

# Integrating Rules of Modular Coordination to Improve Model Authoring in BIM

Manav Mahan Singh<sup>a</sup>, Anil Sawhney<sup>b\*</sup>, and André Borrmann<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Central Public Works Department, Government of India*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of the Built Environment, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK*

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Civil, Geo and Environmental Engineering, Technical University, Munich, Germany*

\* Department of the Built Environment, Liverpool John Moores University, 3.04 Peter Jost Enterprise Centre, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK, Email: [a.sawhney@ljmu.ac.uk](mailto:a.sawhney@ljmu.ac.uk); Phone +44 151 231 2842

# Integrating Rules of Modular Coordination to Improve Model Authoring in BIM

As the adoption of Building Information Modelling (BIM) becomes pervasive and its level of application matures, the need to incorporate domain-specific knowledge in BIM authoring tools is also increasing. Rule-based scripts that assist and guide the modeller in model authoring are needed to enhance the level of usage of BIM. The authors developed a framework for incorporation of rule-driven domain knowledge into BIM authoring tools. With the objective of customising the modelling process using a set of rules, the approach presented in this paper combines rules of modular coordination in prefabricated building with BIM. Modular coordination, a dimensional coordination system for sizing and placing building elements within a three-dimensional (3D) reference system, is translated into rules that are incorporated into the BIM authoring tool through object-level and process-level interventions. The study explores various possibilities and options of parametric modelling for integration of identified modular coordination rules in BIM authoring tools. The aim of integrating modular coordination rules is to assist the user during the modelling process. The proposed approach has the potential of reducing inconsistencies and time spent in modelling and documentation allowing the designer to spend more effort on value-adding design tasks. With the help of a case study and an experiment, capabilities of the proposed framework are demonstrated and validated.

Keywords: BIM; modular coordination; knowledge-based BIM; object level development; process level development.

## 1 Introduction

The design of built environment assets is an information intensive, iterative (Choo, Hammond, Tommelein, Austin, & Ballard, 2004), evolutionary and complex process (Sawhney & Maheswari, 2013). Building design, consisting of architectural and engineering design, has been described as “handcraft”, as it requires “manual expression” of designer’s expertise (Eastman, Sacks, & Lee, 2004). The design process has innovative and creative components requiring the designer to use problem defining,

1 problem-solving, solution finding and decision making skills while experimenting with  
2 shape and geometry to meet the project brief. Alongside this, designers and engineers  
3 are also involved in production related tasks such as data processing, information  
4 retrieval and sharing, document generation, and design coordination. In the traditional  
5 two-dimensional (2D) project delivery process, the designer and the engineer expend  
6 significant effort in low-value adding production tasks such as drafting and  
7 documentation (Sawhney, 2014). Inefficiencies caused by 2D-based approach are now  
8 well documented and well understood. A minor change in design invokes either  
9 updating all drawings to avoid inconsistencies or lack of coordination in the drawings  
10 itself (Monteiro & Poças Martins, 2013). Traditionally, 2D drawings have been the only  
11 way of information exchange among the project team members and the coordination  
12 among the participants to convey the correct and complete information depends largely  
13 on the expertise of the designers (Hergunsel, 2011).

14  
15 With the large-scale proliferation of BIM in the industry, there is a shift in the  
16 distribution of effort between expertise driven tasks and production-oriented tasks  
17 (Sawhney, 2014). Due to its model-centric and object-oriented core (Borrmann & Rank,  
18 2010), BIM by its very nature reduces the efforts to be expended by the designer on low  
19 value adding tasks. This allows the design team to increase their input to modelling,  
20 analysis and other value-generating tasks as the effort needed for production related  
21 tasks is significantly reduced in BIM authoring tool itself.

22  
23 Can BIM authoring environments be further enhanced with domain specific  
24 information and knowledge to assist the designer in enhancing value-creation in the  
25 design process? The authors conducted this research to answer this question with a case-  
26 study to demonstrate the approach that domain-specific knowledge can be embedded in  
27 BIM authoring tools to assist the modeller in not only modelling process but for

1 downstream activities such as documentation, visualisation, quantity take-off and  
2 information sharing also. Utilising the concept of knowledge-based BIM authoring tools  
3 in conjunction with modular coordination, this paper demonstrates the “computer as an  
4 agent” construct. In the computer as an agent construct, the computer is thought of as an  
5 agent that guides the design process in contrast to the “computer as a draughtsman” or  
6 “computer as an oracle” worldview (Lawson, 2005). While the previous body of  
7 research has identified the importance and advantages of using BIM and modular  
8 coordination, this paper is focused on explaining how modular coordination rules can be  
9 integrated into BIM authoring tools to facilitate the overall modular design process in  
10 BIM.

## 25 **2 Context Setting—BIM and Modular Coordination**

26 BIM authoring environments are specialised systems used for the process of generating  
27 building information that can be stored, exchanged and shared for several tasks.

28 Modular coordination is a dimensional coordination system used for sizing and placing  
29 building elements within a 3D reference system (Indian Standards Institution, 1983a;  
30 International Organization for Standardization, 1984). The use of BIM process through  
31 the design phase can enhance the value of built environment by allowing designers to  
32 take informed decisions at early stages of design (McGraw-Hill Construction, 2014),  
33 while modular coordination increases cooperation among stakeholders of building  
34 design and construction industry via dimensional coordination. Both the tools, modular  
35 coordination and BIM have the potential to improve the design process by enhancing  
36 coordination among the project participants (Lu & Korman, 2010; Romcy, Cardoso,  
37 Bertini, & André, 2012). BIM has been used to automate and reduce redundant  
38 activities such as visualisation, documentation, quantity extraction, and information  
39 exchange. However, the effective use of BIM can only be realised when high-quality

models are developed that contain the right information at the right level of detail (Ding, Zhou, & Akinci, 2014).

