1	Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity:
2	A Case Study for Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols
3	
4	Terry W. Schultz ^{a*} , Katarzyna R. Przybylak ^b , Andrea-Nicole Richarz ^b , Claire L. Mellor ^b ,
5	Steven P Bradbury ^c and Mark T. D. Cronin ^b
6	
7	^a The University of Tennessee, College of Veterinary Medicine, 2407 River Drive, Knoxville,
8	TN 37996-4543 USA; ^b Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, L33AF Liverpool
9	United Kingdom; ^c Department of Natural Resource Ecology and Management Department of
10	Entomology Toxicology Graduate Program Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011, USA
11	
12	*Corresponding author: Terry W. Schultz, email: tschultz@utk.edu
13	
14	Abstract: 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols offer an example whereby the category approach to read-across
15	can be used to predict repeated-dose toxicity for a variety of derivatives. Specifically, the
16	NOAELs of 125 mg/kg bw/d for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol, the source
17	substances, can be read across with confidence to untested 2-alkyl-1-alkanols in the C5 to C13
18	category based on a LOAEL of low systemic toxicity. These branched alcohols, while non-
19	reactive and exhibiting unspecific, reversible simple anaesthesia or nonpolar narcosis mode of
20	toxic action, have metabolic pathways that have significance to repeated-dose toxic potency. In
21	this case study, the chemical category is limited to the readily bioavailable analogues. The
22	read-across premise includes rapid absorption via the gastrointestinal tract, distribution in the
23	circulatory system and first-pass metabolism in the liver via Phase 2 glucuronidation prior to

urinary elimination. 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol, the source substances, have high quality 90-day oral repeated-dose toxicity studies (OECD TG 408) that exhibit qualitative and quantitative consistency. Findings include only mild changes consistent with low-grade effects including decreased body weight and slightly increased liver weight, which in some cases is accompanied by clinical chemical and haematological changes but generally without concurrent histopathological effects at the LOAEL. These findings are supported by results from the TG 408 assessment of a semi-defined mixture of isotridecanols. Chemical similarity between the analogues is readily defined and data uncertainty associated with toxicokinetic and toxicodynamics similarities are low. Uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and completeness of the read-across is reduced by the concordance of in vivo and in vitro results, as well as high throughput and in silico methods data. As shown in detail, the 90-day rat oral repeated-dose NOAEL values for the two source substances can be read across to fill the data gaps of the untested analogues in this category with uncertainty deemed equivalent to results from a TG 408 assessment. **Keywords:** read-across, n-alkanols, repeated-dose toxicity, No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL), Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL), weight-of-evidence (WoE), uncertainty

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43	Highlights:
----	--------------------

- The category is limited to readily bioavailable 2-alkyl-1-akanols of intermediate size
- 45 (C5 to C13)
- 2-Alkyl-1-akanols are toxicants acting via a simple narcosis mechanism
- Toxicokinetically and toxicodynamically, the 2-alkyl-1-akanols are highly similar
- 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol can be read across to other analogues with
- 49 acceptable uncertainty

1 Introduction

1.1 Read-across

51

52

66

67

70

53 In a toxicity based read-across, it is imperative to demonstrate that all target substances exhibit 54 similar chemical, toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic properties so experimentally-derived 55 information and data from the source substances may be read across to fill the data gap for the 56 target substances [1, 2]. This type of data gap filling is particularly useful for cosmetic 57 ingredients where *in vivo* testing in Europe is prohibited by legislation [3]. 58 While read-across has been used by industry and regulators for decades, recent advances, 59 especially in non-animal test methods, has resulted in read-across today being held to a higher 60 standard [4, 5]. 61 The read-across strategy employed here focuses on assessing the similarity between target(s) 62 and source substance(s) and the uncertainties in the read-across process and ultimate 63 prediction, two fundamentals of a read-across estimation [6]. Briefly, the justification of read-64 across prediction needs to be robust, reliable and easily explicable. The crucial principles of similarity are clearly documented and supported by scientific literature and data. Sources of 65

68 As such, the current study describes a case that illustrates a number of issues associated with a 69 category approach for the scenario in which metabolism, while straight forward, is important in determining molecular similarity. Thus, establishing toxicodynamic, as well as toxicokinetic

uncertainty, the uncertainty associated with the justification of similarity, and the uncertainty

associated with the particular application are identified and accommodated.

similarity, is critical to reducing uncertainties associated with the repeated-dose toxicity predictions.

The present study builds on an early finding [2]. Specifically, an initial evaluation of a wide variety of saturated alcohols revealed that, based on consideration of a common metabolic pathway the saturated alcohols need to be sub-categorised prior to making read-across predictions.

77 1.2 C5-C13 2-alkyl-1-alkanols: Overview of Existing Knowledge

As previously noted [2], intermediate chain-length primary alkanols are considered non-polar narcotics which act mechanistically in a manner similar to depressant anaesthetics. Perfused rat liver toxicity data from Strubelt et al. [7] for the C5 primary alkanol exposure of 65.1 mmol/l for 2 hours suggests that 2-alkyl-1-alkanols may not be in the same read-across category as other primary alkanols (Table 1). These data support the premise that *in vitro* toxicity (e.g., O₂ consumption and ATP production) of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is due, in large part, to loss of membrane integrity, as indicated by cytosolic enzyme (LDH) leakage. While it is likely that enzyme leakage is the result of alteration in membrane fluidity due to partitioning in the cell membrane, loss of membrane integrity as a result of soft electrophilic reactivity and indicated by a 50% reduction in free glutathione (GSH) is not likely.

Table 1. *In vitro* toxicity profiles for selected alkanols.

Name	log Kow	O ₂ Consumption (μmol/g x min)	ATP (μmol/g)	LDH (U/l)	GSH (µmol/g)
Control		1.54 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.20	1109 ± 265	2.52 ± 0.29
2-Methyl-1-butanol	1.30	0.30 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.01	20521±1087	1.33 ± 0.29
3-Methyl-1-butanol	1.16	0.22 ± 0.07	0.27 ± 0.05	8680 ± 1216	2.27 ± 0.37
1-Pentanol	1.40	0.06 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.03	28959 ± 4142	2.82 ± 0.36

LDH – lactate dehydrogenase; ATP - adenosine triphosphate; GSH – reduced glutathione

89

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

90 Due to bioavailability, and distribution and mechanistic considerations, the applicability 91 domain for this case study is limited to 2-alkyl-1-alkanols with a carbon atom (C) chain length 92 range of C5 to C13. Since long-chain derivatives are typically transported via carrier 93 molecules, alcohols of C14 and greater are not included in this category. Since shorter-chain 94 derivatives (e.g., isopropyl alcohol) have the potential to volatilise, they also are not included 95 in this category. 96 Among the 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is the most widely studied [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. 97 Dermal penetration of intermediate size alkanols does not readily occur and absorption from 98 inhalation is extremely limited [13]. Thus, the primary route of exposure, which is 99 toxicologically relevant, is oral. Two-alkyl-1-alkanols within the range C5-C13 are expected to 100 be readily absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract and distributed in the blood in solution [14]. 101 Metabolism of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, while highly efficient, involves processes that are more 102 complex than n-alkanol metabolism. Experimental data reveals the major pathways of 103 metabolism and fate of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols include: 1) conjugation of the alcohol group with

complex than n-alkanol metabolism. Experimental data reveals the major pathways of metabolism and fate of 2-alkyl-1-alkanols include: 1) conjugation of the alcohol group with glucuronic acid; 2) oxidation of the alcohol group; 3) side-chain oxidation yielding additional polar metabolites, which may be subsequently conjugated and be excreted or further oxidised, and 4) excretion of the unchanged parent compound. For example, in rabbits, the glucuronide of 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid was identified as the main metabolite (87%) after oral application of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol [15, 16]. In contrast, in the same species, only about 9% of the administered dose of 2-methyl-1-butanol was found in the form of the glucuronides [15, 16].

Belsito et al. [14] reviewed the toxicity of branched chain saturated alcohols, including secondary ones. Patocka and Kuca [17] summarized the toxicity of C1 to C6 alkanols. The

efficacy of alkanols to induce ataxia [18] and enzyme release from liver cells [19] has been interpreted as being due to the hydrophobic property of the alkanols. Based on rat and fish studies, 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, like other alkanols, act in a manner similar to depressant anaesthetics [20, 21]. Koleva et al. [22] reported multiple-regression type quantitative structure-toxicity relationships (QSARs) for oral log LD50⁻¹ data for rodents and the 1-octanol/water partition coefficient (log Kow). Comparison of measured toxicity data with predictions from baseline QSARs reveals that straight-chain and branched, saturated monohydric alcohols consistently behave as classic nonpolar narcotics.

A cursory summary of the rodent oral acute and oral repeated-dose toxicity of intermediate size 2-alkyl-1-akanols are presented in Table 2. In general, 2-alkyl-1-akanols acute oral toxicity (LD50) is very low ranging from \$\approx 2000 to < 5000 mg/kg bw with an average value of \$\approx 3500 mg/kg bw.

Table 2. Acute and repeated-dose oral toxicity of selected 2-alkyl-1-alkanols

Alcohol	Species	Oral LD50	Reference		Reference
		(mg/kg)		(mg/kgbw/d)	
2-Methyl-1-butanol	Rat	4010	[23]	Not determined	
2-Methyl-1-pentanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Rat	1850	[24]	Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Rat	Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Rat	>3730	[25]	125	[26, 27]
	Rat	≈2000	[27]	Not determined	
	Mouse	2500	[28]	125	[26]
2-Propyl-1-pentanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Methyl-1-octanol Not		Not determined		Not determined	
•		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Rat	5400	[29]	150	[29]
2-Methyl-1-undecanol		Not determined		Not determined	
2-Ethyl-1-decanol		Not determined Not determined			
2-Propyl-1-decanol		Not determined		Not determined	

2-Alkyl-1-akanols are slightly toxic in oral repeated-dose testing; typically, the rodent, oral, 90-day, repeated-dose No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) in mg/kg bw/d is ≥ 125 128 mg/kg bw/d (see Table 2). This value is characteristically based on clinical symptoms, haematological values outside the normal range, or whole body effects different from normal. 130 However, if ingested in large enough quantities, alkanols may cause systemic damage to the liver, heart, kidneys, and/or nervous system.

2 Method and Materials

126

127

129

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

This evaluation of selected 2-alkyl-1-akanols follows the workflow of Schultz et al. [2]. It is in accord with the guidance proposed by Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) [30] and Schultz and co-workers [6]. In vivo data used in the assessment were taken from the literature, including ECHA REACH Registered Substances database [31]. Mechanistic relevance, as well as toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic similarity of the category analogues, was established using relevant non-animal data.

2.1 Target and Source Substances

In this case study, the analogues (listed in Table 3) include ten target and two source chemicals; the latter, those with repeated-dose data derived from a 90-day OECD TG 408 assay, are noted in bold print. This list is not meant to be all inclusive, rather it represents existing industrial organic materials that are likely to be found in a governmental or industrial inventory (e.g., OECD High Production Volume Chemicals). Additional substance identifier information, such as chemical structures and molecular formulas are available in Table 1 of the supplemental information.

Table 3. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols considered part of the chemical category. The source chemicals are in bold.

