MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

A high quality pedigree and genetic markers both reveal inbreeding depression for quality but not survival in a cooperative mammal

Journal:	Molecular Ecology	
Manuscript ID	MEC-18-0035.R1	
Manuscript Type:	Original Article	
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Mar-2018	
Complete List of Authors:	Wells, David; Universitat Bielefeld Fakultat fur Biologie, animal behaviou Liverpool John Moores University, Natural sciences and psychology Cant, Michael; University of Exeter, College of Life and Environmental Sciences Nichols, Hazel; Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural Science and Psychology Hoffman, Joe; University of Bielefeld, Animal Behaviour	
Keywords:	Inbreeding, Heterozygosity, Reproductive success, Survival, Pedigree, Banded mongoose	

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

1 A high quality pedigree and genetic markers both reveal inbreeding depression for 2 quality but not survival in a cooperative mammal 3 David A. Wells^{1,2}, Mike A. Cant³, Hazel J. Nichols^{2*}, Joseph I. Hoffman^{1*} 4 5 1. Department of Animal Behaviour, University of Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, 33501 6 7 Bielefeld, Germany 8 2. School of Natural Science and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool 9 L3 3AF, UK 10 3. College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn TR10 9FE, UK 11 * Joint senior authors 12 Keywords: Inbreeding depression, heterozygosity, reproductive success, survival, 13 14 microsatellites, cooperative breeding, banded mongoose 15 16 Corresponding author: David A. Wells 17 Email: david.wells@uni-bielefeld.de, d.a.wells@2016.ljmu.ac.uk 18 19 Running title: Inbreeding depression in banded mongooses

Abstract

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

21

Inbreeding depression, the reduced fitness of offspring of closely related parents, is commonplace in both captive and wild populations and has important consequences for conservation and mating system evolution. However, because of the difficulty of collecting pedigree and life history data from wild populations, relatively few studies have been able to compare inbreeding depression for traits at different points in the life cycle. Moreover, pedigrees give the expected proportion of the genome that is identical by descent (IBD₂) whereas in theory with enough molecular markers realised IBD_g can be quantified directly. We therefore investigated inbreeding depression for multiple life-history traits in a wild population of banded mongooses using pedigree-based inbreeding coefficients (f_{ped}) and standardised multilocus heterozygosity (sMLH) measured at 35-43 microsatellites. Within an information theoretic framework, we evaluated support for either f_{ped} or sMLH as inbreeding terms and used sequential regression to determine whether the residuals of sMLH on f_{ped} explain fitness variation above and beyond f_{ped} . We found no evidence of inbreeding depression for survival, either before or after nutritional independence. By contrast, inbreeding was negatively associated with two quality related traits, yearling body mass and annual male reproductive success. Yearling body mass was associated with f_{ped} but not sMLH, while male annual reproductive success was best explained by both f_{ped} and residual sMLH. Thus, our study not only uncovers variation in the extent to which different traits show inbreeding depression, but also reveals trait-specific differences in the ability of pedigrees and molecular markers to explain fitness variation and suggests that for certain traits genetic markers may capture variation in realised IBDg above and beyond the pedigree expectation.

45

Introduction

1	$\boldsymbol{\tau}$
4	/

Inbreeding depression, the reduction in offspring fitness that can result from incestuous matings, occurs in a wide range of both captive and wild populations (Hedrick & Garcia-Dorado, 2016; Keller & Waller, 2002). Inbreeding increases the proportion of the genome that is identical by descent (IBDg), which in turn reduces fitness mainly through the increased expression of deleterious recessive alleles but also due to increased homozygosity at loci showing overdominance (Charlesworth & Willis, 2009). The resulting loss in fitness can be substantial and is believed to have shaped the evolution of dispersal and mating behaviour in many species. Consequently, quantifying the severity of inbreeding depression in natural populations is essential for understanding population and evolutionary dynamics (Hedrick & Garcia-Dorado, 2016; Keller & Waller, 2002; Nichols, 2017; Szulkin, Stopher, Pemberton, & Reid, 2013).

Inbreeding depression is predicted to be strongest for traits that are closely related to fitness such as survival and reproduction, as these will be subject to stronger directional selection and therefore exhibit greater directional dominance (Falconer & Mackay, 1996). This is supported by a meta-analysis of 54 animal species, although most of the studies involved were of captive or experimental populations (DeRose & Roff, 1999). However, understanding how inbreeding depression affects different life history traits in natural populations is more challenging due to the difficulty of collecting high quality lifetime fitness measures and generating deep, well resolved pedigrees. Furthermore, strong viability selection against inbred offspring will result in an adult population in which inbred individuals are rare,

69 potentially making it more difficult to detect inbreeding depression for late acting traits 70 (Huisman, Kruuk, Ellis, Clutton-Brock, & Pemberton, 2016). 71 72 Traditionally, pedigrees were considered the gold standard for measuring inbreeding in 73 natural populations (Pemberton, 2004). However, the vast majority of pedigrees are 74 incomplete and will also contain errors that can impair their ability to detect inbreeding 75 depression (Reid et al., 2014; H. R. Taylor, Kardos, Ramstad, & Allendorf, 2015). 76 Additionally, pedigrees cannot account for inbreeding caused by ancestors who are not 77 included in the pedigree. This can result in downwardly biased estimates of inbreeding, 78 particularly where the pedigree is only a few generations deep and relationships among the 79 founders are unknown (Kardos, Luikart, & Allendorf, 2015). Arguably, an even greater issue 80 is that pedigrees simply cannot be generated for the majority of wild populations, many of 81 which are large and demographically open. 82 83 A further drawback of pedigrees is that, even when multiple generations of accurate ancestry 84 data can be collected, the pedigree inbreeding coefficient (f_{ped}) quantifies an individual's 85 expected IBDg based on the known common ancestors of its parents, whereas realised IBDg 86 will differ stochastically from this expectation due to Mendelian segregation and 87 recombination (Hedrick, Kardos, Peterson, & Vucetich, 2016; Hill & Weir, 2011; Knief, 88 Kempenaers, & Forstmeier, 2016). The variance in realised IBDg among individuals with the 89 same f_{ped} will be higher for species with few chromosomes and short genetic maps (Fisher, 90 1965; Franklin, 1977; Hill & Weir, 2011; Kardos et al., 2015) and will also decrease with the 91 number of generations separating an inbred individual from its common parental ancestor(s)

92 as IBD chromosomal segments are gradually broken down by successive recombination 93 events (Hedrick et al., 2016). 94 95 As deep, high quality pedigrees are also lacking for the majority of natural populations, many 96 studies have used the heterozygosity of small panels of typically around 10–20 presumed 97 neutral markers such as microsatellites as a surrogate measure of IBD_g. The result is a large 98 and expanding literature describing heterozygosity-fitness correlations (HFCs) covering a 99 long list of traits and species (Chapman, Nakagawa, Coltman, Slate, & Sheldon, 2009). 100 However, estimates of IBD_g based on such small panels of markers will tend to have limited 101 precision due to both high sampling variance and the difficulty of distinguishing identity by 102 descent (IBD) from identity by state (IBS, Balloux, Amos, & Coulson, 2004; Slate et al., 103 2004). Recent simulation and empirical studies suggest that these issues can be overcome 104 with very large panels of markers, with around ten thousand or more single nucleotide 105 polymorphisms (SNPs) being preferable under most circumstances even to a deep pedigree 106 for quantifying inbreeding depression (Hoffman et al., 2014; Huisman et al., 2016; Kardos et 107 al., 2015; Wang, 2016). However, until SNP genotyping costs fall to the point where such 108 large datasets can be collected within the budgets of most projects, it is likely that 109 microsatellites will continue to be used to investigate inbreeding effects in wild populations. 110 111 Only a handful of studies have directly compared the ability of f_{ped} and microsatellites to 112 detect inbreeding depression (e.g. Grueber, Waters, & Jamieson, 2011; S. S. Taylor et al., 113 2010), and these have uncovered mixed results. At one end of the spectrum, Nietlisbach et al. 114 (2017) used an unusually deep and well resolved song sparrow pedigree to show that f_{ped} 115 outperformed microsatellite heterozygosity, even when the latter could be calculated from an

unusually large panel of 160 markers. At the other end, both Forstmeier et al. (2012) and Hammerly et al. (2013) found that smaller panels of around ten microsatellites explained more fitness variation than $f_{\rm ped}$. These contradictory outcomes probably reflect a multitude of factors including variation among studies in pedigree depth and quality, marker number and resolution, as well as factors intrinsic to a given system such as the recombination landscape. Consequently, in order to obtain a more general picture of how pedigrees and genetic markers can capture fitness variation, similar studies of a wider variety of taxa are needed.

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

A related question is whether the heterozygosity of genetic markers can explain fitness variation above and beyond that explained by f_{ped} . Some studies have approached this question by testing for HFCs within individuals of the same pedigree inbreeding class (Hansson, Westerdahl, Hasselquist, Åkesson, & Bensch, 2004; Hemmings, Slate, & Birkhead, 2012), while others have constructed statistical models of the focal traits containing both $f_{\rm ped}$ and marker heterozygosity (e.g. Bensch et al., 2006), an approach that Nietlisbach et al. (2017) recently termed 'residual heterozygosity-fitness correlation'. However, if these two inbreeding measures are strongly correlated, the variance explained by either term cannot be properly partitioned due to collinearity (Dormann et al., 2013). One way to account for this would be to take the residuals of marker heterozygosity on f_{ped} and fit this as an explanatory variable alongside f_{ped} . The variance shared by these two terms will be attributed to the pedigree, while any effect of residual heterozygosity will reflect the ability of the markers to detect variation in realised IBD_g that cannot be captured by the pedigree. This approach is known as 'sequential regression' (Graham, 2003) or sometimes 'residual regression' and has been shown to perform well in a comparison of approaches for dealing with collinearity (Dormann et al., 2013).

A long term study of banded mongooses ($Mungos\ mungo$) provides an excellent opportunity to investigate the strength of inbreeding depression for multiple traits, as well as to explore the ability of f_{ped} and marker heterozygosity to capture fitness variation in a wild vertebrate population. Banded mongooses live in social groups of 10–40 adults and, unlike most cooperative breeders, members of both sexes habitually breed within their natal pack despite the presence of close relatives (Nichols, Cant, Hoffman, & Sanderson, 2014). As a result, inbreeding appears to be common despite evidence that females attempt to avoid inbreeding and that males preferentially mate guard more distant relatives (Sanderson, Wang, Vitikainen, Cant, & Nichols, 2015). Furthermore, inbreeding appears to have fitness implications for offspring as recent studies have uncovered inbreeding depression for both yearling body mass and parasite load (Mitchell, Vitikainen, Wells, Cant, & Nichols, 2017; Sanderson et al., 2015). However, although both of these studies were based on a high quality, nine-generation deep pedigree, only the latter compared the ability of f_{ped} and microsatellite heterozygosity to detect inbreeding depression.