This study explores various possibilities and options to develop rule-based smart building objects for integration of domain-specific knowledge (such as modular coordination rules) with BIM using its parametric modelling capabilities. The building objects should be rule-based smart building objects as they must be customizable under modular coordination rules only, adapt to changes made to the model and interact with other building objects. The aim of integrating modular coordination rules is not limited to assist the designers during the modelling process only but to enhance several other activities in the design process also. It has the potential to substantially reduce inconsistencies and time spent in modelling. Using this as a basis, the authors demonstrate the mechanism for integrating domain-specific rules within the BIM authoring tools to enhance the modelling process.

### **3 Literature Review**

Modular coordination has been developed as a standardisation system where 3D integer lattice acts as a reference frame and a module acts as the unit (March, 2003). It has been widely used as dimensional coordination system for prefabricating building components and industrialised production (McGraw Hill Construction, 2011). With advancement in construction methodology and equipment, the construction process is slowly shifting towards prefabrication techniques to reduce and optimise on-site operations, potentially reducing the time and cost required for delivering a facility. The prefabrication industry is propagating modularization of building components to promote interchangeability of components, reduce on-site waste and optimise a number of available sizes of a particular component, which in turn increases the construction quality also (Chiang, Hon-Wan Chan, & Ka-Leung Lok, 2006).

1 As identified by the National Research Council, BIM, modular coordination,  
2 offsite prefabrication of elements and prefabricated construction system are enabling  
3 ideas, concepts, processes and practices impacting the US construction industry  
4 significantly (The Modular Building Institute, 2010). BIM process also promotes the  
5 increased use of modularization making it more viable as the activities such as design,  
6 documentation, planning, manufacturing and construction can be well-organized (Lu &  
7 Korman, 2010). With the advent of model-driven prefabrication, BIM has increased  
8 reliance on prefabrication and modular coordination (McGraw Hill Construction,  
9 2011).

10 In conventional CAD systems, a model represents the building elements only  
11 geometrically making the process of integrating rules of modular coordination in a 2D  
12 environment cumbersome. On the other side, BIM, that uses information-rich object-  
13 orientation in representing parts of a building such as floors, spaces, walls, doors,  
14 windows etc. (Bhatt, Borrmann, Amor, & Beetz, 2013; Heloisa Tonissi Buschinelli  
15 Goes, Santos, Heloisa, & Buschinelli, 2011), provides an enabling platform for  
16 integrating modular coordination rules. BIM allows improved information management  
17 over the entire lifecycle of an AEC project. Using two case studies, Lu and Korman  
18 demonstrated benefits and challenges of using BIM process with a modular system of  
19 construction (Lu & Korman, 2010). One benefit is that BIM allows the addition of  
20 parameters to modelling elements, which in turn allows capturing of properties and  
21 behaviour in the modelling elements individually and resulting model as a whole.

22 In BIM, parameters are defined as variables that can store data linked to a  
23 modelling element, which allows parametric modelling. Generally, this data pertains to  
24 properties of the modelling element, for example, visual, thermal or mechanical  
25 properties of a modelling element. In simple terms, parametric modelling is a feature of

1 a BIM authoring tool that allows designers to use mathematical relationships to define  
2 constraints and embed domain specific expertise. While regenerating the building  
3 geometry in BIM workspace, parameters act as design constraints (Sacks, Eastman, &  
4 Lee, 2004). Even complex building systems can be designed and modelled with  
5 consistency using parametric modelling capabilities (Cavieres, Gentry, & Al-Haddad,  
6 2011). It eases the process of solid modelling and can automate the generation of  
7 building information.  
8

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 BIM authoring tools help in reducing modelling errors and limit technical flaws  
18 by using predefined rules through parametric constraints (V. Singh, Gu, & Wang,  
19 2011). However, these rules are generic such as joint detail between wall and floor and  
20 hosting of the window on the wall etc. In terms of architectural and space design,  
21 geometrical constraints such as parallel and perpendicular alignment of elements,  
22 dimensions of various elements etc. can also be defined. The relationship among  
23 neighbouring objects like the alignment of the column along grid lines or associating  
24 floor elements with levels can also be defined using parameters (Oosterhuis, 2012).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- Using BIM model data after model creation for analysis activities such as energy modelling and simulation (Kota, Haberl, Clayton, & Yan, 2014; Sinha, Sawhney, Borrmann, & Ritter, 2013; Yalcinkaya & Singh, 2015), construction safety (Melzner, Zhang, Teizer, & Bargstädt, 2013)etc.

- Utilising completed BIM model for automated rule checking for activities (Pauwels et al., 2011) such as code compliance, fire safety norms etc.
- Using algorithms and BIM data to generate new design and construction solutions (Abrishami, Goulding, Rahimian, Ganah, & Sawhney, 2014) during the model authoring process

Furthermore, to identify research gaps and frame a research question, existing literature was mapped along the following aspects:

- (1) Benefits of BIM Process/Parametric Modelling
- (2) Benefits of Modular Coordination/Prefabrication and BIM
- (3) Parametric Modelling to Integrate Rules
- (4) Automated Design Checking Platforms
- (5) Modular Coordination and BIM Integration

This mapping is shown in Table 1.

[Table 1 here]

As described above, previous research has clearly highlighted the need and importance of integrating BIM and modular coordination for model authoring. Concomitant with this, other researchers have also highlighted the role of parametric modelling in BIM for incorporating rules in the design process. However, the conceptual constructs needed for integrating modular coordination rules in a BIM authoring tool have not been addressed. This research presents an approach that addresses this ‘how’ question by using visual programming, parametric modelling capabilities and built-in features of BIM authoring tool to integrate modular coordination. The research by Romcy et al. 2012 is most relevant to this topic, which has presented an approach to generate ceramic block configuration only using



programming interface. The complexity of modular coordination rules such as sizing rules, placement rules or joint details, in BIM authoring tools needs to be addressed using the approach that is compatible with these rules. In this research, rules of modular coordination are identified and then incorporated into the BIM authoring tool using features that have been described in the literature detailed above.