ID	Name	CAS	Molecular formula
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	137-32-6	C5H12O
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	105-30-6	C6H14O
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	97-95-0	C6H14O
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	27522-11-8	C7H16O
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	C8H18O
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	58175-57-8	C8H18O
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	818-81-5	C9H20O
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	20592-10-3	C10H22O
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	10042-59-8	C10H22O
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	10522-26-6	C12H26O
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	21078-65-9	C12H26O
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	60671-35-4	C13H28O

2.2 Endpoint

The NOAEL for the 90-day rat oral repeated-dose is the single endpoint for which this category approach is applied. The 90-day oral repeated-dose data for 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol are particularly well-suited for read-across; the NOAELs are based on experimental results from a 4-dose exposure scenario (0, <100, between 100 and 200 and > 500 mg/kg bw/d) following a standard test guideline (OECD TG 408) where the LOAEL symptoms are reported.

2.3 Hypothesis of the category

The premise for this read-across case study is:

- 2-Alkyl-1-akanols of intermediate chain length (i.e., C5 to C13) are direct-acting toxicants (i.e., metabolic activation and detoxification is not a major factor in toxicity) with a similar reversible mode of action (i.e., non-polar narcosis or simple anaesthesia).
- The chemical category is based on simple structure similarities- C-atom chain length and 2-alkan-1-ol hydrocarbon scaffolding.

- With C5 to C13 2-alkanol-1-ol derivatives, C-atom chain length affects most physico chemical properties with property values increasing with increasing chain length.
 However, this trend is not toxicologically significant and does not significantly affect
 bioavailability in sub-chronic oral exposure.
 - These 2-alkyl-1-akanols are rapidly and nearly completely absorbed from the gut and distributed in the blood in solution; first past metabolism leads to glucuronidation with subsequent elimination in the urine and/or oxidative metabolism in the liver resulting in a carboxylic acid, which subsequently undergoes mitochondrial β-oxidation, and/or resulting in additional polar metabolites which are glucuronidated prior to excretion in the urine.
 - Toxicodynamically, these 2-alkyl-1-akanols are highly similar. Briefly, in vivo they
 exhibit low systemic toxicity and in vitro they exhibit no chemical reactivity or
 receptor-mediated interactions.
 - Repeated-dose tested NOAEL data for 2-ethyl-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol can be read across to other category members listed in Table 3 with acceptable uncertainty.

3. Results

- 3.1. Read-across Justification
- 3.1.1 Rodent repeated-dose toxicity for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol
- From a repeated-dose perspective, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is well-studied. More specifically, in a 90-day study similar in design to an OECD TG408, Fischer F344 rats were administered doses of 0, 25, 125, 250 or 500 mg 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw/d by gavage [32]. A NOAEL of 125

mg/kg bw/d based on reduced body weight and body weight gain, changes in blood chemistry were reported.

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

A second sub-chronic gavage study is reported by the same authors [33] in which Fischer rats were exposed to doses of 0, 25, 250 and 500 mg/kg bw/d. Relative weight changes are reported for kidney and liver, as well as a decrease of alanine aminotransferase at 250 mg/kg bw/d. Further weight changes occurred in brain, testes and stomach at highest dose, together with a slight decrease in body weight. Changes in clinical chemistry parameters were reported, including an increased activity of the enzyme palmitoyl coenzyme A activity (pCoA), decrease of cholesterol, total protein and albumin, as well as an increase in reticulocytes. Since no doses between 25 and 250 were tested, the NOAEL of this study is 25 mg/kg bw/d. In a chronic Fischer F344 rat study, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was administered by gavage at doses of 0, 50, 150 or 500 mg/kg bw/d, 5 days per week for 2 years [34]. Food consumption, body weights, and haematological parameters were examined at specific intervals during the study. At the end of the study, gross and histopathological examinations were conducted. No treatment-related adverse effects were observed at the 50 mg/kg bw/d dose level. At the 150 mg/kg bw/d dose level, rats exhibited a body weight gain reduction of approximately 16% in males and 12% in females. An increase of brain and liver weight also is reported. However, no histopathological changes were observed at same or higher doses. In addition, the rats also displayed a slightly increased incidence of clinical signs, such as poor general condition and laboured breathing. We conclude that the NOAEL for this study is 150 mg/kg bw/d. Shorter-term repeated dose studies are also available for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. In an 11-day study, Fischer 344 rats were exposed by gavage at doses of 0, 100, 330, 1000 and 1500 mg/kg bw/d

[35]. From 330 mg/kg bw/d on, atrophy of the thymus was reported being most pronounced at

1500 mg/kg bw/d. At 1000 mg/kg bw/d a decrease in reticulocytes and clinical chemistry parameters such as cholesterol, glucose and ALAT was reported, as well as a marked inflammation of the forestomach. At highest tested dose, additional adverse effects were reported, including focal hepatocellular necrosis, hepatocellular hypertrophy and several organ weight changes. Transient clinical signs were reported at 1000 and 1500 mg/kg bw/d, namely ataxia, lethargia and lateral and abdominal posturing. A NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d was determined. A second short-term gavage study was done with Fischer rats exposed to doses of 0, 100, 320 and 950 mg/kg bw/d for 28 days [36]. At the highest dose of 950 mg/kg bw/d body weight gain was reduced and kidney and liver weight and triglycerides were increased. At 320 mg/kg bw/d an induction of peroxisome proliferation was observed, as well as hepatic cyanide-insensitive palmitoyl coenzyme A activity (pCoA). At 100 mg/kg bw/d a reduction of neutral lipids in liver is reported; however, we do not consider this toxicologically relevant and, thus, we conclude the NOAEL for this study to be 100 mg/kg bw/d. In a 90-day study, B6C3F1 mice received doses of 0, 25, 125, 250 or 500 mg 2-ethyl-1hexanol/kg bw/d [26] and the 90-day oral NOEL was noted as 125 mg/kg bw/d. In another B6C3F1 mouse study, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol mice were administered by gavage at doses of 0, 50, 200 or 750 mg/kg bw/d, five days per week for 18 months [32]. Food consumption, body weights and haematological parameters were examined at specific intervals during the study. At the end of the study, gross and histopathological examinations were conducted. While no treatment-related adverse effects were observed in the mice receiving 50 or 200 mg 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw/d, at the 750 mg/kg bw/d dose level, body weight gain reductions of

approximately 26 and 24% in males and females, respectively. Further high dose effects

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

consist of changes in haematology (lymphocytes, neutrophil increase after 12 months), weight changes of different organs (kidney, liver), and hyperplasia in the forestomach. We conclude the NOAEL for this study to be 200 mg/kg bw/d.

3.1.2 Rodent repeated-dose toxicity for 2-propyl-1-heptanol

In an OECD TG 408 test, oral 90-day repeated-dose assay, male and female Fischer 344 rats were exposed via gavage to 0, 30, 150 and 600 mg/kg bw/d of 2-propyl-1-heptanol [29]. Histopathological findings at 600 mg/kg bw/d include diffuse liver hypertrophy, likely the result of peroxisome proliferation, diffuse hypertrophy of follicular cells in the thyroid gland, and vacuolation of basophilic (thyrotropic) cells in the glandular part of the pituitary gland. Additionally, alterations based on clinical signs were observed at 600 mg/kg bw/d. Disregarding peroxisomal proliferation, the NOAEL for this study was 150 mg/kg bw/d.

3.1.3 Other related rodent repeated-dose studies

Isotridecanol (i.e., C13-rich mixture of iso-alcohols of C11-14, CAS No. 68526-86-3) was tested by gavage to Sprague–Dawley rats [14]. In a 90-day study, according to OECD TG 408 with doses of 0, 100, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw/d, the NOAEL of 100 mg/kg bw/d was reported [14].

While ECHA CHEM notes a reliable read-across from 3-methyl-1-butanol to 2-methyl-1-butanol, the current study disregarded these data. This decision was based on the finding of Strubelt and co-workers. [7]. Data (see Table 1) for the C5 primary alkanols exposure 65.1 mmol/l for 2 hours suggest that 2-methyl-1-butanol may not be in the same read-across category as 3-methyl-1-butanol or n-pentanol.

In summary, two 2-alkyl-1-akanols (i.e., 2-ethyl-hexanol and, 2-propyl-1-heptanol) have high quality quantitative (e.g., OECD TG 408) 90-day oral exposure repeated-dose test data. These data exhibit qualitative and quantitative consistency between and within rodent species. Specifically, results of oral repeated-dose testing for these two source substances suggest mild changes consistent with low-grade effects, including decreased body weight, accompanied by clinical chemical and haematological changes but generally without concurrent histopathological effects. While it can be argued that these effects are not adverse, we still considered them in determining the NOAEL. The 90-day oral exposure repeated-dose NOAEL values \geq 125 mg/kg bw/d are based on experimental results from a four dose exposure scenario, typically 0, <100, between 100 and 200, >200 and \geq 500. While there is not repeated-dose toxicity data for 2-methyl derivatives, they are included in the category.

3.2. Applicability domain

As previously noted, the applicability domain for this case study is confined to branched primary alkanols of intermediate size, C5 to C13. Straight-chain derivatives, which exhibit a different toxicokinetic profile, are excluded from this chemical category. Briefly, metabolism of straight-chain saturated alcohols resulting in the corresponding carboxylic acid, which subsequently undergoes mitochondrial β -oxidation to CO₂ with only minor amounts of Phase 2 glucuronidation [2].

3.3. Purity/impurities

A purity/impurity profile for the analogues listed in Table 3 is not reported. No effort was made to take into account impurities based on production. Since the category is structurally

273 limited, the impurities are expected to be similar if not the same across the members and are 274 not expected to significantly impact the toxicity profile of any analogue. 275 3.4 Data matrices for assessing similarity 276 As earlier noted, in order for a read-across prediction to be accepted, there is the requirement to 277 establish similarity between the source and target substance; toxicokinetic similarity, especially 278 for metabolism, and toxicodynamic similarity, especially in regard to mechanistic plausibility 279 is required for repeated dose-toxicity endpoints [1, 2]. 280 3.4.1 Structural similarity 281 As demonstrated in Tables 1 and 3 of the supplemental information, all the branched alkanols 282 included in the category are structurally highly similar. Specifically, they: 1) belong to a 283 common chemical class, aliphatic alcohols and the subclasses primary alkanols and 2-alkyl-1-284 alkanols, and 2) possess a similar molecular scaffolding, a C-atom backbone with alkyl 285 branching in the 2-position. Structurally, the main variations are the length of the backbone, 286 C5-C11 and the length of the alkyl-substituent, C1-C3. 287 3.4.2 Chemical property similarity 288 As demonstrated in Table 2 of the supplemental information, all the primary alkanols included 289 in the category have a large portion of their physio-chemical properties determined 290 experimentally. Properties, with the exception of density and pKa, tread in values related to C-291 atom number within a scaffold. Specifically, all category members exhibit molecular weights 292 from 88 to 200 g/mol. While hydrophobicity (log Kow) increases with number of C-atoms

from >1.0 to <6.0, density and pKa are constant at 0.8 g/cm³ and 15. While vapour pressure

and water solubility decrease with molecular size, melting point and boiling point increase with molecular size.

3.4.3 Chemical constituent similarity

As demonstrated in Table 3 of the supplemental information, all the branched primary alkanols included in the category have common constituents in the form of: 1) a single key substituent, OH, and 2) structural fragments, CH₃, CH₂ and CH.