Here, we genotyped an additional 192 individuals at 35 microsatellite loci in order to enlarge the existing banded mongoose pedigree to include 777 individuals with all four grandparents known. The resulting dataset was then used to investigate inbreeding depression for a variety of traits acting at different time points in the life cycle: (i) survival to nutritional independence; (ii) survival beyond nutritional independence; (iii) yearling body mass; and (iv) annual reproductive success. We additionally evaluated the abilities of f_{ped} , marker heterozygosity and residual marker heterozygosity to detect inbreeding depression. We hypothesised that viability selection against inbred individuals would reduce both the mean

and variance in inbreeding in the adult population, thereby rendering inbreeding depression for late-acting traits more difficult to detect. We also hypothesised that, despite having a high quality pedigree, our moderately large panel of microsatellites would allow us to explain fitness variation above and beyond that explained by f_{ped} , and that the explanatory power of the markers would increase with the number of loci.



Materials and methods

171

172

170

Study site, individual identification and sample collection

173 This study was conducted on a free-ranging population of banded mongooses in Queen 174 Elizabeth National Park, Uganda (0°12'S, 27°54'E). The study area comprises approximately 10 km² of savannah on and around the Mweva Peninsula and a weather station near the centre 175 176 measures the amount of daily rainfall. Genetic, behavioural and life-history data were 177 collected from a total of 1,978 individuals between May 1997 and July 2016 inclusive. At 178 any one time, the population consisted of approximately 250 individuals belonging to 10–12 179 social groups. A combination of approaches were used to identify individuals in the field. 180 The majority of individuals were first captured as pups and given either a unique tattoo or a 181 subcutaneous pit tag (TAG-P-122IJ, Wyre Micro Design Ltd., UK) to allow permanent 182 identification. For genetic analysis, a 2mm tissue sample was taken from the tip of the tail 183 using surgical scissors and a dilute solution of potassium permanganate was applied to 184 minimise infection risk. To identify individual mongooses by sight, commercially available 185 hair dye (L'Oreal, UK) was used to apply unique patterns to animals up to six months of age. 186 Adults were given a unique shave pattern and, after they had stopped growing, were fitted 187 with colour-coded plastic collars. To maintain dye markings, shave patterns and collars, all 188 individuals were trapped every 3-6 months as described by Cant (2000), Hodge (2007) and 189 Jordan et al. (2010).

190

191

Life history data collection

Detailed behavioural and life history data were collected by visiting each pack every 2–4

days. All individuals in the population were habituated to human observers. Mongoose packs

could be reliably located because one or two adults in each pack were fitted with a 27g radio collar (<2% of body mass, Sirtrack Ltd., New Zealand) with a 20cm whip antenna (Biotrack Ltd., UK). Age could be determined for the majority of individuals born within the study site based on their mother's parturition dates, but was unknown for immigrants. Individual lifespan was calculated as the time in days between the date of birth and the date of death. Death could be distinguished from dispersal because mongooses disperse in groups (Cant, Otali, & Mwanguhya, 2001) and dispersal events are also generally preceded by a period of aggression from the rest of the group (Thompson et al., 2016).

Escorting is a form of care unique to banded mongooses that affects offspring fitness (Cant, Vitikainen, & Nichols, 2013; Gilchrist, 2004; Hodge, 2005). Escorting begins approximately 27 days after birth, when pups leave the den and begin to forage with the pack (Gilchrist, 2004). During this time, some of the pups form an exclusive one-to-one relationship with an adult who feeds, grooms, carries and protects them from predators. We therefore collected detailed data on escorting behaviour so that we could incorporate escorting into our analyses of early-acting fitness traits. Throughout the escorting period, which lasts approximately two months, we visited packs once or twice daily. If an adult was closely associated with a pup (i.e. spent more than half of a 20 minute observation period within 0.5m of the focal pup) the adult was deemed to be an escort for that pup. For each pup, we quantified the amount of care received as the proportion of visits during which a pup was seen with an escort.

Ethical statement

Research was carried out under licence from the Uganda National Council for Science and

Technology and all procedures were approved by the Uganda Wildlife Authority. All research

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

procedures adhered to the ASAB Guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and Teaching and were approved by the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Exeter. Our trapping procedure has been used over 8000 times and tissue samples have been taken from over 1900 individuals with no adverse effects. DNA extraction and microsatellite genotyping Prior to this study, genetic data were available for 1,748 individuals that were tissue sampled between 1997 and 2013 and genotyped at up to 43 microsatellite loci (Sanderson et al. 2015). All of these loci are known to be in Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibrium in the study population (Sanderson et al. 2015). To enlarge this dataset, we genotyped an additional 192 individuals that were sampled between 2014 and 2015 at 35 of these microsatellites. We excluded 8 loci that had previously been amplified individually and visualised through radioactive incorporation but which failed to amplify reliably in multiplexed PCRs using fluorescent labelled primers. DNA was extracted using Qiagen® DNeasy blood and tissue kits following the manufacturer's protocol. The genotyping was conducted as described in detail by Sanderson et al. (2015). Briefly, fluorescently labelled microsatellite primers were incorporated into seven separate multiplexes. PCR reactions were conducted using a Type It kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol with an annealing temperature of 57°C and a reaction volume of 12µl. PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis on an ABI 3730xl capillary sequencer and allele sizes were scored using GeneMarker version 1.95 (SoftGenetics, Pennsylvania, USA).

239

238

240 Pedigree construction

The resulting microsatellite dataset was used to update an existing banded mongoose pedigree, comprising 1,748 individuals genotyped at 35–43 microsatellite loci (Sanderson et al., 2015). We followed the protocol of Sanderson et al. (2015) to extend the pedigree using a combination of MasterBayes (Hadfield, Richardson, & Burke, 2006) and COLONY (Jones & Wang, 2010). MasterBayes was used as the primary parentage assignment program because of its ability to incorporate phenotypic data, which can result in larger numbers of higher confidence assignments. COLONY was used both to confirm the MasterBayes assignments and to assign sibships among individuals with one or both unsampled parents. The latter provides putative information about the relationships among founders and immigrants rather than assuming that they are unrelated.

For the MasterBayes analysis, we specified the following strict requirements for assigning parentage: (i) fathers had to be alive on the estimated date of conception of the focal pup; (ii) mothers had to be alive on the date of birth and present in the pack where the focal pup was born; (iii) both parents had to be at least six months of age during the month of conception of the focal pup; (iv) offspring could not be their own parents. To maximise confidence in parentage assignments, we also incorporated the following phenotypic data: (i) age and age², as reproduction increases with age before tailing off later in life (Sanderson et al., 2015); (ii) whether a female was recorded as having given birth within four weeks of the month in which the pup was born; (iii) whether the male was present in the offspring's pack during the month of conception. MasterBayes was run for 9,772,000 iterations with a burn in of 750,000 and a thinning interval of 9,022. In order to keep the Metropolis Hastings acceptance rate between 0.2 and 0.5, the tuning parameters were set to tunePed (beta=0.3, USdam= 0.03, USsire=0.03). Successive samples from the posterior distribution had low autocorrelation (r <

265 0.1). MasterBayes parentage assignments were accepted if they had an associated probability 266 greater than or equal to 0.8, although the average assignment probability was 0.99. 267 268 Additionally, COLONY was used to assign individuals to full- and half-sibship groups. 269 Candidate parent and exclusion parent lists for input into COLONY were generated using the 270 same criteria as for MasterBayes. No maternal or paternal sibships were excluded. We 271 specified a sibship prior of 1.5 for both maternal and paternal average sibship size. This was 272 based on prior knowledge of the breeding system and helped to prevent COLONY from 273 incorrectly grouping offspring into large clusters of false siblings. The probability of a true 274 parent being in the candidate list was set to 0.8 and COLONY assignments were only 275 accepted if they had a probability greater than or equal to 0.8. MasterBayes parentage 276 assignments were accepted first and COLONY assignments were then added where 277 MasterBayes failed to confidently assign parentage. 278 279 Derivation of pedigree f and multilocus heterozygosity 280 Based on the final pedigree, which incorporated information on putative relationships among 281 founders as described above, pedigree inbreeding coefficients (f_{ped}) were calculated for all 282 individuals using the R package pedantics (Morrissey, 2014). However, subsequent analyses involving $f_{\rm ped}$ were based only on individuals with all four grandparents assigned. From the 283 284 microsatellite data, we also quantified each individual's standardised multilocus 285 heterozygosity (sMLH) using inbreedR (Stoffel et al., 2016). The same program was also 286 used to calculate g₂, a quantity that estimates identity disequilibrium (the extent to which 287 heterozygosities are correlated across loci) following David, Pujol, Viard, Castella, & Goudet 288 (2007). We also used inbreedR to calculate the 95% confidence interval of g_2 by

bootstrapping over individuals and to permute the genetic data to generate a p-value for the null hypothesis of no variance in inbreeding in the sample (i.e. $g_2 = 0$) as described in detail by Stoffel et al. (2016).

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

289

290

291

Testing for parentage assignment biases in our pedigree

The majority of accepted parental relationships had very high confidence (89% at \geq 99% confidence). Nevertheless, Wang (2010) showed that parentage analyses can potentially be biased in favour of heterozygotes, which could potentially create an artefactual positive relationship between sMLH and reproductive success. We evaluated whether such a bias could affect our pedigree by testing for an association between parental heterozygosity and the confidence with which parents were assigned in our pedigree using a generalised linear model (GLM) with a binomial error structure. A slight but statistically significant bias was found in the direction of homozygotes being assigned parentage with slightly greater confidence than heterozygotes (Supplementary table S1). To explore this further, we simulated pedigrees based on the empirical allele frequencies of our study population. Our methods and results are described in detail in the supplementary information. Briefly, initial simulations assuming random mating assigned 94% of parents with a probability of 1.0 and therefore no bias could be detected. Hence, we simulated an arguably more realistic pedigree with close inbreeding for which parentage analysis should be technically more challenging due to high relatedness among the candidate parents. Consistent with results from our empirical dataset, we found that homozygotes had a slightly higher probability of being assigned parentage (Supplementary table S2). Taken together, these findings suggest that any bias in our pedigree should be both small and in the opposite direction to that predicted, and is therefore unlikely to generate a false signal of inbreeding depression.

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

Statistical analyses

Strong inbreeding depression early in life will tend to deplete the adult population of inbred individuals and thereby reduce the power to detect inbreeding effects later in life (Huisman et al., 2016). To evaluate this possibility, we grouped individuals into six cohorts based on their survival to a given age (< one, one, two, three, four or \geq five years old) and used Levene's test to assess the equality of variances of f_{ped} and sMLH among the cohorts and Spearman's rank to test for a decrease in mean inbreeding with increasing age. We then investigated inbreeding depression for four main fitness components: (i) survival to nutritional independence; (ii) survival beyond nutritional independence; (iii) yearling body mass; and (iv) annual reproductive success (see below for further details). These fitness components were used as response variables in four separate analyses conducted within R version 3.2.3 (R Core Team, 2014). Beforehand, all of the explanatory variables were checked for collinearity using pair plots and by calculating pairwise correlation coefficients. Graham (2003) showed that correlations between explanatory variables as low as 0.28 may compromise model parameterisation but collinearity in our models was well below this, except for f_{ped} and sMLH, which we dealt with as described below. All of our models were also validated though visual inspection of histograms of residuals and plots of residuals against fitted values for each of the explanatory variables as recommend by Zuur, Ieno, & Saveliev (2009).

