *J* = 8.5 Hz, H-5) and at 6.8 ppm (*dd*, *J* = 2.3 and *J* =
387 8.5 Hz, H-6). The chemical shift at 5.0 ppm showed the presence of one hydroxyl
388 group. The ^{13}C NMR data was obtained in 125 MHz also using CD_3OD as solvent and
389 the chemical shifts showed the the signals at: 163.7 ppm, 112.3 ppm, 146.1 ppm, 113.1
390 ppm, 130.7 ppm, 114.5 ppm, 163.2, 103.4 ppm, 157.3 ppm. These chemical shifts
391 correspond to C-2, C-3, C-4, C-4a, C-5, C-6, C-7, C-8 and C-8a, respectively. EI-MS/*z*
392 calculated for the fraction 2.1 was 162 ($C_9H_6O_3$). Chemical shifts for both coumarins
393 were compared with the data previously reported [52].

394 All the crude extracts were analyzed by GC-MS. The data obtained were
395 compared with the similarity index expressed as a percentage by the equipment
396 standards library. Moreover, linear retention index (LRI) calculations were carried out
397 and the indices were compared with literature data, making possible the identification of
398 the crude extracts components. All the crude extracts, except crude extract 4, obtained
399 from white grapefruit essential oil, showed the presence of coumarins and/or
400 furocoumarins. From the crude extract 1, obtained from Tahiti lime peel oil, only 5,7-
401 dimethoxycoumarin (9.98%) was identified and isolated. From the crude extract 2,
402 obtained from Tahiti lime essential oil, coumarins 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (19.84%)
403 and 7-methoxycoumarin (10.19%), and the furocoumarins isopimpinellin (7.51%) and
404 bergapten (4-methoxy-7*H*-furo[3,2-*g*]chromen-7-one) (8.83%) were identified. From
405 the crude extract 3, obtained from red grapefruit essential oil, it was identified and
406 isolated 7-hydroxycoumarin (5.28%), 7-hydroxy-6-methoxy-4-methyl-coumarin
407 (0.50%) and 7-methoxy-8-(2-oxo-3-methyl-butyl)coumarin (10,82%). The crude extract
408 5, obtained from Tahiti lime peel oil showed the highest variety and percentage of
409 coumarins in comparison to all the others. Among the six compounds present in the
410 extract, four of them were coumarins or furocoumarins, such as 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin
411 (45.23%), 7-methoxycoumarin (8.19%), bergapten (22.83%) and isopimpinellin
412 (15.73%). In the majority of the studied extracts it was found the terpenoids commonly
413 found in citrus essential oils such as limonene, linalool, *α* and *γ*-terpineol, *α* and *β*-
414 bisabolene and *γ*-terpinene commonly found in citrus essential oils [53,54].

415

416 3.2. *Evaluation of the effect of APT on conidial survival*

417 Midday solar spectral irradiance and hourly recorded solar UV (290–400 nm)
418 irradiance along with the temperatures of the conidial suspension during the exposures
419 to full-spectrum sunlight are shown in Figs. 2A and B, respectively.

420 Exposure for 1 h to solar radiation in the absence of PS killed part of the conidia
421 and the effect varied according to the day. Conidial mortality ranged from 11.37% (Sept
422 10th) to 29.64% (Oct 14th) ($P < 0.05$ for Sept 8th, 9th and Oct 14th and $P > 0.05$ for Sept
423 10th and Oct 15th and 29th) (Fig. 3A-F).

424 In the absence of light, pure compounds, the mixture of the two coumarins (7-
425 methoxycoumarin and 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin) and the extracts had no significative
426 effects (i.e. dark toxicity) on the conidial survival (Fig. 3A-F). Treatments only with 8-
427 MOP, coumarin, 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin, 7-hydroxycoumarin, the mixture of the two
428 coumarins and isopimpinellin killed an average of 8.52%, 8.39%, 10.65%, 4,87%,
429 8.39% and 4.07% of the conidia, respectively ($P > 0.05$ for all compounds and days).
430 Treatments only with the crude extracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 killed an average of 6.61%,
431 9.87%, 4.52%, 10.77% and 5.65% of the conidia, respectively. ($P > 0.05$ for all extracts
432 and days).