3.4.4 Toxicokinetic similarity

As demonstrated in Table 4 of the supplemental information, while the analogues tested are limited, the toxicokinetic understanding of 2-position branched primary alkanol is fairly complete. Two-alkyl-1-alkanols are rapidly absorbed following oral administration [13] and are rapidly excreted [37]. Data for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and to a lesser extent 2-methyl-1-butanol and 2-ethyl-1-butanol demonstrate that branched primary alcohols exhibit common metabolic pathways. These metabolic pathways include oxidation of the alcohol group and oxidation of the side chain at various positions, glucuronidation of the oxidation products and decarboxylation [37]. Glucuronidation increases with increased chain length of the alkanols [38].

Two adult male CD-strain rats (300 g) were gavaged with radiolabeled 2-ethyl-1- 14 C-hexanol (14 C- labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol; 1 μ Ci; 8.8 μ g) in cotton seed oil. Two others were given the same amount of 14 C-EH and cotton seed oil but also were given 0.1 ml (0.64 mmol) of unlabeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. Following administration, rats were housed in metabolism cages and expired CO₂, urine, and faeces were collected every hour for 28 hrs. Most (99.8%) of the

orally administered radioactivity was accounted for by radioactivity in expired CO₂, urine, faeces, an ethanol wash of the metabolism cage at the end of the experiment, heart, brain, liver, kidneys, and "residual carcass". Two-ethyl-1-hexanol was efficiently absorbed following oral administration and rapidly excreted in respired CO₂ (6-7%), urine (80-82%), and faeces (8-9%); elimination was essentially complete by 28 hrs [10, 27, 37]. Deisinger et al. [39, 40] examined the elimination of ¹⁴C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in rats. After oral administration to rats, 69-75% of a dose of 500 mg ¹⁴C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw was excreted in the urine within 96 hours; about 13 to 15% of the dose was excreted in the faeces and about the same amount was exhaled as ¹⁴C-labeled CO₂. After intravenous administration to rats, about 74% of a dose of 1 mg ¹⁴C-labeled 2-ethyl-1-hexanol/kg bw was excreted in the urine within 96 hours. About 4% of the dose was excreted in the faeces and 23% was exhaled. More than 50% of the dose was excreted within 8 hours and the terminal half-life was estimated to be 60 hours [39, 40]. Haggard et al. [41] examined the metabolic fate of 2-methyl-1-butanol in rats. Specifically, intraperitoneal injection in four equal doses of 250mg/kg bw at 15-min intervals resulted in a maximum blood concentration of 550 mg/l. Blood concentration decreased over the next nine hours. Of the total dose of 1000mg/kg bw, only 5.6% was excreted in air and 2% in the urine. The remainder was metabolised, first to the corresponding aldehyde and then to the acid [41]. After a single oral dose of 25 mmoles of 2-methyl-1-butanol to rabbits [15], 9.6% of the dose was excreted in the urine as glucuronides. Glucuronide excretion occurred within 24 hours, the urine did not contain aldehydes or ketones. Iwersen and Schmoldt [42] studied the alcohol dehydrogenase-independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols (oxidation and glucuronidation). Briefly, male Sprague-Dawley rats were pre-treated with 10% ethanol in the drinking water for

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

two weeks. Rats were sacrificed and microsomes were prepared for glucuronidation experiments and trials, as well as oxidation experiments with aliphatic alcohols. *In vitro* experiments have demonstrated additional oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol by rat liver microsomes via CYP P450 enzymes and glucuronidation. At very low ethanol concentrations (5-10 mmo/L) competitive inhibiting effect of ethanol on oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol was observed [42].

A rabbit was given 2.55g of 2-ethyl-1-butanol and the 24-hr urine was collected [16]. 2-Ethyl-

1-butanol was excreted mainly as glucuronides, along with a minor amount of methyl n-propyl ketone.

3.4.5 Metabolic similarity

As demonstrated in Table 5 of Annex I with data from *in silico* predictions, it is highly likely that all of the category members undergo successive oxidation to their corresponding aldehyde and carboxylic acid [43, 44].

Kamil et al. [15, 16] examined the metabolic fate of 2-methyl-1-hexanol in rats. Via acid extraction of urine, the major urinary metabolite of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was revealed to be 2-ethyl hexanoic acid. This metabolite may undertake partial β-oxidation and decarboxylation to produce ¹⁴CO₂ and 2- and 4-heptanone (in the urine). Other urinary metabolites identified in this study were 2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-5-ketohexanoic acid, and 2-ethyl-1,6-hexanedioic acid. Approximately 3% of the parent compound was excreted unchanged. Metabolic saturation was seen with 500 mg/kg body weight applied [15, 16].

Tremoone sucuration was seen with 500 mg/kg 50dy weight applied [16, 16].

Typically, the presence of a side chain does not terminate the oxidation process of alkanols.

However, in most cases, it alters it. The position and size of the alkyl substituent plays a role in

361 and increasing chain length. 362 Alkyl acids formed during metabolic transformation of branched alkanols have their own set of 363 metabolic pathways. Acids with a methyl substituent located at an even-numbered carbon (e.g., 364 2-methyl pentanoic acid or 4-methyl decanoic acid) are extensively metabolised to CO₂ via β-365 oxidative cleavage in the fatty acid pathway. If the methyl group is located at the 3-position, \(\beta \)-366 oxidation is inhibited and omega (ω-) oxidation predominates, primarily leading to polar, 367 acidic metabolites capable of being further oxidised or conjugated and excreted in the urine 368 [44]. As chain length and lipophilicity increase, ω-oxidation competes with β-oxidative 369 cleavage. Methyl substituted acids (e.g., 3-methylnonanoic acid) are, to some extent, ω-370 oxidized in animals to form diacids which can be detected in the urine [45]. 371 Oxidation of these branched fatty acids is accomplished by alpha (α -) oxidation. α -Oxidation is 372 a complex catabolic process. It initially involves hydroxylation of the α -C atom. Subsequently, 373 the terminal carboxyl group is removed, and there is a concomitant conversion of the α -374 hydroxyl group to a new terminal carboxyl group. Lastly, there is a linking of CoA to the 375 terminal carboxyl group. This new branched, fatty acyl-CoA functions in the β-oxidation. In 376 humans, α-oxidation is used in peroxisomes to break down dietary branched acids which 377 cannot undergo β -oxidation due to β -methyl branching. 378 Metabolism of methyl-substituted alcohols is determined primarily by the position of the 379 methyl group(s) on the hydrocarbon-chain. Following successive oxidation to the 380 corresponding carboxylic acids, the branched-chain acids are metabolised via β-oxidation. 381 With longer branched-chain derivatives, this is followed by cleavage to yield linear acid 382 fragments which are typically completely metabolised to CO₂. At high-dose levels, the longer

metabolism with degradation to CO₂ decreasing and glucuronidation increasing with branching

branched-chain acids may go through omega-oxidation to yield diacids, which subsequently 384 may undergo further oxidation and cleavage. 385 The presence of an ethyl- or propyl-substitution at the α -position, such as in 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 386 inhibits β-oxidation [46]. Detoxication pathways of ω- and ω-1 oxidation compete with β-387 oxidation of these sterically hindered substances; the parent alcohol or corresponding 388 carboxylic acid undergoes a combination of reactions (e.g., ω - or ω -1 oxidation and functional 389 group oxidation) leading to polar, acidic metabolites capable of being excreted in the urine [40, 390 45]. When the principal pathway is saturated, the corresponding carboxylic acid conjugates 391 with glucuronic acid and is excreted in the urine [, 37, 40, 45]. 392 One of the best studied 2-postion branched carboxylic acid is 2-propyl pentanoic acid (valproic 393 acid). The toxicokinetic aspects of 2-propyl pentanoic acid have been reviewed [47, 48]. 2-394 Propyl pentanoic acid is almost entirely metabolised by the liver, so it is not surprising that the 395 liver is also the dominant target organ of toxicity. The multiple metabolic pathways involved in 396 2-propyl pentanoic acid biotransformation give rise to more than 50 known metabolites [47]. 397 Ghodke-Puranik and co-workers [48] estimate that, while 30 - 50% of 2-propyl pentanoic acid 398 is excreted in the urine as a glucuronide conjugate, 40% goes through mitochondrial β-399 oxidation and about 10% undergoes cytochrome P450-mediated oxidation. It has been 400 postulated that the hepatotoxicity of 2-propyl-pentanoic acid results from the mitochondrial β-401 oxidation of its cytochrome P450 metabolite, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid to 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-402 pentadienoic acid which, in the CoA thioester form, either depletes GSH or produces a putative 403 inhibitor of β-oxidation enzymes. Pent-4-enoate, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid and 2-propyl-(E)-404 2,4-pentadienoic acid are potent inducers of microvesicular steatosis in rats [49]. However, 405 since 2-propyl-pentanoic acid failed to induce discernible liver lesions in young rats, even at

near lethal doses of 700 mg/kg/day, Kesterson et al. [49] suggested that β-oxidation inhibition observed in both valproic acid and unsaturated metabolite-treated rats occurred by different mechanisms. Specifically, 2-propyl pentanoic acid inhibits transient sequestering of CoA, while the CoA esters of some metabolites, particularly 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid, inhibit specific enzyme(s) in the β -oxidation sequences [49]. Ghodke-Puranik et al. [48] rationalised the involvement of 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid. Specifically, 2-propyl-4-pentenoic acid enters the mitochondria, forms a complex with CoA ester and subsequent β-oxidation forms the reactive 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-pentadienoic acid-CoA ester. The latter is the putative cytotoxic metabolite that binds with glutathione to form thiol conjugates. The reactive metabolite, 2-propyl-(E)-2,4-pentadienoic acid-CoA ester, has the potential to deplete mitochondrial glutathione pools and form conjugates with CoA, which in turn inhibits enzymes in the β -oxidation pathway [48]. In summary, the experimental toxicokinetic data for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols show consistency in absorption, distribution and metabolic pathways. In contrast, there is less consistency in excretion. In particular, derivatives with 2-position ethyl and propyl groups are more likely to be excreted as a glucuronidated metabolite, while 2-position-methylated analogues are more likely to be oxidized to CO₂. The latter are metabolically similar to the less toxic n-alkanols [2]. The metabolic evidence supporting the idea that some 2-position branched carboxylic acids are metabolised to thiol reactive metabolites is not considered toxicologically relevant to this read-across, as repeated-dose toxicity through a reactive mechanism is considered unlikely as long as the reactive half-life is shorter than the dosing interval (e.g., <8-hr vs. 24-hr) and the Phase 2 conjugation mechanism is not saturated.

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

3.4.6 Toxicophore similarity

As shown in Table 6 of the supplemental information, 2-alkyl-1-akanols themselves do not contain a known toxicophore. However, the carboxylic acid metabolites of the same 2-position branched isomers (e.g., 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol) are linked to developmental toxicity and chronic oral toxicity via the short-chain carboxylic acid pathway [50].

3.4.7 Mechanistic plausibility similarity

It is generally accepted that the toxicity of intermediate size 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, like other saturated alcohols, is the result of narcosis. While there is theoretical evidence for the membrane as the site of action for anaesthetic-like 2-alkyl-1-alkanols, biochemical, cellular and physiological evidence is largely restricted to 1-alkanol derivatives [20, 21]. Narcosis, in the broadest sense, is the non-covalent disruption of hydrophobic interactions within membranes with a particular volume fraction rather than molar fraction [51]. It is the accumulation of alcohols in cell membranes which disturbs their function; however, the exact mechanism is not known yet. There are three competing theories of general anaesthetic action: 1) the lipid solubility-anaesthetic potency correlation (i.e., the Meyer-Overton correlation); 2) the modern lipid hypothesis and 3) the membrane protein hypothesis.