332

333

334

335

336

For each analysis, we constructed a set of competing models, each incorporating prior knowledge of the banded mongoose system, and quantified their relative support using AIC_c-weights within a multi-model inference framework. As support for a model increases, its AIC_c-weight tends towards 1. To quantify the contributions of individual predictor variables,

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

we then calculated predictor-AIC_c-weights by summing the AIC_c-weights of all models containing that predictor. We also followed the recommendation of Richards et al. (2011) and discarded models with better supported models nested within them (i.e. models that are more complicated versions of a better supported model). Within the above framework, f_{ped} and sMLH were used as predictor variables to quantify the effects of inbreeding on fitness. Including f_{ped} and sMLH in the same models is likely to cause problems due to multi-collinearity because both are estimates of IBD_g. Therefore, we quantified any potential effects of sMLH above and beyond f_{ped} by constructing a set of models containing both f_{ped} and the residuals of sMLH on f_{ped} (henceforth termed residual sMLH). As there is no statistical collinearity between f_{ped} and residual sMLH, we were able to include information from the pedigree and molecular markers simultaneously without biasing the regression parameter estimates (Graham, 2003). Residual sMLH can be interpreted as whether an individual is more or less heterozygous than expected given their f_{ped} and its effect size can be interpreted as its effect additional to that already made through its relationship with f_{ped} as any variance explained by both terms is attributed to f_{ped} . This technique is called sequential regression and performs well across a range of complex functional relationships and collinearity structures (Dormann et al., 2013). Additional nongenetic explanatory variables were analysed based on prior knowledge of the mongoose system as described below. (i) Survival to nutritional independence

As mortality is highest in banded mongooses prior to nutritional independence around day 90,

we first analysed survival to 90 days. A recent study found that offspring of extra group

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

matings, which tend to be more heterozygous, have higher survivorship to 90 days (Nichols, Cant, & Sanderson, 2015), suggesting that there could be a direct link between inbreeding and early survivorship. In the current study, data were available for a total of 489 individuals with all four grandparents assigned. Survival was analysed as a binomial response variable (coded as 1 = survived, 0 = died) within generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) using lme4 (Bates, Maechler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015) with litter nested within pack as random effects. A total of 19 competing models were constructed (see Table 1), each containing different combinations of predictor variables representing plausible hypotheses to be evaluated within a multi-model inference framework. We included rainfall during the 30 days prior to birth as a predictor variable in all of the models, as this is robustly associated with early life survival (Nichols et al., 2015; Sanderson et al., 2015). As escorting has a highly significant effect on survival to 60 days (Gilchrist, 2004) but is only weakly associated with survival to 90 days (Hodge, 2005), we also included escorting as a continuous variable (see above) in a subset of the models. To further test for an interaction between inbreeding and stress, we constructed a further subset of models containing interactions between rainfall and one of the inbreeding terms (i.e. rain * f_{ped} or rain * sMLH). As explained above, the effect of residual heterozygosity was evaluated by constructing models containing both f_{ped} and residual sMLH. (ii) Survival beyond nutritional independence We investigated inbreeding depression for longevity based on all individuals that survived beyond 90 days (n = 428 mongooses with at least all four grandparents in the pedigree). Lifespan was investigated using Cox-proportional-hazard models in the survival package

(Therneau & Grambsch, 2000). Individuals that survived until the end of the study or that

emigrated from the study population were classified as right censored in the models. To

account for the non-independence of individuals within social groups, we fitted pack as a frailty term, equivalent to a random effect. We also verified that the proportional hazard was independent of time using plots of the scaled Schoenfeld residuals. We constructed 14 competing models (see table 2), all of which contained sex (coded as female = 0, male =1) because males tend to have a longer lifespan (Cant, Nichols, Thompson, & Vitikainen, 2016). We used mean monthly rainfall in the first year of life as a predictor variable in a subset of models because it is associated with prey abundance and thereby influences lifespan (Marshall et al., 2017). As described above for the models of survival to nutritional independence, we also tested for an interaction between inbreeding and stress by constructing models containing interactions between rainfall and the inbreeding terms.

(iii) Yearling body mass

We next investigated inbreeding depression for body mass (measured in g) at one year of age. Heavier banded mongoose females breed earlier (Hodge, 2005) and may thus have higher lifetime reproductive success. Also, yearling body mass exhibits inbreeding depression (Sanderson et al., 2015) although the study in question did not analyse microsatellite heterozygosity. Individuals were habituated to step onto a portable weighing balance for a small reward of milk, which allowed us to measure body mass. Yearling body mass was calculated as the average of all morning mass measurements for an individual taken between 350 and 380 days of age. Measurements were taken in the morning to standardise against fluctuations in body mass that may occur during the day. Data on yearling body mass were available for a total of 156 individuals with all four grandparents known. We constructed 53 competing models (See table 3) with litter nested within pack as random effects. These models were run in the glmmADMB package (Fournier, Skaug, Ancheta, & Ianelli, 2012)

with a Gaussian error distribution. We included sex in a subset of models and rainfall in the 30 days prior to birth in a subset of the models as this was previously found to be positively associated with body mass in one study (Nichols et al., 2015) but not in another (Sanderson et al. 2015). To test for interactions between inbreeding and stress, some of these models also included interactions between rainfall and the inbreeding terms. Escorting was included in a further subset of models as it correlates positively with pup weight at 84 days (Hodge, 2005; but see Gilchrist, 2004).

(iv) Annual reproductive success

Reproductive success is closely linked to fitness but no studies of banded mongooses have previously investigated inbreeding depression for this trait. We therefore used the pedigree to quantify annual reproductive success, expressed as the number of pups assigned to each individual, for all animals over six months of age who survived a given year. Because reproductive opportunities differ between the sexes, with most females breeding regularly while male reproductive success is strongly skewed towards the oldest 3–5 males in a pack (Nichols, Amos, Cant, Bell, & Hodge, 2010), separate models were constructed for each sex. These were based on a total of 240 annual observations of 99 females and 354 annual observations of 129 males. Annual reproductive success was modelled using a negative binomial error distribution with zero-inflation within the R package glmmADMB (Skaug, Fournier, Nielsen, & Magnusson, 2013). To account for multiple observations of individuals and packs, we fitted individual and pack as random effects. We constructed 14 competing models separately for females and males (see Tables 4a and 4b respectively). As reproductive success tends to increase with age before tailing off later in life (Sanderson et al., 2015), we included age and age² as predictor variables in all of the models. Average monthly rainfall

over the year was also included in a subset of models as a proxy for environmental stress, while inbreeding–stress interactions were investigated through the inclusion of models containing interactions between rainfall and the inbreeding terms.

436



R	esu	lts
1.	CO U	

We augmented an existing microsatellite dataset comprising 1,748 individuals genotyped at 35–43 microsatellite loci (Sanderson et al., 2015) by genotyping an additional 192 individuals at 35 microsatellites. This allowed us to enlarge the nine-generation deep banded mongoose pedigree of Sanderson et al. (2015) by increasing the number of maternal links from 1,570 to 1,725 and the number of paternal links from 1,476 to 1,625. The restricted dataset of individuals with all four grandparents assigned, which formed the basis of all subsequent analyses, increased from 672 to 777.

Inbreeding and heterozygosity

Our pedigree uncovered appreciable variance in inbreeding (mean $f_{ped} = 0.058$, variance = 0.006), with the majority of individuals (66.4%) being to some extent inbred (Figure 1, top marginal histogram). Weak inbreeding ($0 < f_{ped} < 0.125$) accounted for 46.5% of the population, while 12.9% of individuals were moderately inbred ($0.125 \le f_{ped} < 0.25$) and 7.1% were closely inbred ($f_{ped} \ge 0.25$). Microsatellite heterozygosity (sMLH) was approximately normally distributed with a mean of 0.982 and a variance of 0.034 (Figure 1, right marginal histogram) and correlated significantly with f_{ped} (R = -0.34, p < 0.001). Furthermore, the measure g_2 , which quantifies the extent to which heterozygosity is correlated across loci, was positive (0.012, 95% CI = 0.007–0.018) indicating that the microsatellites are capturing variation in inbreeding. As observed in other species (e.g. Huisman et al. 2016), appreciable variation was observed in sMLH among individuals with the same f_{ped} .

Changes in inbreeding with age

If inbred individuals experience stronger viability selection early in life, the variance in inbreeding should be lower in adults, making it more difficult to detect inbreeding depression for late-acting traits (Huisman et al., 2016). To investigate this possibility, we divided the mongooses into six cohorts based on their survival to a given age (see Materials and methods) and tested for differences in the variance of f_{ped} and sMLH among these cohorts using Levene's tests. Neither of the inbreeding measures showed a decrease in variance with age (table S3) and the variance in sMLH did not differ significantly among cohorts ($F_5 = 0.74$, p =0.59). However, the cohorts did not have equal variance in f_{ped} ($F_5 = 2.36$, p = 0.03). This result appears to be driven by low sampling variance in individuals who survived between one and two years as the variance in f_{ped} no longer differed significantly among cohorts after these animals were excluded from the analysis. Taken together, these findings suggest that viability selection against inbred individuals does not reduce the variance in inbreeding with age. In line with this, we also found no evidence for a decline in the mean level of inbreeding with increasing age (f_{ped} rho = 0.043, p = 0.23; sMLH rho = -0.01, p = 0.78; table S3). Survival to nutritional independence We found that the model of survival to nutritional independence with the greatest AIC_c

475

474

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

- 476
- 477
- 478 support included rainfall in the 30 days prior to birth and escorting as fixed effect explanatory
- 479 variables (Table 1, intercept = -0.5364 ± 0.4514 SE, rainfall $\beta = 0.3577 \pm 0.1348$ SE,
- 480 escorting $\beta = 0.8764 \pm 0.4084$ SE, random effects: pack SD = 0.000, litter nested within pack
- 481 SD = 1.57). The second and third most supported models included rain and escorting as well
- 482 as an inbreeding term (Table 1). However, as they had the best model nested within them (i.e.
- 483 they were more complex but less supported versions of the first model) we did not consider
- them further, as recommended by Richards et al. (2011). 484