433 The effects of APT with 50 µM of 8-MOP, coumarin, 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin,
434 7-hydroxycoumarin or isompimpinellin, or with 12.5 mg L^{-1} of the mixture of the two
435 coumarins and crude extracts 1 to 5 on the conidia of *C. acutatum* are shown in Figs.
436 3A-F. APT with 8-MOP killed 100% of the conidia on Sept 08th and 10th and Oct 15th
437 and 29th and 99.99 and 99.56% on Sept 9th and Oct 14th, respectively ($P < 0.05$ for all
438 days) (Figs. 3A-F). In log values, APT with 8-MOP resulted in an approximately 5-log
439 reduction in the survival of the conidia (which is the maximum reduction that could be
440 determined with the experimental design). APT with the furocoumarin isopimpinellin
441 was also effective and killed between 63.37% (Oct 14th) and 82.80% (Oct 29th) of the

conidia (thus, a reduction of less than 1 log in conidial survival) ($P < 0.05$ for all days) (Figs. 3A-C). APT with the coumarin was much less effective and killed between 29.28% (Oct 29th) and 47.85% (Sept 9th) of conidia ($P < 0.05$ for Sept 8th, 9th and 10th and $P > 0.05$ for Oct 14th, 15th and 29th) (Figs. 3A-F). APT with 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin had little effect and killed between 23.33% (Oct 29th) and 38.40% (Oct 15th) of the conidia ($P > 0.05$ for all days) as well as APT with 7-hydroxycoumarin which killed between 21.96% (Oct 15th) and 38.06% (Oct 14th) ($P > 0.05$ for all days) (Figs. 3A-C). APT with the mixture of the two coumarins was very effective and killed between 99.53% (Oct 14th) and 99.72% (Oct 29th) of the conidia (reduction of approximately 3 logs in conidial survival) ($P < 0.05$ for all days) (Figs. 3A-C).

APT with all the crude extracts killed the conidia of the fungi ($P < 0.05$ for all the extracts and days). Crude extract 3 (from Tahiti lime peel) was the most effective among the extracts tested and killed between 65.05% and 73.54% of conidia. The conidia mortality in APT with the other crude extracts ranged from 21.31% to 65.94% and were lower than the obtained with crude extract 3 (Figs. 3D-F) (reduction of less than 1 log in conidial survival for all the extracts).

3.3. Evaluation of 8-MOP stability under solar radiation

Exposure of 8-MOP to solar radiation change its absorption and fluorescence emission spectra (see Supplementary Fig. S1) and reduce its effectiveness in APT (Fig. 4). All the changes were dependent of the exposure time. When APT were performed using 8-MOP previously exposed to solar radiation for 12 h, mortality ranged from 83.87 to 98.8% insted of 100% archived with the non exposed photosensitizer (Fig. 4).

467 *3.4. Evaluation of APT effects on leaves of Citrus sinensis*

468 APT with 8-MOP, APT with the mixture of the two coumarins, and APT with
469 crude extracts 1 and 5 did not cause any visual damage to the adult leaves of *C. sinensis*
470 until 21 days after application of these compounds (see Supplementary Fig. S2).

471

472 **4. Discussion**

473 The need to overcome deficiencies in conventional strategies to control plant-
474 pathogenic fungi has stimulated the investigation of alternative approaches, such as
475 light-based APT. The use of APT in agriculture to control plant pathogens may require
476 the application of the PS over large areas. Thus we are involved in the development of
477 processes to obtain natural PS in large amounts and at low cost. PS such as coumarins
478 and furocoumarins may be obtained directly from plants or from by-products generated
479 during the processing of fruits such as 'Tahiti' acid lime and grapefruit. In a previous
480 study, we found that coumarins and furocoumarins are present in products, such as peel
481 oil, by-products, such as bagasse, and in wastewater generated during the processing of
482 'Tahiti' to juice production [15]. A liquid waste that contains coumarins and
483 furocoumarins is the outlet water from the concentrator centrifuge. This effluent has no
484 commercial value, is generated in large amounts during the processing of 'Tahiti', and
485 is discarded after treatment. Both the mixture of the two coumarins and the
486 furocoumarin isopimpinellin (Fig. 1) was previously isolated from the outlet water from
487 the concentrator [15]. In the present study we extracted two coumarins, 5,7-
488 dimethoxycoumarin from 'Tahiti' peel oil and 7-hydroxycoumarin from red grapefruit
489 essential oil.

