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ABSTRACT

It has been established that cold bituminous emulsion mixtures (CBEMs) have a comparatively low initial strength in comparison to hot mix asphalt (HMA), however its superior performance with regard to carbon emissions, is a significant driver regarding its manufacture. In this research, high calcium fly ash (HCFA) together with a fluid catalytic cracking catalyst (FCC) - a rich silica-alumina waste material - have been incorporated to develop a new cold asphalt concrete binder course (CACB) bituminous emulsion mixture. HCFA was used as a substitute for traditional limestone filler while FCC was the additive used to activate the HCFA. The mixtures’ performance was assessed using the indirect tensile stiffness modulus test (ITSM), assessment of resistance against permanent deformation, temperature and water sensitivity tests. Surface morphology was tested using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM). A considerable improvement was identified by the ITSM test in addition to a substantial enhancement in rutting resistance, temperature susceptibility and water sensitivity. It was also established that the addition of FCC to CACB mixtures was found to improve early strength as well as long-term strength, rutting resistance, temperature sensitivity and durability.
INTRODUCTION

There are certain restrictions associated with the use of hot mix asphalt (HMA), such as the emission of greenhouse gases and problems in maintaining its temperature when hauling it long distance. Conversely, cold asphalt mixtures (CAMs) defined as bituminous materials mixed utilising cold aggregates and binder (Jenkins 2000), can be handled at ambient air temperature. They are produced and compacted at ambient temperature, considered to have a low environmental impact and to be cost-effective thus safer for pavement construction (Needham 1996; Oruc et al. 2006). The more popular types of CAMs are cold bitumen emulsion mixtures (CBEMs) the use of which offers advantages in terms of material and energy conservation as well as reductions in cost compared to HMA (Thanaya 2003; Gómez- Meijide and Pérez 2014).

That said, CAMs have rarely been used as a structural layer for heavy-duty pavements due to their inferior performance in comparison to HMAs (Al-Busaltan et al. 2012b; Doyle et al. 2013). This is generally due to the curing time required to reach their full ultimate strength, leading to unsatisfactory performance represented by inferior early strength and high porosity (Needham 1996; Thanaya 2003; Gómez- Meijide and Pérez 2014). Accordingly, CAM utilisation is still limited to surface treatment and reinstatement work on low-trafficked roads and pavements. However, it should be noted that, CAMs...
show evolutionary characteristics (Serfass et al. 2004) especially in their early life, where low early cohesion gradually improves.

Needham (1996) reported that the aggregate in CAMs can be used without drying it although the water content must be measured as this has a major effect on the nature of the mixture. Consequently, the major difference between hot mix plants and cold mix plants is the absence of facilities required for heating and drying. In the latter, the aggregate mixtures are fed into a mixing device such as a pug mill or a rolling drum mixer where pre-water is added to wet the aggregate to avoid early break of the emulsion. Bitumen emulsion is then added and mixed until it achieves maximum coating. Over-mixing will cause the emulsion to break as a result of mechanical energy meaning that care must be taken to avoid the production of a stripped or unworkable mixture.

The technology for producing CBEMs for use in the pavement industry has been available in several countries such as the USA and France since the 1970s, these countries building a solid knowledge base about the performance of these mixtures (Leech 1994). However, in the UK, due to the weather conditions which are not optimal for the curing process of emulsion mixtures, this technology has only recently been introduced.