### ***Modular Coordination Rules: Overview and Definitions***

The modular coordination rules used in the proposed approach can be explained as follows: -

- (1) Reference System – The 3D integer lattice works as space frame for hosting modular building components. The building components are placed and aligned to the reference system. The reference planes/ lines in the space frame either have a spacing of a basic module i.e. 100 mm (International Organization for Standardization, 1983a, 1983b) or multi-module i.e. multiple of the module (International Organization for Standardization, 1982, 1983b). For a building, specific multi-module is chosen for X-, Y- or Z- direction as specified in the modular co-ordination standard.
- (2) Preferred Sizes – There are preferred sizes for any building components such as doors and windows (International Organization for Standardization, 1974). This allows limiting the number of sizes of particular building component available in the market and ease interchangeability of components (Indian Standards Institution, 1983a).
- (3) Alignment System – It is a system to be followed for placing building components in the modular reference system. Various examples are boundary planning, axial planning and so.

- (4) 5 mm Rule/ Tolerance – 5 mm rule has been defined for structural elements whether used for creating horizontal or vertical divisions in the building. The dimensions of all the structural elements will be 5 mm less on all modular reference planes. Tolerance is defined for the fabricated components to allow permissible variation in the sizes. Tolerance is permitted for fabricated components to accommodate several variations such as dimensional, setting-out, thermal, positional, manufacturing etc.(Indian Standards Institution, 1983b; International Organization for Standardization, 1979, 1983c)
- (5) Joint Details – Joint details are an essential part of the two-dimensional documentation. Modular coordination drawings have specified representation system described in modular coordination standards. Therefore, it is required to embed such specific joint details with rule-based smart building objects to maintain the consistency of drawings with 3D model.

For further reading, international standards on modular coordination mentioned in the reference section can be referred.

#### **4 Research Methodology**

The research has been conducted to develop a framework to integrate domain-specific knowledge (for example modular coordination rules based on International Standards) into BIM authoring application. To achieve the objectives of the research, the authors have developed an approach (discussed under section 4.1 & 5: Conceptual Framework for Knowledge Integration) and conducted a case study and an experiment to validate the proposed approach (discussed under sections 4.2 and 6: Validation of the Proposed Approach).

#### 4.1 Conceptual Framework for Knowledge Integration

To accomplish the integration of knowledge extracted from modular coordination into with BIM; rule-based smart building objects and modular reference frame has been developed. This process utilises the built-in functionality of BIM authoring application such as visual programming, smart object development with parametric constraints and referencing system for geometry. Visual programming tools provide a graphical interface to users for developing an algorithm that can automate computational design tasks. A visual programming tool has several advantages such as accessing specific information, defining parametric values from external files apart from automating the design process. Elements having any sequential pattern can be modelled using visual programming tool. Parametric constraint functionality allows developing smart building objects which can modulate itself based on specific values. Also, the referencing system for geometry allows the objects to interact with the neighbouring objects to position itself.

Figure 1 shows the overall framework adopted to achieve the proposed integration. After examining the rules of modular coordination from various standards and modelling process in BIM authoring tool, the study identifies various rules to be incorporated and options available for embedding these in the modelling process. These domain-specific rules such as reference system, preferred sizes, alignment system, 5 mm rule/ tolerance and joint details are incorporated into the BIM authoring tool by object-level development and process-level development as described in the sections 5 and 6 respectively.

[Figure 1 here]

## **4.2 Validation of Proposed Approach**

The authors have developed the proposed approach to facilitate the design documentation and modelling process in BIM environment. To demonstrate the utility of the proposed framework, a case study studying the modelling process of the residential building has been performed. Also, an experiment has been conducted with 10 users and their experience with the proposed framework has been recorded and compared with the traditional experiences. The validation process, the case study and the experiment will be discussed under section 6.

The overall object and process level development needs a BIM authoring tool with parametric modelling capabilities and compatible visual programming tools. To demonstrate both object and process level development using the conceptual approach proposed by the authors, BIM authoring tool for building design from Autodesk Inc. i.e. Revit and visual programming tool Dynamo was selected.

## **5 Conceptual Framework for Knowledge Integration**

The proposed framework for knowledge integration requires Object Level Developments (sub section 5.1) and Process Level Developments (sub section 5.2).

### **5.1 Object Level Developments**

The modular coordination standards primarily define rules for sizing the building components and their placement in a reference system. The object level developments include modelling of rule-based smart building objects (for sizing) and modular reference frame (for placing). Figure 2 shows the development process of integrating modular coordination rules. Visual programming tool, assistive messages and catalogue of (building component) sizes are used in the background to assist the modeller in the building component sizing process. For assistance in placing and alignment of building

components within the modular reference frame, reference lines/planes are created (Manav Mahan Singh, 2014; Manav Mahan Singh, Sawhney, & Borrmann, 2015) as discussed in sections 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

[Figure 2 here]

### *5.1.1 Development of Rule-based Smart Building Objects*

For integration of modular coordination rules, various building components such as doors, windows, slab panels etc. were developed. These are customizable under the rules of modular coordination, will adapt to changes made in the model, allow data update in quantity schedule and incorporate details. These properties of modelled building component will allow to identify them as rule-based smart building objects. These objects are modelled using built-in functionality of BIM authoring tool primarily parametrical modelling. Figure 3 shows various rules prescribed for modular elements (wall/slab panels) with options available to incorporate rules of modular coordination. The system guides the modeller through the selection of possible dimensions for length, width, thickness, opening size and position of these elements via assistive messages. The horizontal and vertical alignment and height of components can be associated with a modular reference frame (modular grids and levels) while details such as joints, tolerance and 5 mm rule for structural elements are captured during the modelling process.