490 The effects of APT under solar radiation with the five pure compounds (two
491 furocoumarins and three coumarins), a mixture of two coumarins and five crude extracts

492 on the survival of *C. acutatum* conidia were determined. As expected, exposures only to
493 solar radiation reduced the survival of the conidia. Conidial killing after 1 h of exposure
494 reached up to 30%. The detrimental effect of solar radiation, particularly of solar UVB
495 and UVA to fungal conidia is very well established and depends on the UV irradiance
496 [55,56]. Additionally, the high irradiances in visible and UV spectra enable solar
497 radiation to excite both visible-light-activated and UV-activated PS, such as the
498 coumarins and furanocoumarins [14,15]. The efficacy of different coumarins and
499 furocoumarins as photosensitizers varies widely in different biological systems
500 [15,57,58]. We observed differences in the efficacy of APT with pure coupounds and
501 crude extracts. 8-MOP was the most effective photosensitizer in conidial
502 photoinactivation (approximately 5 log reduction in conidial survival) followed by the
503 mixture 3:1 of the coumarins 7-methoxycoumarin and 5,7 dimethoxycoumarin
504 (citropten) (reduction of approximately 3 logs). As APT with citropten has little effect
505 on conidial survival, most likely 7-methoxycoumarin was responsible for conidial
506 photoinactivation of the mixture. APT with the coumarin 2*H*-chromen-2-one and with
507 the 7-hydroxycoumarin also had litle effect on conidial survival. The close interaction
508 or the accumulation of the PS in the target-cell is one of the factors important to the
509 eficacy of the photosensitization. 8-MOP penetrates the conidia of *C. acutatum* and
510 accumulates in numerous cytoplasmatic vesicules [15]. *In vitro* APT with different PS
511 has already proved effective for conidia of several taxonomically diverse fungi
512 including plant-pathogenic species [14-17,26,33,41-48]. APT af *C. acutatum* and
513 *Aspergillus nidulans* conidia with 8-MOP at 50 μM under solar radiation resulted in a
514 reduction of approximately 4 logs in the survival of conidia for both species and APT
515 with the furocoumarin isopimpinellin resulted in a reduction of less than 2 logs for *C.*
516 *acutatum* and 4 logs for *A. nidulans* conidia [15]. Both PS were also evaluated in the

517 present study. APT with 8-MOP and UV-A also reduced the survival of conidia of the
518 plant-pathogenic fungi *Fusarium oxysporium*, *F. solani*, *Penicillium italicum* and *P.*
519 *digitatum* by 4, 3, 1 and 1 log, respectively [33]. APT using the phenothiazinium
520 photosensitizers new methylene blue N (50 µM) or S137 (10 µM) under solar radiation
521 reduced the survival of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *C. acutatum* and *Asperigillus*
522 *nidulans* conidia by 5 logs [14]. APT of *Colletotrichum graminicola* conidia with
523 cationic porphyrins (1-2.5 µM) and visible light resulted in complete conidia
524 inactivation [17]. APT with different types of cationic porphyrins (50 µM) was also
525 effective for *Penicillium chrysogenum* conidia and the most effective porphyrin caused
526 a 4.1 log reduction in conidial viability [26].

527 The use of citrus extracts rich in PS may be useful for APT of plant pathogens
528 since they are cheaper and easier to obtain than pure coumarins and furocoumarins. The
529 most effective extract was obtained from 'Tahiti' peel. This extract presented the
530 highest variety and percentage of coumarins and furocoumarins, such as 5,7-
531 dimethoxycoumarin (45.23%), 7-methoxycoumarin (8.19%), bergapten (22.83%) and
532 isopimpinellin (15.73%).

533 The residual effect of the antimicrobial is usually desirable because it allows a
534 reduction in the number of applications required to control the target pathogen. It is
535 known that exposure to high irradiance causes photobleaching of the PS and the loss of
536 its photosensitizing activity [14,59]. The exposure of 8-MOP to solar radiation changed
537 its photophysical properties and reduced its effectiveness in APT; i.e., APT with 8-MOP
538 previously exposed to solar radiation killed between 85 and 98% of the conidia instead
539 of the 100% achieved with the nonexposed PS. Despite the inactivation caused by solar
540 radiation, 8-MOP was still able to kill at least 85% of the conidia even after 12 h of
541 exposure to full spectrum sunlight in a tropical site. However, as observed for

542 conventional fungicides, applications at 7 days interval or less for *C. acutatum* control
543 [12] may also be required for the photosensitizers.

544 APT must not damage the host plant. APT with the pure compounds, with the
545 mixture of coumarins and crude extracts applied repeatedly did not cause any damage to
546 sweet orange tree leaves and could be used for blossom blight control. Previously we
547 have shown that APT with coumarins and furocoumarins, including 8-MOP, did not
548 damage the leaves of *Citrus* species but damaged the leaves of strawberry plants [15] -
549 we observed lesions in the strawberry leaves after two weeks of daily application of 8-
550 MOP. Histological analyses indicated that APT killed cells of the strawberry epidermis
551 and parenchyma and caused oxidation of leaf pigments [15]. As photodamage to other
552 *Colletotrichum* plant hosts may occur the adverse effect of APT with coumarins and/or
553 furocoumarins should be carefully determined for each pathosystem. Much of what is
554 known about plant tolerance to photosensitizers comes from the understanding of how
555 plants deal with singlet oxygen generated in chloroplasts by chlorophyll [61-63].
556 However tolerance of plants to other endogenous photosensitizers such as coumarins,
557 furocoumarins, hypericin, thyophenes, curcumin and acetylenes is still not understood.
558 Application of PS in the environment and in large areas will require the use of
559 environmentally safe PS. Coumarins and furocoumarins are suggested here as they are
560 natural compounds produced by several commercial crops, including the citrus species
561 in which they would be used as PS.