485 486 Survival beyond nutritional independence 487 The results of our analysis of adult survival were equivocal (Table 2). The highest ranking 488 model included sMLH but had roughly equivalent AIC_c support (Δ AIC_c < 1) to a simple 489 model that included only sex. As AIC_c tends to slightly favour complex models, especially 490 when there is uncertainty over the best model (Symonds & Moussalli, 2011), our results do 491 not provide convincing evidence of inbreeding depression for longevity. 492 493 Yearling body mass 494 By contrast, strong support was found for inbreeding depression in yearling body mass, with all of the top 12 models containing f_{ped} as a fixed effect explanatory variable (Table 3) and the 495 496 predictor-AIC_c-weight for f_{ped} being high at 0.96. The top ranking model contained sex and f_{ped} (Table 3, Figure 2; intercept = 1162 ± 53 SE, sex β = 59 ± 19 SE f_{ped} β = -382 ± 127 SE, 497 498 random effects: pack SD = 125.5, litter nested within pack SD = 37.6). As before, we 499 disregarded less supported models with this model nested within them as suggested by 500 Richards et al. (2011). 501 502 Annual reproductive success Focusing first on female reproductive success, the top ranking model contained age + age² + 503 504 f_{ped} but the next best model had very similar AIC_c support but did not contain f_{ped} (Table 4a). 505 Because AIC_c support for these two models was so similar and AIC exhibits a slight 506 preference for overly complex models, the simpler model should be preferred. Consequently, 507 our data provided only limited support for inbreeding depression for female annual reproductive success as our preferred model contained only age and age² (intercept = -1.2539 508

 ± 0.3773 SE, age $\beta = 0.7616 \pm 0.1776$ SE, age $^2\beta = -0.0480 \pm 0.0244$ SE). By contrast, the 509 510 best supported model for males contained both $f_{\rm ped}$ and residual sMLH (intercept = -2.9481 \pm 0.4792 SE, age $\beta = 1.4452 \pm 0.1905 \text{ SE}$, age $\beta = -0.1343 \pm 0.0209 \text{ SE}$, $f_{\text{ped}} \beta = -6.2994 \pm 0.0209 \text{ SE}$ 511 512 1.7203 SE, residual sMLH $\beta = 2.0920 \pm 0.7646$ SE). This not only provides evidence for 513 inbreeding depression for male annual reproductive success, but also suggests that marker 514 heterozygosity captures a significant amount of variance that is not explained by f_{ped} . This 515 model was nested within the second and third highest ranking models, which also had 516 considerable AIC_c support and respectively contained rain and an interaction between rain and 517 f_{ped} . 518 519 Consistent with theoretical expectations, the best supported model of annual male 520 reproductive success revealed a negative association with f_{ped} (Figure 3a) and a positive 521 association with residual sMLH (Figure 3b). Inbred males with an f_{ped} value of 0.25 were

predicted by the model to have approximately 79% fewer offspring than fully outbred

individuals with an f_{ped} value of zero, while males with residual sMLH values one standard

residual sMLH equal to zero. This indicates that within f_{ped} classes, relatively heterozygous

deviation above zero (0.185) were predicted to have 47% more offspring than individuals with

525526

527

528

529

530

531

532

522

523

524

Effect sizes of the inbreeding terms

individuals tend to have greater reproductive fitness.

To provide further insights into the effect sizes of the inbreeding terms, we constructed three alternative models separately for each fitness trait. These models contained non-inbreeding terms that were retained in the top ranking models described above for each trait, while in addition the first model contained f_{ped} , the second contained sMLH and the third contained f_{ped}

plus residual sMLH. To evaluate inbreeding effects, we then calculated effect sizes and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for all of the predictor variables contained in each model. The results are summarised separately for each trait in Figure 4. Consistent with results from the information theoretic approach, the 95% CIs of the effect sizes of all three inbreeding terms overlapped zero for survival to nutritional independence, survival beyond nutritional independence and female reproductive success (Figure 4a, b and d), suggesting that there is very little evidence for inbreeding depression for these traits. Also as expected, f_{ped} had negative point estimates whose corresponding 95% CIs did not overlap zero in models of yearling body mass and annual male reproductive success (Figure 4c and e), while sMLH and residual sMLH only had positive estimates and 95% CIs not overlapping zero in models of male reproductive success (Figure 4e).

Associated p- and R^2 values

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of our results to the statistical framework employed, we determined the statistical significance of f_{ped} , sMLH and residual sMLH using a frequentist approach. Separately for each trait, we derived p-values for each of the inbreeding terms using likelihood ratio tests. The significance of f_{ped} and sMLH was derived by comparing models containing these terms with equivalent 'null models' containing only the relevant non-inbreeding terms, while p-values for residual sMLH were obtained through the comparison of models containing f_{ped} plus residual sMLH with equivalent models containing only f_{ped} . To provide an indication of the proportion of variance explained by each model, we also calculated conditional R^2 values for GLMMs (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013) and Cox and Snell's pseudo R^2 values for Cox proportional hazard models (Cox & Snell, 1989). However, this was not possible for zero-inflated negative binomial GLMMs so we instead report log

likelihood values for these models (Table 5). To allow direct comparison with other studies, correlation coefficients between the two inbreeding measures and each fitness trait are also provided in the supporting information (table S4). Consistent with the results of the multimodel approach described above, we found a highly significant effect of f_{ped} on yearling body mass, which explained almost 5% of the total variation (Table 5c), although sMLH did not explain a significant amount of variance in this trait. By contrast, both f_{ped} and sMLH explained significant variation in male annual reproductive success (Table 5e). Furthermore, adding residual sMLH to a model containing only f_{ped} resulted in a significant improvement to the model of annual male reproductive success (p = 0.007, Table 5e), suggesting that for some traits genetic markers may capture variation in inbreeding above and beyond that explained by 67.0 f_{ped} .

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

Sensitivity to marker number

To further investigate the explanatory power of f_{ped} and marker heterozygosity, we directly compared three of our models of annual male reproductive success in which the inbreeding terms were f_{ped} (M4 in table 4b), sMLH (M3 in table 4b) and f_{ped} plus residual sMLH (M8 in table 4b) respectively, and explored the sensitivity of model AIC_c to marker number. As expected, AIC_c decreased steadily with increasing marker number (Figure 5). With fewer than around 20 markers, sMLH did not perform as well as f_{ped} , but with 30–40 markers AIC_c values for the two models were very similar. Furthermore, the model containing both f_{ped} and residual sMLH became increasingly superior to the model containing only f_{ped} as more markers were deployed.

579

580

Testing for local effects

Finally, we tested for the possible involvement of local effects involving specific microsatellite loci by adapting the approach of Szulkin, Bierne, & David (2010). Specifically, we compared a model of male reproductive success containing age, age^2 , f_{ped} and residual sMLH with a model in which residual sMLH was replaced by separate terms for the residual heterozygosity of each of the microsatellite loci. The second model was not a significant improvement over the first, although the corresponding p-value was close to significance (sults arc
.iism based on c $2LL_{30} = 42.06$, p = 0.07). Our results are therefore more consistent with inbreeding depression than with a mechanism based on one or a small number of local effects.

589

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

Discussion

Although inbreeding depression is known to be important in many wild populations, relatively few studies are large and detailed enough either to compare multiple traits at different stages in the life cycle or to investigate the relative explanatory power of pedigree-based and molecular estimates of inbreeding. We therefore used an exceptionally comprehensive long-term study of banded mongooses both to quantify inbreeding depression for early and late-acting traits and to evaluate the hypothesis that marker heterozygosity may capture fitness variation above and beyond that explained by $f_{\rm ped}$. Contrary to our initial expectations, we did not find evidence for strong viability selection against inbred individuals early in life, but instead detected inbreeding depression for traits relating to individual quality (i.e. yearling body mass and male annual reproductive success). Furthermore, we found that fitting $f_{\rm ped}$ and residual sMLH together in a single model explained significantly more of the variance in male annual reproductive success than using $f_{\rm ped}$ alone. However this was not the case for yearling body mass, where $f_{\rm ped}$ explained variation in fitness but sMLH did not.

Inbreeding depression for different traits

Theory predicts that inbreeding depression should be greatest for traits closely linked to fitness because traits under strong directional selection will exhibit greater directional dominance (Lynch & Walsh, 1998). This is supported by a meta-analysis that found stronger inbreeding depression for life history traits such as survival and fecundity than for morphological traits such as body weight (DeRose & Roff, 1999). Given that all of the traits we analysed in banded mongooses are arguably very closely linked to fitness, we were initially surprised not to find inbreeding depression for either survival to nutritional

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

independence or longevity. One potential explanation for this is that inbreeding depression for early survival could be buffered by the social system of this species (Ihle, Hutter, & Tschirren, 2017; Nielsen et al., 2012; Pilakouta, Jamieson, Moorad, & Smiseth, 2015) especially if escorts preferentially direct care towards inbred individuals (Thünken, Bakker, Baldauf, & Kullmann, 2007). However, due to the complexity of the banded mongoose system, testing this hypothesis lies beyond the scope of the current study. Alternatively, as the environment is relatively benign and major causes of death in our study population are predation and injuries sustained during aggressive interactions between social groups (Cant et al., 2013), there may be relatively little scope for strong genetic effects on survival. A further possibility is that our study may have lacked the statistical power to detect inbreeding depression for traits with smaller available sample sizes, such as female annual reproductive success. However, this seems unlikely to account for the absence of detectable inbreeding depression for early-acting traits like survival to nutritional independence as sample sizes for these analyses were more than double what was available for yearling body mass, where inbreeding depression was detected. Nevertheless, we cannot discount the possibility that inbreeding depression might influence survival at an even earlier stage of development, for instance in utero or during their first month post partum before emergence from the underground den.

632

633

634

635

636

637

As several studies have shown that inbreeding depression can be magnified by stress (Armbruster & Reed, 2005; Fox & Reed, 2011; Meagher, Penn, & Potts, 2000; Reed, Fox, Enders, & Kristensen, 2012, Noren et al 2016), we included interactions between rainfall and both measures of inbreeding in all of our analyses as rainfall is a proxy for food availability. We found that none of the top ranking models of survival to nutritional independence.

longevity, yearling body mass or annual reproductive success contained interactions between rainfall and either f_{ped} or sMLH. Furthermore, although rainfall has a strong effect on survival to nutritional independence (Nichols et al., 2015; Sanderson et al., 2015) and was therefore included as a main effect in all models of this particular trait, rainfall did not feature in any of the chosen models of the other three fitness traits. Thus, our rainfall measures do not appear to strongly influence most of the investigated traits, which may help to explain why interactions involving rainfall were not found.

Alternatively, social stressors might be disproportionately important in this cooperative breeding species. Consistent with this, strong inbreeding depression was found for male annual reproductive success, with closely inbred individuals ($f_{ped} \ge 0.25$) having 79% lower annual reproductive success than individuals with an f_{ped} of zero, whereas our results for female reproductive success provided at best limited support for inbreeding depression. Although the sample size of female observations was smaller, sex-specific inbreeding depression would be consistent with previous studies of wild mice showing that male-male competition amplifies inbreeding depression (Meagher et al., 2000). It would also be in line with stronger reproductive skew in male versus female banded mongooses (Nichols et al., 2010) as stronger directional selection is expected to increase inbreeding depression.