[Figure 3 here]

#### *(1) Visual Programming Tool for Sizing*

Visual programming tool has been used to select the value of a parameter from a set of values stored in the external data file. Values such as submodular increment, preferred

dimension for horizontal coordination or tolerances are stored in a separate spreadsheet or generated based on the mathematical equation and chosen using the visual programming tool. The visual programming tool has been used as a facilitator to select the size for dimensions of any building component. As shown in figure 4, one part of the visual program generates the values and converts it to list. Then, the query node collects a specific value from the list. The last part inserts that value as the value of the parameter for selected modelling element type.

[Figure 4 here]

## (2) Assistive Messages for Sizing

The suggestive information for adjusting values of the parameter has been provided to the user, in case, there is a deviation from a specified set of values. The conditional statements have been used in formulas with Yes/No parameter types to control the visibility of text messages. The appearance of these assistive messages in the project environment indicates the dimension of the object is not per rules. As described in figure 5, first create assistive messages while modelling the objects, then associate a visibility (Yes/No) parameter with it. After that, create a conditional statement to control the value of yes/no parameter. The appearance of assistive messages in the project environment warns the user about violation of modular coordination rules and assist the user to input appropriate size of the element.

[Figure 5 here]

## (3) Catalogue of Sizes for Modular Doors/Windows

Several combinations of values for parameters are possible for modelling elements such as doors and windows under modular coordination rules. A suitable set of values for

parameters can be generated using spreadsheet application and only specific values can be chosen while importing the component into the project environment. In this study, “*type catalogue*” option is utilised to generate a combination of length and height for doors and windows available under modular coordination standards. Figure 6 shows .csv file, containing a possible set of width and height of doors and windows can be associated with objects to generate set of values for parameters.

[Figure 6 here]

### 5.1.2 *Development of Modular Reference Frame*

The modular reference frame is an important prerequisite to commencing design according to modular coordination standards. The modular reference frame consists of planes represented by lines along the x, y, and z directions. These planes work as a reference for placing building components such as a wall, window etc. References can be created through object level development within the BIM authoring tool. In the proposed framework, this is accomplished by using visual programming and associating reference planes with rule-based smart building objects, is explained as follows:-

#### (1) Visual Programming for Modular Reference Frame

As explained in section 4.1, visual programming tool can be used to model elements having a sequential pattern. To generate a modular grid, the algorithm can be developed and translated into the program using visual program tool. For modular reference planes in plan view, column grids have been created in horizontal plane and levels have been created in the vertical plane. Figure 7 shows the program used to create column grids in the horizontal plane. A user selects the multi-module for the direction and number of modular grids in that direction. The program creates a grid of line in X- and Y-

directions as per the user's inputs.

[Figure 7 here]

To create reference planes in a vertical plane, the user needs to create levels. There is a set of values that can be used as modular floor height as per modular coordination standards. Figure 8 shows the visual program used to create levels in the vertical plane. A user can select a specific value for the level which is added to the elevation of the previous level to define the elevation of next level.

[Figure 8 here]

## (2) Reference Planes for Alignment

For this research, axial placement of structural elements has been chosen. Figure 9 shows the modelled building components, which have reference lines to align it to the modular reference frame. The reference lines with the modelled objects interact with the modular grids and guides the placement of modular objects in the reference system.

[Figure 9 here]

Construction details such as joining details, tolerance for various elements and 5 mm rule for structural elements are integrated while modelling rule-based smart building objects. While modelling, and placing the building components, reference lines are used to align objects to the grid lines with appropriate distance. Furthermore, the tolerance values for building elements can be adjusted to data provided by manufacturers using visual programming tool.

## 5.2 *Process Level Developments*

The use of BIM is not only to enhance the modelling process to create computational 3D geometry but to improve the overall design and construction process also. The



process level developments include enhanced modelling process, generation of 2D drawings, 3D visualisation, quantity take-off and information sharing. The principal advantage of using rule-based smart building objects is the use of a single model to extract precise and coherent 2D drawings along with 3D models in BIM environment (Lee & Ha, 2013). Rule-based smart building objects guides the designer throughout the design process by assistive messages, visual programs and alignment references. This further helps in generating 2D drawings, 3D visualisations, quantity take-off and information sharing using features already available with BIM authoring tool. Figure 10 explains that geometrical, information sharing and identity parameters are integrated into rule-based smart building objects which enhance the documentation activities in BIM environment.

[Figure 10 here]

The integration of geometrical, parametrical constraints alongside identity and information sharing parameters with rule-based smart building objects is aimed to enhance the design activities such as generation of various drawings, views, quantity take-off and information sharing. Visual programming tool to create modular reference frame and its interaction with rule-based smart building objects help in the modelling process.

## **6 Validation of Proposed Approach**

The process level developments are also required to realise the maximum benefits of modelling in BIM environment. This section details out process level development using the proposed framework. First, the modelling process using the proposed framework, the process starts with the selection of multi-modules in each direction, after which grid lines in X- and Y- directions are generated using visual programming

1 tool. To create reference lines in Z- direction, the visual program discussed in section  
2 5.1.2 has been used. This creates a three-dimension lattice in the workspace which  
3 serves as a reference for the rule-based smart building object to be placed. Rule-based  
4 smart building objects (loadable families in Autodesk Revit) can be inserted at their  
5 centre lines since these objects are modelled with reference lines for their alignment as  
6 discussed in section 5.1.2. The sizes of these inserted building objects can be adjusted  
7 according to modular coordination rules as discussed in section 5.1. The whole building  
8 can be modelled by inserting these rule-based smart building objects. Since, these  
9 objects contain all the relevant information for generating drawings, visualisation and  
10 joint details, these activities can be done effortlessly. Also, quantity take-off and  
11 information sharing parameters helps in creating the schedules using built-in features of  
12 BIM authoring tool. The usefulness of the proposed approach is documented using a  
13 case study under section 6.1 and user experience under section 6.2.