Traditional cement has been widely used in CAMs as an enhancement technique as this process produces sufficient strength in a short period of time (Thanaya et al. 2009; Al-Hdabi et al. 2014). Early research conducted by Head (1974) on cement modified asphalt cold mixes revealed that the incorporation of cement had a substantial influence on mix stability; the addition of 1% cement increased stability by 250-300% over that of untreated samples. Furthermore, he reported that asphalt cold mix samples prepared without cement, collapsed in water after 24 hours, whereas cement-treated samples showed no deterioration. Research by Oruc et al. (2007) evaluated the mechanical properties of emulsified asphalt mixtures incorporating 0-6% Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC). Their results showed significant enhancement with a high OPC addition ratio. In terms of temperature susceptibility, they demonstrated that the amount of cement added results in a substantial increase in the resilient modulus and reduction in vulnerability to changes in temperature. They consequently recommended that cement modified asphalt emulsion mixes might be used as a structural pavement layer. Fang et al.
(2015) conducted research to accelerate the enhancement of the mechanical properties of cement bitumen emulsion asphalt (CBEA) by including rapid-hardening cements as a filler replacement in 3 percentages: 0%, 3% and 6% by weight of dry aggregate. They found that after 1 day of curing, inclusion of calcium sulfoaluminate and calcium aluminate cement to these mixes produced mechanical properties similar to those realized with Portland cement after 1-week of curing.

However, the cement industry is a material and energy intensive activity which results in an impact on the environment. For example, the manufacture of 1 tonne of cement involves the use of 1.5 tonnes of quarry material involving an energy consumption of 5.6 GJ/tonne which results in emissions approximating 0.9 tonnes of CO$_2$ representative of 5% of total anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions (O’Rourke et al. 2009).

With this in mind, there have been several attempts to use fly ash and waste materials to enhance the properties of CAMs. Thanaya (2003) conducted a study using pulverized fly ash (PFA) finding that it can be used as an appropriate filler for CAMs as it produces comparable stiffness to hot mixtures at full curing conditions. An experimental study conducted by Ellis et al. (2004) on a variety of storage macadam comprising reprocessed aggregates bound by bitumen emulsion and Ground Granulated Blastfurnace Slag (GGBS), concluded that the incorporation of GGBS to bitumen emulsion mixtures may enhance stiffness and improvements in strength in conditions of high humidity. Another study by Al-Hdabi et al. (2013a) revealed that the mechanical properties of gap graded CRA (cold rolled asphalt) with OPC as the replacement for conventional filler, can be improved by the addition of by-product materials. Gómez-Mejijide et al. (2016) investigated the use of 100% recycled construction and demolition waste materials (CDW) to improve the performance of cold asphalt mixtures. They concluded that although cold asphalt mixtures with CDW lost water because of longer curing times, greater stiffness could be achieved with CDW in comparison to natural aggregates at any curing time.

The urgent need to reuse waste materials is driven by environmental factors as well as by economic considerations. Therefore, the replacement of cement with waste materials such as fly ash would be a significant move to improving both economic and environmental impacts. Research conducted by Sadique et al. (2013) examining the pozzolanic reactivity of a calcium rich fly ash through mechano-
chemical activation with another alkaline ash in a cement-free system, found that the hydration products and relative development in strength in the new blend was similar to those of cement.

Fluid catalytic cracking catalyst (FCC), is a petrochemical industry waste rich in silica and alumina with similar properties to metakaolin having excellent pozzolanic properties. It has been used in the preparation of geopolymers (Mas et al. 2016) and in the production of alkali-activated binders (Tashima et al. 2014). Both fluid catalyst and microsilica have the same potential to be combined with Ca(OH)$_2$, as the hydration process is highly exothermic leading to rapid setting of the cement paste (Pacewska et al. 1998).

An asphalt concrete binder course is a continuous graded mixture providing a good aggregate interlock, meaning that this material has very good load-spreading properties as well as a high resistance to permanent deformation (Read and Whiteoak 2003; O’Flaherty 2007). Read and Whiteoak (2003) reported that it is commonly used as a binder course and base in UK road pavements. Its strength originates from the interlocking of coated aggregates providing the fundamental base for the material to transfer load.

