## **Sprinting Towards Fitness**

Martin J. Gibala<sup>1</sup> and John A. Hawley<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Kinesiology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1 Canada;

<sup>2</sup>Center for Exercise & Nutrition, Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia;

<sup>3</sup>Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK.

Address for correspondence:

John A. Hawley, Ph.D.

Center for Exercise & Nutrition

Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research

Australian Catholic University

Melbourne

Victoria 3000

Australia

Email: john.hawley@acu.edu.au

#### **Abstract**

Intense intermittent exercise, or interval training, is a powerful stimulus to induce many of the physiological adaptations typically associated with traditional, moderate-intensity continuous training. While coaches and athletes have recognized the value of interval training to enhance performance for over a century, recent scientific interest has focused on the application of this training method for health promotion. Despite renewed attention, the mechanistic basis for the physiological remodeling that occurs after interval training, and the role that the stochastic nature of this type of exercise plays in mediating adaptive responses, remains to be elucidated.

### **Interval Training: Learning From The Past**

There is renewed scientific inquiry along with widespread public interest in the potential for intense intermittent exercise to induce physiological adaptations that are similar or even superior to traditional endurance exercise in both healthy individuals and people with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease (Gibala et al., 2012; Weston et al., 2014). Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have concluded that interval training, or alternating periods of relatively intense exercise and recovery, can be a time-efficient strategy to enhance cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), as determined by whole-body maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2max</sub>) (Bacon et al, 2013; Gist et al, 2014). These reports are particularly relevant because exercise capacity is a strong predictor of mortality, with a 1-metabolic equivalent (MET, 3.5 mL O<sub>2</sub>/kg/min) higher CRF associated with a 13% lower risk of dying from all causes and being comparable to a 5-mm Hg reduction in systolic blood pressure or 1 mmol/L lower fasting plasma glucose concentration. Given that "lack of time" is a common barrier to regular physical activity, the identification of time-efficient exercise strategies that confer health benefits could favourably impact on public health by reducing the economic burden associated with inactivity-related disorders.

The notion that interval training is new or a ground breaking scientific approach to physical conditioning needs to be placed in historical context. The basic practice dates back to the early 20<sup>th</sup> century and has evolved largely as a result of the trial-and-error observations of innovative athletes and coaches. The technique was pioneered in Finland by coach Lauri Pikhala with champion runners including Hannes Kolehmainen and Paavo Nurmi. Nurmi was the most dominant distance runner in the world between 1920 and 1930, winning nine Olympic gold medals. His system of training focused on running a high number of repetitions (>20 efforts) at close to race pace with short (<60 s) rest intervals. In the 1930's, a German physician and coach, Woldemar Gerschler, along with cardiologist Herbert Reindel, devised a system of training that involved work and recovery periods based on heart rate (HR) targets. An athlete would run over a short distance fast enough to elicit a HR of ~180 beats/min, followed by a rest period in which HR dropped to ~120 beats/min before they commenced the next effort. Gerschler and Reindel proposed that the recovery interval was the most important aspect of their approach because it was during this phase that the heart adapted, allowing it to grow larger and stronger (Figure 1). Perhaps the

most celebrated case of interval training is Sir Roger Bannister, the first person to run the mile in under four minutes. While a medical student at St Mary's Hospital, London, Bannister trained during his lunch hour using the 9 min jog to a local track to warm up, after which he promptly ran 10 x 400 m in a little over ~60 s each, with 2 min recovery. He then ran back to work, leaving 15 min to eat his lunch and (hopefully) shower. On May 6th 1954, Bannister's training culminated in a world mile record of 3 min 59.4 s, two seconds faster than the previous record.