### 33 ***6.1 A Case Study of Modelling Process***

34 A residential building has been modelled using rule-based smart building objects  
35 developed as part of this research to explain the process level developments and validate  
36 the presented approach. Generation of 2D drawings, details, visualization and quantity  
37 scheduling process has been discussed as follows: -.

#### 46 (1) Generation of 2D Drawings, Design Details and Visualisation

47 Since the available rule-based smart building objects under the proposed approach have  
48 all the details as explained under object-level developments, a single model can be used  
49 for preparing 2D drawing, 2D drawings of design details and 3D visualisation. It makes  
50 the process automated, eliminating the need of different models to be updated for design  
51 changes. Subsequently, this will also reduce inconsistencies in the drawings. After

modelling the residential facility, using rule-based smart building objects, floor plans and sections (as shown in figure 11) can be generated:

[Figure 11 here]

Figure 12 shows 2D design details of joints, which gets updated automatically as changes occur in the model.

[Figure 12 here]

Figure 13 shows an interior view of the facility, the view clearly shows building elements and joints between them. It is in complete coordination with 2D drawings. The details are inbuilt with prefabricated elements that make the process completely automated for all activities, eliminating the need of different models to be updated after design changes.

[Figure 13 here]

## (2) Quantity Take-off Process and Information Sharing

With the help of parameters associated with building objects, the quantity take-off and information sharing process can also be streamlined. The geometrical parameters such as length, thickness etc. are useful for quantity take-off process. Figure 14 shows a schedule of prefabricated components used for the building is generated using the developed model using rule-based smart building objects.

[Figure 14 here]

The identity parameters such as Mark, URL, Manufacturer, Opening Description and Description are associated to every prefabricated component for effective exchange of information. Especially, the URL parameter is quite useful to create a link to the online resource to integrate more information. As explained in figure 15, the building

component details can be uploaded in two file formats having certain advantages and disadvantages.

(1) .dwfx format (A web-friendly format for viewing drawings )

(2) .rfa format (A proprietary file format)

[Figure 15 here]

All these parameters are created as shared parameters so that they can appear on the schedule. The identity parameters will be helpful in preparing the fabrication schedule also.

## ***6.2 An Experiment Documenting Users Experience***

To validate the proposed framework, the authors have conducted an experiment with ten users and document their modelling experience on a Likert scale of 1 to 5. Their responses have been mapped against time, ease in modelling, model quality and ability to generate drawings and quantity schedule. The modelling experience of ten users is compared with a traditional user who has modelled the same building in BIM without using the framework. Figure 16 summarises the modelling experience of the users using traditional approach and the proposed framework. The time spent in the modelling process using the proposed approach averaged around 40 minutes compare to 2 hours 8 minutes in the traditional approach. The bottom left graph in figure 16 shows the comparison of time taken by the traditional user to users modelling in the proposed framework. The table in figure 16 shows the responses from individual on their modelling experience against time spent in modelling, ease of modelling, implementation of modular coordination rules, modelling errors/ mistakes, ease in generating drawings/ details, ease in generating quantity schedule and overall model quality. The graph on right side of the figure shows the comparison of the responses

from the traditional user to users modelling in the proposed framework.

[Figure 16 here]

The users have experienced approximate 70%-time saving compared to the traditional approach. The overall model quality has improved due to the use of the single model for generating all the drawings. The modelled building components facilitated implementation of modular coordination rules without referring to the standards. The schedule of prefabricated panels gets prepared with the modelling process to reduce users' efforts. During the modelling in the traditional approach, the user has modelled the building, generated details at the joint and created the quantity schedule manually. Also, making the changes in the model requires his expertise to update all the drawings and quantity schedule.

## **7 Research Implications**

The proposed framework demonstrate that modular coordination rules can be incorporated with BIM authoring application to facilitate modelling as well as design documentation process. The research paves the way to integrate more domain specific rules such as acoustic considerations, building bye-laws or national building standards and guidelines for site layout in to BIM authoring application to ease the modelling process (Kumar & Cheng, 2015). Although, all of these standards cannot be associated with BIM tool using the approach described in this paper. There are more options, such as Application Programming Interface (API) or performance checking with IFC format (Hu, Zhang, Wang, & Kassem, 2016; Pauwels et al., 2011). API can be used to develop plugins to automate any typical design process, access model graphical data, access model parametrical data, collecting data for other applications and performing analysis (Autodesk Inc., 2014, 2017; Lopez, 2011; M M Singh, Sawhney, Sharma, & Kumari, 2016).

## 8 Conclusions

The study explains the process of integrating the rules of modular coordination in BIM authoring tools using rule-based smart building objects, parametric constraints and visual programming. By integrating engineering rules, the user is guided through the design and modelling process of built environment. Furthermore, it can enhance the design documentation process, potentially enhancing the efficiency of the process. By incorporating project specific rules, the process of utilising BIM on the project can be streamlined thereby making adoption of BIM easier. Through, process level developments, the project also explains a systematic process to generate 2D drawings from a 3D model, communication of design details, performing quantity take-off, and effective information sharing under the modular coordination regime. The built-in rules of modular coordination help in significantly reducing modelling errors. These integrated rules can improve the design quality and streamline the overall design process.