Despite extensive research on the manufacture of different types of CBEM, there is currently no specific research into the fabrication of a cold asphalt concrete bituminous emulsion mixture which would be adequate for a binder course using bitumen emulsion, containing HCFA activated by FCC. The aim of this study is to develop a new cold asphalt concrete binder course (CACB) mixture incorporating high calcium fly ash as filler replacement and various levels of waste activation material, i.e. FCC, and to examine its mechanical and durability properties. This new CACB mixture will remove constraints imposed by road engineers on the use of CAMs, namely the need for a long curing time ranging from 2 to 24 months. In addition, the use of these two waste materials will bring about a reduction in cement use in CAMs as well as a decrease in waste disposal which will be of benefit to the environment.
TESTING MATERIAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PLAN

Testing Material

Aggregate

Both coarse and fine crushed granite aggregates from Carnsew Quarry at Mabe in Penryn in the UK were used in this investigation. These are usually used to produce hot asphalt concrete mixtures. Table 1 shows the main properties of the aggregate together with the traditional mineral filler which is used. Limestone filler was employed as the traditional mineral filler. A dense aggregate gradation for asphalt concrete binder course AC-20 was used in this research, as shown in Figure 1, for both hot and cold mixtures in accordance with BS EN 13108-1 (European Committee for Standardization 2006).

Bitumen emulsion and asphalt

A cationic slow-setting bituminous emulsion (C60B5) produced from paving grade bitumen (100/150) with a residual bitumen content of 60% by mass of emulsion, was used in this research. Nikolaides (1994) indicated that this type of emulsion, i.e. cationic emulsion, is favoured because of its ability to coat the specified aggregate and to create high adhesion between aggregate particles. In addition, 40/60-pen and 100/150-pen bitumen grades were used to fabricate two types of hot asphalt concrete binder course mixtures. Tables 2 and 3 illustrate the physical properties of the chosen bituminous emulsion and bituminous binders.

Chosen fillers

Two filler types were used in this research: conventional mineral filler, i.e. limestone filler (LF), and high calcium fly ash (HCFA). A waste fluid catalytic cracking catalyst (FCC) was also used for the first time along with HCFA to develop a new cold asphalt concrete binder course (CACB) bituminous emulsion mixture with various proportions (ranging from 1% to 3%) of dry aggregate weight. In addition, a commercially available Ordinary Portland Cement type CEM-II/A/LL 42.5-N was used as the comparison material.
Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF)/XRD analysis

The X-ray fluorescence technique (XRF) was applied to provide elemental analysis of the materials used as filler replacements. The equipment used to carry out the analysis was a Shimadzu EDX 720, energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. X-ray diffraction (XRD) of powdered samples was performed using a Rigaku Miniflex diffractometer. The machine uses CuK X-ray radiation and was operated using the following parameters: acceleration voltage 30 kV and current 15 mA at a scanning speed of 2.0 deg./min in continuous scan mode. The chemical analysis by EDXRF of all the fillers is illustrated in Table 4. In summary, the composition of the HCFA was 67.057% of CaO and 24.762% silicon oxide while FCC contained 35.452% silicon oxide and 44.167% aluminium oxide.

Figure 2 reveals that the main crystal peaks identified in XRD of HCFA were lime, calcite, mayenite, merwinite and gehlenite. A similar mineralogy was reported by Sadique et al. (2012a) except that they did not find any merwinite. The powder diffraction in XRD shown in Figure 3 indicates that FCC has very low crystalline peaks of an amorphous nature meaning that it will display high reactivity during the hydration process and can be used as an activator. The powder XRD pattern of the reference OPC as shown in Figure 4, reveals that it was composed of alite, belite, ferrite, calcite and periclase. Figure 5 shows that the main components of the limestone filler are calcite and quartz.

Particle size distribution (PSD) and Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses

Particle shapes and sizes play a substantial role in the development of sustainable CBEMs technology. A Beckmen Coulter Laser diffraction particle size analyser LS 13 320 was used to determine the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) of the filler materials. From the PSD detailed in Figure 6, it can be seen that the fineness of HCFA is approximately the same as that of OPC with the exception of the range 14-47 µm where it is a little finer than OPC. OPC has more fine particles than HCFA in the range 1.5 to 0 µm. Most of the FCC particles are in the region of 0.8 µm to 60 µm having d_{50} and d_{90} equal to 9.16 µm and 40.52 µm respectively. The d_{50} and d_{90} for OPC were measured as 11.90 µm and 41.10 µm respectively with major particles in the range of 4µm to 60µm. LF composed of 24.22µm and 96.48µm d_{50} and d_{90} respectively, meaning that the range of these particles is 3 µm to 130 µm.
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses was carried out by equipment manufactured by the Inspect S and Guanta to observe the microstructures characteristics. Test conditions were an SEM resolution of 3-4 nm, high vacuum and test voltage 5 kV to 25 kV. The SEM view of HCFA and FCC in Figure 7 shows that they are flaky and agglomerated, whereas it can be seen that OPC and limestone filler particles are irregular in shape with sharp angles. Segui et al. (2012) indicated that highly porous fillers with an agglomerated morphology of the particles will absorb more water, whilst Thanaya (2003) stated that sharp and irregularly shaped particles will interrupt workability.