Pedigrees have for many years been the gold standard for quantifying inbreeding depression in wild populations (Pemberton, 2004, 2008). However, pedigree data are often incomplete and assignment errors can introduce significant error into the estimation of f_{ped} (Reid et al.,

2014) while the assumption that the founders are outbred and unrelated to one another may

Detecting inbreeding depression with pedigrees and genetic markers

also be violated in closed or structured populations. In addition, f_{ped} is a measure of the expected IBD_g of an individual based on its pedigree and cannot capture stochastic variation in realised IBD_g resulting from Mendelian segregation (Hedrick et al., 2016; Hill & Weir, 2011; Knief et al., 2016). Consequently, there has been growing interest in the extent to which f_{ped} and marker heterozygosity can capture inbreeding effects, either independently or when analysed together, as well as in how the explanatory power of genetic markers varies with the number of loci that can be genotyped.

Several studies have compared the ability of pedigrees and microsatellites to detect inbreeding depression. These have reached the general consensus that $f_{\rm ped}$ usually performs better (e.g. Ólafsdóttir & Kristjánsson, 2008; Slate et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2010), even when hundreds of microsatellites are used (Nietlisbach et al., 2017), although it is also to be expected that tens of thousands of SNPs will outperform $f_{\rm ped}$ (Huisman et al., 2016; Kardos et al., 2015). Nevertheless, both Forstmeier et al. (2012) and Hammerly et al. (2013) detected stronger inbreeding effects with around ten microsatellites than with $f_{\rm ped}$. Our results fall somewhere in between these opposite ends of the spectrum, with heterozygosity based on around 40 microsatellites having roughly equivalent explanatory power to $f_{\rm ped}$ for male annual reproductive success but not for yearling body mass. This probably reflects a variety of factors as discussed below.

First, most pedigrees suffer to a greater or lesser extent from errors in the assignment of parental relationships, which can lead to significant and often downward bias in the estimation of inbreeding depression (Reid et al., 2014). This could partly explain the contrasting results of Nietlisbach et al. (2017) and Hammerly et al. (2013), as the former study was able to

genotype the parents of all of the individuals used in the analysis for a very large number of microsatellites, resulting in an unusually accurate pedigree, whereas Hammerly et al. (2013) recognised that their pedigree contained a significant number of errors. Although it is difficult to directly compare different studies, our banded mongoose pedigree probably sits closer to the song sparrow end of the continuum, as our panel of microsatellites was moderately large and the majority of the adult population (all but four parents, Sanderson et al. 2015) was included.

A second factor that may influence the relative explanatory power of pedigrees and genetic markers is pedigree depth. Pedigree-based inbreeding estimates become increasingly accurate with increasing depth, although these estimates become only marginally more precise beyond five generations in populations with certain structures (Slate et al., 2004, Kardos et al., 2015). Therefore, deeper pedigrees will tend to capture more of the variance in IBDg within a given population and leave less 'undetected inbreeding' for the markers to capture (Nietlisbach et al., 2017). This could potentially help to explain why residual heterozygosity accounts for additional fitness variation in one of the two traits that showed inbreeding depression in our study, as 54% of individuals in the song sparrow pedigree had eight or more known ancestral generations, whereas our equivalent value was only 3% and around half of all individuals in our banded mongoose pedigree had fewer than five generations known.

Third, the information content of the genetic markers used in a study will influence how well heterozygosity measures inbreeding. Homozygosity measured at genetic markers with few alleles and/or highly skewed allele frequencies is more likely by chance to reflect IBS than IBD and so may provide relatively little information about an individual's level of inbreeding.

Calculating the IBD–IBS discrepancy for our dataset following Knief et al. (2016) resulted in an estimate of 49%. This is higher than in zebra finches (13%, Knief et al., 2016) and may in part reflect the relatively low allelic richness of our microsatellites (average number of alleles = 5.2, Supplementary table S5). However, this does not appear to have been a major issue for our study, probably due to the relatively large panel of available microsatellites. It might be interesting to explore this further in future studies by attempting to develop 'ideal markers' where there is little to no IBD–IBS discrepancy. One possible strategy would be to genotype small panels of SNPs residing within known runs of homozygosity (ROH) following the suggestion of Knief et al. (2016).

In addition, factors intrinsic to a given system may also play a role, such as the frequency of close inbreeding, the number of chromosomes and genetic map length. For example, theoretical work by Hill & Weir (2011) and simulations by Hedrick et al. (2016) suggest that the variation in realised IBDg around that expected by f_{ped} will be greater for closer inbreeding, and hence that the type and variance of inbreeding in a population will affect how well f_{ped} estimates IBDg. We know that close inbreeding is relatively common in banded mongooses, not because of small population sizes but because both sexes frequently remain in their natal group for their entire lives and breed with other group members (Nichols et al., 2014). Hence, the relatively high frequency of close inbreeding in this species could potentially help to explain our results.

Furthermore, f_{ped} will be relatively imprecise in species with fewer chromosomes and shorter genetic maps because genomes inherited in larger blocks will exhibit greater variance in realised IBD_g for a given value of f_{ped} (Franklin, 1977; Hill & Weir, 2011; Kardos et al., 2015;

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

Stam, 1980). Genomes inherited in larger blocks should therefore provide greater scope to detect inbreeding depression with relatively few molecular markers (Forstmeier et al., 2012). The size of these blocks is partly determined by the number of chromosomes because the proportion of unlinked loci will increase with chromosome number (Weir, Avery, & Hill, 1980), while within chromosomes both the number and distribution of crossovers will play a role (Knief et al., 2016). To illustrate this point, nearly a third of the zebra finches genome segregates in only four blocks because almost half of the autosomal genome comprises four chromosomes that experience very little recombination (Forstmeier et al., 2012). It is currently difficult for us to judge how these factors could have influenced our results as the number of chromosomes in banded mongoose is neither small nor large (2n = 36, Fredga,1972) and the recombination landscape of this species has not yet been characterised. Factors that influence the relative ability of f_{ped} and markers to detect inbreeding depression will also vary among populations and are expected to differ systematically between large populations and smaller, threatened ones. Small or fragmented populations often have higher rates of inbreeding and lower genetic diversity and Grueber, Wallis, & Jamieson (2008) argue that these and other differences make it difficult to generalise results from outbred populations to threatened ones. It is therefore worth considering how similar systems are in the prevalence of inbreeding before extrapolating results between them. Furthermore, historical changes in the structure of a population, including bottlenecks and population admixture, may also create variance in inbreeding sensu lato (Bierne, Tsitrone, & David, 2000; Grueber et al., 2008; Weir et al., 1980). Consequently, the number of markers needed to accurately quantify IBD_g will also depend on the demographic history of the population in question (Miller et al., 2014).

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

Capturing inbreeding depression with sequential regression

Although pedigrees clearly fail to capture variation in heterozygosity about the genome-wide expectation given by f_{ped} , relatively few studies have attempted to quantify the amount of fitness variation that genetic markers might capture additional to that explained by f_{ped} . Some studies approached this question by fitting f_{ped} and heterozygosity as predictor variables in the same statistical models of the focal traits (e.g. Bensch et al., 2006; Grueber et al., 2011, Nietlisbach et al. 2017). However, this approach may be problematic because heterozygosity is often correlated with f_{ped} and including collinear variables in a model can lead to inaccurate parameter estimates (Graham, 2003). We therefore used sequential regression as an alternative approach that attributes all of the shared variance to f_{ped} and is therefore able to estimate how well marker heterozygosity explains variation in fitness after controlling for $f_{\rm ped}$ without biasing parameter estimates. Using an information theoretic approach, we found that the best model of male annual reproductive success contained residual sMLH as well as f_{ped} . This was also supported by a frequentist approach, which uncovered a highly significant (p =0.007) effect of residual sMLH. By contrast, residual sMLH did not explain significant variation in yearling weight. One potential explanation for this could be that male reproductive success exhibits stronger inbreeding depression, which may make residual heterozygosity effects easier to detect.

777

778

779

780

781

776

An alternative to controlling statistically for $f_{\rm ped}$ is to control for this experimentally by screening genetic markers in individuals chosen to have the same $f_{\rm ped}$. For example, Hemmings et al. (2012) used 384 genome-wide distributed SNPs to estimate homozygosity in zebra finches with the same $f_{\rm ped}$, finding that the most homozygous birds were less likely to

survive to sexual maturity. This study echoes an earlier paper where full-sibling reed warblers were compared (Hansson, Bensch, Hasselquist, & Åkesson, 2001) and where again heterozygosity correlated with fitness despite identical $f_{\rm ped}$. A key difference is that Hansson et al. (2001) used five microsatellites, leading the authors to conclude that a local effect was responsible, whereas the much larger panel used by Hemmings et al. (2012) more or less precludes a dominant role for only one or two loci. Consistent with the latter study, two lines of evidence are suggestive of a genome-wide mechanism in banded mongooses. First, in our models of annual male reproductive success, we found that AIC_c steadily fell as the number of randomly sampled microsatellite loci increased, regardless of whether sMLH or residual sMLH were fitted as predictor variables. Second, we did not find that a model incorporating the single-locus heterozygosities of all of the loci explained significantly more variation than a model containing only sMLH. Although the second test is admittedly conservative, collectively our results point towards a polygenic architecture, consistent with the widespread view that the majority of inbreeding effects are caused by many loci with small effect sizes distributed across the genome (Charlesworth & Willis, 2009; Szulkin et al., 2010).

Future perspectives

Looking to the future, although ours and many other studies have quantified heterozygosity using microsatellites, simulations clearly indicate that tens of thousands of markers will outperform even very deep pedigrees at capturing inbreeding depression, particularly when they can be mapped to a reference genome to quantify ROH (Kardos et al., 2015; Wang, 2016). This is supported by a growing number of empirical studies of wild populations using approaches like restriction site associated DNA sequencing (Hoffman et al., 2014), high density SNP arrays (Chen et al., 2016; Huisman et al., 2016) and whole-genome resequencing

(Kardos et al., 2018). As the costs of these and related methods continue to fall, they are likely to become preferred approaches for studying inbreeding and its consequences in wild populations.

Conclusion

We used a high quality pedigree together with data from up to 43 microsatellites to investigate inbreeding depression in a cooperatively breeding species where mating between close relatives is common. We detected inbreeding depression for yearling body weight and annual male reproductive success but found no evidence for inbreeding affecting survival, either to nutritional independence or beyond. Furthermore, for one out of the two traits exhibiting inbreeding depression, our panel of microsatellites had similar explanatory power to $f_{\rm ped}$ and residual sMLH explained a significant proportion of fitness variation when fitted in a model together with $f_{\rm ped}$. Our findings therefore suggest that, at least under some circumstances, combining pedigree and molecular measures of inbreeding may allow us to explain more fitness variation and thereby improve our understanding of the genetic variance underpinning fitness variation in wild populations.