## References

- Abrishami, S., Goulding, J., Rahimian, F. P., Ganah, A., & Sawhney, A. (2014). G-BIM Framework and Development Process for Integrated AEC Design Automation. *Procedia Engineering*, 85, 10–17. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2014.10.523>
- Autodesk Inc. (2014). Revit 2014 Platform API Developers Guidelines. Retrieved November 17, 2013, from <http://wikihelp.autodesk.com/Revit/enu/2014/Help/3665-Developers>
- Autodesk Inc. (2017). Autodesk - My First Plug-in Training. Retrieved April 23, 2017, from <http://usa.autodesk.com/adsk/servlet/index?siteID=123112&id=16777469>
- Bhatt, M., Borrmann, A., Amor, R., & Beetz, J. (2013). Architecture, computing, and design assistance. *Automation in Construction*, 32(29), 161–164. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.01.001>

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Borrmann, A., & Rank, E. (2010). Query Support for BIMs using Semantic and Spatial Conditions. In *Handbook of Research on Building Information Modeling and Construction Informatics* (pp. 405–450). IGI Global. <http://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-60566-928-1.ch018>
- Cavieres, A., Gentry, R., & Al-Haddad, T. (2011). Knowledge-based parametric tools for concrete masonry walls: Conceptual design and preliminary structural analysis. *Automation in Construction*, 20(6), 716–728. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2011.01.003>
- Chiang, Y.-H., Hon-Wan Chan, E., & Ka-Leung Lok, L. (2006). Prefabrication and barriers to entry—a case study of public housing and institutional buildings in Hong Kong. *Habitat International*, 30(3), 482–499. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2004.12.004>
- Choo, H. J., Hammond, J., Tommelein, I. D., Austin, S. A., & Ballard, G. (2004). DePlan: a tool for integrated design management. *Automation in Construction*, 13(3), 313–326. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2003.09.012>
- Ding, L., Zhou, Y., & Akinci, B. (2014). Building Information Modeling (BIM) application framework: The process of expanding from 3D to computable nD. *Automation in Construction*, 46, 82–93. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.04.009>
- Eastman, C., Sacks, R., & Lee, G. (2004). Functional modeling in parametric CAD systems. In *Proceedings of Generative CAD Systems (G-CAD) Conference, Carnegie Mellon University*. Pittsburgh. <http://doi.org/10.1.1.93.398>
- Heloisa Tonissi Buschinelli Goes, R., Santos, E. T., Heloisa, R., & Buschinelli, T. (2011). Design Coordination With Building Information Modeling : A Case Study. In *Proceedings of the CIB W78-W102 2011* (pp. 26–28). Sophia Antipolis, France.
- Hergunsel, M. F. (2011). *Benefits of Building Information Modeling. Design*. Retrieved from [http://www.wpi.edu/Pubs/ETD/Available/etd-042011-135239/unrestricted/MHergunsel\\_Thesis\\_BIM.pdf](http://www.wpi.edu/Pubs/ETD/Available/etd-042011-135239/unrestricted/MHergunsel_Thesis_BIM.pdf)
- Hu, Z.-Z., Zhang, X.-Y., Wang, H.-W., & Kassem, M. (2016). Improving interoperability between architectural and structural design models: An industry foundation classes-based approach with web-based tools. *Automation in*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

*Construction*, 66, 29–42. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.02.001>

Indian Standards Institution. IS 10600 - Recommendations for Modular Co-Ordination - Principles and Rules, Pub. L. No. IS 10600 (1983). India.

Indian Standards Institution. IS 4993 - Glossary of Terms relating to Modular Coordination (1983). India.

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 2776 - Modular Coordination - Coordinating Sizes for Doorsets - External and Internal (1974).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 3443 - Tolerances for Building (Part 1) - Basic Principles for Evaluation and Specification (1979).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 6511 - Building Construction - Modular Floor Plane for Vertical Dimensions (1982).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 1006 - Building Construction - Modular Coordination - Basic Module (1983).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 1040 - Building Construction - Modular Coordination - Multimodules for Horizontal Coordinating Dimension (1983).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 1791 - Building Construction - Modular Coordination - Vocabulary (1983).

International Organization for Standardization. ISO 2848 - Building construction - Modular coordination - Principles and rules, Pub. L. No. ISO 2848 (1984).

Kota, S., Haberl, J. S., Clayton, M. J., & Yan, W. (2014). Building Information Modeling (BIM)-based daylighting simulation and analysis. *Energy and Buildings*, 81, 391–403. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.06.043>

Kumar, S. S., & Cheng, J. C. P. (2015). A BIM-based automated site layout planning framework for congested construction sites. *Automation in Construction*, 59, 24–37. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.07.008>

Lawson, B. (2005). Oracles, draughtsmen, and agents: the nature of knowledge and creativity in design and the role of IT. *Automation in Construction*, 14(3), 383–391. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2004.08.005>

Lee, S., & Ha, M. (2013). Customer interactive building information modeling for



apartment unit design. *Automation in Construction*, 35, 424–430.

<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.05.026>

Lopez, J. M. S. (2011). *Calculation and representation of structural reinforcement in Building Information Models using Revit Structure and SOFiSTiK*. Technical University, Munich.

Lu, N., & Korman, T. (2010). Implementation of Building Information Modeling (BIM) in Modular Construction: Benefits and Challenges. In *Construction Research Congress 2010* (Vol. 1, pp. 1136–1145). Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers. [http://doi.org/10.1061/41109\(373\)114](http://doi.org/10.1061/41109(373)114)

March, L. (2003). Rudolph M. Schindler. Space Reference Frame, Modular Coordination and the “Row.” *Nexus Network Journal*, 5(2), 48–59. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00004-003-0016-x>

McGraw-Hill Construction. (2014). *The Business Value of BIM for Construction in Major Global Markets: How contractors around the world are driving innovation with Building Information Modeling*. (H. M. Bernstein, S. A. Jones, M. A. Russo, & D. Laquidara-Carr, Eds.) *SmartMarket Report*. Bedford, MA, USA. Retrieved from <http://static-dc.autodesk.net/content/dam/autodesk/www/solutions/building-information-modeling/construction/business-value-of-bim-for-construction-in-global-markets.pdf>

McGraw Hill Construction. (2011). *Prefabrication and Modularization: Increasing productivity in the construction industry*. *SmartMarket Report*. Bedford, MA, USA.