562 The APT with several photosensitizers in combination with artificial light
563 sources or solar radiation was highly effective in killing conidia of plant-pathogenic
564 fungi. However, further studies are necessary to evaluate the efficacy of APT under
565 field conditions and the impact of this new antifungal approach to the environment as

566 well as to establish the appropriate formulation and applications programmes for the
567 selected photosensitizers in each pathosystem.
568

569 **5. Conclusion**

570 Phototreatment with coumarins, furocoumarins and 'Tahiti' lime peel extract
571 rich in these compounds kills conidia of the plant-pathogenic fungus *C. acutatum*
572 without damaging the plant host *Citrus sinensis*. These are essential prerequisites for the
573 use of APT in the field. The use of natural PS extracted from a by-product of the citrus
574 processing industry to control a major citrus pathogen makes the approach even more
575 interesting.
576

577 **Acknowledgments**

578 We thank Citrosuco Company for providing the materials from which the
579 coumarins and furocoumarins were extracted. This work was supported by the grant
580 2012/15204-8 from the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP). We
581 sincerely thank CNPq for fellowships to L.F., J.K.B., N.S.M.Jr. and G.U.L.B.
582
583

584 **Appendix A. Supplementary material**
585

586 **References**

587 [1] N.A.R. Peres, L.W. Timmer, J.E. Adaskaveg, J.C. Correll, Lifestyles of
588 *Colletotrichum acutatum*, Plant Dis. 89 (2005) 784-796.

589 [2] L.W. Timmer, J.P. Agostini, S.E. Zitko, M. Zulfiqar, Postbloom fruit drop, an
590 increasingly prevalent disease of citrus in the Americas. Plant Dis. 78 (1994) 329-334.

591 [3] L.W. Timmer, N. Peres, Where have all the flowers gone? Postbloom fruit drop of
592 citrus in the Americas. J. Citrus Pathol. 2 (2015) 1-6.
593 [4] W.G. Lima, M.B. Spósito, L. Amorin, F.P. Gonçalves, P.A. Melo Filho,
594 *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, a new causal agent of citrus post-bloom fruit drop, Eur.
595 J. Plant. Pathol. 131 (2011) 157-165.
596 [5] U. Damm, P.F. Cannon, J.H.C. Woudenberg, P.W. Crous, The *Colletotrichum*
597 *acutatum* species complex, Stud. Mycol. 73 (2012) 37-113.
598 [6] C.A.D. Bragança, U. Damm, R. Baroncelli, N.S. Massola Jr., P.W. Crous, Species
599 of the *Colletotrichum acutatum* complex associated with anthracnose diseases of fruit in
600 Brazil. Fungal Biol. 120 (2016) 547-561.
601 [7] P.W. Crous, M.J. Wingfield, J. Guarro et al. Fungal Planet description sheets: 236-
602 237. Persoonia (2015) doi: 10.3767/003158515X688433.
603 [8] Y. Lin, E. Stover, R. Sonoda, E. Rosskopf, Stigma and style necrosis is associated
604 with postbloom fruit drop disease in citrus following artificial inoculation, HortScience
605 36 (2001) 1138.
606 [9] J.P.R. Marques, L. Amorin, M.B. Spósito, B. Appezzato-da-Glória, Histopathology
607 of postbloom fruit drop caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum* in citrus flowers, Eur. J.
608 Plant. Pathol. 135 (2013) 783-790.
609 [10] K.A. Lahey, R. Yuan, J.K. Burns, P.P. Ueng, L.W. Timmer, K.-R. Chung,
610 Induction of phytohormones and differential gene expression in citrus flowers infected
611 by the fungus *Colletotrichum acutatum*, Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact. 17 (2004) 1394-
612 1401.
613 [11] G.J. Silva-Junior, M.B. Spósito, D.R. Marin, P.J. Ribeiro-Junior, L. Amorim,
614 Spatiotemporal characterization of citrus postbloom fruit drop in Brazil and its
615 relationship to pathogen dispersal, Plant Pathol. 63 (2014) 519-529.