Acknowled	lgements
-----------	----------

The work was supported by a Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) standard grant (HO 5122/5-1) and a dual PhD studentship from Liverpool John Moores University. We are grateful to Uganda Wildlife Authority and Uganda National Council for Science and Technology for permission to carry out our research and the Wardens of Queen Elizabeth National Park for logistical support. We thank F. Mwanguhya, S. Kyambulima, K. Mwesige and R. Businge for assistance in the field and M. Galipaud and M. Stoffel for discussions on enefication on ymous rev. the analyses. Finally, the manuscript benefited greatly from the constructive comments of J. Pemberton, M. Kardos and three anonymous reviewers.

832

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

- References
- Armbruster, P., & Reed, D. H. (2005). Inbreeding depression in benign and stressful environments. *Heredity*, 95(3), 235–242. http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800721
- Balloux, F., Amos, W., & Coulson, T. (2004). Does heterozygosity estimate inbreeding in real populations? *Molecular Ecology*, *13*(10), 3021–3031. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02318.x
- Bates, D. M., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48. http://doi.org/10.1177/009286150103500418
- Bensch, S., Andrén, H., Hansson, B., Pedersen, H. C., Sand, H., Sejberg, D., ... Liberg, O.
 (2006). Selection for heterozygosity gives hope to a wild population of inbred wolves.
 PLoS ONE, 1(1). http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000072
- Bierne, N., Tsitrone, A., & David, P. (2000). An Inbreeding Model of Associative Overdominance During a Population Bottleneck. *Genetics*, 155(4), 1981–1990.
- Cant, M. A. (2000). Social control of reproduction in banded mongooses. *Animal Behaviour*, 59(1), 147–158. http://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1999.1279
- Cant, M. A., Nichols, H. J., Thompson, F. J., & Vitikainen, E. (2016). Banded mongooses: demography, life history, and social behavior. *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates:* Studies of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, 318–337.
- Cant, M. A., Otali, E., & Mwanguhya, F. (2001). Eviction and dispersal in co-operatively breeding banded mongooses (Mungos mungo). *Journal of Zoology*, *254*(2), 155–162. http://doi.org/10.1017/S0952836901000668
- Cant, M. A., Vitikainen, E., & Nichols, H. (2013). Demography and social evolution of banded mongooses. *Adv. Study Behav*.
- Chapman, J. R., Nakagawa, S., Coltman, D. W., Slate, J., & Sheldon, B. C. (2009). A quantitative review of heterozygosity-fitness correlations in animal populations.

 Molecular Ecology, 18(13), 2746–2765. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04247.x
- Charlesworth, D., & Willis, J. H. (2009). The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*, 10(11), 783–96. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2664
- Chen, N., Cosgrove, E. J., Bowman, R., Fitzpatrick, J. W., Clark, A. G., Chen, N., ... Clark,
 A. G. (2016). Genomic Consequences of Population Decline in the Endangered Florida
 Scrub-Jay. *Current Biology*, 26(21), 2974–2979.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.08.062
- 867 Cox, D., & Snell, E. (1989). Analysis of binary data. London: Chapman & Hall.
- David, P., Pujol, B., Viard, F., Castella, V., & Goudet, Jerom. (2007). Reliable selfing rate estimates from imperfect population genetic data. *Molecular Ecology*, 16(12), 2474–2487.
- DeRose, M. A., & Roff, D. A. (1999). A Comparison of Inbreeding Depression in Life-History and Morphological Traits in Animals. *Evolution*, *53*(4), 1288–1292.
- Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., ... Lautenbach, S. (2013). Collinearity: A review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. *Ecography*, *36*(1), 027–046. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x
- Falconer, D. S., & Mackay, T. F. C. (1996). Introduction to quantitative genetics. http://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.19620040211
- Fisher, R. A. (1965). *The theory of inbreeding. The theory of inbreeding.* Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh and London.

- Forstmeier, W., Schielzeth, H., Mueller, J. C., Ellegren, H., & Kempenaers, B. (2012).
- Heterozygosity-fitness correlations in zebra finches: microsatellite markers can be better than their reputation. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(13), 3237–49.
- http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05593.x

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

- Fournier, D., Skaug, H., Ancheta, J., & Ianelli, J. (2012). AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. *Optimization Methods*.
- Fox, C. W., & Reed, D. H. (2011). Inbreeding depression increases with environmental stress:
 An experimental study and meta-analysis. *Evolution*, *65*(1), 246–258.
 http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01108.x
- Franklin, I. (1977). The distribution of the proportion of the genome which is homozygous by descent in inbred individuals. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 11(1), 60–80.
- Fredga, K. (1972). Comparative chromosome studies in mongooses (Carnivora, Viverridae).

 Hereditas, 71(1), 1–74. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1972.tb01005.x
- Gilchrist, J. S. (2004). Pup escorting in the communal breeding banded mongoose: behavior,
 benefits, and maintenance. *Behavioral Ecology*, *15*(6), 952–960.
 http://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arh071
 - Graham, M. H. (2003). Confronting Multicollinearity in Ecological Multiple Regression, 84(11), 2809–2815. http://doi.org/10.1890/02-3114
 - Grueber, C. E., Wallis, G. P., & Jamieson, I. G. (2008). Heterozygosity-fitness correlations and their relevance to studies on inbreeding depression in threatened species. *Molecular Ecology*, 17(18), 3978–3984. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03910.x
 - Grueber, C. E., Waters, J. M., & Jamieson, I. G. (2011). The imprecision of heterozygosity-fitness correlations hinders the detection of inbreeding and inbreeding depression in a threatened species. *Molecular Ecology*, 20(1), 67–79. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04930.x
 - Hadfield, J., Richardson, D., & Burke, T. (2006). Towards unbiased parentage assignment: combining genetic, behavioural and spatial data in a Bayesian framework. *Molecular Ecology*, 15(12), 3715–3730.
- Hammerly, S. C., Morrow, M. E., & Johnson, J. A. (2013). A comparison of pedigree- and
 DNA-based measures for identifying inbreeding depression in the critically endangered
 Attwater's Prairie-chicken. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(21), 5313–5328.
 http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12482
- Hansson, B., Bensch, S., Hasselquist, D., & Åkesson, M. (2001). Microsatellite diversity
 predicts recruitment of sibling great reed warblers. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences / The Royal Society*, 268(1473), 1287–1291. http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1640
- Hansson, B., Westerdahl, H., Hasselquist, D., Åkesson, M., & Bensch, S. (2004). Does
 Linkage Disequilibrium Generate Heterozygosity-Fitness Correlations in Great Reed
 Warblers? *Evolution*, 58(4), 870–879. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014 3820.2004.tb00418.x
- Hedrick, P. W., & Garcia-Dorado, A. (2016). Understanding Inbreeding Depression, Purging,
 and Genetic Rescue. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(12), 940–952.
 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.005
- Hedrick, P. W., Kardos, M., Peterson, R. O., & Vucetich, J. A. (2016). Genomic Variation of
 Inbreeding and Ancestry in the Remaining Two Isle Royale Wolves. *Journal of Heredity*,
 108(2), 120–126. http://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esw083
- Hemmings, N. L., Slate, J., & Birkhead, T. R. (2012). Inbreeding causes early death in a passerine bird. *Nature Communications*, *3*, 863. http://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1870

949

950

951

952 953

954

955

956

- 929 Hill, W. G., & Weir, B. S. (2011). Variation in actual relationship as a consequence of 930 Mendelian sampling and linkage. Genetics Research, 93(1), 47–64. 931 http://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672310000480
- 932 Hodge, S. J. (2005). Helpers benefit offspring in both the short and long-term in the 933 cooperatively breeding banded mongoose. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 934 *272*(1580), 2479–84.
- 935 Hodge, S. J. (2007). Counting the costs: the evolution of male-biased care in the cooperatively 936 breeding banded mongoose. Animal Behaviour, 74(4), 911–919. 937 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.09.024
- 938 Hoffman, J. I., Simpson, F., David, P., Rijks, J. M., Kuiken, T., Thorne, M. A. S., ... 939 Dasmahapatra, K. K. (2014). High-throughput sequencing reveals inbreeding depression 940 in a natural population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United 941 States of America, 111(10), 3775-80. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1318945111
- 942 Huisman, J., Kruuk, L. E. B., Ellis, P. A., Clutton-Brock, T., & Pemberton, J. M. (2016). 943 Inbreeding depression across the lifespan in a wild mammal population. *Proceedings of* 944 the National Academy of Sciences, 113(13), 3585–3590. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518046113
- 946 Ihle, K. E., Hutter, P., & Tschirren, B. (2017). Increased prenatal maternal investment reduces 947 inbreeding depression in offspring. Proceedings of The Royal Society B, 284, 20171347. 948 http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1347
 - Jones, O. R., & Wang, J. (2010). COLONY: a program for parentage and sibship inference from multilocus genotype data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 10(3), 551–5. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02787.x
 - Jordan, N. R., Mwanguhya, F., Kyabulima, S., Rüedi, P., & Cant, M. A. (2010). Scent marking within and between groups of wild banded mongooses. *Journal of Zoology*, 280(1), 72–83. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2009.00646.x
 - Kardos, M., Åkesson, M., Fountain, T., Flagstad, Ø., Liberg, O., Olason, P., ... Ellegren, H. (2018). Genomic consequences of intensive inbreeding in an isolated wolf population. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2(1), 124–131. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0375-4
- 958 Kardos, M., Luikart, G., & Allendorf, F. W. (2015). Measuring individual inbreeding in the 959 age of genomics; marker-based measures are better than pedigrees. Heredity, 115(1), 63– 960 72. http://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.17
- 961 Keller, L. F., & Waller, D. M. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. Trends in 962 Ecology and Evolution, 17(5), 230–241. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02489-8
- 963 Knief, U., Kempenaers, B., & Forstmeier, W. (2016). Meiotic recombination shapes precision 964 of pedigree- and marker-based estimates of inbreeding. *Heredity*, (August), 1–10. 965 http://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.95
- 966 Lynch, M., & Walsh, B. (1998). *Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits*.
- 967 Marshall, H. H., Vitikainen, E. I. K., Mwanguhya, F., Businge, R., Kyabulima, S., Hares, M. 968 C., ... Cant, M. A. (2017). Lifetime fitness consequences of early-life ecological 969 hardship in a wild mammal population. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(6), 1712–1724. 970 http://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2747
- 971 Meagher, S., Penn, D. J., & Potts, W. K. (2000). Male-male competition magnifies inbreeding 972 depression in wild house mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the 973 United States of America, 97, 3324–3329. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.7.3324
- 974 Miller, J. M., Malenfant, R. M., David, P., Davis, C. S., Poissant, J., Hogg, J. T., ... Coltman, 975 D. W. (2014). Estimating genome-wide heterozygosity: effects of demographic history 976 and marker type. *Heredity*, 112(3), 240–247. http://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.99

- 977 Mitchell, J., Vitikainen, E. I. K., Wells, D. A., Cant, M. A., & Nichols, H. J. (2017). 978 Heterozygosity but not inbreeding coefficient predicts parasite burdens in the banded 979 mongoose. Journal of Zoology, 302(1), 32–39. http://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12424
- 980 Morrissey, M. (2014). pedantics: Functions to facilitate power and sensitivity analyses for 981 genetic studies of natural populations.