As shown in Table 7 of Annex I, the alkanols included in the category are associated with the simple narcosis mechanism of toxicity that is equivalent to depressant anaesthetics. Measured acute toxicity for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is consistent with predictions from QSAR models [52, 53] for the nonpolar narcosis mode of action [54].

The contributions of functional groups in acute rat oral toxicity have been calculated using alkanes as the baseline [55]. The toxic contribution of alcohols is -0.108. This situation has not been observed in acute fish toxicity because the threshold of excess toxicity is too high to distinguish differences in toxicity. Critical body residues (CBRs) calculated from percentage of absorption and bioconcentration factors indicate that most of aliphatic alcohols share the same modes of toxic action between fish and rat. Specifically, fish and rat log (1/CBR) and number of alcohols are 1.65; 18 and 1.58; 348, respectively [55]. It should be noted that some 2-alkyl-1-alkanols are associated with development toxicity via their conversion to the corresponding 2-alkyl-carboxcylic acids. The experimental evidence is largely confined to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and the results are mixed. In rats administrated 1600 mg/kg bw 2-ethyl-1-hexanol by gavage (but not 800 mg/kg bw) on day 12 of gestation, Ritter et al. [56] reported a statistically significant increase in the number of teratogenic live fetuses; malformations included hydronephrosis, tail and limb defects. Maternal toxicity was not reported in this study. In another study, Ritter et al. [57] proposed that the teratogen di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate acts by in vivo hydrolysis to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, which in turn is metabolised to the definitive teratogen 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid. They conducted teratological studies with Wistar rats administering one of the three agents on day 12 of gestation. Briefly, it was revealed that, on an equimolar basis, the phthalate derivative was least potent, the alcohol derivative was intermediate, and the acid derivative was most potent. Similarity in the types of malformation induced by each derivative suggests a common mechanism of action. In toto, these findings are consistent with the hypothesis [57].

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

Two-ethyl-1-hexanol was evaluated for developmental toxicity in mice [58]. There were no effects on any gestational parameters upon exposure to dietary 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. Specifically, the number of corpora lutea, uterine implantation sites (live, dead, resorbed), pre- and postimplantation loss, sex ratio (% males), and live fetal body weight per litter (all foetuses or separately by sex) were all equivalent across all groups. Moreover, there were no maternal toxic effects observed at any of the concentrations tested [58]. Tyl et al. [59] examined the developmental toxicity of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol administered dermally. In range-finding (8 females / treatment) and definitive investigations (25 females / treatment), 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was administered by occluded dermal application for 6-hours per day on gestation days 6 through 15 to pregnant Fischer 344 rats. Treatment levels for rangefinding were equivalent to 0, 420, 840, 1680, and 2520 mg/kg bw/d; treatment levels for definitive experiments were equivalent to 0, 252, 840, and 2520 mg/kg bw/d. Controls included negative- deionised water, dermal-positive- 2-methoxyethanol and oral reference - valproic acid. For 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, the findings are: 1) maternal weight gain was reduced at the two highest dose levels, 2) maternal liver, kidney, thymus, spleen, adrenal and uterine weights, as well as gestational and foetal parameters were unaffected by any treatment, and 3) there were no treatment-related increases in the incidence of individual or pooled external, visceral, and skeletal malformations or variations. The dermal NOAELs for the maternal toxicity of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol were 252 mg/kg/d based on skin irritation and 840 mg/kg/d based on systemic toxicity. The developmental toxicity NOAEL was at least 2520 mg/kg/d, with no teratogenicity. While the Fischer 344 rat is susceptible to known rodent teratogens, such as 2methoxyethanol by the dermal route and valproic acid by the oral route, in the Fischer 344 rat,

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

2-ethyl-1-hexanol is not a developmental toxicant by the dermal route at and below treatment levels which produce maternal toxicity.

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

Narotsky et al. [60] studied the developmental toxicity and structure-activity relationships of aliphatic acids in rats. 14 acids were administered by gavage to Sprague-Dawley rats once daily during organogenesis. Only 2-ethyl hexanoic and 2-propyl hexanoic acid caused effects similar to valproic acid (i.e., mortality, extra pre-sacral vertebrae, fused ribs, and delayed parturition) on rat development. Developmental toxicity of α -branched acids is, in part, due to maternal toxicity resulting in alterations in zinc (Zn) metabolism that affects the developing conceptus [61]. Developmentally toxic doses of 2-ethyl hexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and valproic acid on Zn metabolism were investigated in the pregnant rat. At the higher dose levels of 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, and at all dosages of valproic acid, the percentage of ⁶⁵Zn retained in maternal liver was higher than controls, while that in the embryos was lower than controls. Two-ethyl-1- hexanoic acid exposed dams fed Zn-containing diets during gestation exhibited a dose-dependent reduction in teratogenic effects. Toxicokinetic parameters are important determinants of teratogenic outcome of α-alkylsubstituted carboxylic acids, which helps explain differing potencies of structurally similar chemicals [62]. Valproic acid (2-propyl-1- pentanoic acid), 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid, and 1-

substituted carboxylic acids, which helps explain differing potencies of structurally similar chemicals [62]. Valproic acid (2-propyl-1- pentanoic acid), 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid, and 1-octanoic acid are isomeric analogues with markedly different teratogenic potencies. Valproic acid induces moderate to severe malformations after a single oral administration of 6.25 mmoles/kg on day 12 of rat pregnancy. Twice as much 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid (12.5 mmoles/kg) induces a less severe response and 1-octanoic acid is non-teratogenic, even at the higher dose of 18.75 mmoles/kg [62]. While 1-octanoic acid exhibits poor intestinal absorption, the peak concentration and duration of exposure to valproic acid and 2-ethyl-1-

517 hexanoic acid were very similar. A fourth agent, 2-methyl-1-hexanoic acid, which is non-518 teratogenic when administered orally at 14.1 mmoles/kg, exhibits peak concentration and 519 duration of exposure intermediate to 2-ethyl-1-hexanoic acid and 1-octanoic acid. The 520 differences in the severity of developmental malformations for the α -alkyl-substituted 521 derivatives indicated higher intrinsic activity for analogues with C2 and especially, C3 α-alkyl-522 substituents. 523 In summary, there is reasonable evidence that some 2-alkyl-1-alkanols via oxidation to their 524 corresponding acid are probable development toxicants. However, there is no evidence that this 525 mechanism is related to repeated-dose toxicity. 526 3.4.8 Other endpoint similarity 527 In mammals, alkanols, in general, are considered baseline inhalation toxicants which model as 528 simple narcotics [53]. 529 In fish, alkanols are considered to act via the nonpolar narcosis mode of action, as first reported 530 by Veith et al. [52]. Alkanols are also represented within the USEPA DSSTox Fathead 531 Minnow Acute Toxicity (EPAFHM) database. They exhibit toxic potencies not statistically 532 different from baseline predictions. Because of concerns for aquatic toxicity, a large number of 533 alcohols, especially saturated ones, have been tested in vitro for cell population growth 534 inhibition [63]. Structure-activity results from in vivo and in vitro tests are highly consistent 535 [64]. Briefly, from a structural standpoint, the aquatic toxicity of alkanols is partition-536 dependent, regardless of endpoint being assessed. 537 Generally, *in vitro*, alkanols ascribed to unspecific interactions with biological membranes; 538 such effects are directly correlated with 1-octanol/water partition coefficients [65]. The 2alkyl-1-alkanols were screened with a variety of in silico nuclear receptor binding predictions [66]. Specifically, profilers for nuclear receptor binding were run to identify potential binding to the following nuclear receptors: PPARs (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors), AR (androgen receptor), AHR (aryl hydrocarbon receptor), ER (oestrogen receptor), GR (glucocorticoid receptor), PR (progesterone receptor), FXR (farnesoid X receptor), LXR (liver X receptor), PXR (pregnane X receptor), THR (thyroid hormone receptor), VDR (vitamin D receptor), as well as RAR/RXR (retinoic acid receptor/ retinoid X receptor). The evaluation of potential binding to the receptors is based on structural fragments and physico-chemical features that have been identified as essential to bind to these nuclear receptors and induce a response. No potential receptor binding was predicted. It is worth noting that ToxCast also tested for all of these receptors, and all assays were negative. HTS data from US EPA's ToxCast [67, 68] are available for a variety of saturated alcohols [69]. Of the 711 assays available in ToxCast ToxCast, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol has been evaluated in 602 of them and 2-propyl-1-heptanol has been assessed in about 250 assays. The number of active assays varies, six for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and four for 2-propyl-1-heptanol. No other category members have been screened by ToxCast. However, alkanols, in general, are one of the least promiscuous chemical classes with < 3% of the ToxCast assays show any activity up to highest concentration tested. None of the active assay are associated with specific bioactivity [2]. Taken collectively, the findings for other endpoints are not inconsistent with the previously cited in vivo data and the premise that in oral repeated-dose toxicity, 2-alkyl-1-alkanols act in a manner similar to depressant anaesthetics.

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

4. Statement of uncertainty

The categorical assessments of uncertainties along with summary comments are presented in Tables 4 and 5. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols are a category with acceptable data uncertainty and robust strengths-of-evidence for repeated-dose toxicity. Briefly, chemical dissimilarity has no impact on repeated-dose toxicity. Data uncertainty with the fundamental aspects of toxicokinetics is low. Regardless of the species of mammal, all such category members are judged to be readily absorbed orally and to have similar distributions metabolism elimination as glucuronides. Data uncertainty with the fundamental aspects of toxicodynamics is low, in that category members exhibit a low-toxic profile with respect to *in vivo* repeated-dose NOAEL and LOAEL values.

The uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and completeness of the read-across is acceptable. While relevant non-animal data are minimal, the *in vivo* WoE is high. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols are thought to be associated with the nonpolar narcosis mechanisms of toxicity. While well-studied, this molecular mechanism is not well-understood and no adverse outcome pathway (AOP) is currently available. Moreover, it is unclear if oral repeated-dose toxicity is related to this mechanism; however, there is no evidence to suggest it is not.

Table 4. Assessment of data uncertainty and strengths-of-evidence associated with the fundamentals of chemical, transformation/toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic similarity.