Mix design and specimen preparation

Until now, there is no accepted design mixture for CBEMs, neither in the UK nor internationally, but various mix design procedures for CBEMs have been proposed by several authorities and researchers (Asphalt Institute 1989; Jenkins 2000; Thanaya 2003). In this study, the design procedure was established using the method adopted by the Asphalt Institute (Marshall Method for Emulsified Asphalt Aggregate Cold Mixture Design: (Asphalt Institute 1989) for designing cold asphalt concrete binder course bituminous emulsion mixtures. Following this procedure, pre-mixing water content, optimum total liquid content at compaction and optimum residual bitumen content were 3.5%, 14% and 6.3%, respectively. All the samples were mixed by means of a Hobart mixer. Firstly, coarse and fine aggregate together with the filler material were mixed at low speed for 1 minute with pre-wetting water content (3.5%). Following this, bitumen emulsion (10.5%) was added progressively over the next 30 seconds of mixing after which the mixing process continued for 1 minute 30 seconds at the same speed. The influence of the substitute conventional limestone filler with HCFA and FCC was tested using the indirect tensile stiffness modulus (ITSM) test. ITSM samples compaction was achieved with 100 blows of a standard Marshall hammer (impact compactor), 50 on each side of the samples, this representing a medium compaction effort according to Thanaya (2003). The compaction equipment setup is illustrated in Figure 8.

The mixtures have been contrasted with two variants of standard asphalt concrete; AC 20 dense binder course 100/150 and AC 20 dense binder course 40/60 have been used throughout the study with the same gradation and type of aggregate. Following the requirements of PD 6691:2010 (European
Committee for Standardization 2015), a 4.6% optimum binder content by weight of aggregate was used for each AC 20 dense binder course. All the cold samples were mixed and compacted at room temperature, while the 100/150 and 40/60 hot mixtures were mixed at 150–160°C and 160–170°C respectively, according to the bitumen viscosity and based on PD 6691 (European Committee for Standardization 2015). A cold asphalt concrete binder course containing limestone filler was also used for comparison purposes.

Sample curing conditioning

After compaction, the samples for the ITSM test were extracted the following day and were left in the lab at 20°C for normal conditioning at ambient temperature then subjected to ITSM testing at different ages; 3, 7, 14 and 28 days.

Regarding the wheel track slab samples, the slabs were prepared for a mix type of dimensions of 400 × 305 × 50mm and were compacted using a roller compactor according to BS EN 12697-33 (European Committee for Standardization 2003b). The curing conditioning for the slab samples was undertaken in two stages following Thanaya (2003) recommendations. Stage one was performed when the samples were left in their moulds for 24 hours at 20°C, whilst the second stage entailed placing the samples in an oven for 14 days at 40°C ensuring that they reached their full curing condition.

For the water sensitivity samples conditioning, two groups of three specimens for each filler type were prepared and separated. The first group was prepared for the dry conditional test, the specimens left at 20°C for 8 days after preparation. The second group was prepared for the wet conditional test, left at 20°C for 5 days. Following this, a vacuum (6.7 kPa pressure) was applied to the samples for 30 minutes after which they were left submerged for the next 30 minutes where the pressure was decreased slowly to avoid damage to them, thereafter submerged in a water bath for 72 hours at 40°C.