While coaches and athletes have appreciated the effectiveness of interval training since the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, the first scientific publications on the physiological basis of interval training for human performance did not appear until the 1960s. Over subsequent decades, the potential health-related applications of this type of training were increasingly recognized. In 1974, physiologists Edward Fox and Donald Matthews from The Ohio State University declared "interval training is the supreme way to condition a person," with the principles they described being applicable to "the coach, the athlete, and the person who desires to condition himself for health purposes" (Fox and Mathews, 1974). Similar to Gerschler and Reindel some 40 years earlier, Fox and Mathews (1974) emphasized the importance of the recovery period or "relief interval" for optimizing cardiovascular conditioning. Other researchers subsequently recognized the potential to apply interval training to less-healthy individuals. In the mid 90s, Katarina Meyer conducted pioneering work on heart failure patients, deeming the method better suited for such individuals, as "interval training allows greater stimuli which patients probably would not have tolerated if the same intensity had been applied using a continuous method." (Meyer et al, 1996).

# **Sprint-Interval Training: Punching Above Its Weight**

Interval training is infinitely variable but can be broadly classified into two categories: high-intensity interval training (HIIT) which typically denotes submaximal efforts eliciting  $\geq 80\%$  of maximal heart rate, and sprint interval training (SIT) which involves 'all out' efforts or an intensity corresponding to  $\geq 100\%$  of the power output or speed that is associated with an individual's VO<sub>2max</sub> (Weston et al. 2014). SIT is a particularly potent variation of interval training, as demonstrated by the classis study by Tabata et al. (1996). These workers employed a protocol comprising eight 20-sec sprints on a cycle ergometer with 10-sec of recovery. When

this protocol was performed five times per week for six weeks, VO<sub>2max</sub> was increased by a similar magnitude to a protocol involving five hours per week of moderateintensity cycling. The potency of SIT to elicit adaptations comparable to traditional endurance training despite large differences in training volume and time commitment was recently demonstrated by Gillen and colleagues (2016). These workers had two groups of sedentary young men perform either SIT or moderate-intensity continuous training (MICT) three-times-a week for 12 weeks. The SIT workout comprised 3 x 20-s 'all-out' sprints on a cycle ergometer at a power output of ~500 W (a work-rate approximately 2-3 fold the power output reached by these subjects at the end of a VO<sub>2max</sub> test), with 2 min of low-intensity cycling (50 W) recovery between sprints. MICT consisted of 45 min of continuous cycling at ~110W (moderate-intensity, ~50% of VO<sub>2max</sub>). Both protocols involved a brief warm-up and cool-down totaling 5 min, such that SIT constituted 1 min of intense exercise within a 10-min time commitment per session, whereas MICT involved 50 min of exercise per session. VO<sub>2peak</sub> was increased by 19% in both groups after training with similar traininginduced improvements in insulin sensitivity, as determined by intravenous glucose tolerate tests. Skeletal muscle mitochondrial content, assessed by the maximal activity of citrate synthase, also increased to a similar extent after SIT and MICT. These results (Gillen et al., 2016) are a timely reminder of the potency of SIT to stimulate physiologically meaningful and clinically relevant improvements in health-related outcomes with minimal time commitment. The findings also highlight a fundamental question regarding the mechanisms underpinning such robust whole-body and tissuespecific adaptations after interval training in humans. Namely, how do a few hard sprints in such a short intervention period elicit such profound remodeling of physiological systems?

## The Signal For Adaptation: Is Interval Training Different?

Exercise has traditionally been categorized as either aerobic/endurance or strength/power, with these extremes placed at opposite ends of a continuum. Concomitant with the vastly different functional and phenotypic outcomes induced by these exercise modes, the molecular pathways associated with these divergent training protocols are distinct (Hawley et al., 2014). In brief, traditional endurance training elicits changes that increase mitochondrial proteins and the respiratory capacity of the trained myofibers. These adaptations, in turn, underpin the altered patterns of

substrate oxidation during submaximal exercise (from carbohydrate- to fat-based fuels) that result in less lactate production at any given submaximal power output or speed. In contrast, strength and resistance-based training stimulates the myofibrillar proteins responsible for muscle hypertrophy, culminating in increases in maximal contractile force output without substantial changes in fuel use during exercise. A paradoxical characteristic of interval training, and SIT, in particular, is the brief, intense repeated efforts that closely resemble resistance exercise, yet elicit adaptations associated with traditional endurance training. Training volume has been proposed to be a primary determinant of the exercise-induced increase in mitochondrial content in human skeletal muscle, but other evidence highlights the potential role of exercise intensity in mediating responses (MacInnis & Gibala, 2017).