Melzner, J., Zhang, S., Teizer, J., & Bargstädt, H.-J. (2013). A case study on automated safety compliance checking to assist fall protection design and planning in building information models. *Construction Management and Economics*, 31(6), 661–674. <http://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2013.780662>

Monteiro, A., & Poças Martins, J. (2013). A survey on modeling guidelines for quantity takeoff-oriented BIM-based design. *Automation in Construction*, 35, 238–253. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.05.005>

Oosterhuis, K. (2012). Simply complex, toward a new kind of building. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 1(4), 411–420. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2012.08.003>

- Pauwels, P., Van Deursen, D., Verstraeten, R., De Roo, J., De Meyer, R., Van de Walle, R., & Van Campenhout, J. (2011). A semantic rule checking environment for building performance checking. *Automation in Construction*, 20(5), 506–518. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.11.017>
- Romcy, N., Cardoso, D., Bertini, A., & André, P. (2012). Customização de aplicativo BIM , segundo princípios da Coordenação. In *Proceedings of the 16th Iberoamerican Congress of Digital Graphics*.
- Sacks, R., Eastman, C. M., & Lee, G. (2004). Parametric 3D modeling in building construction with examples from precast concrete. *Automation in Construction*, 13(3), 291–312. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-5805\(03\)00043-8](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-5805(03)00043-8)
- Sawhney, A. (2014). *International BIM Implementation Guide* (1st ed.). London: Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS).
- Sawhney, A., & Maheswari, J. U. (2013). Design Coordination Using Cloud-based Smart Building Element Models. *International Journal of Computer Information Systems and Industrial Management Applications*, 5, 445–453. Retrieved from [www.mirlabs.net/ijcisim/index.html](http://www.mirlabs.net/ijcisim/index.html)
- Singh, M. M. (2014). *Modular Coordination using Building Information Modelling (BIM) Application*. Indian Institute of Technology.
- Singh, M. M., Sawhney, A., & Borrmann, A. (2015). Modular Coordination and BIM: Development of Rule Based Smart Building Components. *Procedia Engineering*, 123, 519–527. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2015.10.104>
- Singh, M. M., Sawhney, A., Sharma, V., & Kumari, S. P. (2016). EXPLORING POTENTIALS OF USING BIM DATA FOR FORMWORK DESIGN THROUGH API DEVELOPMENT. *Proceedings of ID@50 Integrated Design Conference*.
- Singh, V., Gu, N., & Wang, X. (2011). A theoretical framework of a BIM-based multi-disciplinary collaboration platform. *Automation in Construction*, 20(2), 134–144. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.09.011>
- Sinha, S., Sawhney, A., Borrmann, A., & Ritter, F. (2013). Extracting Information from Building Information Models for Energy Code Compliance of Building Envelope. In *Proceedings of the RICS COBRA Conference 2013* (pp. 1–16). Retrieved from

1 [http://www.cms.bgu.tum.de/publications/2013\\_Sinha\\_COBRA.pdf%5Cnhttp://www.rics.org/research](http://www.cms.bgu.tum.de/publications/2013_Sinha_COBRA.pdf%5Cnhttp://www.rics.org/research)

2  
3  
4 The Modular Building Institute. (2010). *Improving Construction Efficiency &*  
5 *Productivity with Modular Construction*. Charlottesville, USA.

6  
7  
8 Yalcinkaya, M., & Singh, V. (2015). Patterns and trends in Building Information  
9 Modeling (BIM) research: A Latent Semantic Analysis. *Automation in*  
10 *Construction*, 59, 68–80. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.07.012>  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework for Rule-based Modular Coordination in BIM

Figure 2: Modular Coordination Rules and Options for Object Level Development

Figure 3: Rules for Wall/ Slab Panels

Figure 4: Visual Programming Tool for Setting Parameter Values

Figure 5: Assistive Messages for Sizing

Figure 6: Adding set of Values for Parameters to Door/ Window Objects

Figure 7: Visual Programming Flowchart to Automate Column Grid Layout

Figure 8: Visual Programming Flowchart to Create Levels

Figure 9: Aligning References to align Building Components to a Modular Reference Frame

Figure 10: Process level improvements

Figure 11: 2D Drawings generated using Rule based Smart Building Objects

Figure 12: Construction details generated using Rule based Smart Building Objects

Figure 13: 3D View generated using Rule based Smart Building Objects

Figure 14: Schedule of Prefabricated Components

Figure 15: Example of Information Exchange with Web-based Platforms

Figure 16: Comparison of Modelling Experience of User in Proposed Framework to Traditional Approach







Figure 2

[Click here to download Figure Figure 2.pdf](#) 






Assistive Messages



Reference Lines for Aligning to Modular Grids/ Levels



Modelling Process of Objects






Figure 5

[Click here to download Figure Figure 5.pdf](#)




Figure 6




Figure 7

[Click here to download Figure Figure 7.pdf](#)

## Prerequisite Data

## Input Data

## Processing of Data

## Output

Preferred Values for Multi-Modules

Size of Multi-modules in each direction

No. of Multi-modules in each direction

List of Points in Each Direction

Length based on size and no. of Multi-modules

X or Y Direction





Origin

Length

Direction

Line

Column Grid








Figure 9



Information  
Sharing &  
Identity  
Parameters



+

Geometrical  
Parameters  
&  
Constraints



Rule based  
Smart Building  
Objects


+




Visual  
Programming  
Tool




Modular  
Reference  
Frame







FLOOR PLAN




SECTION




1 Joint Details (A)  
1 : 10



2 Joint Details (B)  
1 : 10



3 Joint Details (C)  
1 : 10



4 Joint Details (D)  
1 : 10



Figure 13

[Click here to download Figure Figure 13.pdf](#)