616 [12] G.J. Silva-Junior, M.B. Spósito, D.R. Marin, L. Amorim, Efficacy and timing of
617 application of fungicides for control of citrus postbloom fruit drop, Crop Prot. 59 (2014)
618 51-56.
619 [13] M.C. Landum, M. doR. Félix, J. Alho, R. Garcia, M.J. Cabrita, F. Rei, C.M.R.
620 Varanda, Antagonistic activity of fungi of *Olea europaea* L. against *Colletotrichum*
621 *acatatum*, Microbiol. Res. 183 (2016) 100-108.
622 [14] H.D. de Menezes, G.B. Rodrigues, S.P. Teixeira, N.S. Massola Júnior, L.
623 Bachmann, M. Wainwright, J.K. Bastos, G.U.L. Braga, In vitro photodynamic
624 inactivation of plant-pathogenic fungi *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Colletotrichum*
625 *gloeosporioides* with novel phenothiazinium photosensitizers, Appl. Environ.
626 Microbiol. 80 (2014) 1623-1632.
627 [15] H.D. de Menezes, A.C. Pereira, G.T.P. Brancini, H.C. de Leão, N.S. Massola
628 Júnior, L. Bachmann, M. Wainwright, J.K. Bastos, G.U.L. Braga, Furocoumarins and
629 coumarins photoinactivate *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Aspergillus nidulans* fungi
630 under solar radiation, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 131 (2014) 74-83.
631 [16] I. Buchovec, V. Lukseviciute, A. Marsalka, I. Reklaitis, Z. Luksiene, Effective
632 photosensitization-based inactivation of Gram (-) food pathogens and molds using the
633 chlorophyllin-chitosan complex: towards photoactive edible coatings to preserve
634 strawberries, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 15 (2016) 506-516.
635 [17] C.C. Vandresen, A.G. Gonçalves, D.R.B. Ducatti, F.S. Murakami, M.D. Noseda,
636 M.E.R. Duarte, S.M.W. Barreira, *In vitro* photodynamic inactivation of conidia of the
637 phytopathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum graminicola* with cationic porphyrins,
638 Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 15 (2016) 673-681.
639 [18] T. Dai, B.B. Fuchs, J.J. Coleman, R.A. Prates, C. Astrakas, T.G. St. Denis, M.S.
640 Ribeiro, E. Mylonakis, M.R. Hamblin, G.P. Tegos, Concepts and principles of

641 photodynamic therapy as an alternative antifungal discovery platform, Front. Microbio.
642 3 (2012) 120. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2012.00120
643 [19] P. Calzavara-Pinton, M.T. Rossi, R. Sala, M. Venturini, Photodynamic antifungal
644 chemotherapy, Photochem. Photobiol. 88 (2012) 512-522.
645 [20] G.B. Rodrigues, L.K.S. Ferreira, M. Wainwright, G.U.L. Braga, Susceptibilities of
646 the dermatophytes *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and *T. rubrum* microconidia to
647 photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy with novel phenothiazinium photosensitizers
648 and red light. Photochem. Photobiol. B:Biol. 116 (2012) 89-94.
649 [21] G.B. Rodrigues, F.L. Primo, A.C. Tedesco, G.U.L. Braga, *In vitro* photodynamic
650 inactivation of *Cryptococcus neoformans* melanized cells with chloroaluminum
651 phthalocyanine nanoemulsion, Photochem. Photobiol. 88 (2012) 440-447.
652 [22] G.B. Rodrigues, M. Dias-Baruffi, N. Holman, M. Wainwright, G.U.L. Braga, *In*
653 *vitro* photodynamic inactivation of *Candida* species and mouse fibroblasts with
654 phenothiazinium photosensitisers and red light, Photodiagnosis Photodyn. Ther. 10
655 (2013) 141-149.
656 [23] F.P. Gonzales, S.H. da Silva, D.W. Roberts, G.U.L. Braga, Photodynamic
657 inactivation of conidia of the fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Aspergillus nidulans*
658 with methylene blue and toluidine blue, Photochem. Photobiol. 86 (2010) 653-661.
659 [24] C. Flors, S. Nonell, Light and singlet oxygen in plant defense against pathogens:
660 phototoxic phenalenone phytoalexins, Acc. Chem. Res. 33 (2006) 293-300.
661 [25] M.R. Berenbaum, R.A. Larson, Flux of singlet oxygen from leaves of phototoxic
662 plants, Experientia 44 (1988) 1030-1032.
663 [26] M.C. Gomes, S.M. Woranovicz-Barreira, M.A.F. Faustino, R. Fernandes,
664 M.G.P.M.S. Neves, A.C. Tomé, N.C.M. Gomes, A. Almeida, J.A.S. Cavaleiro, Â.