Similarity Parameter	Data Uncertainty ^a	Strength-of- Evidence ^b	Comment
Substance identification, structure and chemical classifications	Low	High	All category members are discrete organic substance of simple structure. They all have CAS numbers, similar 2D structure and belong to the same chemical class and subclass.
Physio-chem & molecular properties	Empirical: Low	High	All category members are appropriately similar with respect to key physicochemical and molecular properties. Where appropriate (e.g., log Kow) changes in values are linked to

Similarity Parameter	Data Uncertainty ^a	Strength-of- Evidence ^b	Comment
	Modelled: Low		changes in C-atom number. There is a high degree of consistency between measured and model estimated values.
Substituents, functional groups, & extended structural fragments	Low	High	Substituents and functional groups are consistent across all category members. There are no extended structural fragments.
Transformation/t oxicokinetics and metabolic similarity	Empirical: In vivo: Medium In vitro: none Simulated: Medium	Medium	Based on <i>in vivo</i> data for multiple category members, there is evidence for similar toxicokinetics and metabolic pathways. It is extremely likely that absorption and distribution are consistent within the category. It is likely that the metabolic pathways are consistent with the category. Comparison of results from empirical studies and model predictions indicate similar metabolism among category members. Experimental data support the idea that 2-alkyl-alkanols often undergo oxidation of the alcohol group to an acid with degradation to CO ₂ , as well as oxidation or hydroxylation of the alkyl chains at various positions, and subsequent glucuronidation prior to excretion. There is evidence the % of glucuronidation varies within the category; higher % of glucuronidation is associated with 2-position branching > C1. There is also evidence supporting the idea that some 2-position branched carboxylic acids are metabolised to thiol reactive metabolites which exhibit enhanced cellular toxicity. Bioavailability while affected by size is not considered a factor in these predictions.
Potential metabolic products	Simulated: Low	High	Based on <i>in silico</i> metabolic simulations, metabolites from hydroxylation and oxidation are predicted to be produced by any of the category members.
Toxicophores /mechanistic alerts	Medium	High	Based on <i>in silico</i> profilers, no category member contains any established toxicophores related to repeated-dose toxicity.
Mechanistic plausibility and AOP-related events	Medium	High	Although no AOP is currently available for the hypothesized mode of action, many category members have been tested for what is generally accepted as mechanistically-relevant events (i.e., anaesthesia and narcosis).
Other relevant, in vivo, in vitro and ex vivo endpoints	Low	High	Although not directly related to the repeated-dose endpoint, many category members have been tested for <i>in vivo</i> acute effects in rodents and fish. In addition, many category members have been tested <i>in vitro</i> for cellular effects. There is general agreement in the trend of the reported LD50, LC50 and EC50 values. The primary alkanols (both straight-chain and branched) are among the "least promiscuous chemical classes" (i.e., only 104 of 4412 assay are positive) within ToxCast with no positive assay being associated with specific bioactivity. None of the 2-alkyl-1-

Similarity	Data	Strength-of-	Comment
Parameter	Uncertainty ^a	Evidence ^b	
			alkanols reveal any propensity for receptor binding within the SEURAT-1 suite of <i>in silico</i> profilers.

^a Uncertainty associated with underlying information/data used in the exercise (empirical, modelled; low, medium, high)

^bConsistency within the information/data used to support the similarity rational and prediction (low, medium, high)

Table 5. Assessment of uncertainty associated with mechanistic relevance and completeness of the read-across.

	TT	
Factor	Uncertainty or WoEa	Comment
The problem and	Low	The endpoint to be read across, oral 90-day repeated-dose
premise of the read-		toxicity, for 2-alkyl-1-alkanols is well-studied and fairly well-
across		understood mechanistically. The scenario of the read-across
		hinges on metabolism affecting toxic potency but not the mode of
		toxic action (i.e., reversible narcosis). 2-alkyl-1-alkanols,
		themselves, have no obvious chemical reactivity, do not bind to
		any know receptor and exhibit no specific receptor interactions.
		ivo data read across
Number of analogues	Low; 2 of 12 analogues	There are two suitable category members (i.e., 2-ethyl-1-hexanol,
in the source set		2-propyl-1-heptanol) with high quality in vivo 90-day, oral
		repeated-dose data usable for read-across.
Quality of the <i>in vivo</i>	Low	Generally, the <i>in vivo</i> data are consistent in regards to qualitative
apical endpoint data		description of repeated-dose effects. Lowest observed effects are
read across		typically haematological or whole body parameters and not
		organ-specific effects. High quality empirical data from accepted
		guidelines for the 90-day repeated-dose endpoint exist for 2-ethyl-
		1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol and are supported by 90-day
		oral repeated-dose toxicity data for the isotridecano1 mixture.
Severity of the apical	Low	The consensus is 2-alkyl-1-alkanols have no obvious chemical
in vivo hazard	Low	reactivity, do not bind to any known receptor and exhibit no
in vivo nazaru		specific mode of toxic action. Potency data for the <i>in vivo</i> 90-d
		oral repeated-dose NOAEL is ≈125 mg/kg bw/d based on general
	Evidence to the bi	whole body effects for both sexes.
Robustness of		iological argument for read-across The available data from acute <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> studies for the
	Low; numerous	
analogue data set	endpoints reveal the	category members is extensive with several assays being used to
	same structure-activity	assess most if not all the analogues, especially the source
	relationships.	analogues. The tests were judged to be reliable and conducted
		under the appropriate conditions.
Concordance with	Low to medium; limited	Since there is no toxicity pathway for repeated-dose effects for
regard to the	by indirect rationale	this chemical category, there are no true intermediate events.
intermediate and	(e.g., acute to chronic) of	There is agreement among the dose-response relationships of the

apical effects and potency data	mechanistic plausibility.	tested category members for relevant <i>in vitro</i> events.
Weight of Evidence	High/ medium for 2-methyl-1-alkanols	Overall the available information is mainly consistent with the stated premise. The structural limitations (i.e., 2-alkyl-1-alkanols) of the category strengthen the WoE. While the toxicokinetics data is limited, the consistency of the metabolic pathway adds to the WoE. Having two well-studied source substances with highly similar <i>in vivo</i> 90-day repeated-dose data that are supported by similar data for a mixture of C11 to C14 branched alkanols adds to the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. Having both 28-day repeated-dose and chronic (18-month and 2-year) studies for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol with qualitative and quantitative data similar to the 90-day repeated-dose data adds to the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. Having repeated-dose studies for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol with qualitative and quantitative similar data in both rat and mouse data adds to the <i>in vivo</i> WoE. The lack of <i>in vivo</i> repeated-dose data for 2-methyl derivatives reduced the WoE for including these analogues in the category.

^a Uncertainty: low, medium, high

One observed uncertainty is associated with the fact that, while 2-methyl-substituted derivatives are considered with the domain of the category, there is no *in vivo* experimental data supporting their inclusion. However, there is high quality repeated-dose data for 3-methyl-1-butanol (CAS 123-51-3). In a 90-day study with rats, according to OECD Test Guideline 408, 3-methyl-1-butanol was administered in the drinking water in concentrations of 0, ≈80, ≈340 and ≈1250 mg/kg bw/d [70]. A NOAEL of 340 mg/kg bw/d for males and 1250 mg/kg bw/d for females was reported. 3-Methyl-1-butanol was also tested in a 17-week toxicity study with Ash/CSE rats [71]. The test substance was administered by gavage to group of 15 rats/sex at dose levels of 0, 150, 500, or 1000 mg/kg bw/d in corn oil. While a variety of whole body clinical pathological and histopathological endpoints were examined, the only observed effects were a statistically significant reduced body weight in males and a non-statistical reduction in food intake at the highest dose level. A NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/d for males and 1000 mg/kg bw/d for females was reported. In addition, 3-methyl-1-butanol was administered to male and

female Wistar rats (≈2000 mg/kg bw/d) in drinking water for 56 weeks. No treatment-related effects were observed for whole body, clinical pathology or histopathological endpoints [72]. In rats, oral administration of 2000 mg 3-methyl-1-butanol /kg bw led to a peak concentration of 170 mg/l blood at 1 hour [13, 73]; more than 50% of the dose was excreted within 24 hours. In another study [41], rats were intraperitoneally administered of 250 mg/kg bw four times in 15 minute-intervals. Complete absorption of the substance was observed within 1 hr after final administration. No test substance was detectable after 4 hrs. Excretion was 2% in urine and 5.6 in expired air. Kamil et al. [15] reported after gavage administration of a dose of 25 mmol per rabbit (corresponding to ≈ 735 mg/kg bw) of 1-pentanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol, and 2-methyl-1butanol, approximately 7%, 9%, and 10% of the dose was excreted by the rabbits into urine as glucuronides, respectively. Furthermore, the urine did not contain aldehydes or ketones. It is assumed the remaining 90+% of the tested derivative was excreted as CO₂. The collective results for 3-methyl-1-butanol show it is toxicodynamically more similar to tested n-alkanols (i.e., NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/d) than it is to tested 2-alkyl-1-alkanols (i.e.,

tested n-alkanols (i.e., NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/d) than it is to tested 2-alkyl-1-alkanols (i.e. NOAEL = 125 mg/kg bw/d). Toxicokinetically, 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-butanol are highly similar to n-alkanols, especially 1-pentanol.

5. Conclusions

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

This is the third in a series of read-across case studies. This specific study is a result of findings which came to light during evaluations of n-alkanols [2]. *In vivo* oral repeated-dose exposure to 2-alkyl-1-alkanols gives rise to a set of non-specific symptoms, including clinical symptoms, haematological values outside the normal range, or whole body effects different from normal. The category limitation to C5 to C13 analogues assures that the impact of bioavailability on the

toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic profiles is limited. 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols are toxicants which act via a reversible mode of toxic action. The main route of exposure is oral with rapid gastrointestinal absorption, distribution via the blood, prompt Phase 2 metabolism and eliminated in the urine. Repeated-dose toxicity test results exhibit qualitative consistency between and within species. While protocols vary, results of oral repeated-dose testing exhibit qualitative consistency between and within mammals. Typical findings are only mild changes, including decreased body weight, slightly increased liver weight, as well as clinical chemical and haematological changes, but typically without concurrent histopathological effects. The 90-day rat oral repeated-dose NOAEL values for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol are particularly well suited for read-across. Moreover, the predictions are supported by highly similar results for an isotridecanol mixture. A NOAEL value of 125 mg/kg bw/d can be read across to fill the data gaps among the analogues in this category for the purpose of risk assessment. Specifically, the data gaps for 2propyl-1-pentanol and 2-ethyl-1-octanol are filled with very low uncertainty (very high confidence) by interpolation from 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol. The data gaps for 2-ethyl-1-butanol, 2-ethyl-1-pentanol, 2-ethyl-1-decanol and 2-propyl-1-decanol are filled with low uncertainty (high confidence) by extrapolation from 2-ethyl-1-hexanol and 2-propyl-1-heptanol. The data gaps for 2-methyl-1-butanol, 2-methyl-1-pentanol, 2-methyl-1-octanol and 2-methyl-1-undecanol are filled with acceptable uncertainty as worst-case scenarios. The latter uncertainty results from incomplete knowledge of how a methyl group, rather than an

ethyl or propyl moiety, affects the ratio of excretion in respired CO₂, in urine as a conjugate

and in faeces, a as well as repeated-dose toxic potency.

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

6. Acknowledgements

This work was funded in part by the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine. TWS acknowledges funding by Cosmetics Europe, the personal care association. KRP, ANR, CLM and MTDC acknowledge funding from the COSMOS Project, which was funded by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement number 266835 and Cosmetics Europe.

650

651

644

645

646

647

648

649

7. References

- 652 [1] Przybylak, K.R., Schultz, T.W., Richarz, A.-N., Mellor, C.L., Escher, S.E., and Cronin,
- M.T.D. 2016. Read-across of 90-day rat oral repeated-dose toxicity: A case study for
- selected β-olefinic alcohols. Computational Toxicology.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2016.11.001
- 656 [2] Schultz, T.W., Przybylak, K.R., Richarz, A.-N., Mellor, C.L., Escher, S.E., Bradbury, S.P.
- and Cronin, M.T.D. 2017. Read-across of 90-day rat oral repeated-dose toxicity: A Case
- Study for selected n-alkanols. Computational Toxicology.
- 659 [3] Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30
- November 2009 on cosmetic products, replacing Directive 76/768/EC. Off. J. Eur. Union.
- 661 L 342: 59-209.
- [4] Cronin, M.T.D., Madden, J.C., Enoch S.J., Roberts, D.W., (Eds.), 2013. Chemical Toxicity
- Prediction: Category Formation and Read-Across Applications. The Royal Society of
- 664 Chemistry, Cambridge UK.