A core principle of all training protocols is that any acute exercise signal needs to exceed a certain "threshold stimulus" to induce a variety of physiological adaptations that ultimately result in long-term phenotypic changes. Exercise provokes widespread changes in numerous tissues and organs that are caused by the increased metabolic activity of active skeletal muscle. To meet this challenge, multiple integrated interorgan responses function to blunt the homeostatic threats caused by the increased muscle energy turnover and whole-body oxygen demand (Hawley et al., 2014). During MICT lasting ~1 h, O<sub>2</sub> supply is plentiful and substrate demand by the active muscles is largely met by the oxidation of carbohydrate- and fat-based fuels. There is a primary reliance on type I, slow-twitch muscle fibers and the rate of change of cellular dynamics and disturbances to whole-body homeostasis is negligible. In contrast, both HIIT and SIT evoke extensive perturbations to both local (muscle) and systemic (cardiovascular, respiratory, neural and hormonal) homeostasis. SIT in particular requires substantially higher absolute power outputs compared to MICT, necessitating the recruitment of type II, fast twitch fibers. This in turn requires extensive use of non-oxidative substrate metabolism to meet muscle energy demands, which are fueled exclusively by intramuscular substrates (high-energy phosphates and glycogen) with little or no contribution from fat-based fuels. The greater absolute energy demand and altered fiber recruitment drives the higher absolute oxygen flux and total fuel requirement of interval compared to low- to moderate-intensity continuous exercise. Accordingly, in contrast to MICT, the rate of change of cellular dynamics and disturbances to whole-body homeostasis induced by intermittent exercise, and SIT in particular, is extensive.

The "stop-start" nature of intermittent exercise, and the associated intracellular "spikes" in various signaling pathways, is one potential mechanism to explain skeletal muscle responses to interval training, including superior adaptation after HIIT compared to MICT despite matched work, or similar adaptation elicited by SIT and MICT training despite differences in total work (MacInnis and Gibala, 2017). This could be in turn linked to fluctuating energy demands associated with repeated restwork cycles. For example, acute interval as compared to continuous exercise has been shown to elicit greater AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) phosphorylation, presumably owing to larger transient increases in [AMP] and/or increase in the [ADP/ATP] ratio. A downstream target of AMPK is the transcriptional co-factor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  coactivator  $1\alpha$  (PGC- $1\alpha$ ), a critical regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis. SIT robustly increases the gene expression of PGC-1α after several hours of recovery, and evidence of increased nuclear PGC-1α protein content immediately after SIT but not MICT is consistent with the notion that intermittent exercise is a more time-efficient option to promote molecular events regulating mitochondrial biogenesis. The potential role of glycogen as an important metabolic signal could also be involved in mediating divergent exercise-induced adaptations to intermittent and continuous exercise. It is also possible that, in addition to sensing absolute changes in levels of various signals such as sarcoplasmic [Ca<sup>2+</sup>], the muscle cell responds to absolute rates of change, which are more stochastic and dramatic during intermittent as compared to continuous, submaximal exercise. Contraction-induced alterations in intracellular [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] may be linked to distinctive programs of gene expression that establish phenotypic diversity among skeletal myofibers and confer some of the whole-body adaptations after SIT protocols. Finally, the increased reactive O<sub>2</sub> species levels, acidosis, and altered redox state, including NAD/NADH may also play roles on fine-tuning signaling responses after SIT. Additional studies are needed, both in terms of the early time-course of molecular events that occur in human muscle in response repeated bouts of SIT, and how these potentially link or translate into chronic training adaptations.