Laboratory Testing Program

Indirect tensile stiffness modulus (ITSM) test

The stiffness of bituminous mixtures is a significant factor in the analysis and design of flexible pavements, and is directly associated with the capacity of the material to distribute loads (Pasetto and Baldo 2010). The test was carried out on cylindrical specimens following the standard procedure
according to BS EN 12697-26 (European Committee for Standardization 2012) using a Cooper Research Technology HYD 25 testing device, as shown in Figure 9. The conditions of the test were as shown in Table 5; the test conducted at a controlled temperature of 20°C. Measuring the ITSM in order to assess the mechanical performance of CAM has been reported by several researchers (Thanaya 2003; Monney et al. 2007; Al-Busaltan et al. 2012b; Dulaimi et al. 2016). All indirect tensile stiffness modulus test values are the average of 3 specimens to ensure reliability. It should be noted that Poisson's ratio of 0.35 has been adopted as recommended by (Al Nageim et al. 2012; Nassar et al. 2016; Dulaimi et al. 2016) for such types of mixtures. All CACB mixture samples were subjected to ITSM testing at ages 3, 7, 14 and 28 days. The two reference hot AC mixtures were also tested at the same ages for comparison purposes. The ITSM test was also performed on samples that were 28 days old at different temperatures, namely 5°C, 20°C and 45°C, to explore their susceptibility to temperature changes.

Wheel-track test

In the lab, wheel-tracking devices are usually utilized to assess the rutting performance of asphalt mixtures subject to environmental and loading conditions in order to simulate actual field conditions. In the current research, the rutting measurement was achieved using the wheel-tracking test in accordance with BS EN 12697-22 (European Committee for Standardization 2003a). This test was used to characterize and assess the mechanisms of failure of the CBEMs under set controlled conditions (Ojum 2015; Dulaimi et al. 2016). Five slabs of each mixture type were tracked using a wheel tester. Figure 10 shows a photograph of the HYCZ-5 wheel-tracking equipment used by the Liverpool Centre for Material Technology (LCMT) labs while the test conditions are listed in Table 6. The test was carried out at a temperature of 45°C for 10,000 load cycles.

Water Sensitivity

Moisture damage is commonly defined as the degradation of the mechanical properties of asphalt mixtures as a result of the presence of moisture in their microstructure (Caro et al. 2008). The principle behind the water sensitivity test is to determine the saturation influence on the specimen as water generates a loss of adhesion within the mastic and the surface of aggregates. Measuring water sensitivity
in terms of Stiffness Modulus Ratio (SMR) of CAM has been reported by numerous researchers (Al-

The test involves the application of the ITSM test in cylindrical specimens subjected to 50 blows per
side by means of a Marshall hammer. Two groups of three samples for each level of filler proportion
were prepared and separated. Both groups of specimens were tested at 20°C. All samples were tested
for indirect stiffness modulus where water sensitivity was evaluated by determining the stiffness
modulus ratio (SMR) as the proportion of wet to dry, in accordance to with BS EN 12697-12: 2008
(European Committee for Standardization 2008).

The SMR was calculated according to Eq. (1):

\[
\text{SMR} = \left( \frac{\text{ITSM}_w}{\text{ITSM}_d} \right) \times 100,
\]

where SMR is the stiffness modulus ratio, ITSM\(_w\) is the indirect tensile stiffness modulus of the wet
specimens while ITSM\(_d\) is the indirect tensile stiffness modulus of the dry specimens.

Performance Test Results and Discussion

**Performance of CACB using HCFA and FCC in ITSM test**

The first step of this research was to develop new cold asphalt concrete binder course mixtures (CACB)
by substituting traditional limestone filler with HCFA in five different substitution percentages, 0%,
1.5%, 3%, 4.5%, and 6% by dry aggregate weight. FCC was added as an extra percentage at 1%, 2%
and 3% by dry weight of aggregate as an activator.