665 Cunha, J.P.C.Tomé, Photodynamic inactivation of *Penicillium chrysogenum* conidia by
666 cationic porphyrins, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 10 (2011) 1735-1743.
667 [27] A. Eichner, A. Gollmer, A. Späth, W. Bäumler, J. Regensburger, B. König, T.
668 Maisch, Fast and effective inactivation of *Bacillus atrophaeus* endospores using light-
669 activated derivatives of vitamin B2, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 14 (2015) 387-396.
670 [28] Z. Luksiene, I. Buchovec, E. Paskeviciute, Inactivation of *Bacillus cereus* by Na-
671 chlorophyllin-based photosensitization on the surface of packing, J. Appl. Microbiol.
672 109 (2010) 1540-1548.
673 [29] E.M. Nagy, L. Dalla Via, L. Ronconi, D. Fregona, Recent advances in PUVA
674 photochemotherapy and PDT for the treatment of cancer. Curr. Pharm. Des. 16 (2010)
675 1863-1876.
676 [30] T. Bintsis, E. Litopoulou-Tzanetaki, R.K. Robinson, Existing and potential
677 applications of ultraviolet light in the food industry – a critical review, J. Sci. Food
678 Agric. 80 (2000) 637-645.
679 [31] A. Bogucka-Kocka, T. Krzaczek, The furanocoumarins in the roots of *Heracleum*
680 *sibiricum* L., Acta Polon. Pharm. – Drug Research 60 (2003) 401-403.
681 [32] A.E. Desjardins, G.F. Spencer, R.D. Plattner, Tolerance and metabolism of
682 furanocoumarins by the phytopathogenic fungus *Gibberella pulicaris* (*Fusarium*
683 *sambucinum*), Phytochemisty 28 (1989) 2963-2969.
684 [33] A. Asthana, R.W. Tuveson, Effects of UV and phototoxins on selected fungal
685 pathogens of citrus, Int. J. Plant. Sci. 153 (1992) 442-452.
686 [34] M.M. Manderfeld, H.W. Schafer, P.M. Davidson, E.A. Zottola, Isolation and
687 identification of antimicrobial furocoumarins from parsley, J. Food Protec. 60 (1997)
688 72-77.

689 [35] E.L. Grant, R.C. von Borstel, M.J. Ashwood-Smith, Mutagenicity of cross-links
690 and monoadducts of furocoumarins (psoralen and angelicin) induced by 360-nm
691 radiation in excision-repair-defective and radiation-insensitive strains of *Saccharomyces*
692 *cerevisiae*, Environ. Mutagen. 1 (1979) 55-63.
693 [36] F. Bordin, F. Carlassare, F. Baccichetti, L. Anselmo, DNA repair and recovery in
694 *Escherichia coli* after psoralen and angelicin photosensitization, Biochim. Biophys.
695 Acta. 447 (1976) 249-259.
696 [37] P.C. Joshi, M.A. Pathak, Production of singlet oxygen and superoxide radicals by
697 psoralens and their biological significance, Biochem Biophys. Res. Commun. 112
698 (1983) 638-646.
699 [38] F. Dall'Acqua, P. Martelli, Photosensitizing action of furocoumarins on membrane
700 components and consequent intracellular events, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 8
701 (1991) 235-254.
702 [39] A.Ya. Potapenko, New trends in photobiology (Invited review) Mechanisms of
703 photodynamic effects of furocoumarins, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 9 (1991) 1-
704 33.
705 [40] J. Llano, J. Raber, L.A. Eriksson, Theoretical study of phototoxic reactions of
706 psoralens, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 154 (2003) 235-243.
707 [41] F. DiCosmo, G.H.N. Towers, J. Lam, Photo-induced fungicidal activity elicited by
708 naturally occurring thiophene derivatives, Pestic. Sci. 13 (1982) 589-594.
709 [42] G. Bourque, J.T. Arnason, C. Madhosingh, W. Orr, The photosensitization of the
710 plant pathogen *Fusarium culmorum* by phenylheptatriyne from *Bidens pilosa*, Can. J.
711 Bot. 63 (1985) 899-902.
712 [43] Z. Luksiene, D. Pečiulytė, A. Lugauskas, Inactivation of fungi *in vitro* by
713 photosensitization: preliminary results, Ann. Agric. Environ. Med. 11 (2004) 215-220.