986

991

992

993

995

- 982 Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2013). A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from 983 generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4(2), 133-984 142. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00261.x
 - Nichols, H. J. (2017). The causes and consequences of inbreeding avoidance and tolerance in cooperatively breeding vertebrates. Journal of Zoology. http://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12466
- 987 Nichols, H. J., Amos, W., Cant, M. A., Bell, M. B. V., & Hodge, S. J. (2010). Top males gain 988 high reproductive success by guarding more successful females in a cooperatively 989 breeding mongoose. Animal Behaviour, 80(4), 649–657. 990 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2010.06.025
 - Nichols, H. J., Cant, M. A., Hoffman, J. I., & Sanderson, J. L. (2014). Evidence for frequent incest in a cooperatively breeding mammal. *Biology Letters*, 10(12). http://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0898
- 994 Nichols, H. J., Cant, M. A., & Sanderson, J. L. (2015). Adjustment of costly extra-group paternity according to inbreeding risk in a cooperative mammal. *Behavioral Ecology*, 996 26(6), 1486–1494. http://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arv095
- 997 Nielsen, J. F., English, S., Goodall-Copestake, W. P., Wang, J., Walling, C. A., Bateman, A. 998 W., ... Pemberton, J. M. (2012). Inbreeding and inbreeding depression of early life traits 999 in a cooperative mammal. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(11), 2788–2804. 1000 http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05565.x
- 1001 Nietlisbach, P., Keller, L. F., Camenisch, G., Arcese, P., Reid, J. M., & Postma, E. (2017). 1002 Pedigree-based inbreeding coefficient explains more variation in fitness than 1003 heterozygosity at 160 microsatellites in a wild bird population. Proceedings of the Royal 1004 Society B: Biological Sciences, 284(1850).
 - O'Quigley, J., Xu, R., & Stare, J. (2005). Explained randomness in proportional hazards models. Statistics in Medicine, 24(3), 479–489. http://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1946
- 1007 Ólafsdóttir, G. Á., & Kristjánsson, T. (2008). Correlated pedigree and molecular estimates of 1008 inbreeding and their ability to detect inbreeding depression in the Icelandic sheepdog, a 1009 recently bottlenecked population of domestic dogs. Conservation Genetics, 9(6), 1639– 1010 1641. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-008-9526-0
- 1011 Pemberton, J. M. (2004). Measuring inbreeding depression in the wild: The old ways are the 1012 best. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 19(12), 613-615. 1013 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.09.010
- 1014 Pemberton, J. M. (2008). Wild pedigrees: the way forward. Proceedings of the Royal Society 1015 B: Biological Sciences, 275(1635), 613–621. http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.1531
- 1016 Pilakouta, N., Jamieson, S., Moorad, J. A., & Smiseth, P. T. (2015). Parental care buffers 1017 against inbreeding depression in burying beetles. Proceedings of the National Academy 1018 of Sciences of the United States of America, 112(26), 8031–5. 1019 http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1500658112
- 1020 R Core Team. (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation 1021 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012.
- 1022 Reed, D. H., Fox, C. W., Enders, L. S., & Kristensen, T. N. (2012). Inbreeding-stress 1023 interactions: Evolutionary and conservation consequences. Annals of the New York 1024 Academy of Sciences, 1256(1), 33–48. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06548.x

- Reid, J. M., Keller, L. F., Marr, A. B., Nietlisbach, P., Sardell, R. J., & Arcese, P. (2014).

 Pedigree error due to extra-pair reproduction substantially biases estimates of inbreeding depression. *Evolution*, 68(3), 802–815. http://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12305
- Richards, S. A., Whittingham, M. J., & Stephens, P. A. (2011). Model selection and model averaging in behavioural ecology: The utility of the IT-AIC framework. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65(1), 77–89. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1035-8
- Sanderson, J. L., Wang, J., Vitikainen, E. I. K., Cant, M. A., & Nichols, H. J. (2015). Banded mongooses avoid inbreeding when mating with members of the same natal group. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(14), 3738–3751. http://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13253
- Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Nielsen, A., & Magnusson, A. (2013). Generalized linear mixed models using AD model builder. *R Package Version 0.7*.
- Slate, J., David, P., Dodds, K. G., Veenvliet, B. a, Glass, B. C., Broad, T. E., & McEwan, J. C. (2004). Understanding the relationship between the inbreeding coefficient and multilocus heterozygosity: theoretical expectations and empirical data. *Heredity*, 93(3), 255–265. http://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800485
- Stam, P. (1980). The distribution of the fraction of the genome identical by descent in finite random mating populations. *Genetical Research*, *35*(2), 131. http://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672300014002
- Stoffel, M. A., Esser, M., Kardos, M., Humble, E., Nichols, H., David, P., ... Poisot, T. (2016). inbreedR: an R package for the analysis of inbreeding based on genetic markers. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(11), 1331–1339. http://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12588
- Symonds, M. R. E., & Moussalli, A. (2011). A brief guide to model selection, multimodel inference and model averaging in behavioural ecology using Akaike's information criterion. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *65*(1), 13–21. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-010-1037-6
- Szulkin, M., Bierne, N., & David, P. (2010). Heterozygosity-fitness correlations: a time for reappraisal. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 64(5), 1202–17. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.00966.x
- Szulkin, M., Stopher, K. V., Pemberton, J. M., & Reid, J. M. (2013). Inbreeding avoidance, tolerance, or preference in animals? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 28(4), 205–211. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.016
- Taylor, H. R., Kardos, M. D., Ramstad, K. M., & Allendorf, F. W. (2015). Valid estimates of individual inbreeding coefficients from marker-based pedigrees are not feasible in wild populations with low allelic diversity. *Conservation Genetics*, *16*(4), 901–913. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-015-0709-1
- Taylor, S. S., Sardell, R. J., Reid, J. M., Bucher, T., Taylor, N. G., Arcese, P., & Keller, L. F. (2010). Inbreeding coefficient and heterozygosity-fitness correlations in unhatched and hatched song sparrow nestmates. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(20), 4454–4461. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04824.x
- 1065 Therneau, T., & Grambsch, P. (2000). Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model.
- Thompson, F. J., Marshall, H. H., Sanderson, J. L., Vitikainen, I. K., Nichols, H. J., Gilchrist,
 J. S., ... Thompson, F. J. (2016). Reproductive competition triggers mass eviction in
 cooperative banded mongooses. *Proceedings of Royal Society B*, 283(1826).
- Thünken, T., Bakker, T. C. M., Baldauf, S. A., & Kullmann, H. (2007). Active Inbreeding in a Cichlid Fish and Its Adaptive Significance. *Current Biology*, *17*(3), 225–229. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.11.053
- 1072 Wang, J. (2010). Do marker-based paternity assignments favour heterozygous and unrelated

1073	males? <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 19(9), 1898–1913. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
1074	294X.2010.04601.x
1075	Wang, J. (2016). Pedigrees or markers: Which are better in estimating relatedness and
1076	inbreeding coefficient? Theoretical Population Biology, 107, 4–13.
1077	http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2015.08.006
1078	Weir, B. S., Avery, P. J., & Hill, W. G. (1980). Effect of mating structure on variation in
1079	inbreeding. Theoretical Population Biology, 18(3), 396–429.
1080	http://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809(80)90061-1
1081	Zuur, A. F, Ieno, E. N, & Saveliev, A. (2009). Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in
1082	Ecology with R. (R. M. Gail, K. Krickeberg, S. JM, T. A, & W. Wong, Eds.). New York
1083	NY: Spring Science and Bussiness Media.
1084	



1083	Data Accessionity
1086	Microsatellite genotypes, pedigree inbreeding coefficients, and lifetime and annual data
1087	records are available via Dryad doi:10.5061/dryad.bq868sh. All of the computer code used to
1088	analyse the data are provided as R script files.
1089	
1090	Author Contributions
1091	J.I.H. and H.J.N. designed the research; D.A.W. genotyped individuals and assigned
1092	parentage and sibships; D.A.W conducted data analyses with assistance from J.I.H.; J.I.H. and
1093	D.A.W. wrote the manuscript with comments from H.J.N.; field data were collected by H.J.N
1094	and M.A.C. All of the authors read and commented upon the final manuscript.
1095	and M.A.C. All of the authors read and commented upon the final manuscript.

1096 Tables

1097

Table 1. Alternative models of survival to nutritional independence ranked in order of their
 AIC_c support. See the Materials and Methods section for further details.

Model	Structure	k logLikelihood	AIC_c	ΔAIC_c	AIC _c -weight
M5	Rain + escorting	5 -271.954	554.033	0.000	0.348
M7	Rain + escorting + sMLH	6 -271.944	556.061	2.029	0.126
M6	Rain + escorting + f_{ped}	6 -271.953	556.081	2.048	0.125
M1	Rain	4 -274.286	556.655	2.623	0.094
M15	Rain * sMLH + escorting	7 -271.866	557.965	3.932	0.049
M11	Rain * f_{ped} + escorting	7 -271.917	558.066	4.034	0.046
M8	Rain + escorting + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	7 -271.939	558.110	4.078	0.045
M3	Rain + sMLH	5 -274.263	558.651	4.618	0.035
M2	Rain + f_{ped}	5 -274.282	558.688	4.655	0.034
M16	Rain * residual sMLH + escorting + f_{ped}	8 -271.811	559.923	5.890	0.018
M12	Rain * f_{ped} + escorting + residual sMLH	8 -271.902	560.103	6.071	0.017
M13	Rain * sMLH	6 -274.182	560.539	6.506	0.013
M9	Rain * f_{ped}	6 -274.203	560.580	6.547	0.013
M4	Rain + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	6 -274.248	560.669	6.637	0.013
M18	Rain * $(f_{ped}$ + residual sMLH) + escorting	9 -271.781	561.937	7.905	0.007
M19	(Intercept only)	3 -278.019	562.087	8.054	0.006
M14	Rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped}	7 -274.091	562.415	8.382	0.005
M10	Rain * f_{ped} + residual sMLH	7 -274.168	562.568	8.536	0.005
M17	Rain * (f_{ped} + residual sMLH)	8 -274.022	564.345	10.312	0.002

Table 2. Alternative models of survival beyond nutritional independence ranked in order of their AIC_c support. See the Materials and Methods section for further details.