665 [5] Teubner W. and Landsiedel, R., 2015. Read-across for hazard assessment: The ugly 666 duckling in growing up. ATLA 43: 67-71. 667 [6] Schultz, T.W., Amcoff, P. Berggren, E., Gautier, F. Klaric, M., Knight, D. J. Mahony, C. 668 Schwarz, M., White, A. and Cronin, M.T.D. 2015. A strategy for structuring and reporting 669 a read-across prediction of toxicity. Reg. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 72: 586-601. 670 [7] Strubelt, O., Deters, M., Pentz, R., Siegers, C.-P. and Younes, M. 1999. The toxic and 671 metabolic effects of 23 aliphatic alcohols in the isolated perfumed rat liver. Toxicol. Sci. 672 49: 133-142. 673 [8] Opdyke, D.L. 1973. Monographs on fragrance raw materials. Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 11: 674 95-115. 675 [9] Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD), 1995. SIDS Initial 676 Assessment Profile (SIAP) on 2-Ethylhexanol. Available at 677 http://webnet.oecd.org/Hpv/UI/handler.axd?id=ffab6db1-9916-48a0-833e-7de4fe550dc7 678 [10] Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1993. Evaluation of 679 certain food additives and contaminants. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 41st report of the Joint 680 FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Geneva, WHO Technical 681 Report Series No. 837. 682 [11] Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1999. Evaluation of 683 certain food additives and contaminants. Saturated aliphatic acyclic branched-chain 684 primary alcohols, aldehydes and acids. 49th report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert

Committee on Food Additives, WHO Geneva, WHO Technical Report Series No. 884.

- 686 [12] MAK 2012. 2-Ethylhexanol [MAK Value Documentation, 2003]. The MAK Collection
- for Occupational Health and Safety. 136–178.
- 688 [13] Belsito, D., Bickers, D., Bruze, M., Calow, P., Greim, H., Hanifin, J.M., Rogers, A.E.,
- Saurat, J.H., Sipes, I.G. and Tagami, H. 2010. A safety assessment of branched chain
- saturated alcohols when used as fragrance ingredients. Food Chem. Toxicol. 48(S4): S1-
- 691 S46.
- 692 [14] Gaillard, D. and Derache, R. 1965. Metabolisation de different alcools, present dans les
- buissons alcooliques, chez le rat. Trav. Soc. Pharm. Montp. 25: 51-62.
- 694 [15] Kamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953a. Studies in detoxication. 46. The
- 695 metabolism of aliphatic alcohols. The glucuronic acid conjugation of acyclic aliphatic
- 696 alcohols. Biochem. J. 53: 129-136.
- 697 [16] Kamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953b. Studies in detoxication. 47. The
- formation of ester glucuronides of aliphatic acids during the metabolism of 2-
- 699 ethylbutanol and 2-ethylhexanol. Biochem. J. 53: 137-140.
- 700 [17] Patocka, J. and Kuca, K. 2012. Toxic alcohols: Aliphatic saturated alcohols. Mil. Med.
- 701 Sci. Lett. (Voj. Zdrav. Listy) 81: 142-163.
- 702 [18] McCreery, M.J. and Hunt, W.A. 1978. Physico-chemical correlates of alcohol
- intoxication. Neuropharmacology 17: 451-461.
- 704 [19] McKarns, S.C., Hansch, C., Caldwell, W.S., Morgan, W.T., Moore, S.K. and Doolittle,
- D.J. 1997. Correlations between hydrophobicity of short-chain aliphatic alcohols and
- their ability to alter plasma membrane integrity. Fundam. Applied Toxicol. 36: 62-70.

- 707 [20] Fang, Z., Ionescu, P., Chortkoff, B.S., Kandel, L., Sonner, J., Laster, M.J. and Eger, E.I.
- 708 1997. Anesthetic potencies of n-alkanols: Results of additivity and solubility studies
- suggest a mechanism of action similar to that for conventional inhaled anesthetics.
- 710 Anesth. Analgesia 84: 1042-1048.
- 711 [21] McKim, J.M., Bradbury, S.P. and Niemi, G.J. 1987. Fish acute toxicity syndromes and
- their use in the QSAR approach to hazard assessment. Environ. Health Perspect. 71: 171-
- 713 186.
- 714 [22] Koleva, Y.K., Cronin, M.T., Madden, J.C. and Schwöbel, J.A. 2011. Modelling acute oral
- 715 mammalian toxicity. 1. Definition of a quantifiable baseline effect. Toxicol. In Vitro 25:
- 716 1281-1293.
- 717 [23] Rowe, V.K. and McCollister, S.B. 1982. Alcohols. In: Clayton, G.D. & Clayton, F.E., eds,
- Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 3rd Revised Ed., Vol. 2C, New York: John
- 719 Wiley & Sons, chapter 35, pp. 4527-4708.
- 720 [24] Smyth, H.F.J., Carpenter, C.P., Weil, C.S. and Pozzani, U.C. 1954. Range-finding toxicity
- data. List V. Arch. Indust. Hyg. Occup. Med.10: 61-68.
- 722 [25] Scala, R.A., Burtis, E.G. 1973. Acute toxicity of a homologous series of branched-chain
- 723 primary alcohols. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 34, 493–499.
- 724 [26] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1993.
- Subacute and subchronic oral toxicity of 2-ethylhexanol to Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1
- mice. Toxicologist 13: 70.
- 727 [27] ECHA CHEM A for 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
- 728 /registered-dossier/15194 (accessed 28.06.2016).

- 729 [28] Chvapil, M., Zahradnik, R. and Cmuchalová, B. 1962. Influence of alcohols and
- potassium salts of xanthogenic acids on various biological objects. Arch. Int.
- 731 Pharmacodyn. Ther. 135: 330-343.
- 732 [29] ECHA CHEM B for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
- 733 /registered-dossier/13788 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- 734 [30] Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) 2015. Guidance
- Document on the Reporting of Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment
- 736 (IATA). ENV/JM/HA(2015)7.
- 737 [31] European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) Registered substances. Available from:
- https://echa.europa.eu/information-on-chemicals/registered-substances.
- 739 [32] Astill, B.D., Deckardt, K., Gembardt, Chr., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Mellert,
- W., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1996a. Prechronic toxicity studies on 2-ethylhexanol in
- F334 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 29: 31-39.
- 742 [33] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W.,
- Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996b. Oncogenicity testing of 2-
- ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 31: 29-41.
- 745 [34] BASF AG, Department of Toxicology. 1992. Report on the study of the oncogenic
- potential of 2-ethylhexanol in rats after administration by gavage (aqueous emulsion), 24
- months study duration, satellite study. Unpublished report, project No. 99C0622/88045.
- 748 The Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA.
- 749 [35] BASF AG, Department of Toxicology. 1991. Report on the study of the oral toxicity of 2-
- ethylhexanol in rats after administration by gavage for 11 days (9 applications; solution

- in corn oil). Unpublished report, project No. 11C0631/87104. The Chemical
- 752 Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA.
- 753 [36] Hodgson JR. 1987. Results of peroxisome induction studies on tri(2-
- ethylhexyl)trimellitate and 2-ethylhexanol. Toxicol Ind Health. 3(2):49-61.
- 755 [37] Albro, P.W. 1975. The metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol in rats. Xenobiotica 5: 625-636.
- 756 [38] Jurowich, S., Sticht, G. and Käferstein, H. 2004. Glucuronidation of aliphatic alcohols in
- human liver microsomes in vitro. Alcohol 32: 187-194.
- 758 [39] Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1993. Pharmacokinetic studies with 2-
- ethylhexanol in the female Fischer 344 rat. Toxicologist 13: 179.
- 760 [40] Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1994. Metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol
- administered orally and dermally to the female Fischer 344 rat. Xenobiotica 24: 429-440.
- 762 [41] Haggard, H.W., Miller, D.P. and Greenberg, L.A. 1945. The amyl alcohols and their
- ketones: their metabolic fates and comparative toxicities. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 27: 1-14.
- 764 [42] Iwersen, S. and Schmoldt, A. 1995. ADH independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols:
- Comparisons of oxidation and glucuronidation. Advan. Forsenic Sci. 4: 19-22.
- 766 [43] Bosron, W.F. and Ting-Kai, L. 1980. Alcohol dehydrogenase. In: Jacoby, W.B. ed.
- Enzymatic Basis of Detoxification. Academic Press, New York, Vol. I, pp. 231-248.
- 768 [44] Levi, E. and Hodgson, E. 1989. Metabolites resulting from oxidative and reductive
- processes. In: Hutson, D.H., Caldwell, J., and Paulson, G.D. ed. Intermediary Xenobiotic
- 770 Metabolism in Animals. Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 119-138.

- 771 [45] Williams, R.T. 1959. The metabolism of some aliphatic aldehydes, ketones and acids. In:
- Detoxication mechanisms. The metabolism and detoxication of drugs, toxic substances
- and other organic compounds, 2nd Ed., London: Chapman & Hall, Ltd., chapter four, pp.
- 774 88-113.
- 775 [46] Deuel, H.J. 1957. The Lipids, Their Chemistry and Biochemistry Volume III. Wiley
- 776 Interscience, New York.
- 777 [47] Silva, M.F.B., Aires, C.C.P., Luis, P.B.M., Ruiter, J.P.N. IJlst, L., Duran, M., Wanders,
- R.J.A. and Tavares de Almeida, I. 2008. Valproic acid metabolism and its effects on
- mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation: A review. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. 31: 205-216.
- 780 [48] Ghodke-Puranik, Y., Thorn, C.F., Lamba, J.K., Leeder J.S., Song, W. Birnbaum, A.K.,
- Altman, R.B. and Klein, T.E. 2013. Valproic acid pathway: pharmacokinetics and
- 782 pharmacodynamics, Pharmacogenet. Genomics 23: 236–241.
- 783 [49] Kesterson, J.W., Granneman, G.R. and Machinist, J.M. 1984. The hepatotoxicity of
- valproic acid and its metabolites in rats. I. Toxicologic, biochemical and histopathologic
- studies. Hepatoxicalogy 4: 1143-1152.
- 786 [50] Przybylak, K.R. and Schultz, T.W. 2013. Informing chemical categories through
- development of adverse outcome pathway. In: Cronin, M., Madden, J., Enoch, S. and
- Roberts, D. (eds), Chemical Toxicity Prediction Category Formation and Read-Across.
- 789 The Royal Society of Chemistry pp. 44-71.
- 790 [51] Alifimoff, J., Firestone, L. and Miller, K. 1989. Anaesthetic potencies of primary alkanols:
- implications for the molecular dimensions of the anaesthetic site. Br. J. Pharmacol. 96: 9-
- 792 16.