From the analysis of the results, it is seen that the stiffness modulus as shown in Figure 11, increased
dramatically when the HCFA percentage was increased, achieving its ultimate values when a level of
6% was used. Mixtures with 6% HCFA have the ability to offer a stiffness modulus of around 17 times
that of the control mixture which uses limestone filler (0% HCFA) at 3 days. Another interesting point
is that the target ITSM for the soft AC 20 dense binder course (100/150 pen bitumen) was achieved
within 3 days for the CACB mixtures treated with 6% HCFA. ITSM results also indicated a
considerably improvement for HCFA mixtures specifically with 4.5% and 6% replacement of HCFA.
There was a considerable improvement of ITSM with time for all the mixtures with HCFA replacements, whereas both grades of HMA showed nonsignificant changes in ITSM over time. ITSM improvement is due to the generation of an additional binder to the bitumen residue binder as a result of the process of hydration because of the hydraulic reaction of HCFA. This additional binder, working together with the bitumen residue binder, improves the strength of the ITSM. A point of interest is that the trapped water which is accountable for the mixtures’ weakness, was lost due to HCFA absorption during the hydration process. HCFA particles react in the mix and the subsequent hydration reaction of the HCFA is responsible for the ITSM improvement after 3 days. This provides the additional binder enabling fast curing of the HCFA treated mixture. However, the ITSM for the HCFA mixtures after 3 days was less than that of the OPC treated mixture by approximately 7%.

The results of ITSM tests in the second stage of testing incorporated another waste material, FCC, which was used as an additive to activate HCFA in different percentages, 1%, 2% and 3% by dry aggregate weight. As shown in Figures 12 and 13, this resulted in further activation of the process of hydration. It is expected that soluble calcium hydroxide (C–H), produced from the hydration reaction of HCFA filler, will be converted into dense calcium silicate hydrate (C–S–H) by pozzolanic reaction when adding material with a high silica content (Sadique et al. 2012a). These results revealed considerable improvement in ITSM for all the FCC percentages after 3 days compared with the reference mixtures.

Soluble SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ in the glass phase of the pozzolanic materials reacts with Ca(OH)$_2$ released during hydration to generate an extra calcium silicate hydrate (CSH) gel that is responsible for the mechanical strength of the hardened concrete structure (Lea 1970).

Overall, the results are outstanding. The samples exhibited a considerable enhancement in stiffness modulus according to the percentage of FCC added to the HCFA mixtures. As is shown Figure 12, the addition of 1% of FCC to the 6% HCFA mixtures led to an approximate 45% improvement in the stiffness modulus. The addition of 2% and 3% of FCC improved the stiffness modulus by approximately 83% and 102% respectively. Moreover, all of these gains using FCC exceeded the value for the AC 20 mm 100/150-pen after 3 days.

From Figure 14 it can be seen that a considerable improvement was achieved in the stiffness modulus by the addition of FCC to the mixtures having 3% HCFA at an early age. The addition of 1% of FCC
to the mixtures containing 3% HCFA enhanced the ITSM by approximately 160% within 3 days. Mixtures containing 3% HCFA activated by two different percentages of FCC, 2% and 3%, gained approximately 245% and 280% more ITSM in 3 days, respectively. In addition, the stiffness modulus for mixtures having 3% HCFA with 2% and 3% FCC, exceeded the target value for a 100/150 hot asphalt concrete binder course after 3 days. This improvement in the hydration process of HCFA was further enhanced when high silica and alumina FCC waste material was applied as an activating agent in the process of hydration of HCFA.

Figure 15 details the performance of ITSM under different testing temperatures. It can be observed that the control mixture using limestone filler (0% HCFA) failed at 45°C as a result of the weakness of the mixture at high temperature. The rate of change in terms of ITSM for the HCFA-treated mixture and the mixture treated with both HCFA and FCC, was less than both grades of HMAs. These mixtures will perform better than traditional HMAs when temperature changes occur.