1101

1102

Model	Structure	k	LogLikelihood	AIC_c	ΔAIC_c	AIC _c -weight
M7	Sex + rain + sMLH	8.5	-1645.576	3297.209	0.000	0.261
M11	Sex + rain * sMLH	9.4	-1644.911	3297.916	0.707	0.183
M1	Sex	6.9	-1647.964	3297.938	0.728	0.181
M3	Sex + sMLH	8.1	-1647.174	3298.376	1.167	0.145
M5	Sex + rain	6.3	-1647.560	3299.149	1.939	0.099
M8	Sex + rain + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	7.9	-1646.837	3301.768	4.559	0.027
M2	$Sex + f_{ped}$	6.6	-1649.023	3302.074	4.865	0.023
M4	Sex + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	7.8	-1648.015	3302.086	4.876	0.023
M6	Sex + rain + f_{ped}	6.6	-1648.164	3302.385	5.176	0.020
M12	Sex + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped}	8.6	-1646.418	3302.979	5.769	0.015
M10	Sex + rain * f_{ped} + residual sMLH	9.0	-1646.708	3303.559	6.350	0.011
M9	Sex + rain * f_{ped}	7.7	-1648.083	3304.261	7.052	0.008
M13	Sex + rain * $(f_{ped}$ + residual sMLH)	9.7	-1646.283	3304.765	7.555	0.006
M14	(Intercept only)	4.9	-1650.698	3322.777	25.568	0.000
1105						

Table 3. Alternative models of yearling body mass ranked in order of their AIC_c support. See the Materials and Methods section for further details. Only models with AIC_c -weights greater than 0.01 are shown.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Model	Structure	k	logLikelihood	AIC _c	ΔAIC_c	AIC _c -weight
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M28	$Sex + f_{ped}$	6	-930.982	1874.551	0.000	0.325
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M32		7	-930.896	1876.581	2.029	0.118
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M36	Sex + index + f_{ped}	7	-930.935	1876.659	2.107	0.113
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M30	Sex + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	7	-930.955	1876.699	2.147	0.111
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	M43	Sex + rain * f_{ped}	8	-930.509	1878.039	3.488	0.057
M38Sex + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH8-930.9101878.8414.2900.038M44Sex + rain * f_{ped} + residual sMLH9-930.4361880.1585.6060.020M45Sex + escorting + rain * f_{ped} 9-930.4481880.1825.6300.019M48Sex + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped} 9-930.6441880.5746.0220.016M42Sex + rain + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH9-930.8041880.8946.3420.014M27Sex5-935.4171881.2516.6990.011	M34	Sex + rain + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	8	-930.849	1878.719	4.168	0.040
M44Sex + rain * $f_{\rm ped}$ + residual sMLH9-930.4361880.1585.6060.020M45Sex + escorting + rain * $f_{\rm ped}$ 9-930.4481880.1825.6300.019M48Sex + rain * residual sMLH + $f_{\rm ped}$ 9-930.6441880.5746.0220.016M42Sex + rain + index + $f_{\rm ped}$ + residual sMLH9-930.8041880.8946.3420.014M27Sex5-935.4171881.2516.6990.011	M40	Sex + rain + index + f_{ped}	8	-930.849	1878.719	4.168	0.040
M45Sex + escorting + rain * f_{ped} 9-930.4481880.1825.6300.019M48Sex + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped} 9-930.6441880.5746.0220.016M42Sex + rain + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH9-930.8041880.8946.3420.014M27Sex5-935.4171881.2516.6990.011	M38	Sex + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	8	-930.910	1878.841	4.290	0.038
M48 Sex + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped} 9 -930.644 1880.574 6.022 0.016 M42 Sex + rain + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH 9 -930.804 1880.894 6.342 0.014 M27 Sex 5 -935.417 1881.251 6.699 0.011	M44	Sex + rain * f_{ped} + residual sMLH	9	-930.436	1880.158	5.606	0.020
M42 Sex + rain + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH 9 -930.804 1880.894 6.342 0.014 M27 Sex 5 -935.417 1881.251 6.699 0.011	M45	Sex + escorting + rain * f_{ped}	9	-930.448	1880.182	5.630	0.019
M27 Sex 5 -935.417 1881.251 6.699 0.011	M48	Sex + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped}	9	-930.644	1880.574	6.022	0.016
	M42	Sex + rain + index + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	9	-930.804	1880.894	6.342	0.014
M2 f _{ped} 5 -935.495 1881.407 6.855 0.011	M27	Sex	5	-935.417	1881.251	6.699	0.011
	M2	f_{ped}	5	-935.495	1881.407	6.855	0.011

Table 4. Alternative models of annual reproductive success in (a) females, and (b) males, ranked in order of their AIC_c support. The models of female annual reproductive success which included inbreeding–stress interactions failed to converge and so were omitted. See the Materials and Methods section for further details.

(a)

Model	Structure	k	logLikelihood	AIC_c	ΔAIC_c	AIC _c -weight
M4	Age + age 2 + f_{ped}	8	-329.679	675.981	0.000	0.286
M1	Age + age ²	7	-330.848	676.179	0.197	0.259
M5	Age + age ² + rain + f_{ped}	9	-329.642	678.067	2.085	0.101
M8	Age + age^2 + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	9	-329.652	678.087	2.105	0.100
M3	Age + age ² + sMLH	8	-330.790	678.203	2.222	0.094
M2	Age + age ² + rain	8	-330.808	678.239	2.258	0.092
M7	Age + age^2 + rain + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	10	-329.625	680.211	4.229	0.034
M6	Age + age ² + rain + sMLH	9	-330.733	680.249	4.267	0.034
M14	(Intercept only)	5	-369.474	749.204	73.223	0.000
17						

1117 1118 (b)

0	(0)						
	Model	Structure	k	logLikelihood	AIC_c	ΔAIC_c	AIC _c -weight
	M8	Age + age ² + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	9	-300.139	618.801	0.000	0.494
	M7	Age + age 2 + rain + f_{ped} + residual sMLH	10	-300.133	620.907	2.106	0.172
	M12	Age + age ² + rain * residual sMLH + f_{ped}	11	-299.697	622.166	3.365	0.092
	M10	Age + age 2 + rain * f_{ped} + residual sMLH	11	-300.051	622.874	4.073	0.065
	M3	Age + age ² + sMLH	8	-303.333	623.083	4.282	0.058
	M4	Age + age ² + f_{ped}	8	-303.792	624.001	5.200	0.037
	M13	Age + age ² + rain * (f_{ped} + residual sMLH)	12	-299.663	624.241	5.440	0.033
	M6	Age + age ² + rain + sMLH	9	-303.332	625.187	6.386	0.020
	M5	Age + age 2 + rain + f_{ped}	9	-303.779	626.081	7.280	0.013
	M11	Age + age ² + rain * smlh	10	-302.895	626.431	7.630	0.011
	M9	Age + age 2 + rain * f_{ped}	10	-303.725	628.091	9.290	0.005
	M1	Age + age ²	7	-309.393	633.110	14.308	0.000
	M2	Age + age ² + rain	8	-309.390	635.197	16.396	0.000
	M14	(Intercept only)	5	-343.651	697.474	78.673	0.000
9							

Table 5. Statistical significance and variance explained by inbreeding terms in models of five fitness traits. The significance of f_{ped} and sMLH was derived by comparing models containing these terms with equivalent 'null models' containing only the relevant non-inbreeding terms, while p-values for residual sMLH were obtained through the comparison of models containing f_{ped} + residual sMLH with equivalent models containing only f_{ped} . For each trait, the models that we constructed are listed in the first column of the table, with the null model shown first. Conditional R^2_{glmm} was calculated following Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013) and Cox and Snells's pseudo R^2 was calculated using the number of uncensored observations rather than the total number of observations as recommended by O'Quigley et al. (2005). As R^2 values cannot be calculated for zero-inflated negative binomial GLMMs, log likelihood values are presented as a measure of the fit of models of annual male reproductive success.

a) Survival to nutritional independence

Binomial GLMM, n = 489

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126 1127 1128

1129

1130

1131

Structure	Likelihood ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Conditional R ² _{glmm}
Rain + escorting			0.4701
Rain + escorting + f_{ped}	0.0017	0.9671	0.4702
Rain + escorting + sMLH	0.0213	0.8839	0.4703

b) Survival beyond nutritional independence

Cox proportional hazard model, n = 428

Structure	Likelihood ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Cox and Snell's pseudo R ²
Sex	\/	1	0.0817
$Sex + f_{ped}$	2.1178	0.1456	0.0755
Sex + sMLH	1.5803	0.2087	0.0863

c) Yearling body mass

Gaussian GLMM, n = 150

Structure	Likelihood ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Conditional R ² _{glmm}
Sex			0.5734
Sex + f_{ped}	8.87	0.0029	0.6221
Sex + sMLH	0.674	0.4117	0.5766

d) Female annual reproductive success

Zero-inflated, negative binomial GLMM, n = 240

Structure	Likelihood ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Log Likelihood
Age + age ²			-330.848
Age + age ² + f_{ped}	2.338	0.1263	-329.679
Age + age ² + sMLH	0.116	0.7334	-330.790

e) Male annual reproductive success

Zero-inflated, negative binomial GLMM, n = 354

Structure	Likelihood ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Log Likelihood
Age + age ²			-309.393
Age + age 2 + f_{ped}	11.202	0.0008	-303.792
Age + age ² + sMLH	12.12	0.0005	-303.333
Age + $age^2 + f_{ped}$ + residual sMLH	7.306	0.0069	-300.139

1134	Figure legends
1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1140	Figure 1. The relationship between the pedigree-based inbreeding coefficient, f_{ped} and sMLH for 777 banded mongoose individuals with all four grandparents assigned (R = 0.34, p < 0.001). Scatter on the y-axis for a given f_{ped} value represents variation in microsatellite heterozygosity among individuals with the same pedigree inbreeding coefficient. Marginal histograms show the distributions of f_{ped} (top) and sMLH (right axis).
1141 1142 1143 1144	Figure 2. The relationship between f_{ped} and yearling body mass. The trend line shows the expected body mass of a female yearling and the shaded region shows the 95% confidence interval.
1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150	Figure 3. The relationship between annual male reproductive success and a) f_{ped} , and b) residual sMLH derived from a single model (M8 in table 4b) where both inbreeding measures are fitted together. The trend line shows expected values based on average age and the shaded region shows associated 95% confidence intervals. Data points in plot a) were given a small amount of jitter to avoid over plotting.
1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158	Figure 4. Estimated regression coefficients of the three inbreeding terms in models of five different fitness traits, showing point estimates and associated 95% confidence intervals. Each panel shows three different models—one containing f_{ped} (shown in black), one containing sMLH (shown in dark orange) and one containing f_{ped} + residual sMLH (shown in light turquoise) as described in the Results section. In addition to these inbreeding terms, all of the models contained other fixed effects but these are not shown for ease of interpretation. The larger confidence intervals of f_{ped} relative to sMLH result from its smaller range (Figure 1).
1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166	Figure 5. The relationship between AIC _c of models of annual male reproductive success and the number of microsatellites used to calculate standardised multilocus heterozygosity. Open points represent models with the structure: age $+$ age 2 + sMLH; closed points represent models with the structure: age $+$ age 2 + f_{ped} + residual sMLH. The horizontal line represents a model with the structure: age $+$ age 2 + f_{ped} . We selected n different microsatellite loci at random and calculated heterozygosity as sMLH 100 times for each value of n . Points represent mean values and the shaded regions indicate \pm 1sd.
1167	