- 793 [52] Veith, G.D., Call, D.J. and Brooke, L.T. 1983. Structure-toxicity relationships for the
- fathead minnow, *Pimpehales promelas*: narcotic industrial chemicals. Can. J. Fish.
- 795 Aquat. Sci. 40: 743-748.
- 796 [53] Veith, G.D., Petkova, E.P. and Wallace, K.B. 2009. A baseline inhalation toxicity model
- for narcosis in mammals. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 20: 567-578.
- 798 [54] Raevsky, O.A., Grigorev, V.Y., Weber, E.E. and Dearden, J.C. 2008. Classification and
- quantification of the toxicity of chemicals to guppy, fathead minnow and rainbow trout:
- Part 1. Nonpolar narcosis mode of action. QSAR Comb. Sci. 27: 1274–1281.
- 801 [55] He, J., Fu, L., Wang, Y., Li, J.J., Wang, X.H., Su, L.M., Sheng, L.X. and Zhao, Y.H.
- 2014. Investigation on baseline toxicity to rats based on aliphatic compounds and
- comparison with toxicity to fish: Effect of exposure routes on toxicity. Reg. Toxicol.
- 804 Pharmacol. 70: 98-106.
- 805 [56] Ritter, E.J., Scott, W.J., Fradkin, R. and Ritter, J.M. 1986. Computer analysis of rat
- teratology data following administration of phthalates and their metabolites. Teratology
- 807 33(3), 93C.
- 808 [57] Ritter, E.J., Scott, W.J. Jr., Randell, J.L and Ritter, J.M. 1987. Teratogenicity of di(2-
- ethylhexyl) phthalate, 2-ethylhexanol, 2-ethylhexanoic acid, and valproic acid, and
- potentiation by caffeine. Teratology 35: 41-46.
- 811 [58] National Toxicology Program (NTP) 1991. Final report on the developmental toxicity of 2
- ethylhexanol in CD-1-Swiss mice. National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle
- 813 Park, NC, USA (PB91-185900).

- [59] Tyl, R.W., Fisher, L.C., Kubena, M.F., Vrbanic, M.A., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson,
 J.R., Murphy, S.R., Tyler, T.R. and Astill, B.D. 1992. The developmental toxicity of 2ethylhexanol applied dermally to pregnant Fischer 344 rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.
 19:176-185.
 [60] Narotsky, M.G., Francis, E.Z., and Kavlock, R.T. 1994. Developmental toxicity and
 structure-activity relationships of aliphatic acids, including dose-response assessment of
 valproic acid in mice and rats. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 22: 251-265.
- 821 [61] Bui, L.M., Taubeneck, M.W., Commisso, J.F., Faber, W.D. and Keen, C.L. 1998. Altered 822 zinc metabolism contributes to the developmental toxicity of 2-ethylhexanoic acid, 2-823 ethylhexanol and valproic acid. Toxicology 126: 9-21.
- [62] Scott, W.J. Jr., Collins, M.D. And Nau, H. 1994. Pharmacokinetic determinants of
 embryotoxicity in rats associated with organic acids. Environ Health Perspect. 102(S11):
 97-101.
- [63] Schultz, T.W., Seward-Nagel, J., Foster, K.A. and Tucker, V.A. 2004. Structure-activity
 relationships for aliphatic alcohols and aquatic toxicity to Tetrahymena. Environ.
 Toxicol. 19: 1-10.
- [64] Schultz, T.W., Sinks, G.D. and Bearden, A.P. 1998. QSARs in aquatic toxicology: A
 mechanism of action approach comparing toxic potency to Pimephales promelas,
 Tetrahymena pyriformis, and Vibrio fischeri. In: Devillers, J. (ed.) Comparative QSAR.
 Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 52-109.

- 834 [65] Benane, S.G., Richard, A.M., Blackman, C.F. and Lytle, C.D. 1993. Quantitative
- structure-toxicity relationships for a series of primary alcohols in a mammalian viral host
- cell reactivation assay. In Vitro Toxicol. 6: 267-277.
- 837 [66] Mellor, C.L, Steinmetz, F.P, Cronin, M.T.D. 2016. Using molecular initiating events to
- develop a structural alert based screening workflow for nuclear receptor ligands
- associated with hepatic steatosis. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 29: 203-212.
- 840 [67] US EPA ToxCast 2014. US EPA website with access to the ToxCast data.
- https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/toxicity-forecasting. (Accessed 16/06/2016)
- 842 [68] Richard, A.M., Judson, R.S., Houck, K.A., Grulke, C.M., Volarath, P., Thillainadarajah,
- I., Chihae Yang, C., Rathman, J., Martin, M.T., Wambaugh, J.T., Knudsen, T.B.,
- Kancherla, J., Mansouri, K. Patlewicz, G., Williams, A.J., Little, S.B., Crofton, K.M. and
- Thomas, R.S. 2016. ToxCast chemical landscape: Paving the road to 21st century
- 846 toxicology. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 29: 1225–1251
- [69] Judson, R.S., Houck, K.A., Kaylock, R.J., Knudsen, T.B., Martin, M.T., Mortensen,
- H.M., Reif, D.M., Richard, A.M., Rotroff, D.M., Shah, I. and Dix, D.J. 2010. Predictive
- in vitro screening of environmental chemicals The ToxCast project. Environ. Health
- 850 Perspect.118:485-492.
- 851 [70] Schilling, K., Kayser, M., Deckardt, K., Küttler, K. and Klimisch, H.J. 1997. Subchronic
- toxicity studies of 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-propanol in rats. Human Exper.
- 853 Toxicol. 16: 722-726.
- 854 [71] Carpanini, F.M. and Gaunt, I.F. 1973. Short-term toxicity of isoamyl alcohol in rats. Food
- 855 Cosmet. Toxicol. 11: 713-724.

856	[72] Johannsen, E. and Purchase, I.F.H. 1969. Kaffir corn malting and brewing studies. XXI:
857	The effect of the fusel oils of bantu beer on rat liver. South African Med. J. 43: 326-328.
858	[73] ECHA CHEM C for 3-methyl-1-butanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-
859	/registered-dossier/13936 (accessed 28.06.2016).

Supplementary material

Read-across of 90-Day Rat Oral Repeated-Dose Toxicity: A Case Study for Selected 2-Alkyl-1-alkanols

Annex I Tables for Assessing Similarity of Analogues and Category Members for Read-Across

 Table 1. Comparison of Substance Identification, Structure and Chemical Classifications

ID	Name	CAS No	SMILES	2D Structure	Molecular Formula
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	137-32-6	CCC(C)CO	H ₃ C OH	C5H12O
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	105-30-6	CCCC(C)CO	H ₃ C OH	С6Н14О
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	97-95-0	CCC(CC)CO	H ₃ C CH ₃	С6Н14О
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	27522-11-8	CCCC(CC)CO	H ₃ C CH ₃	С7Н16О
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	CCCCC(CC)CO	H ₃ C OH CH ₃	С8Н18О
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	58175-57-8	CCCC(CCC)CO	H ₃ C CH ₃	C8H18O

ID	Name	CAS No	SMILES	2D Structure	Molecular Formula
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	818-81-5	CCCCCC(C)CO	HO CH ₃	С9Н20О
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	20592-10-3	CCCCCC(CC)CO	HO CH ₃	C10H22O
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	10042-59-8	CCCCC(CCC)CO	H ₃ C CH ₃	C10H22O
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	10522-26-6	CCCCCCCC(C)CO	н,с СН,	C12H26O
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	21078-65-9	CCCCCCCC(CC)CO	Ho CH ₃	C12H26O
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	60671-35-4	CCCCCCCC(CCC)CO	H ₀ C-CH ₃	С13Н28О

Table 2: Comparison of Physico-Chemical and Molecular Properties¹

ID	Name	Molecular Weight ¹	Log Kow ^{1a}	Vapor Pressure (Pa, 25 degC) ^{1b}	Density ² (g/cm ³)	Melting Point (deg C) ^{1b}	Water Solubility (mg/L, 25 degC) ^{1c}	Boiling Point (deg C) ^{1b}	pKa³
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	88.15	1.26 1.29 (M)	606 416 (M)	0.8±0.1	-61.49	32200 29700 (M)	123.17 128 (M)	15.24
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	102.18	1.75	191 256 (M)	0.8±0.1	-49.23	11950 6000 (M)	145.86 149 (M)	15.05
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	102.18	1.75	213 204 (M)	0.8±0.1	-49.23 <-15 (M)	11950 4000 (M)	145.86 147 (M)	15.05
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	116.21	2.24	66.2	0.8±0.1	-37.23	4089	167.64	15.05
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	130.23	2.73	24.6 18.1 (M)	0.8±0.1	-25.50 -70 (M)	1379 880 (M)	188.52 184.6 (M)	15.05
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	130.23	2.73	19.5	0.8±0.1	-25.50	1379	188.52	15.05
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	144.26	3.22	5.88	0.8±0.1	-14.04	459.7	208.49	15.09
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	158.29	3.71	1.81	0.8±0.1	-2.83	151.8	227.56	15.09
9	2-Propylheptan-1-ol	158.29	3.71	3.38	0.8±0.1	-2.83	151.8	227.56 217.5 (M)	15.09

ID	Name	Molecular Weight ¹	Log Kow ^{1a}	Vapor Pressure (Pa, 25 degC) ^{1b}	Density ² (g/cm ³)	Melting Point (deg C) ^{1b}	Water Solubility (mg/L, 25 degC) ^{1c}	Boiling Point (deg C) ^{1b}	pKa ³
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	186.34	4.70	0.186	0.8±0.1	18.78	16.18	262.99	15.04
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	186.34	4.70	0.186	0.8±0.1	18.78	16.18	262.99	15.04
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	200.37	5.19	0.0615	0.8±0.1	29.19	5.237	279.35	15.06

M = measured value

¹Values typically derived from EPISuite v4.1, ^a KOWWIN Program (v1.68), ^b MPBPWIN v1.43, ^c at 25 deg C; (mg/L) Kow (WSKOW v1.42); ² ACD/Lab Percepta Platform - PhysChem Module (from ChemSpider); ³ Predicted by ACD (Advanced Chemistry Development Inc., Toronto, Canada)

Table 3: Comparison of Substituents, Functional Groups, and Extended Structural Fragments

ID	Name	Key Substituent(s)	Functional Group(s)	Extended Fragment(s)	Chemical Class	Chemical Sub-Class
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
9	2-Propylheptan-1-ol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	-	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol

ID	Name	Key Substituent(s)	Functional Group(s)	Extended Fragment(s)	Chemical Class	Chemical Sub-Class
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-		saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	-ОН	-CH ₃ , -CH ₂ -, -CH-	_	saturated aliphatic alcohols	2-alkyl-1-alkanol

Table 4: Comparison of Abiotic Transformation and Toxicokinetics

ID	Name	Abiotic		T	oxicokinetics
Ш	Name	Transformation	Absorption	Half-life	Elimination
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a	< 24 hrs	9.6% excreted in the urine as a glucuronides within 24 hrs ^b 5.6% excreted in air and 2% in urine, remainder metabolized, first to the corresponding aldehyde, then to the acid ^c Additional oxidation of 2-methyl-1-butanol by rat liver microsomes via CYP P450 enzymes, and glucuronidation ^d
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		Excreted mainly as a glucuronides ^e
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		

5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	atmospheric lifetime of 24.6 hrs	Efficiently following oral administration ^a	< 24 hrs terminal half- life 60 hours	Rapidly excreted in respired CO ₂ (6-7%), urine mainly as glucuronides (80-82%), and faeces (8-9%); elimination was essentially complete by 28 hrs ^f After oral administration to rats, within 96 hrs; 69-75% excreted in urine, about 13-15% in faeces, about the same amount exhaled. After intravenous administration to rats, within 96 hours about 74% excreted in urine, about 4% in faeces and 23% exhaled. More than 50% excreted within 8 hrs. ^g Glucuronide main metabolite (87%) in rabbits b,e
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
9	2-Propyl-1heptanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol		Efficiently following oral administration ^a		

11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	Efficiently	
		following oral	
		administration ^a	
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	Efficiently	
		following oral	
		administration ^a	

^a Gaillard, D. and Derache, R. 1965. Metabolisation de different alcools, present dans les buissons alcooliques, chez le rat. Trav. Soc. Pharm. Montp., 25: 51-62; ^bKamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953a. Studies in detoxication. 46. The metabolism of aliphatic alcohols. The glucuronic acid conjugation of acyclic aliphatic alcohols. Biochem. J. 53: 129-136; ^c Haggard, H.W., Miller, D.P. and Greenberg, L.A. 1945. The amyl alcohols and their ketones: their metabolic fates and comparative toxicities. J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 27: 1-14; ^dIwersen, S. and Schmoldt, A. 1995. ADH independent metabolism of aliphatic alcohols: Comparisons of oxidation and glucuronidation. Advan. Forsenic Sci. 4: 19-22; ^cKamil, I.A., Smith, J.N. and Williams, R.T. 1953b. Studies in detoxication. 47. The formation of ester glucuronides of aliphatic acids during the metabolism of 2-ethylbutanol and 2-ethylhexanol. Biochem. J. 53: 137-140; ^fAlbro, P.W. 1975. The metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol in rats. Xenobiotica 5: 625-636, ECHA CHEM A for 2-Ethyl-1-hexanol: http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/15194, Joint FAO/WHO expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), 1993. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol. 41st report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Geneva, WHO Technical Report Series No. 837; ^g Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1993. Pharmacokinetic studies with 2-ethylhexanol in the female Fischer 344 rat. Toxicologist 13: 179, Deisinger, P.J., Boatman, R.J. and Guest, D. 1994. Metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol administered orally and dermally to the female Fischer 344 rat. Xenobiotica 24: 429-440.