**Performance of CACB in wheel-track tests**

The assessment of resistance to permanent deformation was achieved thorough the wheel-tracking test in accordance with BS EN 12697-22 (European Committee for Standardization 2003a). Figure 16 illustrates the rut development at the central point of all slabs as a function of the number of cycles. It can be seen that the rut under the wheel path for the reference LF mixture develops rapidly with time, said rut depth after 10,000 cycles at 45°C already exceeding 11mm. In contrast, the rut under the wheel path for the mixtures treated with HCFA and FCC evolves very slowly over time. As seen in Figure 16, over a comparable cycling scope, rut development is much faster in untreated CAM (6% limestone filler) in comparison to CACB treated with HCFA and FCC indicating the positive impact of HCFA on the rut resistance of CACB. The addition of FCC offered better resistance than AC 20 bin 100/150 and AC 20 bin 40/60.

The reactions between HCFA particles and between HCFA with FCC particles were responsible for generating hydration products resulting in rutting improvement. The microstructural integrity produced by successful hydration with the samples treated with HCFA as well as samples treated with HCFA and
FCC, accounts for an advanced stiffness capability in addition to a higher resistance to permanent deformation.

**Water sensitivity results**

As seen in Figure 17, mixtures with HCFA and FCC gave an outstanding performance in terms of water sensitivity when compared to reference mixtures, i.e. mixtures with conventional limestone filler, mixtures with OPC and the two grades of conventional hot asphalt concrete binder course mixtures. Mixtures with HCFA and FCC had SMR values of more than 100%, which confirms the improved performance of the two filler materials under wet conditions. The HCFA hydration process, promoted by the addition of FCC during the conditioning period, may have caused this change in material response and thus caused this enhancement. A point of interest is that immersing the specimens in water increased the hydration process and that specimen conditioning at high temperatures (40°C) provides good conditions by which to activate the hydration process.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analyses**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a technique for high resolution imaging of surfaces; it reveals the microstructure morphology of particles and the surface characterization of the materials. In the current research, tests were conducted after 3 days and 28 days on selected paste samples taken from the centre of the crushed specimens. Figure 18 presents the SEM photos of the paste samples after 3 and 28 days for the HCFA and HCFA + 2% FCC pastes. It can be observed that after 3 days there is variation in the absence and presence of FCC and there are considerable variations in the morphology of HCFA +2% FCC paste. This suggests that when the HCFA was activated by FCC, hydration was accelerated and thus responsible for the increased stiffness exhibited by this mixture. In addition, a denser structure was developed by adding the FCC compared to the HCFA only sample at 28 days. This dense structure is responsible for the improvement because it forms a bond inside the system. The CH (Portlandite) and CSH (calcium silicate hydrate) phases provide important cementitious binding as well as cohesion characteristic to the final product (Sadique et al. 2012b).
CONCLUSIONS

In this study, several points can be concluded:

1. The new cold asphalt concrete binder course mixtures enhance pavement performance in terms of ITSM, rut resistance and water sensitivity while controlling costs by using obtainable waste filler materials that provide cementitious and pozzolanic activity. The use of both HCFA and FCC will decrease pollutant waste quantity deposits and their harmful effects on the environment.

2. The new CACB mixtures comprised of waste filler materials, will remove restrictions on the use of CBEMs imposed by road authorities because of lengthy curing time. Replacing conventional limestone filler with waste filler materials will decrease cement usage in CBEMs and offer enhanced sustainability.

3. The tests have established that the use of HCFA results in a substantial improvement in stiffness modulus. Furthermore, using FCC as an activator for HCFA means that the stiffness modulus can exceed the stiffness modulus of both grades of HMAs within 3 days.

4. Mixtures treated with HCFA and FCC revealed lower thermal susceptibility than traditional hot asphalt concrete binder course mixtures. This is considered an outstanding improvement in the performance of pavements in hot weather.

5. CACB mixtures with HCFA and FCC offer considerable improvements to permanent deformation resistance. The performance of these mixtures was better than two comparative grades of HMA. The untreated cold binder course exhibited a high rut depth in the wheel-track test, which indicates poor resistance to permanent deformation.

6. Additional encouraging results were found in terms of water sensitivity as the wet stiffness modulus results were better than the dry ones. SMR is better than that of conventional HMAs and mixtures with OPC and, as a result, meet the requirements for the bituminous mixtures.