# **Sprinting Forward: Where to From Here?**

The precise role of exercise intensity, duration and volume in acutely modifying various signaling cascades and coordinating specific training-induced physiological adaptations remain to be determined. Issues surrounding the optimal exercise "training impulse" need to be addressed by systematic 'dose-response' studies. Deciphering the cellular mechanisms underpinning the widespread benefits of interval-based training, and how acute exercise responses are integrated over time into improved health outcomes, may offer insight into some of the critical physiological pathways to target in order to fight the battle against inactivity-related diseases. Most interval training studies to date are of relatively short duration (lasting up to a few months) and longer trials with large subject cohorts of men and women of diverse ages and health-status are urgently needed to help pinpoint the time-course of adaptation in different populations. Such information may be a prelude to 'personalized' exercise prescription that will ultimately help individuals obtain the maximum benefits of regular physical activity. In the final analyses, SIT is only one option in the armory of primary care interventions that can be used to fight chronic metabolic diseases. After all, interval training is just one aspect of the multi-faceted periodized training strategies that have been used by competitive athletes for over a century.

# Acknowledgements

Work by MJG has been supported by an operating grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council. JAH is supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF140C0011493) and an Australian Catholic University Research Funding Program Grant (2016000353).



**Figure 1**. German physician and coach, Woldemar Gerschler, together with cardiologist Dr. Herbert Reindel, carried out "controlled training" studies in athletes based on the concept of intermittent exercise. Heart rate was monitored during all workouts with specific target zones prescribed during exercise and recovery. The investigators found a 20% increase in heart volume and improved performance after 21 d of training. The subjects including Gordon Pirie of Great Britain, who won silver medal in the 5,000 m at the 1956 Olympics and subsequently coached one of the authors (JAH).

#### References

Bacon, A.P., Carter, R.E., Ogle, E.A., and Joyner, M.J. (2013). VO2max trainability and high intensity interval training in humans: a meta-analysis. PLoS One. 8, e73182.

Fox, E.L., and Mathews, D.K. (1974). Interval training: Conditioning for sports and general fitness. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1974.

Gibala, M.J., Little, J.P., Macdonald, M.J., and Hawley, J.A. (2012). Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval raining in health and disease. J. Physiol. *590*, 1077-1084.

Gillen, J.B., Martin, B.J., MacInnis, M.J., Skelly, L.E., Tarnopolsky, M.A., and Gibala, M.J. (2016). Twelve weeks of sprint interval training improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional endurance training despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. PLoS One *11*, e0154075.

Gist, N.H., Fedewa M.V., Dishman R.K., and Cureton K.J. (2014). Sprint interval training effects on aerobic capacity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med. 44, 269-279.

Hawley, J.A., Hargreaves, M., Joyner, M.J., and Zierath, J.R. (2014). Integrative biology of exercise. Cell *159*, 738-749.

MacInnis, M.J., and Gibala, M.J. (in press). Physiological adaptations to interval training and the role of exercise intensity. J. Physiol. doi: 10.1113/JP273196.

Meyer, K., Samek, L., Schwaibold, M., Westbrook, S., Hajric, R., Lehmann, M., Essfeld, D., and Roskamm, H. (1996). Physical responses to different modes of interval exercise in patients with chronic heart failure--application to exercise training. Eur. Heart J. 7, 1040-7.

Tabata, I., Nishimura, K., Kouzaki, M., Hirai, Y., Ogita, F., Miyachi, M., and Yamamoto, K. (1996). Effects of moderate-intensity endurance and high-intensity intermittent training on anaerobic capacity and VO2max. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. *10*, 1327-30.

Weston, K.S., Wisløff, U., and Coombes, J.S. (2014). High-intensity interval training in patients with lifestyle-induced cardiometabolic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br. J. Sports Med. 48, 1227-1234.