<u>Table 5: Comparison of Potential Metabolic Products as Predicted in silico</u>

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	sm simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus
		Rat liver S9	Skin metabolism	software	version 2.4.2	
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (1)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (2)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (6) Oxidation (1)

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	sm simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus
		Rat liver S9	Skin metabolism	software	version 2.4.2	
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (2) Oxidation (1) beta-Oxidation of Carboxylic Acids (1)
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Methylation Dealkylation Demethylation Alkylation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (5) Oxidation (1)
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation Alkylation Acylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (6) Oxidation (1)
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (4)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (7) Oxidation (1)
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	Hydroxylation	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation	Possible sites of	Hydroxylation (5)

ID	Name	Liver metabolis	m simulator Toolbox v3.3	MetaPrint2D-React	SMARTCyp	Meteor Nexus
		Rat liver S9 Skin metabolism		software	version 2.4.2	
		(3) Oxidation (1)		Oxidation Acylation Methylation Alkylation Dealkylation	metabolism have been identified	Oxidation (1)
				Demethylation		
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (3)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dealkylation Dehydration Demethylation	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (4) Oxidation (1)
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)	Hydroxylation (1)	Hydroxylation Oxidation Acylation Dehydroxylation Methylation Dehydration	Possible sites of metabolism have been identified	Hydroxylation (3) Oxidation (1)

Table 6: Comparison of Toxicophores

ID	Name	Toxicophores ¹	DNA binding by OECD ¹	Protein binding by OECD ¹	Nuclear receptor binding ²	Liver& Mitochondria toxicity ²
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
2	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
10	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol	Cramer Class I	No alert	No alert	Inactive	No alert

¹ OECD QSAR Toolbox 3.3; ² COSMOS profilers available via COSMOS space: http://cosmosspace.cosmostox.eu

Table 7: Comparison of Mechanistic Plausibility and AOP-Related Event Data

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
1	2-Methyl-1-butanol		Narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
2	2-Methyl-1- pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
3	2-Ethyl-1-butanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
4	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
5	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
6	2-Propyl-1-pentanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
7	2-Methyl-1-octanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
8	2-Ethyl-1-octanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
9	2-Propyl-1-heptanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
10	2-Methyl-1- undecanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

ID	Name	Mechanistic Plausibility	Adverse Outcome Pathway or Mode of Toxic Action:	Molecular Initiating Event:	Key Event 1 etc.	Key Event Relationship 1 etc.	Other Mechanistically- Relevant Events
11	2-Ethyl-1-decanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			
12	2-Propyl-1-decanol		narcosis - depressant anesthesia	Unspecific interactions with biological membranes			

Table 8: Comparison of Toxicologically Relevant in vivo, in vitro and ex vivo Data

Name	2-Methyl-1-butanol	2-Methyl-1-pentanol	2-Ethyl-1-butanol	2-Ethyl-1-pentanol	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	2-Propyl-1-pentanol	2-Methyl-1-octanol	2-Ethyl-1-octanol	2-Propyl-1-heptanol	2-Methyl-1-undecanol	2-Ethyl-1-decanol	2-Propyl-1-decanol
Endpoint: NOAEL (Repeat dose toxicity)					25-1000 (mg/kg/d) [2, 3, 5, 22]				30-150 (mg/kg/d) [4, 21]			
Endpoint: NOEL (Repeat dose toxicity)	\geq 6400 (mg/m ³) [1]											
Endpoint: NOAEL (short-term repeated dose study)					100-200 (mg/kg bw/d) [5, 23-26]							
Endpoint: LOAEL (Repeat dose toxicity)					1525 (mg/kg/d) [5]				150-600 (mg/kg/d) [4]			
Endpoint: NOAEC (Repeat dose toxicity)					120-638.4 (mg/kg/d) [6]							
Endpoint: NOAEL (Reproductive toxicity)					130-2520 (mg/kg/d) [6]				50 (mg/kg/d) [7]			
Endpoint: NOAEL (Teratogenicity)					191-650 (mg/kg/d) [6]				158-600 (mg/kg/d) [7]			
Endpoint: HNEL (Carcinogenic/ Genotoxicity)					50-200 (mg/kg/d) [8]							
Endpoint: LEL					150-750 (mg/kg/d)							

	Carcinogenic/ Genotoxicity)			[8]		
	adpoint: LC50 acute toxicity)			0.89- 5.3 (mg/Lair) [6]	>0.13(mg/L air) [7]	
	ndpoint: LD50 cute toxicity)	1900-5000 (mg/kg) 12.53- 16.6 (mg/Lair) 3.54 (mL/kg) [1, 9]		3730 (mg/kg) [6, 10, 11]	5100-5400 (mg/kg)	
	point: oral LD50 (mg/kg) Acute toxicity)	4010 mg/kg bw [17]	1850 mg/kg bw [18]	2000-3730 mg/kg bw [5, 19-20]	5400 mg/kg bw [21]	
	adpoint: LDLo acute toxicity)	1900- 2448 (mg/kg) [1, 12]				
C	Endpoint: otoxicity (AMES, Chromosomal orration, gene mutation)	2 x Negative [13-16]		9 x Negative [5]	5 x Negative [4]	
	ATG_ERa_TRA NS			11.9		
H	ATG_ERa_TRA NS_perc			5.77		
oxca	ATG_PXRE_CI S			31.1		
Toxcast [27]	ATG_PXRE_CI S_perc			31.1		
7]	OT_ERa_EREL UC_AG_1440				3.14	
	Tox21_AR_BL A_Agonist_ch1				0.00219	

Tox21_ELG1_L				F4.0		
UC_Agonist_via				54.9		
bility						

References for Table 8

- [1] ECHA CHEM A for 2-Methyl-1-butanol. http://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/12040/7/6/3 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [2] Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental (Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan) 1997, Rep Dose Tox Fraunhofer.
- [3] Schilling, K., Kayser, M., Deckardt, K., Küttler, K. and Klimisch, H.J. 1997. Subchronic toxicity studies of 3-methyl-1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-propanol in rats. Human Exper. Toxicol. 16: 722-726.
- [4] ECHA CHEM B for for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/13788/1 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [5] ECHA CHEM C for 2-Ehylhexanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/15194 (accessed 28.06.2016).
- [6] Toxicity Testing Reports of Environmental (Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan) 1997, Rep Dose Tox Fraunhofer
- [7] ChemIDplus, http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/100-51-6. Original reference given; Cancer Research 33, 3069-3085, 1973
- [8] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996. Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol., 31: 29-41.
- [9] ChemIDplus search, http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/71-36-3. Original reference quoted; South African Med. J. Vol. 43, Pg. 795, 1969
- [10] EPA Document No. 86-870001383, Neste Oxo AB Stenungsund (71), Microfische No OTS515545 (1940). (http://www.epa.govt.nz/search-databases/Pages/ccid-details.aspx?SubstanceID=1845)
- [11] Nihs search, http://dra4.nihs.go.jp/mhlw_data/jsp/SearchPageENG.jsp
- [12] European Chemicals Bureau; IUCLID Dataset, 1-Hexanol (111-27-3) (2000 CD-ROM edition). Available from: http://esis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/
- [13] Nakajima, D., Ishii, R., Kageyama, S., Onji, Y., Mineki, S., Morooka, N., Takatori, K and Goto, S (2006). Genotoxicity of microbial volatile organic compounds. J. Health Sci. 52: 148–153.
- [14] Seidel, H. and Plappert, U. 1999. Zur Toxikologie zweier häufig nachgewiesener MVOC: 1 Octen-3-ol und 3-Methyl-1-butanol. Umweltmed. Forsch. Prax. 4: 285-288, 1999
- [15] Edelfors, S. and Ravn-Jonsen, A. 1990. The effects of alcohols in vitro on the nervous cell membrane measured by changes in the (Ca2+/Mg2+) ATPase activity and fluidity of the synaptosomal membrane. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 67: 56-60.

- [16] Bacterial mutagenicity ISSSTY DATABASE; http://www.iss.it/meca/index.php?lang=1&anno=2013&tipo=25
- [17] Rowe, V.K. and McCollister, S.B. 1982. Alcohols. In: Clayton, G.D. & Clayton, F.E., eds, Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology, 3rd Revised Ed., Vol. 2C, New York: John Wiley & Sons, chapter 35, pp. 4527-4708.
- [18] Smyth, H.F.J., Carpenter, C.P., Weil, C.S. and Pozzani, U.C. 1954. Range-finding toxicity data. List V. Arch. Indust. Hyg. Occup. Med. 10: 61-68.
- [19] Scala, R.A. and Burtis, E.G. 1973. Acute toxicity of a homologous series of branched-chain primary alcohols. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 34: 493–499.
- [20] Chvapil, M., Zahradnik, R. and Cmuchalová, B. 1962. Influence of alcohols and potassium salts of xanthogenic acids on various biological objects. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. 135: 330-343.
- [21] ECH CHEM for 2-Propyl-1-heptanol: https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/13788/1
- [22] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1993. Subacute and subchronic oral toxicity of 2-ethylhexanol to fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Toxicologist 13: 70.
- [23] RIFM (Research Institute for Fragrance Materials, Inc.), 1992. Oral Toxicity of 2- Ethylhexanol in Mice after Administration by Gavage for 11 days. Unpublished Report from BASF. RIFM Report Number 18665. RIFM, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, USA.
- [24] Astill, B.D., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hellwig, J., Hodgson, J.R., Kuettler, K., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R., Sielken, R.L. and Tyler, T.R. 1996b. Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 31: 29-41.
- [25] Hodgson JR. 1987. Results of peroxisome induction studies on tri(2-ethylhexyl)trimellitate and 2-ethylhexanol. Toxicol. Ind. Health 3: 49-61.
- [26] Astill, B.D., Deckardt, K., Gembardt, Chr., Gingell, R., Guest, D., Hodgson, J.R., Mellert, W., Murphy, S.R. and Tyler, T.R. 1996a. Prechronic toxicity studies on 2-ethylhexanol in F334 rats and B6C3F1 mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 29: 31-39.
- [27] ToxcastTM data. Provider the USEPA: http://www.epa.gov/ncct/toxcast/data.html