714 [44] D. Mares, B. Tosi, C. Romagnoli, F. Poli, Antifungal activity of *Tagetes patula*
715 extracts, Pharm. Biol. 40 (2002) 400-404.
716 [45] A. Lazzaro, M. Corominas, C. Martí, C. Flors, L.R. Izquierdo, T.A. Grillo, J.G.
717 Luis, S. Nonell, Light- and singlet oxygen-mediated antifungal activity of
718 phenylphenaleone phytoalexins, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 3 (2004) 706-710.
719 [46] Z. Lukšienė, D. Pečiulytė, S. Jurkoniene, R. Puras, Inactivation of possible fungal
720 food contaminants by photosensitization, Food Technol. Biotechnol. 43 (2005) 335-341.
721 [47] G. Tegegne, J.C. Pretorius, W.J. Swart, Antifungal properties of *Agapathus*
722 *africanus* L. extracts against plant pathogens, Crop Prot. 27 (2008) 1052-1060.
723 [48] A.V. Vorobey, S.V. Pinchuk, Photodamage to spores of *Fusarium fungi* sensitized
724 by protoporphyrin IX, Biophysics 53 (2008) 386-389.
725 [49] K. Kairyte, A. Kadys, Z. Luksiene, Antibacterial and antifungal activity of
726 photoactivated ZnO, J. Photochem Photobiol. B: Biol. 128 (2013) 78-84.
727 [50] R.T. Kashiwabuchi, F.R.S. Carvalho, Y.A. Khan, F. Hirai, M.S. Campos, P.J.
728 McDonnell, Assessment of fungal viability after long-wave ultraviolet light irradiation
729 combined with riboflavin administration, Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthamol. 251
730 (2013) 521-527.
731 [51] D. Guillaumot, M. Issawi, A. da Silva, S. Leroy-Lhez, V. Sol, C. Riou, Synergistic
732 enhancement of tolerance mechanisms in response to photoactivation of cationic tetra
733 (N-methylpyridyl) porphyrins in tomato plantlets, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B: Biol. 156
734 (2016) 68-78.
735 [52] R.A.C. Leão, P.F. de Moraes, M.C.B.C. Pedro, P.R.R. Costa, Synthesis of
736 coumarins and neoflavones through zinc chloride catalyzed hydroarylation of acetylenic
737 esters with the phenols, Synthesis 22 (2011) 3692-3696.

738 [53] P.E. Shaw, Review of quantitative analyses of citrus essential oils, J. Agric. Food
739 Chem. 27 (1979) 246-257.
740 [54] N.-G. Tao, Y.-J. Liu, M.-L. Zhang, Chemical composition and antimicrobial
741 activities of essential oil from the peel of bingtang sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*
742 Osbeck), Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 44 (2009) 1281-1285.
743 [55] G.U.L. Braga, D.E.N. Rangel, É.K.K. Fernandes, S.D. Flint, D.W. Roberts, 2015.
744 Molecular and physiological effects of environmental UV radiation on fungal conidia.
745 Curr. Gen. 61 (2015) 405-425.
746 [56] H.D. de Menezes, N.S. Massola Júnior, S.D. Flint, G.J. Silva-Júnior, L. Bachmann,
747 D.E.N. Rangel, G.U.L. Braga, Growth under visible light increases conidia and
748 mucilage production and tolerance to UV-B radiation in the plant pathogenic fungus
749 *Colletotrichum acutatum*, Photochem. Photobiol. 91 (2015) 397-402.
750 [57] K.H. Kaidbey, A.M. Kligman, Photosensitization by coumarins derivatives, Arch.
751 Dermatol. 117 (1981) 258-263
752 [58] J.J. Serrano-Pérez, L. Serrano-Andrés, M. Merchán, Photosensitization and
753 phototherapy with furocoumarins: A quantum-chemical study, Chem. Phys. 347 (2008)
754 422-435.
755 [59] E.A. Knudsen, N. Bech-Thomsen, H.C. Wulf, Ultraviolet A-induced
756 photodegradation of 5-methoxypsoralen, 8-methoxypsoralen and psoralen reduces the
757 biological effectiveness of the furocoumarins *in vivo*, Photodermatol. Photoimmunol.
758 Photomed. 11 (1995) 131-133.
759 [60] L.W. Timmer, S.E. Zitko, Timing of fungicide applications for control of
760 postbloom fruit drop of citrus in Florida, Plant Dis. 76 (1992) 820-823.
761 [61] J.A. Miret, S. Munné-Bosch, Redox signaling and stress tolerance in plants: a focus
762 on vitamin E, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1340 (2015) 29-38.