Figure 14

Prefabricated Elements (Slab Panels)


[Click here to download Figure Figure 14.pdf](#)

Type	Length	Width	Height	Thickness	Count	Cost	Mark	URL	Manufacturer	Opening Description	Description
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-101											
3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200		200	16	25.00	CSP-101	http://a360.co/1eA7nBW	Concrete Works	No Opening	Corner Panel
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-102											
3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200		200	2	25.00	CSP-102	http://a360.co/1eA7u0B	Concrete Works	No Opening	Corner Panel - Roof Level
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-103											
3600 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(1)	3600	3000		200	1	43.00	CSP-103 (1)	http://a360.co/1eA7zBd	Concrete Work	Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Ground Floor - One End Joint
3600 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(2)	3600	3000		200	1	43.00	CSP-103 (2)	http://a360.co/1eA7zBd	Concrete Work	Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Ground Floor - One End Joint
4800 x 3000 x 200											
4800 x 3000 x 200											
4900 x 3000 x 200											
4900 x 3000 x 200											
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-104											
3600 x 6000 x 200	3600	6000		200	8	58.00	CSP-104	http://a360.co/1eA7iOP	Concrete Works	Staircase Opening (3900 x 1000)	Staircase Panel with Opening
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-105											
3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200		200	105	25.00	CSP-105 (1)	http://a360.co/1eA79uD	Concrete Work	No Opening	Intermediate Panels
4800 x 600 x 200	4800	600		200	4	25.00	CSP-105 (2)	http://a360.co/1eA79uD	Concrete Work	No Opening	Intermediate Panels
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-106											
3600 x 600 x 200	3600	600		200	1	22.00	CSP-106 (1)	http://a360.co/1eA6Wb1	Concrete Work	No Opening	Intermediate Panel - Roof Level
3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200		200	10	22.00	CSP-106 (2)	http://a360.co/1eA6Wb1	Concrete Work	No Opening	Intermediate Panel - Roof Level

Figure 15

[Click here to download Figure Figure 15.pdf](#)

Prefabricated Elements (Slab Panels)											
Type	Length	Width	Height	Thickness	Count	Cost	Mark	URL	Manufacturer	Opening Description	Description
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-101	3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200	200	16	25.00	CSP-101	<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7nBW">http://a360.co/1eA7nBW</a>	Concrete Works	No Opening	Corner Panel
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-102	3600 x 1200 x 200	3600	1200	200	2	25.00	CSP-102	<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7u0B">http://a360.co/1eA7u0B</a>	Concrete Works	No Opening	Corner Panel - Roof Level
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-103	3600 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(1)	3600	3000	200	1	43.00	CSP-103 (1)	<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>	Concrete Work	Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Ground Floor - One End Joint
3600 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(2)	3600	3000	200	1				<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>		Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Ground Floor - One End Joint
4800 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(1)	4800	3000	200	6				<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>		Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Intermediate Level - One End Joint
4800 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(2)	4800	3000	200	6				<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>		Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Intermediate Level - One End Joint
4900 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(1)	4900	3000	200	1				<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>		Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Second Floor - One End Joint
4900 x 3000 x 200_400 x 500(2)	4900	3000	200	1				<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7zBd">http://a360.co/1eA7zBd</a>		Duct Opening (400 x 500)	Corner Panel - Second Floor - One End Joint
Concrete Slab Panel - CSP-104	3600 x 6000 x 200	3600	6000	200	8	58.00	CSP-104	<a href="http://a360.co/1eA7IOP">http://a360.co/1eA7IOP</a>	Concrete Works	Staircase Opening (3900 x 1000)	Staircase Panel with Opening



1200 x 2700 x 100	1200	2700	100	32	21.00	CWP-EX-101 (1)	<a href="http://a360.co/OGoxcr">http://a360.co/OGoxcr</a>	Concrete Work	No Opening	Exterior Wall - Corner Panel
1200 x 5400 x 100	1200	5400	100	2	21.00	CWP-EX-101 (2)	<a href="http://a360.co/OGoxcr">http://a360.co/OGoxcr</a>	Concrete Work	No Opening	Exterior Wall - Corner Panel - Ground Level

- Can be viewed online.
- Parametrical Information like Material, Reference planes is lost.

- All parametrical information is available.
- Needs application to view drawings.

Figure 16	User	Time Spent in Modelling	Ease of Modelling	Implementation of Modular Coordination Rules	Modelling Errors/ Mistakes	Ease in Generating Drawings/ Details	Ease in Generating Quantity Schedule	Overall Model Quality
Using traditional approach	Traditional User	2:08:00	3	1	1	1	1	2
Using the proposed framework	User 1	0:42:04	4	5		5	5	5
	User 2	0:35:00	5	5	5	5	5	5
	User 3	0:45:00	4	4	3	5	5	4
	User 4	0:54:00	4	5	5	4	5	5
	User 5	0:50:00	4	4	4	5	5	5
	User 6	0:52:00	5	5	5	5	5	5
	User 7	0:37:00	4	3	3	5	5	4
	User 8	0:20:00	5	5	5	5	5	5
	User 9	0:25:00	5	5	5	5	5	5
	User 10	0:40:00	4	4	5	5	5	5
	Average	0:40:00	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.9	5.0	4.8

Notes: 1 = Very Low/ Very Difficult; 2 = Low/ Difficult; 3 = Normal; 4 = High/ Easy; 5 = Very High/ Very Easy