7. The addition of FCC to HCFA accelerated the hydration of HCFA as evaluated by the ITSM test. This was confirmed by the SEM observation that provided evidence of the presence of hydrated products.
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### Table 1. Physical properties of the aggregate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse aggregate</td>
<td>Bulk particle density, Mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apparent particle density, Mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water absorption, %</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine aggregate</td>
<td>Bulk particle density, Mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apparent particle density, Mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water absorption, %</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional mineral filler</td>
<td>Particle density, Mg/m$^3$</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>(C60B5) bitumen emulsion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Cationic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Black to dark brown liquid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base bitumen</td>
<td>100/150 pen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitumen content, (%)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point, (°C)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative density at 15 °C, (g/ml)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Properties of 40/60 and 100/150 bitumen binders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration at 25 °C</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Penetration at 25 °C</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening point, (°C)</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>Softening point, (°C)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density at 25 °C, (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>Density at 25 °C, (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Chemical analysis of the chosen filler materials, %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical composition</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCFA</td>
<td>67.057</td>
<td>24.762</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>2.845</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>1.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>35.452</td>
<td>44.167</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC</td>
<td>62.379</td>
<td>26.639</td>
<td>2.435</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>1.745</td>
<td>2.588</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>1.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>76.36</td>
<td>16.703</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2.258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Conditions of the ITSM test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specimen diameter, (mm)</td>
<td>100 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time, (ms)</td>
<td>124 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient peak horizontal deformation, (µm)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading time, (s)</td>
<td>3–300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of conditioning plus</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of test plus</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temperature, (°C)</td>
<td>20 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen thickness, (mm)</td>
<td>63 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Marshall</td>
<td>50 × 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen temperature conditioning</td>
<td>4 hr before testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Wheel-track test conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tyre of outside diameter, (mm)</td>
<td>200-205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyre width, (mm)</td>
<td>50 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance of travel, (mm)</td>
<td>230 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolley travel speed, (time/min)</td>
<td>42 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>0.7±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of conditioning cycles</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of test passes</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temperature, (°C)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen dimension, (mm)</td>
<td>400 × 305 × 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction</td>
<td>Roller compactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen temperature conditioning</td>
<td>4 hr before testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. AC 20 mm dense binder course aggregate gradation
Figure 2. Powder XRD pattern of HCFA

(L-lime (CaOH)$_2$, C-calcite (CaCO$_3$), G-gehlenite (Ca$_2$Al[Al,SiO$_7$]), B-belite (2(CaOSiO$_2$), M-mayenite (Ca$_{12}$Al$_4$O$_{33}$), Mr-merwinite (Ca$_3$Mg[SiO$_4$]))
Figure 3. Powder XRD pattern of FCC

(K- Kyanite (Al$_2$O$_5$Si), Q- Quartz (SiO$_2$), M- Mullite(Al$_6$Si$_2$O$_{13}$), Z- Dehydrated Ca-A Zeolite (Al$_{96}$Ca$_{48}$O$_{384}$Si$_{96}$))
Figure 4. Powder XRD pattern for OPC

(A-alite (3CaOSiO$_2$), B-belite (2CaOSiO$_2$), C-calcite (CaCO$_3$), F-ferrite (4CaOAl$_2$O$_3$.fe$_2$O$_3$), Pe-periclase (MgO))
Figure 5. Powder XRD pattern for limestone filler (C-calcite, Q-quartz)
Figure 6. Comparative PSD of candidate materials
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Figure 8. Marshall compaction apparatus
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Figure 10. A wheel-tracking equipment
Figure 11. Effect of HCFA percentage on ITSM results (after 3 days)
Figure 12. Effect of adding FCC on ITSM results (after 3 days)
Figure 13. Effect of curing time and FCC proportion on ITSM results
Figure 14. Effect of adding FCC to 3% HCFA (after 3 days) on ITSM results
Figure 15. Temperature sensitivity results
Figure 16. Rut depth evolution
Figure 17. Water sensitivity results
Figure 18. SEM observation