763 [62] C. Laloi, M. Havaux, Key players of singlet oxygen-induced cell death in plants,
764 Front Plant Sci 6 (2015) article 39.
765 [63] M.E. Daub, M. Ehrenshaft, The photoactivated *Cercospora* toxin cercosporin:
766 contributions to plant disease and fundamental biology, Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 38
767 (2000) 461-90.
768
769

770 **Figure legends:**

771

772 **Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the coumarins (A) 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (citrpten)
773 (B) 7-hydroxycoumarin, (D) 2*H*-chromen-2-one (coumarin) and (F) 7-
774 methoxycoumarin and furocoumarins (C) 8-methoxypsoralen (8-MOP) and (E) 5,8-
775 dimethoxypsoralen (isopimpinellin). The coumarins 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin and 7-
776 hydroxycoumarin were isolated in the present study.

777

778 **Figure 2.** Midday solar spectral irradiance (A) and hourly recorded temperature (B)
779 during the APT experiments.

780

781 **Figure 3.** Photo inactivation of *Colletotrichum acutatum* conidia, with the pure
782 compounds and with the mixture of the coumarins (A, B and C), and with crude extracts
783 1 to 5 (D, E and F). Conidia were incubated with the PS for 30 min before solar
784 radiation exposures. Error bars are standard deviations of three replicates. † No
785 survivals were observed.

786

787 **Figure 4.** Photo inactivation of *Colletotrichum acutatum* conidia with solar radiation (1
788 h) and 8-MOP previously exposed to full-spectrum solar radiation. Experiments were
789 carried out on: (A) 04/28/2015, (B) 07/13/2015 and (C) 07/22/2015. Error bars are
790 standard deviations of three replicates.

791

792 **Figure 5.** Photodynamic treatment of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) leaves with 8-MOP
793 (50 µM; 10.8 mg L^{-1}), 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (50 µM; 10.3 mg L^{-1}), crude extract 1,
794 crude extract 5 and the mixture of coumarins (all at 12.5 mg L^{-1}). Five µL were spotted
795 every three days for 21 days on the adaxial surface of the leaves of each citrus young
796 tree. After application of the compounds, trees were kept outdoors under a natural
797 sunlight regime. Leaves were photographed (A) before treatment, (B) immediately after
798 the first treatment, (C) two hours later, (D) 3 days later, (E) two weeks later and (F)
799 three weeks later.

800

801 **Figure S1.** Visible absorption spectra (A, B and C) and fluorescence spectra (D, E and
802 F) of the pure compounds (50 µM) and crude extracts (12.5 mg L^{-1}) employed in the
803 study.

804 **Figure S2.** Visible absorption spectra (A, B and C) and fluorescence spectra (D, E and
805 F) of 8-MOP (50 µM) after exposures to full-spectrum solar radiation.

806

807

808

809 **Figure 1**

810



811

812

813 **Fig. 1.** Chemical structures of the coumarins (A) 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (citropten) (B)
814 7-hydroxycoumarin, (D) 2*H*-chromen-2-one (coumarin) and (F) 7-methoxycoumarin
815 and furocoumarins (C) 8-methoxypsoralen (8-MOP) and (E) 5,8-dimethoxypsoralen
816 (isopimpinellin). The coumarins 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin and 7-hydroxycoumarin were
817 isolated in the present study.

818

819

820 **Figure 2**

821



822

823

824 **Fig. 2.** Midday solar spectral irradiance (A) and hourly recorded temperature (B) during

825 the APT experiments.

826

827

828

829 **Figure 3**

830



831

832

833 **Fig. 3.** Photo inactivation of *Colletotrichum acutatum* conidia, with the pure compounds
834 and with the mixture of the coumarins (A, B and C), and with crude extracts 1 to 5 (D,
835 E and F). Conidia were incubated with the PS for 30 min before solar radiation
836 exposures. Error bars are standard deviations of three replicate dishes.

837 **Figure 4**



838

839

840 **Figure 4.** Photo inactivation of *Colletotrichum acutatum* conidia with solar radiation (1
841 h) and 8-MOP previously exposed to full-spectrum solar radiation. Error bars are
842 standard deviations of three replicate dishes.

843

844 **Figure 5**

845



846

847

848 **Fig. 5.** Photodynamic treatment of sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) leaves with 8-MOP
849 (50 µM; 10.8 mg L^{-1}), 5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (50 µM; 10.3 mg L^{-1}), crude extract 1,
850 crude extract 5 and the mixture of coumarins (all at 12.5 mg L^{-1}). Five µL were spotted
851 every three days for 21 days on the adaxial surface of the leaves of each citrus young
852 tree. After application of the compounds, trees were kept outdoors under a natural
853 sunlight regime. Leaves were photographed (A) before treatment, (B) immediately after

854 the first treatment, (C) two hours later, (D) 3 days later, (E) two weeks later and (F)

855 three weeks later.

856

857